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## Motivation

An example of algebraic cryptanalysis

Discrete logarithm problem over elliptic curves (ECDLP)
Given $P \in E\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}\right)$ and $Q \in\langle P\rangle$, find $x$ such that $Q=[x] P$
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# Discrete logarithm problem over elliptic curves (ECDLP) 

Given $P \in E\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}\right)$ and $Q \in\langle P\rangle$, find $x$ such that $Q=[x] P$

## Basic outline of index calculus method for DLP

(1) define a factor base: $\mathcal{F}=\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{N}\right\}$
(2) relation search: for random $\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)$, try to decompose $\left[a_{i}\right] P+\left[b_{i}\right] Q$ as sum of points in $\mathcal{F}$
(3) linear algebra step: once $k>N$ relations found, deduce with sparse techniques the DLP of $Q$

## Motivation

An example of algebraic cryptanalysis

## Relation search

- Factor base: $\mathcal{F}=\left\{(x, y) \in E\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}\right): x \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\right\}$
- Goal: find a least $\# \mathcal{F}$ decompositions of random combination $R=[a] P+[b] Q$ into $m$ points of $\mathcal{F}: R=P_{1}+\ldots+P_{m}$


## Algebraic attack

- for each $R$, construct the corresponding polynomial system $\mathcal{S}_{R}$

Semaev's summation polynomials and symmetrization
Weil restriction: write $\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}$ as $\mathbb{F}_{q}[t] /(f(t))$

- $\mathcal{S}_{R}=\left\{f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right\} \subset \mathbb{F}_{q}\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right]$
coefficients depend polynomially on $x_{R}$
each decomposition trial $\leftrightarrow$ find the solutions of $\mathcal{S}_{R}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$


## Techniques for resolution of polynomial systems

F4: efficient implementation of Buchberger's algorithm

- linear algebra to reduce a large number of critical pairs $\left(I c m, u_{1}, f_{1}, u_{2}, f_{2}\right)$ where $\operatorname{lcm}=L M\left(f_{1}\right) \vee L M\left(f_{2}\right), u_{i}=\frac{I c m}{L M\left(f_{i}\right)}$
- selection strategy (e.g. lowest total degree Icm)
- at each step construct a Macaulay-style matrix containing products $u_{i} f_{i}$ coming from the selected critical pairs polynomials from preprocessing phase
monomial $m$
$\downarrow$


Macaulay-style matrix

## Techniques for resolution of polynomial systems

## Standard Gröbner basis algorithms

(1) F4 algorithm
fast and complete reductions of critical pairs
drawback: many reductions to zero
(2) F5 algorithm
elaborate criterion $\rightarrow$ skip unnecessary reductions drawback: incomplete polynomial reductions

- multipurpose algorithms
- do not take advantage of the common shape of the systems
- knowledge of a prior computation
$\rightarrow$ no more reduction to zero in F4 ?


## Specifically devised algorithms

## Outline of our F4 variant

(1) F4Precomp: on the first system
at each step, store the list of all involved polynomial multiples reduction to zero $\rightarrow$ remove well-chosen multiple from the list
(2) F4Remake: for each subsequent system no queue of untreated pairs at each step, pick directly from the list the relevant multiples

Former works

- Gröbner trace for modular computation of rational GB [Traverso]
- Comprehensive Gröbner basis


## Analysis of F4Remake

## "Similar" systems

- parametric family of systems: $\left\{F_{1}(y), \ldots, F_{r}(y)\right\}_{y \in \mathbb{K}^{\ell}}$ where $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{r} \in \mathbb{K}\left[Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{\ell}\right]\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right]$
- $\left\{f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right\} \subset \mathbb{K}[\underline{X}]$ random instance of this parametric family


## Generic behaviour

(1) "compute" the GB of $\left\langle F_{1}, \ldots, F_{r}\right\rangle$ in $\mathbb{K}(\underline{Y})[\underline{X}]$ with F 4 algorithm
(2) $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}$ behaves generically if during the GB computation with F4 same number of iterations
at each step, same new leading monomials $\rightarrow$ similar critical pairs
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F4Remake computes successfully the GB of $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}$ if the system behaves generically

## Analysis of F4Remake

## "Modular" systems

- $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{r} \in \mathbb{Z}[\underline{X}]$ system of primitive polynomials
- $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}[\underline{X}]$ its reduction modulo a prime $p$
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## F4-lucky primes

(1) "compute" the GB of $\left\langle F_{1}, \ldots, F_{r}\right\rangle$ in $\mathbb{Q}[\underline{X}]$ with F4 algorithm
(2) $p$ is F4-lucky prime if during the GB computation of $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}$ with F4 same number of iterations at each step, same new leading monomials $\rightarrow$ similar critical pairs

F4Remake computes successfully the GB of $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}$ if $p$ is F4-lucky

## Algebraic condition for generic behaviour

(1) Assume $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}$ behaves generically until the ( $i-1$ )-th step
(2) At step $i, \mathrm{~F} 4$ constructs

- $M_{g}=$ matrix of polynomial multiples at step $i$ for the parametric system
- $M=$ matrix of polynomial multiples at step $i$ for $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}$
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## Algebraic condition for generic behaviour

(1) Assume $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}$ behaves generically until the ( $i-1$ )-th step
(2) At step $i$, F4 constructs

- $M_{g}=$ matrix of polynomial multiples at step $i$ for the parametric system
- $M=$ matrix of polynomial multiples at step $i$ for $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}$
(3) Reduced row echelon form of $M_{g}$ and $M$
\(\left(\begin{array}{c|c|c}I_{s} \& 0 \& C_{g, 1} <br>
\hline 0 \& I_{\ell} \& C_{g, 2} <br>

\hline 0 \& 0 \& 0\end{array}\right) \quad\left(\right.\)| $I_{s}$ | $B_{1}^{\prime}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 0 | $B$ |  |
| $I_{2}^{\prime}$ |  |  |$)$

$f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}$ behaves generically at step $i \Leftrightarrow B$ has full rank

## Probability of success

## Heuristic assumption

The $B$ matrices are uniformly random over $\mathcal{M}_{n, \ell}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$

## Probability estimates over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$

The probability that a system $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}$ behaves generically is heuristically greater than $c(q)^{n_{\text {step }}}$ where

- $c(q)=\prod_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{-i}\right) \underset{q \rightarrow \infty}{\longrightarrow} 1$
- $n_{\text {step }}$ is the number of steps during the F4 computation of the parametric system $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{r} \in \mathbb{K}(\underline{Y})[\underline{X}]$


## The generic polynomial case

## Generic systems

- generic polynomial: $F \in \mathbb{K}\left[Y_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}\right]\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right]$,

$$
F=\sum_{i_{1}+\ldots+i_{n} \leq d} Y_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}} X_{1}^{i_{1}} \ldots X_{n}^{i_{n}}
$$

- good models for polynomial with random coefficients

Analysis of F4Remake

- Heuristic makes sense
- Upper bound on $n_{\text {step }}: \sum_{i=1}^{r}\left(\operatorname{deg} F_{i}-1\right)+1$ (Macaulay bound)


## Application to index calculus method for ECDLP

## Joux-V. approach

ECDLP: $P \in E\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}\right), Q \in\langle P\rangle$, find $x$ such that $Q=[x] P$

- find $\simeq q$ decompositions of random combination $R=[a] P+[b] Q$ into $n-1$ points of $\mathcal{F}=\left\{P \in E\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}\right): x_{P} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\right\}$
- solve $\simeq q^{2}$ overdetermined systems of $n$ eq. and $n-1$ var. over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$
- heuristic assumption makes sense


## Experimental results on $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p^{5}}\right)$

| $\|p\|_{2}$ | est. failure proba. | F4Precomp | F4Remake | F4 | Magma |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 bits | 0.11 | 8.963 | 2.844 | 5.903 | 9.660 |
| 16 bits | $4.4 \times 10^{-4}$ | $(19.07)$ | 3.990 | 9.758 | 9.870 |
| 25 bits | $2.4 \times 10^{-6}$ | $(32.98)$ | 4.942 | 16.77 | 118.8 |
| 32 bits | $5.8 \times 10^{-9}$ | $(44.33)$ | 8.444 | 24.56 | 1046 |

Times in seconds, using a 2.6 GHz Intel Core 2 Duo processor
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## Comparison with F5

- both algorithms eliminate all reductions to zero, but
- F5 computes a much larger GB:

17249 labeled polynomials against 2789 with F4

- signature condition in F5 $\rightarrow$ redundant polynomials


## Limits of the heuristic assumption

## Specific case

Parametric polynomials with highest degree homogeneous part in $\mathbb{K}[\underline{X}]$

- heuristic assumption not valid
- but generic behaviour until the first fall of degree occurs

UOV example: $m=16, n=48, \mathbb{K}=\mathbb{F}_{16}$

$$
P_{k}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{16} a_{i j}^{k} x_{i} x_{j}+\sum_{i=1}^{16} b_{i}^{k} x_{i}+c^{k}, \quad k=1 \ldots 16
$$

## Limits of the heuristic assumption

## Specific case

Parametric polynomials with highest degree homogeneous part in $\mathbb{K}[\underline{X}]$

- heuristic assumption not valid
- but generic behaviour until the first fall of degree occurs

UOV example: $m=16, n=48, \mathbb{K}=\mathbb{F}_{16}$
Hybrid approach: specialization of 3 variables
$P_{k}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{13} a_{i j}^{k} x_{i} x_{j}+\sum_{i=1}^{13}\left(b_{i}^{k}+\sum_{j=14}^{16} a_{i j}^{k} x_{j}\right) x_{i}+\left(\sum_{i, j=14}^{16} a_{i j}^{k} x_{i} x_{j}+\sum_{i=14}^{16} b_{i}^{k} x_{i}+c^{k}\right)$
Gröbner basis with F4Remake:

- 6 steps and a fall of degree at step $5 \rightsquigarrow c(16)^{2} \simeq 0.87$
- exhaustive exploration $\rightsquigarrow$ actual probability of success is $80.859 \%$
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