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## Section 1

## Known attacks of the ECDLP

## Discrete logarithm problem
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## Difficulty is related to the group:

(1) Generic attacks: complexity in $\Omega\left(\max \left(\alpha_{i} \sqrt{p_{i}}\right)\right)$ if $\# G=\prod_{i} p_{i}^{\alpha_{i}}$
(2) $G \subset\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}, \times\right)$ : index calculus method with complexity in $L_{q}(1 / 3)$ where $L_{q}(\alpha)=\exp \left(c(\log q)^{\alpha}(\log \log q)^{1-\alpha}\right)$.
(3) $G \subset\left(J_{\mathcal{C}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right),+\right)$ : index calculus method with sub-exponential complexity (depending of the genus $g>2$ )

## Basic outline of index calculus methods

 (additive notations)(1) Choice of a factor base: $\mathcal{F}=\left\{g_{1}, \ldots, g_{N}\right\} \subset G$
(2) Relation search: decompose $a_{i} \cdot g+b_{i} \cdot h\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right.$ random $)$ into $\mathcal{F}$

$$
a_{i} \cdot g+b_{i} \cdot h=\sum_{j=1}^{N} c_{i, j} \cdot g_{j}
$$

(3) Linear algebra: once $k$ relations found $(k>N)$

- construct the matrices $A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a_{i} & b_{i}\end{array}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq k}$ and $M=\left(c_{i, j}\right)_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq k \\ 1 \leq j \leq N}}$
- find $v=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right) \in \operatorname{ker}\left({ }^{t} M\right)$ such that $v A \neq 0 \bmod \# G$
- compute the solution of DLP: $x=-\left(\sum_{i} a_{i} v_{i}\right) /\left(\sum_{i} b_{i} v_{i}\right) \bmod \# G$
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## Specific attacks on few families of curves:

(1) Curves defined over prime fields

- lift to characteristic zero fields: anomalous curves
- transfer to $\mathbb{F}_{p^{k}}^{*}$ via pairings: curves with small embedding degree
- otherwise only generic attacks (Pollard's Rho)
(2) Curves defined over extension fields
- Weil descent: transfer from $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}\right)$ to $J_{\mathcal{C}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ where $\mathcal{C}$ has genus $g \geq n$
- direct index calculus methods on $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}\right)$
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Main difficulty: find a convenient curve $\mathcal{C}$ with a genus small enough
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Given an elliptic curve $E_{\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}}$ and a degree 2 map $E \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$, construct a curve $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}$ and a cover map $\pi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow E$.

Problem: for most elliptic curves, $g$ is of the order of $2^{n}$

- Index calculus on $J_{\mathcal{C}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ usually slower than generic methods on $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}\right)$
- Possibility of using isogenies from $E$ to a vulnerable curve [Galbraith] $\rightarrow$ increase the number of vulnerable curves
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- Decomposition of an arbitrary divisor $D \in J_{H}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}\right)$ into $n g$ divisors of the factor base $D \sim \sum_{i=1}^{n g}\left(\left(Q_{i}\right)-\left(\mathcal{O}_{H}\right)\right)$
- complexity in $q^{2-2 / n g}$ as $q \rightarrow \infty$
- interesting when $g$ is small $(g \leq 3)$
- every curves are equally weak under this attack
- decomposition is harder (need to solve polynomial systems)


## Nagao's approach for decompositions
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- Decomposition of $D \leftrightarrow$ solve a quadratic polynomial system over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ of $(n-1) n g$ equations and variables + test if $F_{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{\ell}}$ is split in $\mathbb{F}_{q}[X]$
- complexity of the polynomial system resolution $\rightarrow$ relevant approach only for $n$ and $g$ small enough
- in the elliptic case: use Semaev's summation polynomials instead


## Section 2

A new index calculus method

## A modified relation search

In practice, decompositions as $D \sim \sum_{i=1}^{n g}\left(\left(Q_{i}\right)-\left(\mathcal{O}_{H}\right)\right)$ are too slow to compute

## Improvement

Compute relations between elements of $\mathcal{F}: \sum_{i=1}^{n g+2}\left(\left(Q_{i}\right)-\left(\mathcal{O}_{H}\right)\right) \sim 0$

- Resolution of an underdetermined quadratic polynomial system of $n(n-1) g+2 n-2$ equations in $n(n-1) g+2 n$ variables.
- After initial precomputation, each specialization of the last two variables yields an easy to solve system.
- Can be combined with a sieving technique to avoid factorizing the resulting polynomial $F_{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{\ell}}$.

Still need a few Nagao's style decompositions to actually solve the DLP (descent phase).

## A combined attack

Let $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}\right)$ elliptic curve such that

- GHS provides covering curves $\mathcal{C}$ with too large genus
- $n$ is too large for a practical decomposition attack

Cover and decomposition attack
If $n$ composite, combine both approaches
(1) use GHS on the subextension $\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}} / \mathbb{F}_{q^{d}}$ to transfer the DL to $J_{\mathcal{C}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{d}}\right)$
(2) use decomposition attack on $J_{\mathcal{C}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{d}}\right)$ with base field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ to solve the DLP

## Genus 3 cover

Most favorable case for this combined attack:

- extension degree $n=6$ (occurs for OEF), and
- $E_{\mid \mathbb{F}_{q^{6}}}$ has a genus 3 cover by $H_{\mid \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}}$
$\rightarrow$ occurs for $\Theta\left(q^{4}\right)$ curves directly [Thériault, Momose-Chao]
$\rightarrow$ for most curves after an isogeny walk

On curves defined over such extension fields:

- GHS: cover $\mathcal{C}_{\mid \mathbb{F}_{q}}$ with genus $g \geq 9$ and with equality for less than $q^{3}$ curves
$\rightsquigarrow$ index calculus on $J_{\mathcal{C}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ is slower
- direct decomposition attack fails to compute any relation


## Complexity and comparison with other attacks

Estimations for $E$ elliptic curve defined over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{6}}$ with $|p| \simeq 27$ bits and $\# E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p^{6}}\right)=4 \ell$ with $\ell$ a 160 -bit prime

| Attack | Asymptotic <br> complexity | 162-bit example <br> cost | Ratio of vulnerable curves <br> (without isogeny walk) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pollard | $p^{3}$ | $2^{99}$ | 1 |
| Ind. calc. on $H_{\mid \mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}}, g(H)=3$ | $p^{8 / 3}$ | $2^{90}$ | $1 / p^{2}$ |
| Ind. calc. on $H_{\mid \mathbb{F}_{p}}, g(H)=9$ | $p^{16 / 9}$ | $2^{68}$ | $\leq 1 / p^{3}$ |
| Decomp. on $E_{\mid \mathbb{F}_{\left(p^{2}\right)^{3}}}$ | $p^{8 / 3}$ | $2^{97}$ | 1 |
| Decomp. on $E_{\mid \mathbb{F}_{p^{6}}}$ | $p^{5 / 3}$ | $2^{135}$ | 1 |
| Decomp. on $H_{\mid \mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}}, g(H)=3$ | $p^{5 / 3}$ | $2^{65}$ | $1 / p^{2}$ |
| Decomp. on $H_{\mid \mathbb{F}_{p^{3}}}, g(H)=2$ | $p^{5 / 3}$ | $2^{112}$ | 1 |

## A 130-bit example

$E: y^{2}=(x-c)(x-\alpha)(x-\sigma(\alpha))$ defined over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{6}}$ where $p=2^{22}+15$, such that $\# E=4 \cdot 1361158674614712334466525985682062201601$.

Decomposition on the genus 3 hyperelliptic curve $H_{\mid \mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}}$ covering $E$ :
(1) Relation search:

- lex GB of a system of 10 eq. and 8 var. in 1 min (Magma on a 2.6 GHz Intel Core 2 Duo proc)
- sieving phase: $\simeq 25 \cdot p$ relations in about 1 h with 200 cores ( 2.93 GHz quadri-core Intel Xeon 5550 proc) $\rightsquigarrow 750$ times faster than Nagao's
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$E: y^{2}=(x-c)(x-\alpha)(x-\sigma(\alpha))$ defined over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{6}}$ where $p=2^{22}+15$, such that $\# E=4 \cdot 1361158674614712334466525985682062201601$.

Decomposition on the genus 3 hyperelliptic curve $H_{\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}}$ covering $E$ :
(1) Relation search:

- lex GB of a system of 10 eq . and 8 var. in 1 min (Magma on a 2.6 GHz Intel Core 2 Duo proc)
- sieving phase: $\simeq 25 \cdot p$ relations in about 1 h with 200 cores $(2.93 \mathrm{GHz}$ quadri-core Intel Xeon 5550 proc) $\rightsquigarrow 750$ times faster than Nagao's
(2) Linear algebra on the very sparse matrix of relations:
- Structured Gaussian elimination: 1357 sec on a single core $\rightsquigarrow$ reduces by a factor 3 the number of unknowns
- Lanczos algorithm: 27 h 16 min on 128 cores (MPI communications)
- Logarithms of all remaining elements in the factor base obtained in 10 min on a single core
(3) Descent phase: $\simeq 10 \mathrm{sec}$ for one point on a single core


## Conclusion

- New index calculus algorithm to compute DL on elliptic curves defined over extension fields of composite degree
- Efficient attack on elliptic curves defined over sextic extension field $\rightarrow$ practical resolution of DLP on a 130-bit elliptic curve in 3700 CPU hours or 30 h real time with $\leq 200$ cores
- Also available on every elliptic curves defined over a degree 4 extension field, but advantage over generic methods less significant
- How to target more curves?

