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## Section 1

## Known attacks of the ECDLP

## Discrete logarithm problem

## Discrete logarithm problem (DLP)

Given a group $G$ and $g, h \in G$, find - when it exists - an integer $x$ s.t.

$$
h=g^{x}
$$
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Discrete logarithm problem (DLP)
Given a group $G$ and $g, h \in G$, find - when it exists - an integer $x$ s.t.
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## Difficulty is related to the group:

(1) Generic attack: complexity in $\Omega\left(\max \left(\alpha_{i} \sqrt{p_{i}}\right)\right)$ if $\# G=\prod_{i} p_{i}^{\alpha_{i}}$
(2) $G \subset\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}, \times\right)$ : index calculus method with complexity in $L_{q}(1 / 3)$ where $L_{q}(\alpha)=\exp \left(c(\log q)^{\alpha}(\log \log q)^{1-\alpha}\right)$.
(3) $G \subset\left(J_{\mathcal{C}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right),+\right)$ : index calculus method with sub-exponential complexity (depending of the genus $g>2$ )

## Basic outline of index calculus methods

 (additive notations)(1) Choice of a factor base: $\mathcal{F}=\left\{g_{1}, \ldots, g_{N}\right\} \subset G$
(2) Relation search: decompose $a_{i} \cdot g+b_{i} \cdot h\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right.$ random $)$ into $\mathcal{F}$

$$
a_{i} \cdot g+b_{i} \cdot h=\sum_{j=1}^{N} c_{i, j} \cdot g_{j}
$$

(3) Linear algebra: once $k$ relations found $(k>N)$

- construct the matrices $A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a_{i} & b_{i}\end{array}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq k}$ and $M=\left(c_{i, j}\right)_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq k \\ 1 \leq j \leq N}}$
- find $v=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right) \in \operatorname{ker}\left({ }^{t} M\right)$ such that $v A \neq 0[\# G]$
- compute the solution of DLP: $x=-\left(\sum_{i} a_{i} v_{i}\right) /\left(\sum_{i} b_{i} v_{i}\right) \bmod \# G$


## Hardness of ECDLP

ECDLP
Given $P \in E\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ and $Q \in\langle P\rangle$, find $x$ such that $Q=[x] P$
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## ECDLP

Given $P \in E\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ and $Q \in\langle P\rangle$, find $x$ such that $Q=[x] P$

## Attacks on special curves

- Curves defined over prime fields
- anomalous curves ( $p$-adic lifts)
- small embedding degree (transfer via pairings)
- Curves defined over extension fields
- Weil descent [Frey]:
transfer from $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}\right)$ to $J_{\mathcal{C}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ where $\mathcal{C}$ is a genus $g \geq n$ curve
- Decomposition index calculus on $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}\right)$


## Lift of the ECDLP via cover maps

$\pi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow E$ cover map,
$\mathcal{C}$ curve defined over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ of genus $g, E$ elliptic curve defined over $\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}$
(1) transfer the DLP from $\langle P\rangle \subset E\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}\right)$ to $J_{\mathcal{C}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{C}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}\right) \xrightarrow{\pi_{r}} \xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} J_{\mathcal{C}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \\
& E\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}\right) \simeq J_{E}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$
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$\rightarrow$ efficient if $\mathcal{C}$ is hyperelliptic with small genus $g$ or has a small degree plane model
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$$
\pi^{*} \uparrow \quad \operatorname{ker}\left(\operatorname{Tr} \circ \pi^{*}\right) \cap\langle P\rangle=\{O\}
$$

$$
\Rightarrow g \geq n
$$

(2) use index calculus on $J_{\mathcal{C}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ :
$\rightarrow$ efficient if $\mathcal{C}$ is hyperelliptic with small genus $g$ or has a small degree plane model

Main difficulty: find a convenient curve $\mathcal{C}$ with a genus small enough

## The GHS construction

Gaudry-Heß-Smart (binary fields), Diem (odd characteristic)
$\sigma_{\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}} / \mathbb{F}_{q}}$ Frobenius automorphism


## The GHS construction

Gaudry-Heß-Smart (binary fields), Diem (odd characteristic)


- $m$ "magic number" such that the genus $g$ of $F^{\prime}$ depends essentially of $\left[F^{\prime}: \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}(x)\right]=2^{m}$
- For most elliptic curves $E, m \simeq n \rightarrow g$ is of order $2^{n}$


## Observations

(1) For most elliptic curves, $g$ is of the order of $2^{n}$

- Index calculus on $J_{\mathcal{C}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ usually slower than generic methods on $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}\right)$
- Possibility of using isogenies from $E$ to a vulnerable curve [Galbraith] $\rightarrow$ increase the number of vulnerable curves
(2) Kernel of $\operatorname{Tr} \circ \pi^{*}$ intersects $\langle P\rangle \subset E\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}\right)$ trivially in most cryptographic settings
(3) Complexity of the Weil descent usually negligible compared to the index calculus phase, unless isogeny walk used


## Index calculus step of the Weil Descent

[Adleman, DeMarais, Huang, Gaudry, Diem, Enge, Thomé, Thériault...]

## Index calculus on $J_{H}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right), H$ hyperelliptic

(1) factor base: $\mathcal{F}=\left\{D \sim(u, v): u \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[x]\right.$ irred, $\left.\operatorname{deg}(u) \leq B\right\}$
(3) relation search:
$D=(u, v)$ decomposes in $\mathcal{F} \leftrightarrow u$ is $B$-smooth over $\mathbb{F}_{q}[x]$

- sparse linear algebra in $\tilde{O}\left(\# \mathcal{F}^{2}\right)$
- $g$ large: optimal choice of $B$ in $\log _{q}\left(L_{q^{g}}(1 / 2)\right)$
$\rightarrow$ complexity in $L_{q g}(1 / 2)$
- g small: $B=1, \# \mathcal{F}=O(q)$ relation search in $\tilde{O}(g!q)$ : faster than linear algebra step when $q$ large $\rightarrow$ double large prime variation to rebalance the two steps [Thériault]


## Double large prime variation

## Idea: reduce the factor base to rebalance the 2 steps

- In the factor base $\mathcal{F}=\left\{D \sim(u, v): u \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[x], \operatorname{deg}(u)=1\right\}$, choose: $\mathcal{F}^{\prime} \subset \mathcal{F}$ set of "small primes"; $\mathcal{F} \backslash \mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ set of "large primes"
- Discard all relations involving more than 2 large primes
- After collecting about $\# \mathcal{F}$ relations 2LP, eliminate all the large primes to obtain $\simeq \# \mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ relations involving only small primes
- Linear algebra in $\tilde{O}\left(\left(\# \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)$
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$$
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Practical best choice depends on the actual cost of the two phases and the computing power available (easy to parallelize the relation search but not the linear algebra)

## Index calculus step of the Weil Descent

## Index calculus on $J_{\mathcal{C}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right), \mathcal{C}$ small degree plane curve [Diem]

$\mathcal{C}$ plane curve of degree $d, P_{0} \in \mathcal{C}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ base point, $D_{\infty}$ divisor associated to the line at infinity
(1) factor base: $\mathcal{F}=\left\{(P)-\left(P_{0}\right), P \in \mathcal{C}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right\} \cup\left\{D_{\infty}-d\left(P_{0}\right)\right\}$ small primes: $\mathcal{F}^{\prime} \subset \mathcal{F}$
(2) relation search: for each $P_{1}, P_{2} \in \mathcal{F}^{\prime}$, consider $f$ the equation of the line through $P_{1}, P_{2}: \operatorname{div}(f)=\left(P_{1}\right)+\left(P_{2}\right)+D-D_{\infty}$
$\rightarrow$ relation if $D$ sum of $d-2$ points in $\mathcal{F}$, only 2 of which not in $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$
(3) sparse linear algebra in $\tilde{O}\left(\# \mathcal{F}^{\prime 2}\right)$
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## Index calculus step of the Weil Descent

## Index calculus on $J_{\mathcal{C}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right), \mathcal{C}$ small degree plane curve [Diem]

$\mathcal{C}$ plane curve of degree $d, P_{0} \in \mathcal{C}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ base point, $D_{\infty}$ divisor associated to the line at infinity
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## Decomposition attack

Idea from Gaudry and Diem: no transfer, but apply directly index calculus on $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}\right)\left(\right.$ or $\left.J_{H}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}\right)\right)$

## Principle

- Factor base:
$\mathcal{F}=\left\{D_{Q} \in J_{H}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}\right): D_{Q} \sim(Q)-\left(\mathcal{O}_{H}\right), Q \in H\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}\right), x(Q) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\right\}$
- Decomposition of an arbitrary divisor $D \in J_{H}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}\right)$ into $n g$ divisors of the factor base $D \sim \sum_{i=1}^{n g}\left(\left(Q_{i}\right)-\left(\mathcal{O}_{H}\right)\right)$
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- interesting when $g$ is small $(g \leq 3)$
- every curves are equally weak under this attack
- decomposition is harder (need to solve polynomial systems)


## Nagao's approach for decompositions

How to check if $D=(u, v)$ can be decomposed?

$$
D+\sum_{i=1}^{n g}\left(\left(Q_{i}\right)-\left(\mathcal{O}_{H}\right)\right) \sim 0 \Leftrightarrow D+\sum_{i=1}^{n g}\left(\left(Q_{i}\right)-\left(\mathcal{O}_{H}\right)\right)=\operatorname{div}(f)
$$

where $f \in \mathcal{L}\left(n g\left(\mathcal{O}_{H}\right)-D\right), \mathbb{F}_{q^{n-v e c t o r ~ s p a c e ~ o f ~}} \operatorname{dim} . \ell=(n-1) g+1$

- Polynomial $F_{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{\ell}}(x)$ with roots $x\left(Q_{1}\right), \ldots, x\left(Q_{n g}\right)$
- $F_{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{\ell}} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[x] \Leftrightarrow$ components of the $\lambda_{i}$ in a $\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}} / \mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$-linear base satisfy a system of polynomial equations
- Decomposition of $D \leftrightarrow$ solve a quadratic polynomial system of $(n-1) n g$ equations and variables + test if $F_{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{\ell}}$ is split in $\mathbb{F}_{q}[x]$

Example for a genus 2 curve over $\mathbb{F}_{67^{2}}=\mathbb{F}_{67}[t] /\left(t^{2}-2\right)$ $H: y^{2}=x^{5}+(50 t+66) x^{4}+(40 t+22) x^{3}+(65 t+23) x^{2}+(61 t+3) x+43 t+6$

- consider $\mathcal{L}\left(4\left(O_{H}\right)-D\right)=\langle u(x), y-v(x), x u(x)\rangle$
- starting from $f(x, y)=x u(x)+\lambda_{1}(y-v(x))+\lambda_{2} u(x)$ compute $F_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}(x)=f(x, y) f(x,-y) / u(x)$
$\rightarrow$ monic deg. 4 poly. in $x$, with roots $x\left(Q_{i}\right)$, quadratic in $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}$
- find $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{67^{2}}$ s.t. $F_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}$ is in $\mathbb{F}_{67}[x]$

For $D=\left[x^{2}+(52 t+3) x+21 t+2,(22 t+41) x+25 t+42\right] \in J_{H}\left(\mathbb{F}_{67^{2}}\right)$

- $F_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}(x)=x^{4}+\left(-\lambda_{1}^{2}+2 \lambda_{2}+52 t+3\right) x^{3}+\ldots \in \mathbb{F}_{67}[x]$
$\Rightarrow \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}$ s.t. $\left\{\begin{array}{c}-\lambda_{1}^{2}+2 \lambda_{2}+52 t+3 \in \mathbb{F}_{67} \\ \vdots\end{array}\right.$
- Weil restriction: solve a quadratic polynomial system with 4 var/eq and check if resulting $F_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}$ splits in linear factors


## Nagao's decomposition

$D=\left[x^{2}+(52 t+3) x+21 t+2,(22 t+41) x+25 t+42\right] \in J_{H}\left(\mathbb{F}_{67^{2}}\right)$
Weil restriction: let $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{1,0}+t \lambda_{1,1}$ and $\lambda_{2}=\lambda_{2,0}+t \lambda_{2,1}$,
$F_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{67}[x] \Rightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{c}-2 \lambda_{1,0} \lambda_{1,1}+2 \lambda_{2,1}+52=0 \\ \vdots\end{array} \quad\right.$ with 2 solutions:

- $\lambda_{1}=7+40 t, \lambda_{2}=8+53 t: F_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}(x)=x^{4}+53 x^{3}+26 x^{2}+44 x+12$
- $\lambda_{1}=55+37 t, \lambda_{2}=52-t: F_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}(x)=(x-23)(x-34)(x-51)(x-54)$
$\rightsquigarrow D=\left(Q_{1}\right)+\left(Q_{2}\right)+\left(Q_{3}\right)+\left(Q_{4}\right)-4\left(O_{H}\right)$ where

$$
Q_{1}=\left|\begin{array}{c}
23 \\
23 t+12
\end{array}, Q_{2}=\left|\begin{array}{c}
34 \\
10 t+43
\end{array}, Q_{3}=\left|\begin{array}{c}
51 \\
17 t+3
\end{array}, Q_{4}=\right| \begin{array}{c}
54 \\
23 t+15
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$
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## Non-hyperelliptic case

- Use a resultant to compute $F_{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{\ell}}(x)$
- Decomposition of $D \rightarrow$ solve a polynomial system of $(n-1) n g$ equations and variables with degree $>2$


## Complexity of decomposition attacks

- Complexity of the relation search: system resolution at least polynomial in $2^{n(n-1) g}$
$\rightarrow$ relevant only for $n$ and $g$ small enough
$\rightarrow$ total complexity in $\tilde{O}(q)$
- Complexity of the linear algebra in $\tilde{O}\left(q^{2}\right)$
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## Double large prime variation ?

- Overall asymptotic complexity in $q^{2-2 / n g}$ as $q \rightarrow \infty, n$ fixed
- In practice, huge cost of the decompositions $\rightarrow$ almost no rebalance needed

In the elliptic case: use Semaev's summation polynomials instead

## Section 2

## Results

## A combined attack
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## Cover and decomposition attack

If $n$ composite, combine both approaches
(1) use GHS on the subextension $\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}} / \mathbb{F}_{q^{d}}$ to transfer the DL to $J_{\mathcal{C}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{d}}\right)$
(2) use decomposition attack on $J_{C}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{d}}\right)$ with base field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ to solve the DLP

## Typical case $\mathbb{F}_{p^{6}}$

(1) cover map lifts DLP to genus 3 curve over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}$
(2) decomposition on genus 3 curve

## Algorithm with precomputation

Precomputation on $J_{\mathcal{C}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{d}}\right)$

- Find enough relations between factor base elements with a modified relation search
- Do linear algebra to get logs of factor base elements


## Individual logarithms on $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}\right)$

- Use cover map to lift DLP from $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}\right)$ to $J_{\mathcal{C}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{d}}\right)$
- Use a Nagao's style decomposition to obtain representation as sum of factor base elements
- Recover discrete logarithm


## A modified relation search

In practice, decompositions as $D \sim \sum_{i=1}^{n g}\left(\left(Q_{i}\right)-\left(\mathcal{O}_{H}\right)\right)$ are too slow to compute

## Improvement

Compute relations between elements of $\mathcal{F}: \sum_{i=1}^{n g+2}\left(\left(Q_{i}\right)-\left(\mathcal{O}_{H}\right)\right) \sim 0$

- Finding such a relation $\rightsquigarrow$ working in $\mathcal{L}\left((n g+2)\left(\mathcal{O}_{H}\right)\right)$
- Resolution of an underdetermined quadratic polynomial system of $n(n-1) g+2 n-2$ equations in $n(n-1) g+2 n$ variables.
- After initial precomputation, each specialization of the last two variables yields an easy to solve system.


## A sieving technique

Idea: combine the modified relation search with a sieving technique $\rightarrow$ avoid the factorisation of $F_{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{\ell}}$ in $\mathbb{F}_{q}[X]$
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## Sieving method

(1) Specialisation of 1 variable $\lambda_{i, 1}$ instead of $\left(\lambda_{i, 1}, \lambda_{i, 2}\right)$
(2) Express all remaining variables in terms of $\lambda_{i, 2}$
$\rightarrow F$ becomes a polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_{q}\left[X, \lambda_{i, 2}\right]$, with a smaller degree in $\lambda_{i, 2}$ (as low as 2 in our applications)
(3) Enumeration in $X \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ instead of $\lambda_{i, 2}$
$\rightarrow$ corresponding values of $\lambda_{i, 2}$ are easier to compute
(9) Possible to recover the values of $\lambda_{i, 2}$ for which there were $\operatorname{deg}_{x} F$ associated values of $X$
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## Sieving method

(1) Specialisation of 1 variable $\lambda_{i, 1}$ instead of $\left(\lambda_{i, 1}, \lambda_{i, 2}\right)$
(2) Express all remaining variables in terms of $\lambda_{i, 2}$
$\rightarrow F$ becomes a polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_{q}\left[X, \lambda_{i, 2}\right]$, with a smaller degree in $\lambda_{i, 2}$ (as low as 2 in our applications)
(3) Enumeration in $X \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ instead of $\lambda_{i, 2}$
$\rightarrow$ corresponding values of $\lambda_{i, 2}$ are easier to compute
(9) Possible to recover the values of $\lambda_{i, 2}$ for which there were $\operatorname{deg}_{X} F$ associated values of $X$

## Remark

This sieving works well with double large prime variation

## Complexity with the modified relation search

## On the asymptotic side...

Decomposition in $n g+2$ instead of $n g$ points seems worse:

- Double large prime variation less efficient:
$\rightarrow$ complexity in $O\left(q^{2-2 /(n g+2)}\right)$ instead of $O\left(q^{2-2 / n g}\right)$
- With the sieving: complexity in $O\left(q^{2-2 /(n g+1)}\right)$
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## On the asymptotic side...

Decomposition in $n g+2$ instead of $n g$ points seems worse:

- Double large prime variation less efficient:
$\rightarrow$ complexity in $O\left(q^{2-2 /(n g+2)}\right)$ instead of $O\left(q^{2-2 / n g}\right)$
- With the sieving: complexity in $O\left(q^{2-2 /(n g+1)}\right)$


## But in practice...

- better actual complexity for all accessible values of $q$
- much faster to compute decompositions with our variant $\rightarrow$ about 750 times faster in our application to sextic extensions


## Application to $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{6}}\right)$

Extension degree $n=6$ recommended for some Optimal Extension Fields (fast arithmetic). Potential attacks on curves defined over $\mathbb{F}_{q^{6}}$ :

- GHS: cover $\mathcal{C}_{\mid \mathbb{F}_{q}}$ with genus $g \geq 9$ (genus 9 very rare: less than $q^{3}$ curves)
$\rightsquigarrow$ index calculus on $J_{\mathcal{C}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ is usually slower than generic attacks
- direct decomposition attack fails to compute any relation

Combined attack on $\mathbb{F}_{q^{6}}-\mathbb{F}_{q^{3}}-\mathbb{F}_{q}$ or $\mathbb{F}_{q^{6}}-\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}-\mathbb{F}_{q}$
Favorable cases for this attack: $E_{\mid \mathbb{F}_{q^{6}}}$ admits either a
(1) (hyperelliptic) genus 2 cover $H_{\mid \mathbb{F}_{q^{3}}}^{\prime}$
(2) non-hyperelliptic genus 3 cover $\mathcal{C}_{\mid \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}}$
(3) hyperelliptic genus 3 cover $H_{\mid \mathbb{F}^{2}}$

## Covers of $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{6}}\right)$

(1) Genus 2 cover by $H_{\mathbb{F}_{q^{3}}}^{\prime}$ :
$E$ is in Scholten form

$$
y^{2}=\alpha x^{3}+\beta x^{2}+\sigma(\beta) x+\sigma(\alpha), \quad\left(\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{6}}, \sigma_{\mathbb{F}_{q^{6}} / \mathbb{F}_{q^{3}}}\right)
$$

- $\Theta\left(q^{6}\right)$ elliptic curves can be expressed in Scholten form
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## Covers of $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{6}}\right)$

(1) Genus 2 cover by $H_{\mathbb{F}_{q^{3}}}^{\prime}$ :
$E$ is in Scholten form

$$
y^{2}=\alpha x^{3}+\beta x^{2}+\sigma(\beta) x+\sigma(\alpha), \quad\left(\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{6}}, \sigma_{\mathbb{F}_{q^{6}} / \mathbb{F}_{q^{3}}}\right)
$$

- $\Theta\left(q^{6}\right)$ elliptic curves can be expressed in Scholten form
- natural genus 2 curve defined over $\mathbb{F}_{q^{6}}$ :

$$
y^{2}=\alpha x^{6}+\beta x^{4}+\sigma(\beta) x^{2}+\sigma(\alpha)
$$

- after the change of coordinates $(x, y)=\left(\frac{X-c}{X-\sigma(c)}, \frac{Y}{(X-\sigma(c))^{3}}\right)$, genus 2 cover defined over $\mathbb{F}_{q^{3}}$

$$
Y^{2}=\alpha(X-c)^{6}+\beta(X-c)^{4}(X-\sigma(c))^{2}+\sigma(\beta)(X-c)^{2}(X-\sigma(c))^{4}+\sigma(\alpha)(X-\sigma(c))^{6}
$$

## Covers of $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{6}}\right)$

(2) Non-hyperelliptic genus 3 cover by $\mathcal{C}_{\mid \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}}$ [Momose-Chao]

- $E$ is of the form $y^{2}=(x-\alpha)\left(x-\alpha^{q^{2}}\right)(x-\beta)\left(x-\beta^{q^{2}}\right)$, where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{6}} \backslash \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$ or $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{12}} \backslash\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{4}} \cup \mathbb{F}_{q^{6}}\right)$ and $\beta=\alpha^{q^{6}}$
- occurs for $\Theta\left(q^{6}\right)$ curves

Covers of $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{6}}\right)$
(2) Non-hyperelliptic genus 3 cover by $\mathcal{C}_{\mid \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}}$ [Momose-Chao]

- $E$ is of the form $y^{2}=(x-\alpha)\left(x-\alpha^{q^{2}}\right)(x-\beta)\left(x-\beta^{q^{2}}\right)$, where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{6}} \backslash \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$ or $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{12}} \backslash\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{4}} \cup \mathbb{F}_{q^{6}}\right)$ and $\beta=\alpha^{q^{6}}$
- occurs for $\Theta\left(q^{6}\right)$ curves
(3) Hyperelliptic genus 3 cover by $H_{\mid \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}}$ [Thériault, Momose-Chao]
- $E$ is of the form $y^{2}=h(x)(x-\alpha)\left(x-\alpha^{q^{2}}\right)$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{6}} \backslash \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}, h \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}[x]$
- occurs for $\Theta\left(q^{4}\right)$ curves directly
- occurs for most curves with cardinality divisible by 4, after an isogeny walk of length $O\left(q^{2}\right)$


## Complexity and comparison with other attacks

Estimations for $E$ elliptic curve defined over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{6}}$ with $|p| \simeq 27$ bits and $\# E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p^{6}}\right)=4 \ell$ with $\ell$ a 160 -bit prime

| Attack | Asymptotic <br> complexity | 162-bit example <br> cost | Ratio of vulnerable curves <br> (without isogeny walk) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pollard | $p^{3}$ | $2^{99}$ | 1 |
| Ind. calc. on $H_{\mid \mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}}, g(H)=3$ | $p^{8 / 3}$ | $2^{90}$ | $1 / p^{2}$ |
| Ind. calc. on $H_{\mid \mathbb{F}_{p}}, g(H)=9$ | $p^{16 / 9}$ | $2^{68}$ | $\leq 1 / p^{3}$ |
| Decomp. on $E_{\mid \mathbb{F}_{\left(p^{2}\right)^{3}}}$ | $p^{8 / 3}$ | $2^{97}$ | 1 |
| Decomp. on $E_{\mid \mathbb{F}_{p^{6}}}$ | $p^{5 / 3}$ | $2^{135}$ | 1 |
| Decomp. on $H_{\mid \mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}}, g(H)=3$ | $p^{5 / 3}$ | $2^{65}$ | $1 / p^{2}$ |
| Decomp. on $H_{\mid \mathbb{F}_{p^{3}}}, g(H)=2$ | $p^{5 / 3}$ | $2^{112}$ | 1 |

## A 130-bit example

A seemingly secure curve
$E: y^{2}=(x-c)(x-\alpha)(x-\sigma(\alpha))$ defined over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{6}}$ where $p=2^{22}+15$, such that $\# E=4 \cdot 1361158674614712334466525985682062201601$.

GHS $\rightsquigarrow \mathbb{F}_{p}$-defined cover of genus 33 , too large for efficient index calculus

Decomposition on the genus 3 hyperelliptic cover $H_{\mid \mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}}$ : using structured Gaussian elimination instead of the 2LP variation
(1) Relation search

- lex GB of a system of 8 eq. and 10 var. in 1 min (Magma on a 2.6 GHz Intel Core 2 Duo proc)
- sieving phase: $\simeq 25 \cdot p$ relations in about 1 h with 200 cores ( 2.93 GHz quadri-core Intel Xeon 5550 proc)
$\rightsquigarrow 750$ times faster than Nagao's


## A 130-bit example (2)

## Decomposition on the genus 3 hyperelliptic cover $H_{\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}}$ :

(2) Linear algebra on the very sparse matrix of relations:

- Structured Gaussian elimination: 1357 sec on a single core $\rightsquigarrow$ reduces by a factor 3 the number of unknowns
- Lanczos algorithm: 27 h 16 min on 128 cores (MPI communications)
- Logarithms of all remaining elements in the factor base obtained in 10 min on a single core
(3) Descent phase: $\simeq 10 \mathrm{sec}$ for one point


## A 130-bit example (2)

Decomposition on the genus 3 hyperelliptic cover $H_{\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}}$ :
(2) Linear algebra on the very sparse matrix of relations:

- Structured Gaussian elimination: 1357 sec on a single core $\rightsquigarrow$ reduces by a factor 3 the number of unknowns
- Lanczos algorithm: 27 h 16 min on 128 cores (MPI communications)
- Logarithms of all remaining elements in the factor base obtained in 10 min on a single core
(3) Descent phase: $\simeq 10 \mathrm{sec}$ for one point
- Complete resolution in 3700 CPU hours
- Linear algebra by far the slowest phase (parallelization issue: 42.5 MB of data broadcast at each round)
- No further balance possible due to relation exhaustion


## Conclusion

- New index calculus algorithm to compute DL on elliptic curves defined over extension fields of composite degree
- Efficient attack on elliptic curves defined over sextic extension field $\rightarrow$ practical resolution of DLP on a 130-bit elliptic curve in 3700 CPU hours or 30 h real time with $\leq 200$ cores
- Also available on every elliptic curves defined over a degree 4 extension field, but advantage over generic methods less significant
- How to target more curves?

