Cours École d'été 2017

Slopes and distribution of joints Olan I) Heights and destribution 1) Addic metrics 2) Lickelow Keight 3) Equidistribution 4] trainulating subsets I Slojes, freeners 1) Desenition 2) Projecties 3 First examples (IP", IP 1 × IP1) 4 Accumulating sets (rational curves fibrations) 5) Gren questions Il docol distribution 1) Mc Kennon et Roth approximation 2) hocally accumulating subsets 3) Using slopes .

My aim in these lectures is to esglain why g think the slope à la Boss, which were introduced in the lectures of Eric GAUDRON, might be a central tool to study the distribution of rational points of bounded height on Fano-like varieties

Slopes and distribution of joints I Heights and distributions 1) Adelic matric In these talks, I am going to use heights given by an adelic motic, wich is the unalog of a Riemannian metric in the addic setting Let me explain this notion and fir my notations Votation " tation IK is a number field, Val(K) the set of faces of IK For w & Val (IK), IK w is the completion and v & Val (U) restriction of w I. Iw IK w = Rzo given by given og |x|w = |N_K (20)|v which is the multiplyer for the Haar measure dxw taar measure on 1Kw normalized by $\int dx w = 1$ if to altrametric dxw= Lobesque measure if 1Kw3R dxw = 2 dxdy if 1Kw3C We have d(XX) = X dx w and the product formula $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{K}^{*}$, $\prod_{V \in V \in \mathbb{V}(\mathbb{K})} |\lambda| = 1$

Visa nice variety/IK that is smooth, popedive and geometrically integral. Depention Let TE E V Be a vector bundle on V In these talks a (classical) a delic norm on E is a family (11.11 w) w E Val (1K) of continuous mays $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{W}} : E(|K_{\mathcal{W}}) \longrightarrow |K_{\geq 0}$ such that (i) if w is ultrametric, ∀ x ∈ V(IKw)
||·||_w | E is an ultrametric
norm with volues in im (1.1v) (ii) if IKw & K, Y x E V (IKw) 1. In is endidean (in) if IKw ~ I, V x e V (IKw) I h positive definite homition form on Ex such that ||g||_v = h (g) for y e Ex. (w) I a model E/v of E/vover Gs for some finite S c Val CIK) such that I w e Val (IK)-S, I x e O (Gw), $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{x}} = \{ \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x}} \mid \|\mathbf{y}\|_{W} \leq 1 \}$ We call adelic metric on V an adelic norm ONTV.

Escamples The point of using this type of metrics is that you may do the usual constructions 1) direct sums E@F, tensor product E&F and esterior poduct NRE (if to is and imedean and (e1, -, en) an orthonormal basis on Ex for I w then (en 1-18 k) is is an orthonormal basis of NE) is - 2k on the dual EV In particular an addic matric on Vdefines an addic norm on $\omega_V^{-1} = \Lambda^m T V$ where n = dem (V). 2) we can define jul -backs for morphisms \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ of nice variatios /K 3) If V = Spec(IK) E = IK vector space equipped with an adelic norm (11.11 w) w EVOR(IK) E = { y E E | Vultrametric v ||y||v < 1 } is a projective GIK module of reank $\pi = \dim(E)$ If r = 1, by the product formula weveras "g"w es constant for y e E-{o} So we can define

 $\frac{\deg(E)}{we} = - \frac{\sum \log || y || w}{we}$ Let Pic (Spec (K)) le the set of isomorphymo lass of ane bundles with an addic norm on spec (1K) then we get an escad sequence O -> Pic (Spec (Opp)) -> Pic (Spec (1K)) -> IR -> 0 For arbitrary ronk r we may define deg (E) = deg (R'E). 2) chrakelow heights Definition Example on Tor any vector buncle E/V equiped with an addic norm, the corresponding logarithmic height is defined by $R_E: V(IK) \rightarrow R$ $front E_{x} = \mu U - box of E by or Spec(IK) -> V.$ The exponential height is $H_E = corp \circ h_E$ Remark Jf n = nk L €) h = h n = = h tor(E). So we do not get more than the beights define by line bundle. $\frac{\text{Brample}}{\forall \text{ no } \in \text{Val}(I|K)} = \frac{\|I_{\text{N}}\|_{\infty}}{\|I_{\text{N}}\|_{\infty}} = \frac{\|K_{\text{N}}\|_{\infty}}{\|K_{\text{N}}\|_{\infty}} = \frac{\|R_{\text{Po}}\|_{\infty}}{\|S_{\text{O}}\|_{\infty}} = \frac{\|R_{\text{Po}}\|_{\infty}}{|S_{\text{O}}|_{\infty}} = \frac{\|R_{\text{Po}}\|_{\infty}}{|S_{\text{O}}|_{\infty}}$

The toutological line bundle G(-1) -> PK may be dos oribed as follows "" If x ∈ P" (IKw), G(-1) is the line corresponding to x in IKW 1 by restricting 11 1/w to those serve ne get an delalie norm (II. Hw) we Vol(1K) on GpN(-1) and by duality on GpV(1). $\chi = [\chi' - : \gamma'_{J}]$ $H_{G(-1)}(\infty) = TT ||g||_{w}^{-1}$ So $H_{O(1)}(x) = \prod_{w \in V_{ol}(1K)} U_{y}^{w}w$ and we get Han (x) = max |xil which is the name height on the projective space Notation For any height H: V(1K) -> IR >0, WCV(1K) and B >0 we consider the set $W_{H \leq B} = \{ P \in V(I_K) | H(P) \leq B \}$ which we want to study as B goes to a ty Illustration I ferre pidures P2 P1 P1 S2 S2

Diophantine statistics

Emmanuel Peyre

Université de Grenoble Alpes

19/6/2017





$$\{ [x:y:1] \in \mathbf{P}^2(\mathbf{Q}) \mid H(x:y:1) < 40, \ |x| \leqslant 1 \text{ and } |y| \leqslant 1 \}$$

Emmanuel Peyre (Institut Fourier)

Diophantine statistics

19/6/2017 2 / 11

The hyperboloid of one sheet

 $\{ P = [x : y : z : t] \in \mathbf{P}^3(\mathbf{Q}) \mid H(P) \leqslant 50, \ |x| \leqslant 1,$ $|y| \leqslant 1, z = 1 \text{ and } xy = zt \}$

The sphere



$$\{P = [x : y : z : t] \in \mathbf{P}^{3}(\mathbf{Q}) \mid H(P) \leqslant B \text{ and } x^{2} + y^{2} + z^{2} = t^{2}\}$$





Oxoposition If L is big, then there escists $U \subset V$ dense open subset for Lariski topology such that $\forall B \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, $U(\mathbb{K})_{H \leq B}$ is finite

Proof Since L is big JM >0 and UCV such that the rational map dual V ···> P(H°(V, L^{®N})) ~ Pik is an immersion on U (norite L=F+M F affective and M ample and U=V-Base loaves of F) By Northcelt i theorem # PN(IK) HEB is finite. I natural question is the defendance of the height on the choices of the metric : axop Let H and H' be defined by norms on a line bundle L than H/H' is bounded: $\exists o < c < c', \forall x \in V(K) c < \frac{H'(x)}{H(x)} < c'$ Proof 11.11 w : P(L)(1Kw) - R=0 continueces, thus bounded and = 1 for almost all w. 3) Equidistribution During these le tures, " will concentrate on the distribution of the points of bounded height:

a) Basic example : PIK What do we mean by distribution

Question Let $\mathbb{P}^{n}(\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{I}^{K}}) = \prod_{\substack{n \in Val(\mathbb{I}^{K}) \\ n \in Val(\mathbb{I}^{K})}} \mathbb{P}^{n}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{I}^{K}}) \text{ and } f: \mathbb{P}^{n}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{I}^{K}}) \to \mathbb{R}$ be Does $S_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{f}) = \frac{\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{n}(\mathbf{i}K)_{+1 \leq \mathcal{B}}}{f(\alpha)}$ have a limit as $\mathcal{B} \to +\infty$? $\frac{1}{\mathcal{H}} \mathbb{P}^{n}(\mathbf{i}K)_{+1 \leq \mathcal{B}}$ The answer is positive Proposition $S_{B}(b) \xrightarrow{B \to +\infty} \int f \mu_{P^{n}}$ where 11 pm = TT for borelian measures a w defined by - if w is non-orchimedean: For The Pⁿ(Kw) - Pⁿ(Gro (mw)) $\frac{\mu_{W}}{(\pi_{\ell}^{-}(x))} = \frac{\# x}{\# x} \quad natural$ $counting measure} \quad \# \mathbb{P}^{n}(\mathcal{O}_{W}/\mathfrak{m}_{w}^{k})$ - if vo is archimedean $for <math>\pi: |K_w^{n+1} - foy \longrightarrow P^n(|K_w)$ $\frac{1}{10} vo(u) = \frac{Vol(\pi^{-1}(u) \land B_{WW}(1))}{Vol(\pi^{-1}(u) \land B_{WW}(1))}$ $V_{\mathcal{O}} \left(\beta \left(\beta \left(1 \right) \right) \right)$ Remark If W = P"(IHK) is such that wm ()W)=0 then this implies that $\frac{\#(W \land \mathbb{P}^{n}(\mathbb{K}))_{\mathbb{H} \leq B}}{\#(\mathbb{P}^{n}(\mathbb{K})_{\mathbb{H} \leq B}} \xrightarrow{B \to \mathbb{W}} \mathscr{W}_{\mathbb{P}}^{n}(\mathbb{W}).$ Sketch of the poof for ik = Q Cake a aiber in IR" -> IP"(IR), ro E P"(2/Mz) We want to estimate $\# \{ x \in \mathbb{P}^{n}(\mathbb{R}) \mid H(x) \leq B, x \in \mathcal{C}, x \equiv x_{0} \pmod{M} \}$

T(g)=Xo $= \frac{1}{2} \# \left\{ y \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+n} \mid y \text{ primitive}, \|y\| \leq B, g \in \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{E}) \mid g \equiv \lambda y \in (M) \right\}$ $= \frac{1}{2} \# \left\{ y \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+n} \mid y \text{ primitive}, \|y\| \leq B, g \in \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{E}) \mid g \equiv \lambda y \in (M) \right\}$ $=\frac{1}{z}\sum_{d>0}\mu(d) \# \{g \in d\mathbb{Z}^{n+1} \text{ foy } | \|y\| \in B, g \in \pi^{-1}(e), y = |g_0(\mathbf{M})\}$ $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{H}^{2}$ $N = \frac{\operatorname{Vol} \{g \in \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{C}) \mid ||g|| \leq B^{\gamma}}{(d \mathbb{N})^{n+1}} \quad if gcd(d, \mathbb{N}) = A$ = 0 otherwise One gets $\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Vol}(\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{C}) \wedge B_{H, \mathfrak{a}_{\infty}}(\mathfrak{n})) \times \frac{\operatorname{PIM}(\mathfrak{n} - \frac{1}{pn+1})^{2}}{\mathfrak{M}^{n+1}} \mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{M}) \frac{1}{3} \frac{\mathfrak{B}^{n+1}}{\mathfrak{S}_{Q}(\mathfrak{n}+1)} + \operatorname{error} \operatorname{Error}$ # (P^m(Z(MZ) b) Adelic measure By choosing different norms, and thus different heights on P"(D), one realizes that the measures which gives the asymptotic distribution as B -> + 00 may be directly defined from the adelic norm on a J' escotly as a Riemannion matric defines a volume form. Horeover this construction applies to any nice variety equiped with an addie matric Construction V nice variety with V((K) \$\$. bet $(\|\cdot\|_{w})_{w} \in V_{e}(w)$ be an addic norm on w_{v}^{-1} . The formula for change of variables assures that the local measure where $(\mathcal{I}_{4}, -, \mathcal{I}_{m})$: $\mathcal{I}_{3} \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_{4} \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_{4}$

system of coordinates does not depend on the choice

of coordinates; So, by glucing, it defines a measure a co on V(Kw), Nw = (V(Kro)) ww is a probability measure is a probability measure on VC(F7 1/2). For IPM it gives the right distribution. Does it work in other cases? More precisely: Sefinition The counting measure on V with lowend B is defined by For $W \subset V(IK)$ $W \neq 0$ C Dirac measure at x $S_{WHSE} = \frac{1}{P}W_{HSB}$ $x \in W_{HSB}$ Coor optimistic question Does $S_{V(IK)_{HSB}}$ R R (NE) $\begin{pmatrix} \text{Khat is } & \int & S_{V(IK)} & \xrightarrow{0 \to +\infty} & \int & \int & IV_{V} & \text{for any continuous } & V(IH_{IK}) \to IR \end{pmatrix}$ $V(OF_{IK}) & V(OF_{IK}) & V(OF_{IK})$ Over - jessimistic question Is there any case besides IP "where this holds? Heorem $\frac{g}{f} V = G/P$ where P is a parabolic subgroup of G then (NE) is true. I dea of pool Use harmonic analysés on G/P(IH1K) and apple , Ranglond's work on Eisenstein series . I

Escampe dry quadric with Q (17 1K) is such a Vemogeneous Maces. I What about higher degrees ? Cerem [= Birch] Let V C IP" be a smooth hyposurface of degree of with $V(\Pi_{\mathbb{R}}) \neq \beta$ and $n \geq 2^d$, then (NE) is true

(10

Romark

"It also applies to smooth complete intersection of sufficiently big dimension (with neared to the degross)

 $\frac{\text{First problem}}{\text{Suyport (S}_{V(IK)_{H \leq B}}) \subset \overline{V(IK)} \subset V(IF_{IK})}$

(NE) => weak approximation => N(1K) Zarishi dense Set us assume that rational points are Zorishi dense (WE such reduce to that case by considering the Zarishi dosure of the rational points) Assumption

VCIK) is Zoniske dense then there is a well known obstructeon to weak opposingtion Brance - lanin abstruction to WA We consider Br (IL) = H² (Gol(I/H), Gm) Okon doss field theory gives embeddings invo: Br (IKno) and D/2 (S center win ordination) so that 0 - 2 Br (IK) - D Br (IKno) - 0/2 - 0 veral(K)

For V we may consider the cohomological Branen group $B_{2}(V) = H^{2}_{\ell \ell}(V, G_{m})$ Then we may define a coubling Br (U) × V(17 mc) -> 02/2 (d, (Pw) we bellik)) +> = invro (a (Pw)) which may be seen as a map If PEV(1K), the fact that the previous requerce is a complex implies that RP = 0 In fact, by a contenuity argument, one may prove that $\overline{V(IK)} \subset V(IA_{IK})^{B_2} = \langle P \in V(IA_{IK}) | 2_P = 0 \rangle,$ Assume that Br (U) / im (Br (IK)) is finite then V(IFIx) Be C V(IFI pc) is closed & open Definition $\frac{W}{W} = \frac{W(W \cap V(H_{W}^{B_{1}}))}{W(V(H_{W}^{B_{1}}))}$ Cytemistic question SV(IK)_{H ≤ B} B -> 100 INV V(IK)_{H ≤ B} B -> 100 INV Does (LNE) Then we sue into the problem of 4) Accumulating subsets In general Suport (lim Sv(115)) is much smaller than V(115). Bet me give you a ferre examples

A sandbox case is a) the plane blown up in one joint V => Pa × Pa xv= gu [X:Y:2] [a:v] $T = PR_1$, $P_{0.0}(0:0:1) = T_{-1}(P_0) \stackrel{P_2}{\to} P_{\alpha}^1$, $U = V - E \stackrel{T}{\to} P_0^2 - iB$ As height we may take the map $H:V(a) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ $(P,Q) \mapsto H_{Gpl(1)}(P)^{2} H_{Gpl(1)}(Q)$ it corresponds to a norm on wi Proposition (SERRE, BATTREV & MANIN, P.) $\begin{array}{c} \mp E(a)_{H \leq B} & 2 & B^{2} \\ B \Rightarrow to & S_{0}(2) \\ \pm U(a)_{H \leq B} & 3 & S_{0}(2)^{2} \end{array}$ So There much more joints on the escaptional line E $\frac{\text{Remark}}{\text{# U(Q)}_{HSB} = O(E(Q)_{H \le B}) \text{ and, in fact,}}{S_{V(Q)}_{HSB} = O(E(Q)_{H \le B}) \text{ and, in fact,}}$ Prop SU(Q2) H ≤ B ->+++ WV It may seem counter intriline that by removing points, we get a measure with larger support But this is precisely the reason for which we should remove the acaimilating subsc Remark

So any subscheme with a strictly positive contribution to the number of points has to be removed to get equidestrubution. Question Can we find U C V oo that SUCOD HSB B-> +00 M ? Again the answer is negative: b) The counter - example of Batyrev and Tochinkel Use consider the hypersurface $V \subset \mathbb{P}_{Q}^{3} \times \mathbb{P}_{Q}^{3}$ defined by the equation: $\frac{3}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} \frac{3}{2} = 0$ H(P,Q) = H_{Gp^3(4)}(P)^2 H_{Gp^3(4)}(Q) defines a height relative to Qv^{-1} $\pi = p_{1} : V \longrightarrow P_{\alpha}^{2} \quad \text{for } x = [x_{0}: -: x_{0}] \in P^{2}(\alpha) \quad \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} x_{i} \neq 0$ Vx = T (x) is a smooth arbic serface > 27 lines $V_{\chi} = V_{\chi} - 27$ lines So the expected result for this surface is For $U \subset U_{\infty}$ $U \neq 0$ $\dim_{H \leq B} O \subset_{\infty} B \log(B)^{C_{\infty}-2}$ where $t_x = \pi k \left(\operatorname{Ric} \left(U_x \right) \right) \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ In particular Ich (Pic (Vx))= 4 if Xi/2; are alles But, by Lefschetz the own $\operatorname{Pic}(V) \xrightarrow{2} \operatorname{Pic}(\operatorname{P}^{3}_{\alpha} \times \operatorname{P}^{3}_{\alpha}) = Z^{2}$ The initial conjecture of Manin for V predided: So each fibre with a Picard group of ronk bigger than the generic one contains too many forto But & U open clance in V, Sx (UNV oc 70 and rk (lic (V2))71)

(13)

is infanite. We are confronted with a then accumulating subset. Definition Let V be a nice variety / 1K a subset W < V(1K) is said to be thin, if there exists P:X -> V morphism of vorieties such that (i) I is generically finite (ii) I admit no national section (mi) WCIm (l) Remarks F + 2 P system of representants of E (al /2 So E (OR) is thin! (ii) belere U Va is thin { z | rk (Pic (Vx)) >1 } (iii) We may assume that φ is proper. $\varphi(\chi(H_{Q})) \subset V(H_{Q})$ doed subset and under mild hypotheses μ_{V} (Ψ (X (Π_{Q})) = 0 C) The essample of C. Le Rudulier V = Hill 2 (PQ) Hillert scheme of joints of degree 2 in \mathbb{P}_{α}^2 ; $Y = Sym^2(\mathbb{P}_{\alpha}^2) = (\mathbb{P}_{\alpha}^2)^2/\mathbb{G}_2 \supset \Delta$

() = V - f - (A) M = f⁻¹ (g(P²(Q)²)) NV^(Q) Zariski sonse thin subset Theorom (C. Le Rudulier) $\frac{\# M_{H \leq B}}{\# V_0(Q)_{H \leq B}} = \frac{1}{2} C > 0$ But $\forall F \subset V$ zoright closed $\#(F(Q) \cap M)_{H \leq B} = O(V_O(Q)_{H \leq B})$ So M is a thin subset which is not the union of accumulating dond subsets but which is an obstruction to equidistribution nevertheless. Condusion (so for) Snall known coses, if a_v^{-1} is big (enough) $\exists W = V(a) - T$, T thin subset $S_{W_{H \le B}} = W_V$ Problem Ilour can you dosorile T?

I Slopes a la BOST 1) Definition Set me give the concription of slopes I am going to use: a) Slopes of an adelic vector bundle / Spec (1K) Definition (Rominder) Set E be a IK-vedor space of dimension n equiped with - N C E projective Gik - modele of constant rank n $- for w \in Val(IK), complex$ $II \cdot II_w : E_w = E \otimes IK_w \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\ge 0}$ given by a hermiteon form - for real ro $1(\cdot 1|_{nv}: E_{nv} \longrightarrow R_{po}$ sudideon norm $\overline{deg}(E) = \overline{deg}(\Lambda^{m}E)$ Bcomfe K=Q N = subgroey of Egenerated by a basis of E 1. II : ER = E @ R ~ (R ~ en didean $\operatorname{deg}(E) = -\log(\operatorname{Vol}(E/\Lambda))$ C endideon volume Definition For FCE subspace comes equiped with N= MF and the restriction of the norms Define the Newton polygon as O(E) = Convex hull { (dim (F), Leg (F)) for Foulsages of E } (dim (E), Leg (E)) lidure

P(E) is bounded from above so we can define $m_{E}: [0, n] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ $m_{E}(x) = max \{ y \in \mathbb{R} \mid (x, y) \in \mathbb{P}(E) \}$ This function is concove and offine in each segment [i, i+1] The slope of E are defined as $\mu_i(\bar{E}) = m_E(i) - m_E(i-1)$ for i e l 1, -, ny these numbers are the slope of the affine pieces of the graph of ME Kemark (i) By construction, $\mu_{m}(E) \leq \mu_{m-1}(E) \leq \cdots \leq \mu_{n}(E)$ Note that the inequality is not strict in general! $(ii) deg(E) = \underset{k=1}{\overset{}{\underset{}}} \mu_{k}(E).$ b Slopes on variety, freeness Definition E rector bendle on nice V/IK _ n = dim (V) equiped with an adelic norm $(\|\cdot\|_w)_{w \in Vol(\mathbb{R})}$ for $x \in V(\mathbb{R})$, $\mu_i^{\mathbb{E}}(x) = \mu_i(\mathbb{E}_x)$. . If V is equiped with an adelic metric $\mu_i(x) = \mu_i(T_x V)$ Pemark (i) $\mu_n(x) \leq \mu_{n-1}(x) \leq \cdots \leq \mu_n(x)$ $(\vec{u}) \quad \overline{deg} (T_x V) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_i(x).$ but deg $(T_x V) = \operatorname{deg} ((w_v^{-1})_x) = h(x) = \log(H(x))$

where H is a height relative to a_{1}^{-1} Thus these slopes give us information beyond the height of x (iii) $\mu_{n}(x) \leq \frac{k(x)}{n} \leq N_{1}(x)$. Definition The freeness of a point x is defined by $l(x) = \begin{cases} n \frac{k_m(x)}{h(x)} & \text{if } H_m(x) > 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$ Remarks (i) $l(x) \in [2,1]$ $(\ddot{u}) l(x) = 0 \iff \mu_{\min}(x) = \mu_{m}(x) \leq 0$ (μ) $l(\alpha) = 1 \iff \mu_1(\alpha) = \mu_2(\alpha) = \dots = \mu_n(\alpha)$ $\iff T_{\infty} \vee$ is semi . stable. cg. Zn c R^m with usual audideon structure hescogonal lattice in \mathbb{R}^2 More generally for 2 dimensional lattices Up to rescaling fundamental domain (iv) for a since $l(x) \equiv 1$. (v) For a surface S/OL $S(\alpha) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{2}$, $S(\alpha) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}/PSL_2(2)$ $x \mapsto f(x) \qquad x \mapsto [T_x] T_x \text{ as above}$

 $l(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } Tm(T_x) \leq 1 \\ 1 - \frac{\log Tm(T_x)}{\log H(T_x)} & \text{if in } I \leq 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

2) Projectico First it is one and to condenstand how this freeness of mine defends on the choice of metric Somma Let Y: E > F le a monfism of rector beendles and let (II. II. w) we we (IF) (resp. (II. II. w) we val (IK) le an adelie norm on E (resp. F) Chan there exists a family (1, w) we val cut, such that (i) & we val (11), & x e V(11, w), & y e E (2) $\|\varphi(q)\| \leq \lambda_{w} \|y\|_{w}$ (ii) { no (the #1) is finite.

Sketch of proof P(E): projective bundle of lines in E Q: P(E) -> P(F) We may consider for we Val (UK) P(E) (IKw) -> IR , o conteinuous IKy -> II Q(Q) II'w II Q Iw

thus bounded from above. for almost all no, any z in V(1Kw) P(fy EF(x) | 11y11w \$13) < fy EF(x) | 11y11w \$1) because 11.11w and 11.11'w are defined by models of E and F for almost all w. T

19

Remark In jortearlon, if (11.11w) we wal (11.11 w) we walk are metrics than (i) $\frac{\|\cdot\|'w}{\|\cdot\|w}$ is beended for any $w \in Val(lk)$ (ii) []. [] w = ([.] her for almost all w. Thus $\forall x \in V(IK), \forall F \subset T_x V, | dig(F) - dig'(F)| < C$ Corollory 1 Let pi and pi'; be the days defined by two metrics / V (1) $|\mu_i - \mu'_i|$ is bounded; (ii) $|\ell'(x) - \ell(x)| < \frac{C}{R(x)}$ when h(x) > 0. Votation The notion of slopes in the geometric setting over the field of rate and fractions in one vorible may be described as follows P: P -> V morphism of voriety By the decomposition of vector bundles on \mathbb{P}^1 $\varphi^+(\top V) \simeq \bigoplus G(a_i)$ with $a_i \ge \cdots \ge a_m$ $\mu_i(\varphi) = a_i$ deg $(\varphi) = \underset{i=1}{\cong} \mu_i(\varphi)$ and $l(p) = \begin{cases} n a_m / deg_a(p) & if a_m > 0 \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases}$ Remarks (L) $l(q) \in [0,1] \cap Q$ (ii) l(l)>0 @ l'is vory free.

(20)



 $= \oint_{eq} (F) - \dim (F) \int_{eq} (D) - f_{eq} (K)$ $h(x) = -(n+1) f_{eq} (D) - f_{eq} (K)$ We get $\mu_{m}(x) = - \deg(0) + \min\left(-\frac{\deg(F)}{\exp(F)}\right)$ $l(x) = \frac{n}{n+1} + \min\left(\frac{-n \deg(P)}{\csc(m(E) h(x)}\right)$ $\frac{Corollony}{l(x)} \geq \frac{h}{m+4}$ Remark (i) Let us fise FCE Then $l(x) \xrightarrow{n+1} n+1$ (ü) 30>0 $\#\{x \in P^{m}(k) | H(x) \leq B \& \ell(x) \leq 1 - \ell\} < C B^{1-\ell}$ $(and \# \{x \in Q^n(\mathcal{C}(k) \mid H(x) \leq B\} \sim C(\mathcal{P}_{ik}^n) B)$ which means that this number is negligible But even on a homogeneous space the breeners can be small: 4_(P1) Proposition $\mathcal{R}et \simeq = (\mathfrak{I}_{1}, -, \mathfrak{I}_{m}) \in \mathbb{P}^{\mathfrak{n}}(\mathbb{I}_{k})^{\mathfrak{m}}$ then $l(x) = \frac{n \min_{\substack{\lambda \in \lambda \le m}} h(x_i)}{\sum_{\substack{\lambda \in \lambda \le m}} h(x_i)}$ $\frac{Proof}{T_{\underline{x}}} (\mathbb{P}^{1}_{\mathbb{K}})^{m} = \bigoplus_{l=1}^{m} T_{\underline{x}} \, \mathbb{P}^{2}_{\mathbb{K}} \text{ cach is of dimension } L$

So for 6 cm such that $h(x_{\sigma(n)}) \ge h(x_{\sigma(2)}) \ge \dots \ge h(x_{\sigma(m)})$ we get $\mu_i(\underline{x}) = h(\underline{x}_{6(i)})$. \Box Eorollary $\begin{array}{c} \hline \sigma & any \in \mathcal{P}^{\circ} \\ \# \left\{ \mathcal{X} \in \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{I}^{\times})^{n} \mid \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{X}) \leq \mathcal{B} \mid \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{X}) \geq \varepsilon \right\} \end{array}$ Ce B_>+00 $\frac{1}{4} \left\{ \sum_{k \in \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{I}^{k})^{n} | H(\hat{x}) \leq B \right\}$ with $C_q = 1 - O(\varepsilon)$. Sketch of the proof We consider the maps $\underline{h} : \mathbb{P}^n (\mathbb{I}_K)^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0} \xrightarrow{5} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ $(\chi_{a_1} - \chi_m) \longrightarrow (h(p(a)) - h(p(m)))$ $(g_1, -, g_n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}g_i$ $H(\underline{x}) = s(\underline{h}(\underline{\alpha}))$ Then using the formula $\# \{ x \in \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{I}^{k}) \mid H(x) \leq B \} = C(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{\mathbb{I}^{k}}) B + G(\mathbb{B}^{1/2} \log B)$ and partial integration one gets $\# \{ \underline{x} \in \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{N}^{m} \mid H(\underline{x}) \leq B \} \sim C(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{\mathbb{N}})^{m} B \quad \text{Vol} \{ (\underline{x}_{1}, \underline{y}_{m}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m}_{2} \mid \underbrace{\mathbb{Z}}_{1 \leq 1} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}_{2} \mid \underbrace{\mathbb{Z}}_{$ and $\begin{array}{c} \pm \left(\gamma \in \left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\left(1k\right)^{n}\right| + \left(x\right) \leq Bk \left| l(x) \right\rangle \leq 3 \gamma \left(C\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{1k}\right)^{n}\right) \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} B \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} Vol \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \left(t_{1}, .., t_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}_{2n} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \sum \\ i \leq 1 \\ i \leq n \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} i \leq log(\theta) \\ \vdots \\ i \leq n \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} i \leq log(\theta) \\ \vdots \\ i \leq n \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} i \leq log(\theta) \\ \vdots \\ i \leq n \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} i \leq log(\theta) \\ \vdots \\ i \leq n \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} i \leq log(\theta) \\ \vdots \\ i \leq n \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} i \leq log(\theta) \\ \vdots \\ i \leq n \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} i \leq log(\theta) \\ \vdots \\ i \leq n \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} i \leq log(\theta) \\ \vdots \\ i \leq n \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} i \leq log(\theta) \\ \vdots \\ i \leq n \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} i \leq log(\theta) \\ \vdots \\ i \leq n \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} i \leq log(\theta) \\ \vdots \\ i \leq n \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} i \leq log(\theta) \\ \vdots \\ i \leq n \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} i \leq log(\theta) \\ \vdots \\ i \leq n \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} i \leq log(\theta) \\ \vdots \\ i \leq log(\theta) \\ \vdots \\ i \leq n \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} i \leq log(\theta) \\ \vdots \\ i \leq log(\theta) \\ \vdots \\ i \leq log(\theta) \\ \vdots \\ i \leq log(\theta) \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} i \leq log(\theta) \\ \vdots \\ i \leq log(\theta) \\ i \leq log(\theta$ So we get that the quotions Ye converges to $V_{\varepsilon} \times n!$ and $(V_{\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{n!}) = O(\varepsilon) \Box$ Remark - In particular the number of joints with freeness < E is not negligible!

Let us now go back to the accumulating subvorietie As we shall see rational joints in accumulating subvorieties seem to have a small freezes which would mean that the freeness might be a criterion to kistinguish between good point and bad points 4) Acaimilating subset On surfaces accumulating subsets are given as rational curves of low Legree Projosition