



INSTITUT DE FRANCE Académie des sciences

Recent progress towards the Green-Griffiths-Lang and Kobayashi conjectures

Jean-Pierre Demailly

Institut Fourier, Université de Grenoble I & Académie des Sciences de Paris

September 28, 2015 Journées Complexes de Lorraine, Nancy

Definition

A complex space X is said to be Kobayashi hyperbolic if the Kobayashi pseudodistance $d_{\text{Kob}} : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is a distance (i.e. everywhere non degenerate).

Definition

A complex space X is said to be Kobayashi hyperbolic if the Kobayashi pseudodistance $d_{\text{Kob}} : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is a distance (i.e. everywhere non degenerate).

By an entire curve we mean a non constant holomorphic map $f : \mathbb{C} \to X$ into a complex *n*-dimensional manifold.

Definition

A complex space X is said to be Kobayashi hyperbolic if the Kobayashi pseudodistance $d_{\text{Kob}} : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is a distance (i.e. everywhere non degenerate).

By an entire curve we mean a non constant holomorphic map $f : \mathbb{C} \to X$ into a complex *n*-dimensional manifold.

Theorem (Brody, 1978)

For a compact complex manifold X, $dim_{\mathbb{C}}X = n$, TFAE:

- (i) X is Kobayashi hyperbolic
- (ii) X is Brody hyperbolic, i.e. $\not\exists$ entire curves $f : \mathbb{C} \to X$

(iii) The Kobayashi infinitesimal pseudometric is everywhere non degenerate

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Definition

A complex space X is said to be Kobayashi hyperbolic if the Kobayashi pseudodistance $d_{\text{Kob}} : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is a distance (i.e. everywhere non degenerate).

By an entire curve we mean a non constant holomorphic map $f : \mathbb{C} \to X$ into a complex *n*-dimensional manifold.

Theorem (Brody, 1978)

For a compact complex manifold X, $dim_{\mathbb{C}}X = n$, TFAE:

- (i) X is Kobayashi hyperbolic
- (ii) X is Brody hyperbolic, i.e. $\not\exists$ entire curves $f : \mathbb{C} \to X$

(iii) The Kobayashi infinitesimal pseudometric is everywhere non degenerate

Our interest is the study of hyperbolicity for projective varieties. In dim 1, X is hyperbolic iff genus $g \ge 2$.

Conjecture of General Type (CGT)

• A compact complex variety X is volume hyperbolic iff X is of general type, i.e. K_X is big.

Conjecture of General Type (CGT)

• A compact complex variety X is volume hyperbolic iff X is of general type, i.e. K_X is big.

• In particular, this is so if X is Kobayashi (or Brody) hyperbolic; one expects K_X to be ample in that case.

Conjecture of General Type (CGT)

• A compact complex variety X is volume hyperbolic iff X is of general type, i.e. K_X is big.

• In particular, this is so if X is Kobayashi (or Brody) hyperbolic; one expects K_X to be ample in that case.

Green-Griffiths-Lang Conjecture (GGL)

Let X be a projective variety/ \mathbb{C} of general type. Then $\exists Y \subsetneq X$ algebraic such that all entire curves $f : \mathbb{C} \to X$ satisfy $f(\mathbb{C}) \subset Y$.

Conjecture of General Type (CGT)

• A compact complex variety X is volume hyperbolic iff X is of general type, i.e. K_X is big.

• In particular, this is so if X is Kobayashi (or Brody) hyperbolic; one expects K_X to be ample in that case.

Green-Griffiths-Lang Conjecture (GGL)

Let X be a projective variety/ \mathbb{C} of general type. Then $\exists Y \subsetneq X$ algebraic such that all entire curves $f : \mathbb{C} \to X$ satisfy $f(\mathbb{C}) \subset Y$.

Consequence of CGT + GGL

A compact complex manifold X should be Kobayashi hyperbolic iff it is projective and every subvariety Y of X is of general type.

イロト イポト イラト イラト 一日

Conjecture of General Type (CGT)

• A compact complex variety X is volume hyperbolic iff X is of general type, i.e. K_X is big.

• In particular, this is so if X is Kobayashi (or Brody) hyperbolic; one expects K_X to be ample in that case.

Green-Griffiths-Lang Conjecture (GGL)

Let X be a projective variety/ \mathbb{C} of general type. Then $\exists Y \subsetneq X$ algebraic such that all entire curves $f : \mathbb{C} \to X$ satisfy $f(\mathbb{C}) \subset Y$.

Consequence of CGT + GGL

A compact complex manifold X should be Kobayashi hyperbolic iff it is projective and every subvariety Y of X is of general type.

Arithmetic counterpart (Lang 1987): If X is projective and defined over a number field, the smallest locus Y = GGL(X) in GGL's conjecture is also the smallest Y such that $X(\mathbb{K}) > Y$ is finite $\forall \mathbb{K}_{nace}$

Kobayashi conjecture (1970)

• Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ be a (very) generic hypersurface of degree $d \ge d_n$ large enough. Then X is Kobayashi hyperbolic.

Kobayashi conjecture (1970)

• Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ be a (very) generic hypersurface of degree $d \ge d_n$ large enough. Then X is Kobayashi hyperbolic.

• By a result of M. Zaidenberg, the optimal bound must satisfy

 $d_n \ge 2n+1$, and one expects $d_n = 2n+1$.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

Kobayashi conjecture (1970)

• Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ be a (very) generic hypersurface of degree $d \ge d_n$ large enough. Then X is Kobayashi hyperbolic.

• By a result of M. Zaidenberg, the optimal bound must satisfy $d_n \ge 2n + 1$, and one expects $d_n = 2n + 1$.

Using "jet technology" and deep results of McQuillan for curve foliations on surfaces, the following has been proved:

Theorem (D., El Goul, 1998)

A very generic surface $X \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ of degree $d \ge 21$ is hyperbolic. Independently McQuillan got $d \ge 35$.

This was more recently improved to $d \ge 18$ (Păun, 2008).

(ロ) (同) (E) (E) (E)

Kobayashi conjecture (1970)

• Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ be a (very) generic hypersurface of degree $d \ge d_n$ large enough. Then X is Kobayashi hyperbolic.

• By a result of M. Zaidenberg, the optimal bound must satisfy $d_n \ge 2n + 1$, and one expects $d_n = 2n + 1$.

Using "jet technology" and deep results of McQuillan for curve foliations on surfaces, the following has been proved:

Theorem (D., El Goul, 1998)

A very generic surface $X \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ of degree $d \ge 21$ is hyperbolic. Independently McQuillan got $d \ge 35$.

This was more recently improved to $d \ge 18$ (Păun, 2008). In 2012, Yum-Tong Siu announced a proof of the case of arbitrary dimension *n*, with a very large d_n (and a rather involved proof).

Results on the generic Green-Griffiths conjecture

By a combination of an algebraic existence theorem for jet differentials and of Siu's technique of "slanted vector fields" (itself derived from ideas of H. Clemens, L. Ein and C. Voisin), the following was proved:

Theorem (S. Diverio, J. Merker, E. Rousseau, 2009)

A generic hypersurface $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ of degree $d \ge d_n := 2^{n^5}$ satisfies the GGL conjecture.

A (1) > (1) > (1)

Results on the generic Green-Griffiths conjecture

By a combination of an algebraic existence theorem for jet differentials and of Siu's technique of "slanted vector fields" (itself derived from ideas of H. Clemens, L. Ein and C. Voisin), the following was proved:

Theorem (S. Diverio, J. Merker, E. Rousseau, 2009)

A generic hypersurface $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ of degree $d \ge d_n := 2^{n^5}$ satisfies the GGL conjecture.

The bound was improved by (D-, 2012) to

$$d_n = \left\lfloor \frac{n^4}{3} \left(n \log(n \log(24n)) \right)^n \right\rfloor = O(\exp(n^{1+\varepsilon})), \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0.$$

・ 同・ ・ ヨ・

Results on the generic Green-Griffiths conjecture

By a combination of an algebraic existence theorem for jet differentials and of Siu's technique of "slanted vector fields" (itself derived from ideas of H. Clemens, L. Ein and C. Voisin), the following was proved:

Theorem (S. Diverio, J. Merker, E. Rousseau, 2009)

A generic hypersurface $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ of degree $d \ge d_n := 2^{n^5}$ satisfies the GGL conjecture.

The bound was improved by (D-, 2012) to

$$d_n = \left\lfloor \frac{n^4}{3} \left(n \log(n \log(24n)) \right)^n \right\rfloor = O(\exp(n^{1+\varepsilon})), \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0.$$

Theorem (S. Diverio, S. Trapani, 2009)

Additionally, a generic hypersurface $X \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ of degree $d \ge 593$ is hyperbolic.

• Goal. More generally, we are interested in curves $f : \mathbb{C} \to X$ such that $f'(\mathbb{C}) \subset V$ where V is a subbundle of T_X (or singular linear subspace, i.e. a closed irreducible analytic subspace such that $\forall x \in X, V_x := V \cap T_{X,x}$ linear).

- Goal. More generally, we are interested in curves f : C → X such that f'(C) ⊂ V where V is a subbundle of T_X (or singular linear subspace, i.e. a closed irreducible analytic subspace such that ∀x ∈ X, V_x := V ∩ T_{X,x} linear).
- Definition. Category of directed manifolds :
 - Objects : pairs (X, V), X manifold/ \mathbb{C} and $V \subset T_X$
 - Arrows $\psi : (X, V) \rightarrow (Y, W)$ holomorphic s.t. $\psi_* V \subset W$

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ……

- Goal. More generally, we are interested in curves f : C → X such that f'(C) ⊂ V where V is a subbundle of T_X (or singular linear subspace, i.e. a closed irreducible analytic subspace such that ∀x ∈ X, V_x := V ∩ T_{X,x} linear).
- Definition. Category of directed manifolds :
 - Objects : pairs (X, V), X manifold/ \mathbb{C} and $V \subset T_X$
 - Arrows $\psi : (X, V) \rightarrow (Y, W)$ holomorphic s.t. $\psi_* V \subset W$
 - "Absolute case" (X, T_X) , i.e. $V = T_X$
 - "Relative case" $(X, T_{X/S})$ where $X \to S$
 - "Integrable case" when $[V, V] \subset V$ (foliations)

- 本部 ト イヨ ト - - ヨ

- Goal. More generally, we are interested in curves f : C → X such that f'(C) ⊂ V where V is a subbundle of T_X (or singular linear subspace, i.e. a closed irreducible analytic subspace such that ∀x ∈ X, V_x := V ∩ T_{X,x} linear).
- Definition. Category of directed manifolds :
 - Objects : pairs (X, V), X manifold/ \mathbb{C} and $V \subset T_X$
 - Arrows $\psi : (X, V) \rightarrow (Y, W)$ holomorphic s.t. $\psi_* V \subset W$
 - "Absolute case" (X, T_X) , i.e. $V = T_X$
 - "Relative case" $(X, T_{X/S})$ where $X \to S$
 - "Integrable case" when $[V, V] \subset V$ (foliations)
- Fonctor "1-jet" : $(X, V) \mapsto (\tilde{X}, \tilde{V})$ where :

$$\begin{split} \tilde{X} &= P(V) = \text{bundle of projective spaces of lines in } V \\ \pi : \tilde{X} &= P(V) \to X, \quad (x, [v]) \mapsto x, \quad v \in V_x \\ \tilde{V}_{(x, [v])} &= \left\{ \xi \in \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{X}, (x, [v])}; \ \pi_* \xi \in \mathbb{C} v \subset \mathcal{T}_{X, x} \right\}_{\mathcal{O}} \\ & = \{ \xi \in \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{X}, (x, [v])}; \ \pi_* \xi \in \mathbb{C} v \subset \mathcal{T}_{X, x} \}_{\mathcal{O}} \\ & = \{ \xi \in \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{X}, (x, [v])}; \ \pi_* \xi \in \mathbb{C} v \subset \mathcal{T}_{X, x} \}_{\mathcal{O}} \\ & = \{ \xi \in \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{X}, (x, [v])}; \ \pi_* \xi \in \mathbb{C} v \subset \mathcal{T}_{X, x} \}_{\mathcal{O}} \\ & = \{ \xi \in \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{X}, (x, [v])}; \ \pi_* \xi \in \mathbb{C} v \subset \mathcal{T}_{X, x} \}_{\mathcal{O}} \\ & = \{ \xi \in \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{X}, (x, [v])}; \ \pi_* \xi \in \mathbb{C} v \subset \mathcal{T}_{X, x} \}_{\mathcal{O}} \\ & = \{ \xi \in \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{X}, (x, [v])}; \ \pi_* \xi \in \mathbb{C} v \subset \mathcal{T}_{X, x} \}_{\mathcal{O}} \\ & = \{ \xi \in \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{X}, (x, [v])}; \ \pi_* \xi \in \mathbb{C} v \subset \mathcal{T}_{X, x} \}_{\mathcal{O}} \\ & = \{ \xi \in \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{X}, (x, [v])}; \ \pi_* \xi \in \mathbb{C} v \subset \mathcal{T}_{X, x} \}_{\mathcal{O}} \\ & = \{ \xi \in \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{X}, (x, [v])}; \ \pi_* \xi \in \mathbb{C} v \subset \mathcal{T}_{X, x} \}_{\mathcal{O}} \\ & = \{ \xi \in \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{X}, (x, [v])}; \ \pi_* \xi \in \mathbb{C} v \subset \mathcal{T}_{X, x} \}_{\mathcal{O}} \\ & = \{ \xi \in \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{X}, (x, [v])} \}_{\mathcal{O}} \\ & = \{ \xi \in \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{X}, (x, [v])} \}_{\mathcal{O}} \\ & = \{ \xi \in \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{X}, (x, [v])} \}_{\mathcal{O}} \\ & = \{ \xi \in \mathcal{T}_{X, (x, [v])} \}_{\mathcal{O}} \\ & = \{ \xi \in \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{X}, (x, [v])} \}_{\mathcal{O}} \\ & = \{ \xi \in \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{X}, (x, [v])} \}_{\mathcal{O}} \\ & = \{ \xi \in \mathcal{T}_{X, (x, [v])} \}_{\mathcal{O}} \\ & = \{ \xi \in \mathcal{T}_{X, (x, [v])} \}_{\mathcal{O}} \\ & = \{ \xi \in \mathcal{T}_{X, (x, [v])} \}_{\mathcal{O}} \\ & = \{ \xi \in \mathcal{T}_{X, (x, [v])} \}_{\mathcal{O}} \\ & = \{ \xi \in \mathcal{T}_{X, (x, [v])} \}_{\mathcal{O}} \\ & = \{ \xi \in \mathcal{T}_{X, (x, [v])} \}_{\mathcal{O}} \\ & = \{ \xi \in \mathcal{T}_{X, (x, [v])} \}_{\mathcal{O}} \\ & = \{ \xi \in \mathcal{T}_{X, (x, [v])} \}_{\mathcal{O}} \\ & = \{ \xi \in \mathcal{T}_{X, (x, [v])} \}_{\mathcal{O}} \\ & = \{ \xi \in \mathcal{T}_{X, (x, [v])} \}_{\mathcal{O}} \\ & = \{ \xi \in \mathcal{T}_{X, (x, [v])} \}_{\mathcal{O}} \\ & = \{ \xi \in \mathcal{T}_{X, (x, [v])} \}_{\mathcal{O}} \\ & = \{ \xi \in \mathcal{T}_{X, (x, [v])} \}_{\mathcal{O}} \\ & = \{ \xi \in \mathcal{T}_{X, (x, [v])} \\ & = \{ \xi \in \mathcal{T}_{X, (x, [v])} \}_{\mathcal{O}} \\ & = \{ \xi \in \mathcal{T}_{X, (x, [v])} \}_{\mathcal{O}} \\ & = \{ \xi \in \mathcal{T}_{X, (x, [v])} \}_{\mathcal{O}} \\ & = \{ \xi \in \mathcal{T}_{X, (x, [v])} \\ & = \{ \xi \in \mathcal{T}_{X, (x, [v])} \}_{\mathcal{O}} \\ & = \{ \xi \in \mathcal{T}_{X, (x, [v])} \}_{\mathcal{O}} \\ & = \{ \xi \in \mathcal{T}_{X, (x, [v])} \}_{\mathcal{O}} \\ & = \{ \xi \in$$

• For every entire curve $f : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \to (X, V)$ tangent to V $f_{[1]}(t) := (f(t), [f'(t)]) \in P(V_{f(t)}) \subset \tilde{X}$ $f_{[1]} : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \to (\tilde{X}, \tilde{V})$ (projectivized 1st-jet)

- For every entire curve $f : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \to (X, V)$ tangent to V $f_{[1]}(t) := (f(t), [f'(t)]) \in P(V_{f(t)}) \subset \tilde{X}$ $f_{[1]} : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \to (\tilde{X}, \tilde{V})$ (projectivized 1st-jet)
- **Definition.** Semple jet bundles :
 - $(X_k, V_k) = k \text{-th iteration of fonctor } (X, V) \mapsto (\tilde{X}, \tilde{V})$ $- f_{[k]} : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \to (X_k, V_k) \text{ is the projectivized } k \text{-jet of } f.$

・同下 ・ヨト ・ヨト ・ヨ

- For every entire curve $f : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \to (X, V)$ tangent to V $f_{[1]}(t) := (f(t), [f'(t)]) \in P(V_{f(t)}) \subset \tilde{X}$ $f_{[1]} : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \to (\tilde{X}, \tilde{V})$ (projectivized 1st-jet)
- **Definition.** Semple jet bundles :
 - $(X_k, V_k) = k$ -th iteration of fonctor $(X, V) \mapsto (\tilde{X}, \tilde{V})$ - $f_{[k]} : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \to (X_k, V_k)$ is the projectivized k-jet of f.
- Basic exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ll} 0 \to T_{\tilde{X}/X} \to \tilde{V} & \stackrel{\pi_{\star}}{\to} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-1) \to 0 & \Rightarrow \mathsf{rk} \ \tilde{V} = \mathsf{r} = \mathsf{rk} \ V \\ 0 \to \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} \to \pi^{\star} V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(1) \to T_{\tilde{X}/X} \to 0 & (\mathsf{Euler}) \end{array}$$

マボン イラン イラン 一日

- For every entire curve $f : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \to (X, V)$ tangent to V $f_{[1]}(t) := (f(t), [f'(t)]) \in P(V_{f(t)}) \subset \tilde{X}$ $f_{[1]} : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \to (\tilde{X}, \tilde{V})$ (projectivized 1st-jet)
- **Definition.** Semple jet bundles :
 - $(X_k, V_k) = k \text{-th iteration of fonctor } (X, V) \mapsto (\tilde{X}, \tilde{V})$ $- f_{[k]} : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \to (X_k, V_k) \text{ is the projectivized } k \text{-jet of } f.$
- Basic exact sequences

$$0 \to T_{\tilde{X}/X} \to \tilde{V} \xrightarrow{\pi_{\star}} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-1) \to 0 \implies \operatorname{rk} \tilde{V} = r = \operatorname{rk} V$$

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} \to \pi^{\star} V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(1) \to T_{\tilde{X}/X} \to 0 \quad (\operatorname{Euler})$$

$$0 \to T_{X_{k}/X_{k-1}} \to V_{k} \xrightarrow{(\pi_{k})_{\star}} \mathcal{O}_{X_{k}}(-1) \to 0 \implies \operatorname{rk} V_{k} = r$$

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{X_{k}} \to \pi_{k}^{\star} V_{k-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_{k}}(1) \to T_{X_{k}/X_{k-1}} \to 0 \quad (\operatorname{Euler})$$

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{X_{k}} \to \pi_{k}^{\star} V_{k-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_{k}}(1) \to T_{X_{k}/X_{k-1}} \to 0 \quad (\operatorname{Euler})$$

Direct image formula

For $n = \dim X$ and $r = \operatorname{rk} V$, one gets a tower of \mathbb{P}^{r-1} -bundles $\pi_{k,0} : X_k \xrightarrow{\pi_k} X_{k-1} \to \cdots \to X_1 \xrightarrow{\pi_1} X_0 = X$

with dim $X_k = n + k(r-1)$, rk $V_k = r$, and tautological line bundles $\mathcal{O}_{X_k}(1)$ on $X_k = P(V_{k-1})$.

マボン イラン イラン 一日

Direct image formula

For $n = \dim X$ and $r = \operatorname{rk} V$, one gets a tower of \mathbb{P}^{r-1} -bundles $\pi_{k,0} : X_k \xrightarrow{\pi_k} X_{k-1} \to \cdots \to X_1 \xrightarrow{\pi_1} X_0 = X$

with dim $X_k = n + k(r-1)$, rk $V_k = r$, and tautological line bundles $\mathcal{O}_{X_k}(1)$ on $X_k = P(V_{k-1})$.

Theorem

 X_k is a smooth compactification of $X_k^{\text{GG},\text{reg}}/\mathbb{G}_k = J_k^{\text{GG},\text{reg}}/\mathbb{G}_k$, where \mathbb{G}_k is the group of *k*-jets of germs of biholomorphisms of $(\mathbb{C}, 0)$, acting on the right by reparametrization: $(f, \varphi) \mapsto f \circ \varphi$, and J_k^{reg} is the space of *k*-jets of regular curves.

イロト イポト イラト イラト 一日

Direct image formula

For $n = \dim X$ and $r = \operatorname{rk} V$, one gets a tower of \mathbb{P}^{r-1} -bundles $\pi_{k,0} : X_k \xrightarrow{\pi_k} X_{k-1} \to \cdots \to X_1 \xrightarrow{\pi_1} X_0 = X$

with dim $X_k = n + k(r-1)$, rk $V_k = r$, and tautological line bundles $\mathcal{O}_{X_k}(1)$ on $X_k = P(V_{k-1})$.

Theorem

 X_k is a smooth compactification of $X_k^{\text{GG},\text{reg}}/\mathbb{G}_k = J_k^{\text{GG},\text{reg}}/\mathbb{G}_k$, where \mathbb{G}_k is the group of *k*-jets of germs of biholomorphisms of $(\mathbb{C}, 0)$, acting on the right by reparametrization: $(f, \varphi) \mapsto f \circ \varphi$, and J_k^{reg} is the space of *k*-jets of regular curves.

Direct image formula

 $(\pi_{k,0})_* \mathcal{O}_{X_k}(m) = \mathbb{E}_{k,m} V^* =$ invariant algebraic differential operators $f \mapsto P(f_{[k]})$ acting on germs of curves $f : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \to (X, V).$

Let $(\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \to (X, V)$, $t \mapsto f(t) = (f_1(t), \dots, f_n(t))$ be a curve written in some local holomorphic coordinates (z_1, \dots, z_n) on X. It has a local Taylor expansion

$$f(t) = x + t\xi_1 + \ldots + t^k\xi_k + O(t^{k+1}), \quad \xi_s = \frac{1}{s!}\nabla^s f(0)$$

for some connection ∇ on V.

Let $(\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \to (X, V)$, $t \mapsto f(t) = (f_1(t), \dots, f_n(t))$ be a curve written in some local holomorphic coordinates (z_1, \dots, z_n) on X. It has a local Taylor expansion

$$f(t) = x + t\xi_1 + \ldots + t^k\xi_k + O(t^{k+1}), \quad \xi_s = \frac{1}{s!} \nabla^s f(0)$$

for some connection ∇ on V.

One considers the Green-Griffiths bundle $E_{k,m}^{GG}V^*$ of polynomials of weighted degree *m* written locally in coordinate charts as

$$\mathsf{P}(\mathsf{x}\,;\,\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_k)=\sum \mathsf{a}_{lpha_1lpha_2\ldotslpha_k}(\mathsf{x})\xi_1^{lpha_1}\ldots\xi_k^{lpha_k},\quad\xi_{s}\in V,$$

also viewed as algebraic differential operators

$$P(f_{[k]}) = P(f', f'', \dots, f^{(k)})$$

= $\sum a_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_k}(f(t)) f'(t)^{\alpha_1} f''(t)^{\alpha_2} \dots f^{(k)}(t)^{\alpha_k}.$

Here $t \mapsto z = f(t)$ is a curve, $f_{[k]} = (f', f'', \dots, f^{(k)})$ its *k*-jet, and $a_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\dots\alpha_k}(z)$ are supposed to holomorphic functions on *X*.

Here $t \mapsto z = f(t)$ is a curve, $f_{[k]} = (f', f'', \dots, f^{(k)})$ its *k*-jet, and $a_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\dots\alpha_k}(z)$ are supposed to holomorphic functions on *X*.

The \mathbb{G}_k -action : $(f, \varphi) \mapsto f \circ \varphi$, yields in particular, $\varphi_{\lambda}(t) = \lambda t \Rightarrow (f \circ \varphi_{\lambda})^{(k)}(t) = \lambda^k f^{(k)}(\lambda t)$, whence a \mathbb{C}^* -action

$$\lambda \cdot (\xi_1, \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_k) = (\lambda \xi_1, \lambda^2 \xi_2, \ldots, \lambda^k \xi_k).$$

Here $t \mapsto z = f(t)$ is a curve, $f_{[k]} = (f', f'', \dots, f^{(k)})$ its *k*-jet, and $a_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\dots\alpha_k}(z)$ are supposed to holomorphic functions on *X*.

The \mathbb{G}_k -action : $(f, \varphi) \mapsto f \circ \varphi$, yields in particular, $\varphi_{\lambda}(t) = \lambda t \Rightarrow (f \circ \varphi_{\lambda})^{(k)}(t) = \lambda^k f^{(k)}(\lambda t)$, whence a \mathbb{C}^* -action

$$\lambda \cdot (\xi_1, \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_k) = (\lambda \xi_1, \lambda^2 \xi_2, \ldots, \lambda^k \xi_k).$$

 $E_{k,m}^{GG}$ is precisely the set of polynomials of weighted degree m, corresponding to coefficients $a_{\alpha_1...\alpha_k}$ with $m = |\alpha_1| + 2|\alpha_2| + \ldots + k|\alpha_k|$.

Here $t \mapsto z = f(t)$ is a curve, $f_{[k]} = (f', f'', \dots, f^{(k)})$ its *k*-jet, and $a_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\dots\alpha_k}(z)$ are supposed to holomorphic functions on *X*.

The \mathbb{G}_k -action : $(f, \varphi) \mapsto f \circ \varphi$, yields in particular, $\varphi_{\lambda}(t) = \lambda t \Rightarrow (f \circ \varphi_{\lambda})^{(k)}(t) = \lambda^k f^{(k)}(\lambda t)$, whence a \mathbb{C}^* -action

$$\lambda \cdot (\xi_1, \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_k) = (\lambda \xi_1, \lambda^2 \xi_2, \ldots, \lambda^k \xi_k).$$

 $E_{k,m}^{GG}$ is precisely the set of polynomials of weighted degree m, corresponding to coefficients $a_{\alpha_1...\alpha_k}$ with $m = |\alpha_1| + 2|\alpha_2| + ... + k|\alpha_k|.$ $E_k = V^* \subset E^{GG}V^*$ is the bundle of $\mathbb{C}_{k,m}$ "invariant" operators i

 $E_{k,m}V^* \subset E_{k,m}^{GG}V^*$ is the bundle of \mathbb{G}_k -"invariant" operators, i.e. such that

$$P((f \circ \varphi)_{[k]}) = \varphi'^m P(f_{[k]}) \circ \varphi, \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathbb{G}_k.$$

- 本部 ト イヨ ト - - ヨ

Canonical sheaf of a singular pair (X,V)

When V is nonsingular, we simply set $K_V = \det(V^*)$.

向下 イヨト イヨト

Canonical sheaf of a singular pair (X,V)

When V is nonsingular, we simply set $K_V = \det(V^*)$. When V is singular, we first introduce the rank 1 sheaf ${}^{b}\mathcal{K}_V$ of sections of det V* that are locally bounded with respect to a smooth ambient metric on T_X . One can show that ${}^{b}\mathcal{K}_V$ is equal to the integral closure of the image of the natural morphism

 $\Lambda^r T^*_X \to \Lambda^r V^* \to \mathcal{L}_V := \text{invert. sheaf } (\Lambda^r V^*)^{**}$

that is, if the image is $\mathcal{L}_V \otimes \mathcal{J}_V$, $\mathcal{J}_V \subset \mathcal{O}_X$,

 ${}^{b}\mathcal{K}_{V}=\mathcal{L}_{V}\otimes\overline{\mathcal{J}}_{V},\quad \overline{\mathcal{J}}_{V}=\text{integral closure of }\mathcal{J}_{V}.$

・吊り ・ヨン ・ヨン ・ヨ

Canonical sheaf of a singular pair (X,V)

When V is nonsingular, we simply set $K_V = \det(V^*)$. When V is singular, we first introduce the rank 1 sheaf ${}^{b}\mathcal{K}_V$ of sections of det V^{*} that are locally bounded with respect to a smooth ambient metric on T_X . One can show that ${}^{b}\mathcal{K}_V$ is equal to the integral closure of the image of the natural morphism

 $\Lambda^r T^*_X \to \Lambda^r V^* \to \mathcal{L}_V := \text{invert. sheaf } (\Lambda^r V^*)^{**}$

that is, if the image is $\mathcal{L}_V \otimes \mathcal{J}_V$, $\mathcal{J}_V \subset \mathcal{O}_X$,

 ${}^{b}\mathcal{K}_{V}=\mathcal{L}_{V}\otimes\overline{\mathcal{J}}_{V},\quad \overline{\mathcal{J}}_{V}=\text{integral closure of }\mathcal{J}_{V}.$

Consequence

If $\mu: \widetilde{X} \to X$ is a modification and \widetilde{X} is equipped with the pull-back directed structure $\widetilde{V} = \overline{\widetilde{\mu}^{-1}(V)}$, then

$${}^{b}\mathcal{K}_{V} \subset \mu_{*}({}^{b}\mathcal{K}_{\widetilde{V}}) \subset \mathcal{L}_{V}$$

and $\mu_*({}^b\mathcal{K}_{\widetilde{V}})$ increases with μ .

Canonical sheaf of a singular pair (X,V) [cont.]

By Noetherianity, one can define a sequence of rank 1 sheaves $\mathcal{K}_{V}^{[m]} = \lim_{\mu} \uparrow \mu_{*}({}^{b}\mathcal{K}_{\widetilde{V}})^{\otimes m}, \quad ({}^{b}\mathcal{K}_{V})^{\otimes m}\mathcal{K}_{V}^{[m]} \subset \mathcal{L}_{V}^{\otimes m}$

which we call the pluricanonical sheaf sequence of (X, V).

Canonical sheaf of a singular pair (X,V) [cont.]

By Noetherianity, one can define a sequence of rank 1 sheaves $\mathcal{K}_{V}^{[m]} = \lim_{\mu} \uparrow \mu_{*}({}^{b}\mathcal{K}_{\widetilde{V}})^{\otimes m}, \quad ({}^{b}\mathcal{K}_{V})^{\otimes m}\mathcal{K}_{V}^{[m]} \subset \mathcal{L}_{V}^{\otimes m}$

which we call the pluricanonical sheaf sequence of (X, V).

Remark

The blow-up μ for which the limit is attained may depend on *m*. We do not know if there is a μ that works for all *m*.

Canonical sheaf of a singular pair (X,V) [cont.]

By Noetherianity, one can define a sequence of rank 1 sheaves $\mathcal{K}_{V}^{[m]} = \lim_{\mu} \uparrow \mu_{*}({}^{b}\mathcal{K}_{\widetilde{V}})^{\otimes m}, \quad ({}^{b}\mathcal{K}_{V})^{\otimes m}\mathcal{K}_{V}^{[m]} \subset \mathcal{L}_{V}^{\otimes m}$

which we call the pluricanonical sheaf sequence of (X, V).

Remark

The blow-up μ for which the limit is attained may depend on m. We do not know if there is a μ that works for all m.

This generalizes the concept of reduced singularities of foliations, which is known to work only for surfaces.

Definition

We say that (X, V) is of general type if the pluricanonical sheaf sequence is big, i.e. $H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_V^{[m]})$ provides a generic embedding of X for a suitable $m \gg 1$.

Generalized GGL conjecture

If (X, V) is directed manifold of general type, i.e. \mathcal{K}_V is big, then $\exists Y \subsetneq X$ such that $\forall f : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \to (X, V)$, one has $f(\mathbb{C}) \subset Y$.

Generalized GGL conjecture

If (X, V) is directed manifold of general type, i.e. \mathcal{K}_V is big, then $\exists Y \subsetneq X$ such that $\forall f : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \to (X, V)$, one has $f(\mathbb{C}) \subset Y$.

Remark. Elementary by Ahlfors-Schwarz if r = rk V = 1. $t \mapsto \log ||f'(t)||_{V,h}$ is strictly subharmonic if r = 1 and (V^*, h^*) big.

Generalized GGL conjecture

If (X, V) is directed manifold of general type, i.e. \mathcal{K}_V is big, then $\exists Y \subsetneq X$ such that $\forall f : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \to (X, V)$, one has $f(\mathbb{C}) \subset Y$.

Remark. Elementary by Ahlfors-Schwarz if r = rk V = 1. $t \mapsto \log ||f'(t)||_{V,h}$ is strictly subharmonic if r = 1 and (V^*, h^*) big.

Strategy : fundamental vanishing theorem

[Green-Griffiths 1979], [Demailly 1995], [Siu-Yeung 1996] $\forall P \in H^0(X, E_{k,m}^{GG}V^* \otimes \mathcal{O}(-A))$: global diff. operator on X (A ample divisor), $\forall f : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \to (X, V)$, one has $P(f_{[k]}) \equiv 0$.

イロト イポト イラト イラト 一日

Generalized GGL conjecture

If (X, V) is directed manifold of general type, i.e. \mathcal{K}_V is big, then $\exists Y \subsetneq X$ such that $\forall f : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \to (X, V)$, one has $f(\mathbb{C}) \subset Y$.

Remark. Elementary by Ahlfors-Schwarz if r = rk V = 1. $t \mapsto \log ||f'(t)||_{V,h}$ is strictly subharmonic if r = 1 and (V^*, h^*) big.

Strategy : fundamental vanishing theorem

[Green-Griffiths 1979], [Demailly 1995], [Siu-Yeung 1996] $\forall P \in H^0(X, E_{k,m}^{GG}V^* \otimes \mathcal{O}(-A))$: global diff. operator on X(A ample divisor), $\forall f : (<math>\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}$) \rightarrow (X, V), one has $P(f_{[k]}) \equiv 0$.

Theorem (D-, 2010)

Let (X, V) be of general type, such that ${}^{b}\mathcal{K}_{V}$ is a big rank 1 sheaf. Then \exists many global sections P, $m \gg k \gg 1 \Rightarrow \exists$ alg. hypersurface $Z \subsetneq X_{k}$ s.t. every entire $f : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \mapsto (X, V)$ satisfies $f_{[k]}(\mathbb{C}) \subset Z$.

Let $J_k V$ be the bundle of k-jets of curves $f : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \to (X, V)$

Let $J_k V$ be the bundle of k-jets of curves $f : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \to (X, V)$ Assuming that V is equipped with a hermitian metric h, one defines a "weighted Finsler metric" on $J^k V$ by taking p = k! and

$$\Psi_{h_k}(f) := \Big(\sum_{1 \le s \le k} \varepsilon_s \|\nabla^s f(0)\|_{h(x)}^{2p/s}\Big)^{1/p}, \quad 1 = \varepsilon_1 \gg \varepsilon_2 \gg \cdots \gg \varepsilon_k.$$

Let $J_k V$ be the bundle of k-jets of curves $f : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \to (X, V)$ Assuming that V is equipped with a hermitian metric h, one defines a "weighted Finsler metric" on $J^k V$ by taking p = k! and

$$\Psi_{h_k}(f) := \Big(\sum_{1 \le s \le k} \varepsilon_s \|\nabla^s f(0)\|_{h(x)}^{2p/s}\Big)^{1/p}, \quad 1 = \varepsilon_1 \gg \varepsilon_2 \gg \cdots \gg \varepsilon_k.$$

Letting $\xi_s = \nabla^s f(0)$, this can actually be viewed as a metric h_k on $L_k := \mathcal{O}_{X_k^{GG}}(1)$, with curvature form $(x, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_k) \mapsto$

$$\Theta_{L_k,h_k} = \omega_{\mathrm{FS},k}(\xi) + \frac{i}{2\pi} \sum_{1 \le s \le k} \frac{1}{s} \frac{|\xi_s|^{2p/s}}{\sum_t |\xi_t|^{2p/t}} \sum_{i,j,\alpha,\beta} c_{ij\alpha\beta} \frac{\xi_{s\alpha}\xi_{s\beta}}{|\xi_s|^2} dz_i \wedge d\overline{z}_j$$

where $(c_{ij\alpha\beta})$ are the coefficients of the curvature tensor Θ_{V^*,h^*} and $\omega_{\text{FS},k}$ is the vertical Fubini-Study metric on the fibers of $X_k^{\text{GG}} \to X$.

・吊り ・ヨン ・ヨン ・ヨ

Let $J_k V$ be the bundle of k-jets of curves $f : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \to (X, V)$ Assuming that V is equipped with a hermitian metric h, one defines a "weighted Finsler metric" on $J^k V$ by taking p = k! and

$$\Psi_{h_k}(f) := \Big(\sum_{1 \le s \le k} \varepsilon_s \|\nabla^s f(0)\|_{h(x)}^{2p/s}\Big)^{1/p}, \quad 1 = \varepsilon_1 \gg \varepsilon_2 \gg \cdots \gg \varepsilon_k.$$

Letting $\xi_s = \nabla^s f(0)$, this can actually be viewed as a metric h_k on $L_k := \mathcal{O}_{X_k^{GG}}(1)$, with curvature form $(x, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_k) \mapsto$

$$\Theta_{L_k,h_k} = \omega_{\mathrm{FS},k}(\xi) + \frac{i}{2\pi} \sum_{1 \le s \le k} \frac{1}{s} \frac{|\xi_s|^{2p/s}}{\sum_t |\xi_t|^{2p/t}} \sum_{i,j,\alpha,\beta} c_{ij\alpha\beta} \frac{\xi_{s\alpha}\xi_{s\beta}}{|\xi_s|^2} dz_i \wedge d\overline{z}_j$$

where $(c_{ij\alpha\beta})$ are the coefficients of the curvature tensor Θ_{V^*,h^*} and $\omega_{\text{FS},k}$ is the vertical Fubini-Study metric on the fibers of $X_k^{\text{GG}} \to X$. The expression gets simpler by using polar coordinates $x_s = |\xi_s|_{p}^{2p/s}, \quad u_s = \xi_s/|\xi_s|_h = \nabla^s f(0)/|\nabla^s f(0)|.$

Probabilistic interpretation of the curvature

In such polar coordinates, one gets the formula

$$\Theta_{L_k,h_k} = \omega_{\mathrm{FS},p,k}(\xi) + \frac{i}{2\pi} \sum_{1 \le s \le k} \frac{1}{s} x_s \sum_{i,j,\alpha,\beta} c_{ij\alpha\beta}(z) \, u_{s\alpha} \overline{u}_{s\beta} \, dz_i \wedge d\overline{z}_j$$

where $\omega_{\text{FS},k}(\xi)$ is positive definite in ξ . The other terms are a weighted average of the values of the curvature tensor $\Theta_{V,h}$ on vectors u_s in the unit sphere bundle $SV \subset V$.

Probabilistic interpretation of the curvature

In such polar coordinates, one gets the formula

$$\Theta_{L_k,h_k} = \omega_{\mathrm{FS},p,k}(\xi) + \frac{i}{2\pi} \sum_{1 \le s \le k} \frac{1}{s} x_s \sum_{i,j,\alpha,\beta} c_{ij\alpha\beta}(z) \, u_{s\alpha} \overline{u}_{s\beta} \, dz_i \wedge d\overline{z}_j$$

where $\omega_{\text{FS},k}(\xi)$ is positive definite in ξ . The other terms are a weighted average of the values of the curvature tensor $\Theta_{V,h}$ on vectors u_s in the unit sphere bundle $SV \subset V$.

The weighted projective space can be viewed as a circle quotient of the pseudosphere $\sum |\xi_s|^{2p/s} = 1$, so we can take here $x_s \ge 0$, $\sum x_s = 1$. This is essentially a sum of the form $\sum \frac{1}{s}\gamma(u_s)$ where u_s are random points of the sphere, and so as $k \to +\infty$ this can be estimated by a "Monte-Carlo" integral

$$\left(1+\frac{1}{2}+\ldots+\frac{1}{k}\right)\int_{u\in SV}\gamma(u)\,du.$$

15/21

As γ is quadratic here, $\int_{u \in SV} \gamma(u) \, du = \frac{1}{r} \operatorname{Tr}(\gamma)$.

Main cohomological estimate

 \Rightarrow the leading term only involves the trace of Θ_{V^*,h^*} , i.e. the curvature of (det V^* , det h^*), that can be taken > 0 if det V^* is big.

Corollary (D-, 2010)

Let (X, V) be a directed manifold, $F \to X$ a \mathbb{Q} -line bundle, (V, h) and (F, h_F) hermitian. Define

$$L_{k} = \mathcal{O}_{X_{k}^{\mathrm{GG}}}(1) \otimes \pi_{k}^{*} \mathcal{O}\Big(\frac{1}{kr}\Big(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \ldots + \frac{1}{k}\Big)F\Big),$$

$$\eta = \Theta_{\det V^{*},\det h^{*}} + \Theta_{F,h_{F}}.$$

Then for all $q \ge 0$ and all $m \gg k \gg 1$ such that m is sufficiently divisible, we have upper and lower bounds [q = 0 most useful!]

$$h^{q}(X_{k}^{\mathrm{GG}}, \mathcal{O}(L_{k}^{\otimes m})) \leq \frac{m^{n+kr-1}}{(n+kr-1)!} \frac{(\log k)^{n}}{n! (k!)^{r}} \left(\int_{X(\eta,q)} (-1)^{q} \eta^{n} + \frac{C}{\log k} \right)^{n}$$

Main cohomological estimate

 \Rightarrow the leading term only involves the trace of Θ_{V^*,h^*} , i.e. the curvature of (det V^* , det h^*), that can be taken > 0 if det V^* is big.

Corollary (D-, 2010)

Let (X, V) be a directed manifold, $F \to X$ a \mathbb{Q} -line bundle, (V, h) and (F, h_F) hermitian. Define

$$L_{k} = \mathcal{O}_{X_{k}^{\mathrm{GG}}}(1) \otimes \pi_{k}^{*} \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{kr}\left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \ldots + \frac{1}{k}\right)F\right),$$

$$\eta = \Theta_{\mathrm{det}\,V^{*},\mathrm{det}\,h^{*}} + \Theta_{F,hF}.$$

Then for all $q \ge 0$ and all $m \gg k \gg 1$ such that m is sufficiently divisible, we have upper and lower bounds [q = 0 most useful!]

$$h^{q}(X_{k}^{\mathrm{GG}}, \mathcal{O}(L_{k}^{\otimes m})) \leq \frac{m^{n+kr-1}}{(n+kr-1)!} \frac{(\log k)^{n}}{n! (k!)^{r}} \left(\int_{X(\eta,q)} (-1)^{q} \eta^{n} + \frac{C}{\log k} \right)$$
$$h^{q}(X_{k}^{\mathrm{GG}}, \mathcal{O}(L_{k}^{\otimes m})) \geq \frac{m^{n+kr-1}}{(n+kr-1)!} \frac{(\log k)^{n}}{n! (k!)^{r}} \left(\int_{X(\eta,q, q\pm 1)} (-1)^{q} \eta^{n} - \frac{C}{\log k} \right).$$

Induced directed structure on a subvariety

Let Z be an irreducible algebraic subset of some Semple k-jet bundle X_k over X (k arbitrary).

Induced directed structure on a subvariety

Let Z be an irreducible algebraic subset of some Semple k-jet bundle X_k over X (k arbitrary).

We define an induced directed structure $(Z, W) \hookrightarrow (X_k, V_k)$ by taking the linear subspace $W \subset T_Z \subset T_{X_k|Z}$ to be the closure of $T_{Z'} \cap V_k$ taken on a suitable Zariski open set $Z' \subset Z_{reg}$ where the intersection has constant rank and is a subbundle of $T_{Z'}$.

Induced directed structure on a subvariety

Let Z be an irreducible algebraic subset of some Semple k-jet bundle X_k over X (k arbitrary).

We define an induced directed structure $(Z, W) \hookrightarrow (X_k, V_k)$ by taking the linear subspace $W \subset T_Z \subset T_{X_k|Z}$ to be the closure of $T_{Z'} \cap V_k$ taken on a suitable Zariski open set $Z' \subset Z_{reg}$ where the intersection has constant rank and is a subbundle of $T_{Z'}$.

Alternatively, one could also take W to be the closure of $T_{Z'} \cap V_k$ in the *k*-th stage $(\mathcal{X}_k, \mathcal{A}_k)$ of the "absolute Semple tower" associated with $(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{A}_0) = (X, T_X)$ (so as to deal only with nonsingular ambient Semple bundles).

向下 イヨト イヨト

Let Z be an irreducible algebraic subset of some Semple k-jet bundle X_k over X (k arbitrary).

We define an induced directed structure $(Z, W) \hookrightarrow (X_k, V_k)$ by taking the linear subspace $W \subset T_Z \subset T_{X_k|Z}$ to be the closure of $T_{Z'} \cap V_k$ taken on a suitable Zariski open set $Z' \subset Z_{reg}$ where the intersection has constant rank and is a subbundle of $T_{Z'}$.

Alternatively, one could also take W to be the closure of $T_{Z'} \cap V_k$ in the *k*-th stage $(\mathcal{X}_k, \mathcal{A}_k)$ of the "absolute Semple tower" associated with $(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{A}_0) = (X, T_X)$ (so as to deal only with nonsingular ambient Semple bundles).

This produces an induced directed subvariety

 $(Z, W) \subset (X_k, V_k).$

It is easy to show that $\pi_{k,k-1}(Z) = X_{k-1} \Rightarrow \operatorname{rk} W < \operatorname{rk} V_k = \operatorname{rk} V$.

Partial solution of GGL conjecture

Definition

Let (X, V) be a directed pair where X is projective algebraic. We say that (X, V) is "strongly of general type" if it is of general type and for every irreducible alg. subvariety $Z \subsetneq X_k$ that projects onto $X, X_k \not\subset D_k := P(T_{X_{k-1}/X_{k-2}})$, the induced directed structure $(Z, W) \subset (X_k, V_k)$ is of general type modulo $X_k \to X$, i.e. ${}^b\mathcal{K}_W \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_k}(m)_{|Z}$ is big for some $m \in \mathbb{Q}_+$, after a suitable blow-up.

マロト イヨト イヨト 二日

Partial solution of GGL conjecture

Definition

Let (X, V) be a directed pair where X is projective algebraic. We say that (X, V) is "strongly of general type" if it is of general type and for every irreducible alg. subvariety $Z \subsetneq X_k$ that projects onto X, $X_k \not\subset D_k := P(T_{X_{k-1}/X_{k-2}})$, the induced directed structure $(Z, W) \subset (X_k, V_k)$ is of general type modulo $X_k \to X$, i.e. ${}^b\mathcal{K}_W \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_k}(m)_{|Z}$ is big for some $m \in \mathbb{Q}_+$, after a suitable blow-up.

Theorem (D-, 2014)

If (X, V) is strongly of general type, the Green-Griffiths-Lang conjecture holds true for (X, V), namely there $\exists Y \subsetneq X$ such that every non constant holomorphic curve $f : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \to (X, V)$ satisfies $f(\mathbb{C}) \subset Y$.

Partial solution of GGL conjecture

Definition

Let (X, V) be a directed pair where X is projective algebraic. We say that (X, V) is "strongly of general type" if it is of general type and for every irreducible alg. subvariety $Z \subsetneq X_k$ that projects onto X, $X_k \not\subset D_k := P(T_{X_{k-1}/X_{k-2}})$, the induced directed structure $(Z, W) \subset (X_k, V_k)$ is of general type modulo $X_k \to X$, i.e. ${}^b\mathcal{K}_W \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_k}(m)_{|Z}$ is big for some $m \in \mathbb{Q}_+$, after a suitable blow-up.

Theorem (D-, 2014)

If (X, V) is strongly of general type, the Green-Griffiths-Lang conjecture holds true for (X, V), namely there $\exists Y \subsetneq X$ such that every non constant holomorphic curve $f : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \to (X, V)$ satisfies $f(\mathbb{C}) \subset Y$.

Proof: Induction on rank V, using existence of jet differentials.

Definition

Fix an ample divisor A on X. For every irreducible subvariety $Z \subset X_k$ that projects onto X_{k-1} for $k \ge 1$, $Z \not\subset D_k$, and $Z = X = X_0$ for k = 0, we define the slope of the corresponding directed variety (Z, W) to be $\mu_A(Z, W) =$

 $\inf \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{Q} \, ; \, \exists m \in \mathbb{Q}_+, \, {}^b \mathcal{K}_W \otimes \left(\mathcal{O}_{X_k}(m) \otimes \pi_{k,0}^* \mathcal{O}(\lambda A) \right)_{|Z} \text{ big on } Z \right\}$

 $\operatorname{rank} W$

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Definition

Fix an ample divisor A on X. For every irreducible subvariety $Z \subset X_k$ that projects onto X_{k-1} for $k \ge 1$, $Z \not\subset D_k$, and $Z = X = X_0$ for k = 0, we define the slope of the corresponding directed variety (Z, W) to be $\mu_A(Z, W) =$

 $\frac{\inf\left\{\lambda\in\mathbb{Q}\,;\,\,\exists m\in\mathbb{Q}_+,\,\,{}^{b}\mathcal{K}_W\otimes\left(\mathcal{O}_{X_k}(m)\otimes\pi_{k,0}^*\mathcal{O}(\lambda A)\right)_{|Z}\,\,\text{big on }\,Z\right\}}{\operatorname{rank}W}$

Notice that (X, V) is of general type iff $\mu_A(X, V) < 0$.

Definition

Fix an ample divisor A on X. For every irreducible subvariety $Z \subset X_k$ that projects onto X_{k-1} for $k \ge 1$, $Z \not\subset D_k$, and $Z = X = X_0$ for k = 0, we define the slope of the corresponding directed variety (Z, W) to be $\mu_A(Z, W) =$

 $\frac{\inf\left\{\lambda\in\mathbb{Q}\,;\;\exists m\in\mathbb{Q}_+,\;{}^b\mathcal{K}_W\otimes\left(\mathcal{O}_{X_k}(m)\otimes\pi_{k,0}^*\mathcal{O}(\lambda A)\right)_{|Z}\text{ big on }Z\right\}}{\operatorname{rank}W}$

Notice that (X, V) is of general type iff $\mu_A(X, V) < 0$. We say that (X, V) is *A*-jet-stable (resp. *A*-jet-semi-stable) if $\mu_A(Z, W) < \mu_A(X, V)$ (resp. $\mu_A(Z, W) \le \mu_A(X, V)$) for all $Z \subsetneq X_k$ as above.

イロト イポト イラト イラト 一日

Definition

Fix an ample divisor A on X. For every irreducible subvariety $Z \subset X_k$ that projects onto X_{k-1} for $k \ge 1$, $Z \not\subset D_k$, and $Z = X = X_0$ for k = 0, we define the slope of the corresponding directed variety (Z, W) to be $\mu_A(Z, W) =$

 $\frac{\inf\left\{\lambda\in\mathbb{Q}\,;\;\exists m\in\mathbb{Q}_+,\;{}^b\mathcal{K}_W\otimes\left(\mathcal{O}_{X_k}(m)\otimes\pi_{k,0}^*\mathcal{O}(\lambda A)\right)_{|Z}\text{ big on }Z\right\}}{\operatorname{rank}W}$

Notice that (X, V) is of general type iff $\mu_A(X, V) < 0$. We say that (X, V) is *A*-jet-stable (resp. *A*-jet-semi-stable) if $\mu_A(Z, W) < \mu_A(X, V)$ (resp. $\mu_A(Z, W) \le \mu_A(X, V)$) for all $Z \subsetneq X_k$ as above.

Observation. If (X, V) is of general type and A-jet-semi-stable, then (X, V) is strongly of general type.

Approach of the Kobayashi conjecture

Definition

Let (X, V) be a directed pair where X is projective algebraic. We say that (X, V) is "algebraically jet-hyperbolic" if for every irreducible alg. subvariety $Z \subsetneq X_k$ s.t. $X_k \not\subset D_k$, the induced directed structure $(Z, W) \subset (X_k, V_k)$ either has W = 0 or is of general type modulo $X_k \to X$.

- A 同 ト - A 三 ト - A 三 ト

Approach of the Kobayashi conjecture

Definition

Let (X, V) be a directed pair where X is projective algebraic. We say that (X, V) is "algebraically jet-hyperbolic" if for every irreducible alg. subvariety $Z \subsetneq X_k$ s.t. $X_k \not\subset D_k$, the induced directed structure $(Z, W) \subset (X_k, V_k)$ either has W = 0 or is of general type modulo $X_k \to X$.

Theorem (D-, 2014)

If (X, V) is algebraically jet-hyperbolic, then (X, V) is Kobayashi (or Brody) hyperbolic, i.e. there are no entire curves $f : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \to (X, V)$.

イロト イポト イラト イラト 一日

Approach of the Kobayashi conjecture

Definition

Let (X, V) be a directed pair where X is projective algebraic. We say that (X, V) is "algebraically jet-hyperbolic" if for every irreducible alg. subvariety $Z \subsetneq X_k$ s.t. $X_k \not\subset D_k$, the induced directed structure $(Z, W) \subset (X_k, V_k)$ either has W = 0 or is of general type modulo $X_k \to X$.

Theorem (D-, 2014)

If (X, V) is algebraically jet-hyperbolic, then (X, V) is Kobayashi (or Brody) hyperbolic, i.e. there are no entire curves $f : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \to (X, V)$.

Now, the hope is that a (very) generic complete intersection $X = H_1 \cap \ldots \cap H_c \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+c}$ of codimension c and degrees (d_1, \ldots, d_c) s.t. $\sum d_j \geq 2n + c$ yields (X, T_X) algebraically jet-hyperbolic.

Invariance of plurigenera (?)

One way to check the above property, at least with non optimal bounds, would be to show some sort of Zariski openness of the properties "strongly of general type" or "algebraically jet-hyperbolic".

Invariance of plurigenera (?)

One way to check the above property, at least with non optimal bounds, would be to show some sort of Zariski openness of the properties "strongly of general type" or "algebraically jet-hyperbolic". One would need e.g. to know the answer to

Question

Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{V}) \to S$ be a proper family of directed varieties over a base S, such that $\pi : \mathcal{X} \to S$ is a nonsingular deformation and the directed structure on $X_t = \pi^{-1}(t)$ is $V_t \subset T_{X_t}$, possibly singular. Under which conditions is

$$t\mapsto h^0(X_t,\mathcal{K}^{[m]}_{V_t})$$

locally constant over S ?

This would be very useful since one can easily produce jet sections for hypersurfaces $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ admitting meromorphic connections with low pole order (Siu, Nadel).

J.-P. Demailly (Grenoble), Journées Complexes de Lorraine