

Estimates on Monge-Ampère operators derived from a local algebra inequality

Jean-Pierre Demailly

Université de Grenoble I, Département de Mathématiques
Institut Fourier, 38402 Saint-Martin d'Hères, France
e-mail: demailly@fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr

Dedicated to Professor Christer Kiselman, on the occasion of his retirement

Abstract. The goal of this short note is to relate the integrability property of the exponential $e^{-2\varphi}$ of a plurisubharmonic function φ with isolated or compactly supported singularities, to a priori bounds for the Monge-Ampère mass of $(dd^c\varphi)^n$. The inequality is valid locally or globally on an arbitrary open subset Ω in \mathbb{C}^n . We show that $\int_{\Omega}(dd\varphi)^n < n^n$ implies $\int_K e^{-2\varphi} < +\infty$ for every compact subset K in Ω , while functions of the form $\varphi(z) = n \log|z - z_0|$, $z_0 \in \Omega$, appear as limit cases. The result is derived from an inequality of pure local algebra, which turns out a posteriori to be equivalent to it, proved by A. Corti in dimension $n = 2$, and later extended by L. Ein, T. De Fernex and M. Mustață to arbitrary dimensions.

Résumé. Le but de cette note est d'établir une relation entre la propriété d'intégrabilité de l'exponentielle $e^{-2\varphi}$ d'une fonction plurisubharmonique φ dont les singularités sont isolées ou à support compact, et la donnée de bornes a priori pour la masse de Monge-Ampère de $(dd^c\varphi)^n$. L'inégalité obtenue a lieu aussi bien localement que globalement, ceci sur un ouvert arbitraire Ω de \mathbb{C}^n . Nous montrons que l'hypothèse $\int_{\Omega}(dd\varphi)^n < n^n$ entraîne $\int_K e^{-2\varphi} < +\infty$ pour tout sous-ensemble compact K de Ω , les fonctions de la forme $\varphi(z) = n \log|z - z_0|$, $z_0 \in \Omega$, apparaissant comme des cas limites. Le résultat se déduit d'une pure inégalité d'algèbre locale, qui se trouve a posteriori lui être équivalente, successivement démontrée par A. Corti en dimension $n = 2$, puis étendue par L. Ein, T. De Fernex et M. Mustață en dimensions arbitraires.

Key words. Monge-Ampère operator, local algebra, monomial ideal, Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity, log-canonical threshold, plurisubharmonic function, Ohsawa-Takegoshi L^2 extension theorem, approximation of singularities, birational rigidity.

Mots-clés. Opérateur de Monge-Ampère, algèbre locale, idéal monomial, multiplicité de Hilbert-Samuel, seuil log-canonique, fonction plurisousharmonique, théorème d'extension L^2 d'Ohsawa-Takegoshi, approximation des singularités, rigidité birationnelle.

AMS Classification. 32F07, 14B05, 14C17

1. Main result

Here we put $d^c = \frac{i}{2\pi}(\bar{\partial} - \partial)$ so that $dd^c = \frac{i}{\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}$. The normalization of the d^c operator is chosen such that we have precisely $(dd^c \log |z|)^n = \delta_0$ for the Monge-Ampère operator in \mathbb{C}^n . The Monge-Ampère operator is defined on locally bounded plurisubharmonic functions according to the definition of Bedford-Taylor [BT76, BT82]; it can also be extended to plurisubharmonic functions with isolated or compactly supported poles by [Dem93]. Our main result is the following a priori estimate for the Monge-Ampère operator acting on functions with compactly supported poles.

(1.1) Main Theorem. *Let Ω be an open subset in \mathbb{C}^n , K a compact subset of Ω , and let φ be a plurisubharmonic function on Ω such that $-A \leq \varphi \leq 0$ on $\Omega \setminus K$ and*

$$\int_{\Omega} (dd^c \varphi)^n \leq M < n^n.$$

Then there is an a priori upper bound for the Lebesgue integral of $e^{-2\varphi}$, namely

$$\int_K e^{-2\varphi} d\lambda \leq C(\Omega, K, A, M),$$

where the constant $C(\Omega, K, A, M)$ depends on the given parameters but not on the function φ .

We first make a number of elementary remarks.

(1.2) The result is optimal as far as the Monge-Ampère bound $M < n^n$ is concerned, since functions $\varphi_\varepsilon(z) = (n - \varepsilon) \log |z - z_0|$, $z_0 \in K^\circ \subset \Omega$ satisfy $\int_{\Omega} (dd^c \varphi_\varepsilon)^n = (n - \varepsilon)^n$, but $\int_K e^{-2\varphi_\varepsilon} d\lambda$ tends to $+\infty$ as ε tends to zero.

(1.3) The assumption $-A \leq \varphi \leq 0$ on $\Omega \setminus K$ is required, as it forces the poles of φ to be compactly supported – a condition needed to define properly the Monge-Ampère measure $(dd^c \varphi)^n$ (see e.g. [Dem93]). In any case, the functions $\varphi_\varepsilon(z) = \frac{1}{2} \ln(|z_1|^2 + \varepsilon^2)$ satisfy $\int_{\Omega} (dd^c \varphi_\varepsilon)^n = 0 < n^n$, but $\int_K e^{-2\varphi_\varepsilon} d\lambda$ is unbounded as ε tends to 0, whenever K contains at least one interior point located on the hyperplane $z_1 = 0$. The limit $\varphi(z) = \ln |z_1|$ of course does not have compactly supported poles. In such a circumstance, C.O. Kiselman [Ki84] observed long ago that the Monge-Ampère mass of $(dd^c \varphi)^n$ need not be finite or well defined.

(1.4) The a priori estimate (1.1) can be seen as a non linear analogue of Skoda's criterion for the local integrability of $e^{-2\varphi}$. Let us recall Skoda's criterion: *if the Lelong number $\nu(\varphi, z_0)$ satisfies $\nu(\varphi, z_0) < 1$, then $e^{-2\varphi}$ is locally integrable near z_0 , and if $\nu(\varphi, z_0) \geq n$, then $\int_V e^{-2\varphi} d\lambda = +\infty$ on every neighborhood V of z_0 .* The gap between 1 and n is an important feature of potential theory in several complex variables, and it therefore looks like an interesting bonus that there is no similar discrepancy for the estimate given by Theorem 1.1. One of the reasons is that $(dd^c \varphi)^n$ takes into account all dimensions simultaneously, while

the Lelong number only describes the minimal vanishing order with respect to arbitrary lines (or holomorphic curves). \square

The proof consists of several steps, the main of which is a reduction to the following result of local algebra, due to A. Corti [Cor00] in dimension 2 and L. Ein, T. De Fernex and M. Mustața [dFEM04] in general.

(1.5) Theorem. *Let \mathcal{J} be an ideal in the rings of germs $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}$ of holomorphic functions in n variables, such that the zero variety $V(\mathcal{J})$ consists of the single point $\{0\}$. Let $e(\mathcal{J})$ be the Samuel multiplicity of \mathcal{J} , i.e.*

$$e(\mathcal{J}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{n!}{k^n} \dim \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}/\mathcal{J}^k,$$

the leading coefficient in the Hilbert polynomial of \mathcal{J} . Then the log canonical threshold of \mathcal{J} satisfies

$$\text{lc}(\mathcal{J}) \geq \frac{n}{e(\mathcal{J})^{1/n}},$$

and the equality case occurs if and only if the integral closure $\bar{\mathcal{J}}$ of \mathcal{J} is a power \mathfrak{m}^s of the maximal ideal.

Recall that the *log canonical threshold* $\text{lc}(\mathcal{J})$ of an ideal \mathcal{J} is the supremum of all numbers $c > 0$ such that $(|g_1|^2 + \dots + |g_N|^2)^{-c}$ is integrable near 0 for any set of generators g_1, \dots, g_N of \mathcal{J} . If this supremum is less than 1 [this is always the case after replacing \mathcal{J} by a sufficiently high power \mathcal{J}^m , which yields $e(\mathcal{J}^m) = m^n e(\mathcal{J})$ and $\text{lc}(\mathcal{J}^m) = \frac{1}{m} \text{lc}(\mathcal{J})$], the integrability condition exactly means that the divisor cD associated with a generic element $D = \text{Div}_f$, $f \in \mathcal{J}$, is *Kawamata log terminal* (klt), i.e. that after blowing up and resolving the singularities to get a divisor with normal crossings, the associated divisor $\mu^*(cD) - E$ has coefficients < 1 , where μ is the blow-up map and E its jacobian divisor (see e.g. [DK00] for details).

In fact, Theorem 1.5 follows from Theorem 1.1 by taking Ω equal to a small ball $B(0, r) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ and $\varphi(z) = \frac{c}{2} \log \sum_j |g_j|^2$ where g_1, \dots, g_N are local generators of \mathcal{J} . For this, one observes that the Monge-Ampère mass of $(dd^c \varphi)^n$ carried by $\{0\}$ is equal to $c^n e(\mathcal{J})$ (Lemma 2.1 below), hence the integrability of $(|g_1|^2 + \dots + |g_N|^2)^{-c} = e^{-2\varphi}$ holds true as soon as $c^n e(\mathcal{J}) < n^n$; notice that the integral $\int_{B(0,r)} (dd^c \varphi)^n$ converges to the mass carried by 0 as the radius r tends to zero.

However, the strategy of the proof goes the other way round: Theorem 1.1 will actually be derived from Theorem 1.5 by means of the approximation techniques for plurisubharmonic functions developed in [Dem92] and the result on semi-continuity of singularity exponents (= log canonical thresholds) obtained in [DK00]. It is somewhat strange that one has to make a big detour through local algebra (and approximation of analytic objects by polynomials, as in [DK00]), to prove what finally appears to be a pure analytic estimate on Monge-Ampère operators.

It would be interesting to know whether a direct proof can be obtained by methods which are more familiar to analysts (integration by parts, convexity inequalities, integral kernels for $\bar{\partial}$...). One of the consequences of our use of a “purely qualitative” algebraic detour is that the constants $C(\Omega, K, A, M)$ appearing in Theorem 1.1 are non effective. On the other hand, we would like to know what kind of dependance this constants have e.g. on $n^n - M > 0$, and also what are the extremal functions (for instance in the case when Ω and K are concentric balls). The question is perhaps more difficult than it would first appear, since the most obvious guess is that the extremal functions are singular ones with a logarithmic pole $\varphi(z) \sim \lambda \log |z - z_0|$; the reason for this expectation is that the equality case in Theorem 1.5 is achieved precisely when the integral closure of the ideal \mathcal{J} is equal to a power of the maximal ideal.

I would like to thank I. Chel'tsov, R. Lazarsfeld, L. Ein, T. de Fernex, M. Mustața for explaining to me the algebraic issues involved in the inequalities just discussed (see e.g. [Che05]). It is worth mentioning that inequality 1.5 is related to deep questions of algebraic geometry such as the birational (super)rigidity of Fano manifolds; for instance, following ideas of Corti and Pukhlikov ([Cor95], [Cor00]), it is proved in [dFEM03] that every smooth hypersurface of degree N in \mathbb{P}^N is birationally superrigid at least for $4 \leq N \leq 12$, hence that such a hypersurface cannot be rational – this is a far reaching generalization of the classical result by Iskovskikh-Manin ([IM72], [Isk01]) that 3-dimensional quartics are not rational.

I am glad to dedicate this paper to Professor C.O. Kiselman whose work has been a great source of inspiration for my own research in complex analysis, especially on all subjects related to Monge-Ampère operators, Lelong numbers and attenuation of singularities of plurisubharmonic functions ([Kis78, 79, 84, 94a, 94b]). Various incarnations of these concepts and results appear throughout the present paper.

2. Proof of the integral inequality

The first step is to related Monge-Ampère masses to Samuel multiplicities. The relevant result is probably known, but we have not been able to find a precise reference in the litterature.

(2.1) Lemma. *In a neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$, let $\varphi(z) = \frac{1}{2} \log \sum_{j=1}^N |g_j|^2$ where g_1, \dots, g_N are germs of holomorphic functions which have 0 as their only common zero. Then the Monge-Ampère mass of $(dd^c \varphi)^n$ carried by $\{0\}$ is equal to the Samuel multiplicity $e(\mathcal{J})$ of the ideal $\mathcal{J} = (g_1, \dots, g_N) \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n, 0}$.*

Proof. For any point $a \in G_{N,n}$, the Grassmannian of n -dimensional subspaces in \mathbb{C}^N , we define

$$\varphi_a(z) = \frac{1}{2} \log \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_{ij} g_j(z) \right|^2$$

where (λ_{jk}) is the $n \times N$ -matrix of an orthonormal basis of the subspace a . It is easily shown that φ_a is defined in a unique way and that we have the Crofton type formula

$$(2.2) \quad (dd^c \varphi(z))^n = \int_{a \in G_{N,n}} (dd^c \varphi_a(z))^n d\mu(a)$$

where μ is the unique $U(N)$ -invariant probability measure on the Grassmannian. In fact this can be proved from the related equality

$$(dd^c \log |w|^2)^n = \int_{a \in G_{N,n}} (dd^c \log |\pi_a(w)|^2)^n d\mu(a)$$

where $\mathbb{C}^N \ni w \mapsto \pi_a(w)$ is the orthogonal projection onto $a \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, which itself follows by unitary invariance and a degree argument (both sides have degree one as bidegree (n, n) currents on the projective space \mathbb{P}^{N-1}). One then applies the substitution $w = g(z)$ to get the general case. The right hand side of (2.2) is well defined since the poles of φ_a form a finite set for a generic point a in the grassmannian; then $(dd^c \varphi_a(z))^n$ is just a sum of Dirac masses with integral coefficients (the local degree of the corresponding germ of map $g_a : z \mapsto (\sum_{1 \leq j \leq N} \lambda_{ij} g_j(z))_{1 \leq i \leq N}$ from \mathbb{C}^n to \mathbb{C}^N near the given point). By a continuity argument, the coefficient of δ_0 is constant except on some analytic stratum in the Grassmannian, and by Fubini, the mass carried by $(dd^c \varphi)^n$ at 0 is thus equal to the degree of g_a at 0 for generic a . Now, it is a well-known fact of commutative algebra that the Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity $e(\mathcal{J})$ is equal to the intersection number of the divisors associated with a generic n -tuple of elements of \mathcal{J} (Bourbaki, Algèbre Commutative [BAC83], VIII 7.5, Prop. 7). That intersection number is also equal to the generic value of the Monge-Ampère mass

$$(dd^c \log |\lambda_1 \cdot g|) \wedge \dots \wedge (dd^c \log |\lambda_n \cdot g|)(0).$$

By averaging with respect to the λ_j 's, this appears to be the same as the generic value of $(dd^c \varphi_a)^n(0)$. \square

We now briefly recall the ideas involved in the proof of Theorem 1.5, as taken from [dFEM04]. In order to prove the main inequality of 1.5 (which can be rewritten as $e(\mathcal{J}) \geq n^n / \text{lc}(\mathcal{J})^n$), it is sufficient so show that

$$(2.3) \quad \dim \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n, 0} / \mathcal{J} \geq n^n / (n! \text{lc}(\mathcal{J})^n).$$

In fact, since by definition $\text{lc}(\mathcal{J}^k) = \frac{1}{k} \text{lc}(\mathcal{J})$, a substitution of \mathcal{J} by \mathcal{J}^k in (2.3) yields

$$\frac{n!}{k^n} \dim \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n, 0} / \mathcal{J}^k \geq n^n / \text{lc}(\mathcal{J})^n$$

and we get the expected inequality 1.5 by letting k tend to $+\infty$. Now, by fixing a multiplicative order on the coordinates z_j , it is well known that one can construct

a flat family $(\mathcal{J}_s)_{s \in \mathbb{C}, 0}$ depending on a small complex parameter s such that \mathcal{J}_0 is a monomial ideal and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n, 0}/\mathcal{J}_s \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n, 0}/\mathcal{J}$ for all $s \neq 0$ (see Eisenbud [Ei95] for a nice discussion in the algebraic case). The semicontinuity property of the log canonical threshold (see for example [DK00]) implies that $\text{lc}(\mathcal{J}_0) \leq \text{lc}(\mathcal{J}_s)$ for small s .

The proof is then reduced to the case when \mathcal{J} is a monomial ideal, i.e. an ideal generated by a family of monomials (z^{β_j}) . In the latter situation, the argument proceeds from an explicit formula for $\text{lc}(\mathcal{J})$ due to J. Howald [Ho01] : let $P(\mathcal{J})$ be the Newton polytope of \mathcal{J} , i.e. the convex hull of the points $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$ associated with all monomials $z^\beta \in \mathcal{J}$; then putting $\mathbf{e} = (1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{N}^n$,

$$\frac{1}{\text{lc}(\mathcal{J})} = \min \{ \alpha > 0; \alpha \cdot \mathbf{e} \in P(\mathcal{J}) \}$$

(the reader can take this as a clever exercise on the convergence of integrals defined by sums of monomials in the denominator). Let F be the facet of $P(\mathcal{J})$ which contains the point $\frac{1}{\text{lc}(\mathcal{J})}\mathbf{e}$, and let $\sum x_j/a_j = 1$, $a_j > 0$ be the equation of this hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^n . Let us denote also by F_+ and F_- the open half-spaces delimited by F , such that $\mathbb{R}_+^n \cap F_-$ is relatively compact and $\mathbb{R}_+^n \cap F_+$ is unbounded. Then $\text{Vol}(\mathbb{R}_+^n \cap F_-) = \frac{1}{n!} \prod a_j$ and therefore, since $\mathbb{R}_+^n \setminus P(\mathcal{J})$ contains $\mathbb{R}_+^n \cap F_-$, we get

$$\text{Vol}(\mathbb{R}_+^n \setminus P(\mathcal{J})) \geq \text{Vol}(\mathbb{R}_+^n \cap F_-) = \frac{1}{n!} \prod a_j.$$

On the other hand, $\dim \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n, 0}/\mathcal{J}$ is at least equal to the number of elements of $\mathbb{N}^n \setminus P(\mathcal{J})$, which is itself at least equal to $\text{Vol}(\mathbb{R}_+^n \setminus P(\mathcal{J}))$ since the unit cubes $\beta + [0, 1]^n$ with $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n \setminus P(\mathcal{J})$ cover the complement $\mathbb{R}_+^n \setminus P(\mathcal{J})$. This yields

$$\dim \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n, 0}/\mathcal{J} \geq \frac{1}{n!} \prod a_j.$$

As $\frac{1}{\text{lc}(\mathcal{J})}\mathbf{e}$ belongs to F , we have $\sum 1/a_j = \text{lc}(\mathcal{J})$. The inequality between geometric and arithmetic means implies

$$\left(\prod \frac{1}{a_j} \right)^{1/n} \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum \frac{1}{a_j} = \frac{\text{lc}(\mathcal{J})}{n}$$

and inequality (2.3) follows. We refer to [dFEM04] for the discussion of the equality case. \square

The next ingredient is the following basic approximation theorem for plurisubharmonic functions through the Bergman kernel trick and the Ohsawa-Takegoshi theorem [OT87], the first version of which appeared in [Dem92]. We start with the general concept of complex singularity exponent introduced in [DK00], which extends the concept of log canonical threshold.

(2.4) Definition. Let X be a complex manifold and φ be a plurisubharmonic (psh) function on X . For any compact set $K \subset X$, we introduce the “complex singularity exponent” of φ on K to be the nonnegative number

$$c_K(\varphi) = \sup \{c \geq 0 : \exp(-2c\varphi) \text{ is } L^1 \text{ on a neighborhood of } K\},$$

and we define the “Arnold multiplicity” to be $\lambda_K(\varphi) = c_K(\varphi)^{-1}$:

$$\lambda_K(\varphi) = \inf \{\lambda > 0 : \exp(-2\lambda^{-1}\varphi) \text{ is } L^1 \text{ on a neighborhood of } K\}.$$

In the case where $\varphi(z) = \frac{1}{2} \log \sum |g_j|^2$, the exponent $c_{z_0}(\varphi)$ is the same as the log canonical threshold of the ideal $\mathcal{J} = (g_j)$ at the point z_0 .

(2.5) Theorem ([Dem92, DK00]). Let φ be a plurisubharmonic function on a bounded pseudoconvex open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$. For every real number $m > 0$, let $\mathcal{H}_{m\varphi}(\Omega)$ be the Hilbert space of holomorphic functions f on Ω such that $\int_{\Omega} |f|^2 e^{-2m\varphi} dV < +\infty$ and let $\psi_m = \frac{1}{2m} \log \sum_k |g_{m,k}|^2$ where $(g_{m,k})_k$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{H}_{m\varphi}(\Omega)$. Then:

(a) There are constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ independent of m and φ such that

$$\varphi(z) - \frac{C_1}{m} \leq \psi_m(z) \leq \sup_{|\zeta-z|<r} \varphi(\zeta) + \frac{1}{m} \log \frac{C_2}{r^n}$$

for every $z \in \Omega$ and $r < d(z, \partial\Omega)$. In particular, ψ_m converges to φ pointwise and in L^1_{loc} topology on Ω when $m \rightarrow +\infty$ and

(b) The Lelong numbers of φ and ψ_m are related by

$$\nu(\varphi, z) - \frac{n}{m} \leq \nu(\psi_m, z) \leq \nu(\varphi, z) \quad \text{for every } z \in \Omega.$$

(c) For every compact set $K \subset \Omega$, the Arnold multiplicity of φ , ψ_m and of the multiplier ideal sheaves $\mathcal{J}(m\varphi)$ are related by

$$\lambda_K(\varphi) - \frac{1}{m} \leq \lambda_K(\psi_m) = \frac{1}{m} \lambda_K(\mathcal{J}(m\varphi)) \leq \lambda_K(\varphi).$$

The final ingredient is the following fundamental semicontinuity result from [DK00].

(2.6) Theorem ([DK00]). Let X be a complex manifold. Let $\mathcal{Z}_+^{1,1}(X)$ denote the space of closed positive currents of type $(1, 1)$ on X , equipped with the weak topology, and let $\mathcal{P}(X)$ be the set of locally L^1 psh functions on X , equipped with the topology of L^1 convergence on compact subsets (= topology induced by the weak topology). Then

- (a) The map $\varphi \mapsto c_K(\varphi)$ is lower semi-continuous on $\mathcal{P}(X)$, and the map $T \mapsto c_K(T)$ is lower semi-continuous on $\mathcal{Z}_+^{1,1}(X)$.
- (b) (“Effective version”). Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ be given. If $c < c_K(\varphi)$ and ψ converges to φ in $\mathcal{P}(X)$, then $e^{-2c\psi}$ converges to $e^{-2c\varphi}$ in L^1 norm over some neighborhood U of K .

(2.7) Proof of Theorem 1.1. Assume that the conclusion of theorem 1.1 is wrong. Then there exist a compact set $K \subset \Omega$, constants $M < n^n$, $A > 0$ and a sequence φ_j of plurisubharmonic functions such that $-A \leq \varphi_j \leq 0$ on $\Omega \setminus K$ and $\int_{\Omega} (dd^c \varphi_j)^n \leq M$, while $\int_K e^{-2\varphi_j} d\lambda$ tends to $+\infty$ as j tends to $+\infty$. By well-known properties of potential theory, the condition $-A \leq \varphi_j \leq 0$ on $\Omega \setminus K$ ensures that the sequence (φ_j) is relatively compact in the L_{loc}^1 topology on Ω : in fact, the Laplacian $\Delta \varphi_j$ is a uniformly bounded measure on every compact of $\Omega \setminus K$, and this property extends to all compact subsets of Ω by Stokes’ theorem and the fact that there is a strictly subharmonic function on Ω ; we then conclude by an elementary (local) Green kernel argument. Therefore there exists a subsequence of (φ_j) which converges almost everywhere and in L_{loc}^1 topology to a limit φ such that $-A \leq \varphi \leq 0$ on $\Omega \setminus K$ and $\int_{\Omega} (dd^c \varphi)^n \leq M$. On the other hand, we must have $c_K(\varphi) \leq 1$ by (2.6 b) (hence $\int_K e^{-2(1+\varepsilon)\varphi} d\lambda = +\infty$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$).

As $c_K(\varphi) = \inf_{z \in K} c_{\{z\}}(\varphi)$ and $z \mapsto c_{\{z\}}(\varphi)$ is lower semicontinuous, there exists a point $z_0 \in K$ such that $c_{\{z_0\}}(\varphi) \leq 1$. By theorem 2.5 applied on a small ball $B(z_0, r)$, we can approximate φ by a sequence of psh functions of the form $\psi_m = \frac{1}{2m} \log \sum |g_{m,k}|^2$ on $B(z_0, r)$. Inequality (2.5 c) shows that we have

$$c_{\{z_0\}}(\psi_m) \leq \frac{1}{1/c_{\{z_0\}}(\varphi) - 1/m} \leq \frac{1}{1 - 1/m} < 1 + \varepsilon$$

for m large, hence $c_{\{z_0\}}((1 + \varepsilon)\psi_m) \leq 1$. However, the analytic strata of positive Lelong numbers of φ must be contained in K , hence they are isolated points in Ω , and thus the poles of ψ_m are isolated. By the weak continuity of the Monge-Ampère operator, we have

$$\int_{\overline{B}(z_0, r')} (dd^c(1 + \varepsilon)\psi_m)^n \leq (1 + \varepsilon)^{n+1} \int_{\overline{B}(z_0, r')} (dd^c \varphi)^n \leq (1 + \varepsilon)^{n+1} M^n$$

for m large, for any $r' < r$. If ε is chosen so small that $(1 + \varepsilon)^{n+1} M^n < n^n$, then the Monge-Ampère mass of $(1 + \varepsilon)\psi_m$ at z_0 is strictly less than n^n , but the log canonical threshold is at most equal to 1. This contradicts inequality 1.5, when using Lemma 2.1 to identify the Monge-Ampère mass with the Samuel multiplicity. \square

(2.8) Remark. As the proof shows, the arguments are mostly of a local nature (the main problem is to ensure convergence of the integral of $e^{-2\psi}$ on a neighborhood of the poles of an approximation ψ of φ with logarithmic poles). Therefore Theorem 1.1 is also valid for a plurisubharmonic function φ on an arbitrary non

singular complex variety X , provided that X does not possess positive dimensional complex analytic subsets (any open subset Ω in a Stein manifold will thus do). We leave the reader complete the obvious details.

(2.9) Remark. The proof is highly non constructive, so it seems at this point that there is no way of producing an explicit bound $C(\Omega, K, A, M)$. It would be interesting to find a method to calculate such a bound, even a suboptimal one.

(2.10) Remark. The equality case in Theorem 1.5 suggests that extremal functions with respect to the integral $\int_K e^{-2\varphi} d\lambda$ might be fonctions with Monge-Ampère measure $(dd^c\varphi)^n$ concentrated at one point $z_0 \in K$, and a logarithmic pole at z_0 . We are unsure what the correct boundary conditions should be, so as to actually get nice extremal functions of this form. We expect that an adequate condition is to assume that φ has zero boundary values. Further potential theoretic arguments would be needed for this, since prescribing the boundary values is not enough to get the relative compactness of the family in the weak topology (but this might be the case with the granted additional upper bound n^n on the Monge-Ampère mass)⁽¹⁾.

We end this discussion by stating two generalizations of theorem 1.1 whose algebraic counterparts are useful as well for their applications to algebraic geometry (see [Che05] and [dFEM03]).

(2.11) Theorem. *Let Ω be an open subset in \mathbb{C}^n , K a compact subset of Ω , and let φ, ψ be plurisubharmonic functions on Ω such that $-A \leq \varphi, \psi \leq 0$ on $\Omega \setminus K$, with $c_K(\psi) \geq \frac{1}{\gamma}$, $\gamma < 1$ and*

$$\int_{\Omega} (dd^c\varphi)^n \leq M < n^n(1 - \gamma)^n.$$

Then

$$\int_K e^{-2\varphi - 2\psi} d\lambda \leq C(\Omega, K, A, \gamma, M),$$

where the constant $C(\Omega, K, A, \gamma, M)$ depends on the given parameters but not on the functions φ, ψ .

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Hölder's inequality for the conjugate exponents $p = 1/(1 - \gamma - \varepsilon)$ and $q = 1/(\gamma + \varepsilon)$, applied to the functions $f = \exp(-2\varphi)$ and $g = \exp(-2\psi)$: when $\varepsilon > 0$ is small enough, the Monge-Ampère hypothesis for φ precisely implies that f is in $L^p(K)$ thanks to Theorem 1.1, and the assumption $c_K(\psi) \geq \frac{1}{\gamma}$ implies by definition that g is in $L^q(K)$. \square

⁽¹⁾ After the present paper was completed, Ahmed Zeriahi sent us a short proof of this fact, and also derived a stronger integral bound valid on the whole of Ω . See the Appendix below.

In the case where $D = \sum \gamma_j D_j$ is an effective divisor with normal crossings and ψ has codimension 1 analytic singularities given by D (i.e. $\psi(z) \sim \sum \gamma_j \log |z_j|$ in suitable local analytic coordinates), we see that Theorem 2.11 can be applied with $\gamma = \max(\gamma_j)$ and with the Monge-Ampère upper bound $n^n(1 - \max(\gamma_j))^n$. In this circumstance, it turns out that the latter bound can be improved.

(2.12) Theorem. *Let Ω be an open subset in \mathbb{C}^n , K a compact subset of Ω , and φ a plurisubharmonic function on Ω such that $-A \leq \varphi \leq 0$ on $\Omega \setminus K$. Assume that there are constants $0 \leq \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n < 1$ such that*

$$\int_{\Omega} (dd^c \varphi)^n \leq M < n^n \prod_{1 \leq j \leq n} (1 - \gamma_j).$$

Then

$$\int_K e^{-2\varphi(z)} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq n} |z_j|^{-2\gamma_j} d\lambda \leq C(\Omega, K, A, \gamma_j, M),$$

where the constant $C(\Omega, K, A, \gamma_j, M)$ depends on the given parameters but not on the function φ .

Proof. In the case when γ_j is the form $\gamma_j = 1 - 1/p_j$ and $p_j \geq 1$ is an integer, Theorem 2.12 can be derived directly from the arguments of the proof of Theorem 1.1. Since the estimate is essentially local, we only have to check convergence near the poles of φ , in the case when φ has an isolated analytic pole located on the support of the divisor D . Assume that the pole is the center of a polydisk $D(0, r) = \prod D(0, r_j)$, in coordinates chosen so that the components of D are the coordinate hyperplanes $z_j = 0$. We simply apply Theorem 1.1 to the function $\tilde{\varphi}(z) = \varphi(z_1^{p_1}, \dots, z_n^{p_n})$ (with $p_j = 1$ if the component $z_j = 0$ does not occur in D). We then get

$$\int_{\prod D(0, r_j^{1/p_j})} (dd^c \tilde{\varphi})^n = p_1 \dots p_n \int_{D(0, r)} (dd^c \varphi)^n = \prod (1 - \gamma_j)^{-1} \int_{D(0, r)} (dd^c \varphi)^n$$

by a covering degree argument, while

$$\int_{\prod \overline{D}(0, \rho_j^{1/p_j})} e^{-2\tilde{\varphi}} d\lambda = \int_{D(0, \rho)} e^{-2\varphi} \left(\prod |z_j|^{2(1-1/p_j)} \right)^{-1} d\lambda$$

by a change of variable $\zeta = z_j^{p_j}$. We do not have such a simple argument when the γ_j 's are arbitrary real numbers less than 1. In that case, the proof consists of repeating the steps of Theorem 1.1, with the additional observation that the statement of local algebra corresponding to Theorem 2.12 (i.e. with $\varphi(z) = c \log \sum |g_j|^2$ possessing one isolated pole) is still valid by [dFEM03], Lemma 2.4. \square

References

- [BAC83] Bourbaki, N.: *Algèbre Commutative, chapter VIII et IX*; Masson, Paris, 1983.
- [BT76] Bedford, E., Taylor, B.A.: *The Dirichlet problem for a complex Monge-Ampère equation*; Invent. Math. **37** (1976) 1–44.
- [BT82] Bedford, E., Taylor, B.A.: *A new capacity for plurisubharmonic functions*; Acta Math. **149** (1982) 1–41.
- [Che05] Chel'tsov, I.: *Birationally rigid Fano manifolds*; Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **60:5** (2005), 71–160 and Russian Math. Surveys **60:5** (2005), 875–965.
- [Cor95] Corti, A.: *Factoring birational maps of threefolds after Sarkisov*; J. Algebraic Geom. **4** (1995), 223–254.
- [Cor00] Corti, A.: *Singularities of linear systems and 3-fold birational geometry*; London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. **281** (2000) 259–312.
- [dFEM03] de Fernex, T., Ein, L., and Mustață: *Bounds for log canonical thresholds with applications to birational rigidity*; Math. Res. Lett. **10** (2003) 219–236.
- [dFEM04] de Fernex, T., Ein, L., and Mustață: *Multiplicities and log canonical thresholds*; J. Algebraic Geom. **13** (2004) 603–615.
- [Dem90] Demailly, J.-P.: *Singular hermitian metrics on positive line bundles*; Proceedings of the Bayreuth conference “Complex algebraic varieties”, April 2-6, 1990, edited by K. Hulek, T. Peternell, M. Schneider, F. Schreyer, Lecture Notes in Math. n° 1507, Springer-Verlag, 1992.
- [Dem92] Demailly, J.-P.: *Regularization of closed positive currents and Intersection Theory*; J. Alg. Geom. **1** (1992), 361–409.
- [Dem93] Demailly, J.-P.: *Monge-Ampère operators, Lelong numbers and intersection theory*; Complex Analysis and Geometry, Univ. Series in Math., edited by V. Ancona and A. Silva, Plenum Press, New-York, 1993.
- [DK00] Demailly, J.-P., Kollár, J.: *Semi-continuity of complex singularity exponents and Kähler-Einstein metrics on Fano orbifolds*; Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (4) **34** (2001), 525–556.
- [Ho01] Howald, J.: *Multiplier ideals of monomial ideals*; Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **353** (2001), 2665–2671.
- [IM72] Iskovskikh, V. A. and Manin, Yu. I.: *Three-dimensional quartics and counterexamples to the Lüroth problem*; Mat. Sb. **86** (1971), 140–166; English transl., Math. Sb. **15** (1972), 141–166.
- [Isk01] Iskovskikh, V. A.: *Birational rigidity and Mori theory*; Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **56:2** (2001) 3–86; English transl., Russian Math. Surveys **56:2** (2001), 207–291..
- [Kis78] Kiselman, C. O.: *The partial Legendre transformation for plurisubharmonic functions*; Inventiones Math. **49** (1978) 137–148.
- [Kis79] Kiselman, C. O.: *Densité des fonctions plurisousharmoniques*; Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France, **107** (1979) 295–304.
- [Kis84] Kiselman, C. O.: *Sur la définition de l'opérateur de Monge-Ampère complexe*; Analyse Complexe; Proceedings of the Journées Fermat – Journées SMF, Toulouse 1983; Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1094**, Springer-Verlag (1984) 139–150.
- [Kis94a] Kiselman, C. O.: *Attenuating the singularities of plurisubharmonic functions*; Ann. Polonici Mathematici **60** (1994) 173–197.
- [Kis94b] Kiselman, C. O.: *Plurisubharmonic functions and their singularities*; Complex Potential Theory (Eds. P.M. Gauthier & G. Sabidussi). NATO ASI Series, Series C, Vol. **439** Kluwer Academic Publishers (1994) 273–323.
- [OhT87] T. Ohsawa and K. Takegoshi: *On the extension of L^2 holomorphic functions*; Math. Zeitschrift **195** (1987) 197–204.
- [Puk87] Pukhlikov, A.V.: *Birational automorphisms of a four-dimensional quintic*; Invent. Math. **87** (1987), 303–329.

- [Puk02] Pukhlikov, A.V.: *Birational rigid Fano hypersurfaces*; Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat. **66**:6 (2002), 159–186; English translation, Izv. Math. **66**: (2002), 1243–1269.

(version of November 23, 2007, printed on November 23, 2007)

A. Appendix : a stronger version of Demailly's estimate on Monge-Ampère operators

Ahmed Zeriahi

Université Paul Sabatier - Toulouse 3
 Laboratoire de Mathématiques Émile Picard, UMR 5580 du CNRS
 118, Route de Narbonne - 31062 Toulouse Cedex 4, France
e-mail: zeriahi@math.ups-tlse.fr

As suggested in Remark 2.10 of J.-P. Demailly's paper in the present volume, it is possible to weaken the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1 therein so as to merely assume that the psh function φ on Ω has zero boundary values on $\partial\Omega$, in the sense that the limit of $\varphi(z)$ as $z \in \Omega$ tends to any boundary point $z_0 \in \partial\Omega$ is zero (see below for an even weaker interpretation). In addition to this, the integral bound for $e^{-2\varphi}$ can be obtained as a global estimate on Ω , and not just on a compact subset $K \subset \Omega$. Recall that a complex space is said to be hyperconvex if it possesses a bounded (say < 0) strictly plurisubharmonic exhaustion function.

(A.1) Theorem. *Let Ω be a bounded hyperconvex domain in \mathbb{C}^n and let φ be a plurisubharmonic function on Ω with zero boundary values, such that*

$$\int_{\Omega} (dd^c \varphi)^n \leq M < n^n.$$

Then there exists a uniform constant $C'(\Omega, M) > 0$ independent of φ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} e^{-2\varphi} d\lambda \leq C'(\Omega, M).$$

Proof. The first step consists of showing that there is a uniform estimate

$$(A.2) \quad \int_K e^{-2\varphi} d\lambda \leq C''(\Omega, K, M)$$

for every compact subset $K \subset \Omega$. Indeed, the compactness argument used in the proof of Theorem 1.1 still works in that case, thanks to the following observation.

(A.3) Observation. *The class $\mathcal{P}_{0,M}(\Omega)$ of psh functions φ on Ω with zero boundary values and satisfying $\int_{\Omega} (dd^c \varphi)^n \leq M$ is a relatively compact subset of $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ and its closure $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_{0,M}(\Omega)$ consists of functions sharing the same properties, except that they only have zero boundary values in the more general sense introduced by Cegrell ([Ceg04], see below).*

This statement is proved in detail in [Zer01]. The argument can be sketched as follows. According to [Ceg04], denote by $\mathcal{E}_0(\Omega)$ the set of "test" psh functions, i.e. bounded psh functions with zero boundary values, such that the Monge-Ampère measure has finite mass on Ω . Then, thanks to n successive integration

by parts, one shows that there exists a constant $c_n > 0$ such that if φ and ψ are functions in the class $\mathcal{E}_0(\Omega)$, one has

$$\int_{\Omega} (-\varphi)^n (dd^c \psi)^n \leq c_n \|\psi\|_{L^\infty}^n \int_{\Omega} (dd^c \varphi)^n.$$

This estimate is rather standard and was probably stated explicitly for the first time by Z. Błocki [Bł93]. It is clear by means of a standard truncation technique that this estimate is still valid when $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}_{0,M}(\Omega)$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{E}_0(\Omega)$. This proves that $\mathcal{P}_{0,M}(\Omega)$ is relatively compact in $L_{loc}^1(\Omega)$.

In order to determine the closure $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_{0,M}(\Omega)$ of $\mathcal{P}_{0,M}(\Omega)$, one can use the class $\mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ defined by Cegrell [Ceg04]. By definition, $\mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ is the class of negative psh functions φ on Ω such that there exists a non increasing sequence of test psh functions (φ_j) in the class $\mathcal{E}_0(\Omega)$ which converges towards φ and such that $\sup_j \int_{\Omega} (dd^c \varphi_j)^n < +\infty$. Cegrell showed that the Monge-Ampère operator is still well defined on $\mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ and is continuous on non increasing sequences in that space. It is then rather easy to show that the closure of $\mathcal{P}_{0,M}(\Omega)$ in $L_{loc}^1(\Omega)$ coincides with the class of psh functions $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ such that $\int_{\Omega} (dd^c \varphi)^n \leq M$. In fact, if (φ_j) is a sequence of elements of $\mathcal{P}_{0,M}(\Omega)$ which converges in $L_{loc}^1(\Omega)$ towards φ , one knows that φ is the upper regularized limit $\varphi = (\limsup_j \varphi_j)^*$ on Ω . By putting $\psi_j := (\sup_{k \geq j} \varphi_k)^*$, one obtains a non increasing sequence of functions of $\mathcal{P}_{0,M}(\Omega)$ which converges towards φ and since $\varphi_j \leq \psi_j \leq 0$, these functions have zero boundary values, and the comparison principle implies that $\int_{\Omega} (dd^c \psi_j)^n \leq \int_{\Omega} (dd^c \varphi_j)^n \leq M$. This proves that $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$. The inequality $\int_{\Omega} (dd^c \varphi)^n \leq M$ also holds true, since $(dd^c \psi_j)^n \rightarrow (dd^c \varphi)^n$ weakly. The estimate (A.2) now follows from the arguments given by Demailly for Theorem 1.1.

The second step consists in a reduction of Theorem A.1 to estimate (A.2) of the first step, thanks to a subextension theorem with control of the Monge-Ampère mass. Actually, let φ be as above and let $\tilde{\Omega}$ be a bounded hyperconvex domain of \mathbb{C}^n (e.g. a euclidean ball) such that $\overline{\Omega} \subset \tilde{\Omega}$. Then by [CZ03], there exists $\tilde{\varphi} \in \mathcal{F}(\tilde{\Omega})$ such that $\tilde{\varphi} \leq \varphi$ on Ω and $\int_{\tilde{\Omega}} (dd^c \tilde{\varphi})^n \leq \int_{\Omega} (dd^c \varphi)^n \leq M$. From this we conclude by (A.2) that

$$\int_{\Omega} e^{-2\varphi} d\lambda \leq \int_{\tilde{\Omega}} e^{-2\tilde{\varphi}} d\lambda \leq C''(\tilde{\Omega}, \overline{\Omega}, M).$$

The desired estimate is thus proved with $C'(\Omega, M) = C''(\tilde{\Omega}, \overline{\Omega}, M)$. \square

References

- [Bł93] Błocki, Z.: *Estimates for the complex Monge-Ampère operator*; Bull. Polish. Acad. Sci. Math. **41** (1993), 151–157.
- [Ceg04] Cegrell, U.: *The general definition of the complex Monge-Ampère operator*; Ann. Inst. Fourier **54** (2004) 159–197.
- [CZ03] Cegrell, U., Zeriahi, A.: *Subextension of plurisubharmonic functions with bounded Monge-Ampère mass*; C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris, série I **336** (2003) 305–308.
- [Zer01] Zeriahi, A.: *Volume and Capacity of Sublevel Sets of a Lelong Class of Plurisubharmonic Functions*; Indiana Univ. Math. J. **50** (2001), 671–703.