

A sharp lower bound for the log canonical threshold

Jean-Pierre Demailly and Phạm Hoàng Hiệp

Institut Fourier, Université de Grenoble I,
Hanoi National University of Education

Abstract. In this note, we prove a sharp lower bound for the log canonical threshold of a plurisubharmonic function φ with an isolated singularity at 0 in an open subset of \mathbb{C}^n . This threshold is defined as the supremum of constants $c > 0$ such that $e^{-2c\varphi}$ is integrable on a neighborhood of 0. We relate $c(\varphi)$ to the intermediate multiplicity numbers $e_j(\varphi)$, defined as the Lelong numbers of $(dd^c\varphi)^j$ at 0 (so that in particular $e_0(\varphi) = 1$). Our main result is that $c(\varphi) \geq \sum e_j(\varphi)/e_{j+1}(\varphi)$, $0 \leq j \leq n-1$. This inequality is shown to be sharp; it simultaneously improves the classical result $c(\varphi) \geq 1/e_1(\varphi)$ due to Skoda, as well as the lower estimate $c(\varphi) \geq n/e_n(\varphi)^{1/n}$ which has received crucial applications to birational geometry in recent years. The proof consists in a reduction to the toric case, i.e. singularities arising from monomial ideals.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 14B05, 32S05, 32S10, 32U25

Keywords and Phrases: Lelong number, Monge-Ampère operator, log canonical threshold.

1. NOTATION AND MAIN RESULTS

Here we put $d^c = \frac{i}{2\pi}(\bar{\partial} - \partial)$, so that $dd^c = \frac{i}{\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}$. The normalization of the d^c operator is chosen so that we have precisely $(dd^c \log |z|)^n = \delta_0$ for the Monge-Ampère operator in \mathbb{C}^n . The Monge-Ampère operator is defined on locally bounded plurisubharmonic functions according to the definition of Bedford-Taylor [BT76, BT82]; it can also be extended to plurisubharmonic functions with isolated or compactly supported poles by [Dem93]. If Ω is an open subset of \mathbb{C}^n , we let $\text{PSH}(\Omega)$ (resp. $\text{PSH}^-(\Omega)$) be the set of plurisubharmonic (resp. psh ≤ 0) functions on Ω .

Definition 1.1. *Let Ω be a bounded hyperconvex domain (i.e. a domain possessing a negative psh exhaustion). Following Cegrell [Ce04], we introduce certain classes of psh functions on Ω , in relation with the definition of the Monge-Ampère operator :*

- (a) $\mathcal{E}_0(\Omega) = \{\varphi \in \text{PSH}^-(\Omega) : \lim_{z \rightarrow \partial\Omega} \varphi(z) = 0, \int_{\Omega} (dd^c\varphi)^n < +\infty\},$
- (b) $\mathcal{F}(\Omega) = \{\varphi \in \text{PSH}^-(\Omega) : \exists \mathcal{E}_0(\Omega) \ni \varphi_p \searrow \varphi, \sup_{p \geq 1} \int_{\Omega} (dd^c\varphi_p)^n < +\infty\},$
- (c) $\mathcal{E}(\Omega) = \{\varphi \in \text{PSH}^-(\Omega) : \exists \varphi_K \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega) \text{ such that } \varphi_K = \varphi \text{ on } K, \forall K \subset\subset \Omega\}.$

It is proved in [Ce04] that the class $\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ is the biggest subset of $\text{PSH}^-(\Omega)$ on which the Monge-Ampère operator is well-defined. For a general complex manifold X , after removing

the negativity assumption of the functions involved, one can in fact extend the Monge-Ampère operator to the class

$$(1.2) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(X) \subset \text{PSH}(X)$$

of psh functions which, on a neighborhood $\Omega \ni x_0$ of an arbitrary point $x_0 \in X$, are equal to a sum $u + v$ with $u \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ and $v \in C^\infty(\Omega)$; again, this is the biggest subclass of functions of $\text{PSH}(X)$ on which the Monge-Ampère operator is locally well defined. It is easy to see that $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(X)$ contains the class of psh functions which are locally bounded outside isolated singularities.

For $\varphi \in \text{PSH}(\Omega)$ and $0 \in \Omega$, we introduce the log canonical threshold at 0

$$(1.3) \quad c(\varphi) = \sup \{c > 0 : e^{-2c\varphi} \text{ is } L^1 \text{ on a neighborhood of } 0\},$$

and for $\varphi \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\Omega)$ we introduce the intersection numbers

$$(1.4) \quad e_j(\varphi) = \int_{\{0\}} (dd^c \varphi)^j \wedge (dd^c \log \|z\|)^{n-j}$$

which can be seen also as the Lelong numbers of $(dd^c \varphi)^j$ at 0. Our main result is the following sharp estimate. It is a generalization and a sharpening of similar inequalities discussed in [Cor95], [Cor00], [dFEM03], [dFEM04]; such inequalities have fundamental applications to birational geometry (see [IM72], [Puk87], [Puk02], [Isk01], [Che05]).

Theorem 1.5. *Let $\varphi \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\Omega)$ and $0 \in \Omega$. Then $c(\varphi) = +\infty$ if $e_1(\varphi) = 0$, and otherwise*

$$c(\varphi) \geq \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{e_j(\varphi)}{e_{j+1}(\varphi)}.$$

Remark 1.6. By Lemma 2.1 below, we have $(e_1(\varphi), \dots, e_n(\varphi)) \in D$ where

$$D = \{t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in [0, +\infty)^n : t_1^2 \leq t_2, t_j^2 \leq t_{j-1}t_{j+1}, \forall j = 2, \dots, n-1\},$$

i.e. $\log e_j(\varphi)$ is a convex sequence. In particular, we have $e_j(\varphi) \geq e_1(\varphi)^j$, and the denominators do not vanish in 1.5 if $e_1(\varphi) > 0$. On the other hand, a well known inequality due to Skoda [Sko72] tells us that

$$\frac{1}{e_1(\varphi)} \leq c(\varphi) \leq \frac{n}{e_1(\varphi)},$$

hence $c(\varphi) < +\infty$ iff $e_1(\varphi) > 0$. To see that Theorem 1.5 is optimal, let us choose

$$\varphi(z) = \max(a_1 \ln |z_1|, \dots, a_n \ln |z_n|)$$

with $0 < a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_n$. Then $e_j(\varphi) = a_1 a_2 \dots a_j$, and a change of variable $z_j = \zeta_j^{1/a_j}$ on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_-$ easily shows that

$$c(\varphi) = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{a_j}.$$

Assume that we have a function $f : D \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ such that $c(\varphi) \geq f(e_1(\varphi), \dots, e_n(\varphi))$ for all $\varphi \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\Omega)$. Then, by the above example, we must have

$$f(a_1, a_1 a_2, \dots, a_1 \dots a_n) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{a_j}$$

for all a_j as above. By taking $a_j = t_j/t_{j-1}$, $t_0 = 1$, this implies that

$$f(t_1, \dots, t_n) \leq \frac{1}{t_1} + \frac{t_1}{t_2} + \dots + \frac{t_{n-1}}{t_n}, \quad \forall t \in D,$$

whence the optimality of our inequality. \square

Remark 1.7. Theorem 1.5 is of course stronger than Skoda's lower bound $c(\varphi) \geq 1/e_1(\varphi)$. By the inequality between the arithmetic and geometric means, we infer the main inequality of [dFEM03], [dFEM04] and [Dem09]

$$(1.8) \quad c(\varphi) \geq \frac{n}{e_n(\varphi)^{1/n}}.$$

By applying the arithmetic-geometric inequality for the indices $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ in our summation $\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} e_j(\varphi)/e_{j+1}(\varphi)$, we also infer the stronger inequality

$$(1.9) \quad c(\varphi) \geq \frac{1}{e_1(\varphi)} + (n-1) \left[\frac{e_1(\varphi)}{e_n(\varphi)} \right]^{\frac{1}{n-1}}.$$

2. LOG CONVEXITY OF THE MULTIPLICITY SEQUENCE

The log convexity of the multiplicity sequence can be derived from very elementary integration by parts and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, using an argument from [Ce04].

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\varphi \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\Omega)$ and $0 \in \Omega$. We have $e_j(\varphi)^2 \leq e_{j-1}(\varphi)e_{j+1}(\varphi)$, $\forall j = 1, \dots, n-1$.*

Proof. Without loss generality, by replacing φ with a sequence of local approximations $\varphi_p(z) = \max(\varphi(z) - C, p \log |z|)$ of $\varphi(z) - C$, $C \gg 1$, we can assume that Ω is the unit ball and $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_0(\Omega)$. Take also $h, \psi \in \mathcal{E}_0(\Omega)$. Then integration by parts and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality yield

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\int_{\Omega} -h(dd^c\varphi)^j \wedge (dd^c\psi)^{n-j} \right]^2 = \left[\int_{\Omega} d\varphi \wedge d^c\psi \wedge (dd^c\varphi)^{j-1} \wedge (dd^c\psi)^{n-j-1} \wedge dd^c h \right]^2 \\ & \leq \int_{\Omega} d\psi \wedge d^c\psi \wedge (dd^c\varphi)^{j-1} \wedge (dd^c\psi)^{n-j-1} \wedge dd^c h \int_{\Omega} d\varphi \wedge d^c\varphi \wedge (dd^c\varphi)^{j-1} \wedge (dd^c\psi)^{n-j-1} \wedge dd^c h \\ & = \int_{\Omega} -h(dd^c\varphi)^{j-1} \wedge (dd^c\psi)^{n-j+1} \int_{\Omega} -h(dd^c\varphi)^{j+1} \wedge (dd^c\psi)^{n-j-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, as $p \rightarrow +\infty$, take

$$h(z) = h_p(z) = \max\left(-1, \frac{1}{p} \log \|z\|\right) \nearrow \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } z \in \Omega \setminus \{0\} \\ -1 & \text{if } z = 0. \end{cases}$$

By the monotone convergence theorem we get in the limit

$$\left[\int_{\{0\}} (dd^c\varphi)^j \wedge (dd^c\psi)^{n-j} \right]^2 \leq \int_{\{0\}} (dd^c\varphi)^{j-1} \wedge (dd^c\psi)^{n-j+1} \int_{\{0\}} (dd^c\varphi)^{j+1} \wedge (dd^c\psi)^{n-j-1}.$$

For $\psi(z) = \ln \|z\|$, this is the desired estimate. \square

Corollary 2.2. *Let $\varphi \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\Omega)$ and $0 \in \Omega$. We have the inequalities*

$$\begin{aligned} e_j(\varphi) & \geq e_1(\varphi)^j, \quad \forall j = 0, 1, \dots, n \\ e_k(\varphi) & \leq e_j(\varphi)^{\frac{l-k}{l-j}} e_l(\varphi)^{\frac{k-j}{l-j}}, \quad \forall 0 \leq j < k < l \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

In particular $e_1(\varphi) = 0$ implies $e_k(\varphi) = 0$ for $k = 2, \dots, n-1$ if $n \geq 3$.

Proof. If $e_j(\varphi) > 0$ for all j , Lemma 2.1 implies that $j \mapsto e_j(\varphi)/e_{j-1}(\varphi)$ is increasing, at least equal to $e_1(\varphi)/e_0(\varphi) = e_1(\varphi)$, and the inequalities follow from the log convexity. The general case can be proved by considering $\varphi_\varepsilon(z) = \varphi(z) + \varepsilon \log \|z\|$, since $0 < \varepsilon^j \leq e_j(\varphi_\varepsilon) \rightarrow e_j(\varphi)$ when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. The last statement is obtained by taking $j = 1$ and $l = n$. \square

3. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

We start with a monotonicity statement.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\varphi, \psi \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\Omega)$ be such that $\varphi \leq \psi$ (i.e. φ is “more singular” than ψ). Then*

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{e_j(\varphi)}{e_{j+1}(\varphi)} \leq \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{e_j(\psi)}{e_{j+1}(\psi)}.$$

Proof. As in Remark 1.6, we set

$$D = \{t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in [0, +\infty)^n : t_1^2 \leq t_2, t_j^2 \leq t_{j-1}t_{j+1}, \forall j = 2, \dots, n-1\}.$$

Then D is a convex set in \mathbb{R}^n , as can be checked by a straightforward application of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. We consider the function $f : \text{int } D \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$

$$(3.2) \quad f(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \frac{1}{t_1} + \frac{t_1}{t_2} \dots + \frac{t_{n-1}}{t_n}.$$

We have

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t_j}(t) = -\frac{t_{j-1}}{t_j^2} + \frac{1}{t_{j+1}} \leq 0, \quad \forall t \in D.$$

For $a, b \in \text{int } D$ such that $a_j \geq b_j, \forall j = 1, \dots, n$, $[0, 1] \ni \lambda \rightarrow f(b + \lambda(a - b))$ is thus a decreasing function. This implies that $f(a) \leq f(b)$ for $a, b \in \text{int } D, a_j \geq b_j, \forall j = 1, \dots, n$. On the other hand, the hypothesis $\varphi \leq \psi$ implies $e_j(\varphi) \geq e_j(\psi), \forall j = 1, \dots, n$, by the comparison principle (see e.g. [Dem87]). Therefore $f(e_1(\varphi), \dots, e_n(\varphi)) \leq f(e_1(\psi), \dots, e_n(\psi))$. \square

(3.3) Proof of the main theorem in the “toric case”.

It will be convenient here to introduce Kiselman’s refined Lelong numbers (cf. [Kis87], [Kis94a]):

Definition 3.4. *Let $\varphi \in \text{PSH}(\Omega)$. Then the function*

$$\nu_\varphi(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{\max\{\varphi(z) : |z_1| = e^{x_1 t}, \dots, |z_n| = e^{x_n t}\}}{t}$$

is called the refined Lelong number of φ at 0. This function is increasing in each variable x_j and concave on \mathbb{R}_+^n .

By “toric case”, we mean that $\varphi(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \varphi(|z_1|, \dots, |z_n|)$ depends only on $|z_j|$ for all j ; then φ is psh if and only if $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \mapsto \varphi(e^{t_1}, \dots, e^{t_n})$ is increasing in each t_j and convex. By replacing φ with $\varphi(\lambda z) - \varphi(\lambda, \dots, \lambda)$, $0 < \lambda \ll 1$, we can assume that $\Omega = \Delta^n$ is the unit polydisk, $\varphi(1, \dots, 1) = 0$ (so that $\varphi \leq 0$ on Ω), and we have $e_1(\varphi) = n \nu_\varphi(\frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n})$.

By convexity, the slope $\frac{\max\{\varphi(z) : |z_j| = e^{x_j t}\}}{t}$ is increasing in t for $t < 0$. Therefore, by taking $t = -1$ we get

$$\nu_\varphi(-\ln |z_1|, \dots, -\ln |z_n|) \leq -\varphi(z_1, \dots, z_n).$$

Notice also that $\nu_\varphi(x)$ satisfies the 1-homogeneity property $\nu_\varphi(\lambda x) = \lambda \nu_\varphi(x)$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$. As a consequence, ν_φ is entirely characterized by its restriction to the set

$$\Sigma = \left\{ x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n : \sum_{j=1}^n x_j = 1 \right\}.$$

We choose $x^0 = (x_1^0, \dots, x_n^0) \in \Sigma$ such that

$$\nu_\varphi(x^0) = \max\{\nu_\varphi(x) : x \in \Sigma\} \in \left[\frac{e_1(\varphi)}{n}, e_1(\varphi) \right].$$

By Theorem 5.8 in [Kis94a] (see also [Ho01] for similar results in an algebraic context) we have the formula

$$c(\varphi) = \frac{1}{\nu_\varphi(x^0)}.$$

Set

$$\zeta(x) = \nu_\varphi(x^0) \min\left(\frac{x_1}{x_1^0}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{x_n^0}\right), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n.$$

Then ζ is the smallest nonnegative concave 1-homogeneous function on \mathbb{R}_+^n that is increasing in each variable x_j and such that $\zeta(x^0) = \nu_\varphi(x^0)$. Therefore we have $\zeta \leq \nu_\varphi$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(z_1, \dots, z_n) &\leq -\nu_\varphi(-\ln|z_1|, \dots, -\ln|z_n|) \\ &\leq -\zeta(-\ln|z_1|, \dots, -\ln|z_n|) \\ &\leq \nu_\varphi(x^0) \max\left(\frac{\ln|z_1|}{x_1^0}, \dots, \frac{\ln|z_n|}{x_n^0}\right) := \psi(z_1, \dots, z_n). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.1 and Remark 1.6 we get

$$f(e_1(\varphi), \dots, e_n(\varphi)) \leq f(e_1(\psi), \dots, e_n(\psi)) = c(\psi) = \frac{1}{\nu_\varphi(x^0)} = c(\varphi).$$

(3.5) Reduction to the case of psh functions with analytic singularities.

In the second step, we reduce the proof to the case $\varphi = \log(|f_1|^2 + \dots + |f_N|^2)$, where f_1, \dots, f_N are germs of holomorphic functions at 0. Following the technique introduced in [Dem92], we let $\mathcal{H}_{m\varphi}(\Omega)$ be the Hilbert space of holomorphic functions f on Ω such that

$$\int_{\Omega} |f|^2 e^{-2m\varphi} dV < +\infty,$$

and let $\psi_m = \frac{1}{2m} \log \sum |g_{m,k}|^2$ where $\{g_{m,k}\}_{k \geq 1}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{H}_{m\varphi}(\Omega)$. Thanks to Theorem 4.2 in [DK00], mainly based on to the Ohsawa-Takegoshi L^2 extension theorem [OT87] (see also [Dem92]), there are constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ independent of m such that

$$\varphi(z) - \frac{C_1}{m} \leq \psi_m(z) \leq \sup_{|\zeta-z|<r} \varphi(\zeta) + \frac{1}{m} \log \frac{C_2}{r^n}$$

for every $z \in \Omega$ and $r < d(z, \partial\Omega)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(\varphi) - \frac{n}{m} &\leq \nu(\psi_m) \leq \nu(\varphi), \\ \frac{1}{c(\varphi)} - \frac{1}{m} &\leq \frac{1}{c(\psi_m)} \leq \frac{1}{c(\varphi)}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.1, we have

$$f(e_1(\varphi), \dots, e_n(\varphi)) \leq f(e_1(\psi_m), \dots, e_n(\psi_m)), \quad \forall m \geq 1.$$

The above inequalities show that in order to prove the lower bound of $c(\varphi)$ in Theorem 1.5, we only need to prove it for $c(\psi_m)$ and let m tend to infinity. Also notice that since the Lelong numbers of a function $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ occur only on a discrete set, the same is true for the functions ψ_m .

(3.6) Reduction of the main theorem to the case of monomial ideals.

The final step consists of proving the theorem for $\varphi = \log(|f_1|^2 + \dots + |f_N|^2)$, where f_1, \dots, f_N are germs of holomorphic functions at 0 [this is because the ideals $(g_{m,k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ in the Noetherian ring $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}$ are always finitely generated]. Set $\mathcal{J} = (f_1, \dots, f_N)$, $c(\mathcal{J}) = c(\varphi)$, $e_j(\mathcal{J}) = e_j(\varphi)$, $\forall j = 0, \dots, n$. By the final observation of 3.5, we can assume that \mathcal{J} has an isolated zero at 0. Now, by fixing a multiplicative order on the monomials $z^\alpha = z_1^{\alpha_1} \dots z_n^{\alpha_n}$ (see [Eis95] Chap. 15 and [dFEM04]), it is well known that one can construct a flat family $(\mathcal{J}_s)_{s \in \mathbb{C}}$ of ideals of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}$ depending on a complex parameter $s \in \mathbb{C}$, such that \mathcal{J}_0 is a monomial ideal, $\mathcal{J}_1 = \mathcal{J}$ and $\dim(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}/\mathcal{J}_s^t) = \dim(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}/\mathcal{J}^t)$ for all s and $t \in \mathbb{N}$; in fact \mathcal{J}_0 is just the initial ideal associated to \mathcal{J} with respect to the monomial order. Moreover, we can arrange by a generic rotation of coordinates $\mathbb{C}^p \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ that the family of ideals $\mathcal{J}_s|_{\mathbb{C}^p}$ is also flat, and that the dimensions

$$\dim(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^p,0}/(\mathcal{J}_s|_{\mathbb{C}^p})^t) = \dim(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^p,0}/(\mathcal{J}|_{\mathbb{C}^p})^t)$$

compute the intermediate multiplicities

$$e_p(\mathcal{J}_s) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{p!}{t^p} \dim(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^p,0}/(\mathcal{J}_s|_{\mathbb{C}^p})^t) = e_p(\mathcal{J})$$

(notice, in the analytic setting, that the Lelong number of the (p, p) -current $(dd^c \varphi)^p$ at 0 is the Lelong number of its slice on a generic $\mathbb{C}^p \subset \mathbb{C}^n$); in particular $e_p(\mathcal{J}_0) = e_p(\mathcal{J})$ for all p . The semicontinuity property of the log canonical threshold (see for example [DK00]) now implies that $c(\mathcal{J}_0) \leq c(\mathcal{J}_s)$ for s small. As $c(\mathcal{J}_s) = c(\mathcal{J})$ for $s \neq 0$ (\mathcal{J}_s being a pull-back of \mathcal{J} by a biholomorphism, in other words $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}/\mathcal{J}_s \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}/\mathcal{J}$ as rings, see again [Eis95], chap. 15), the lower bound is valid for $c(\mathcal{J})$ if it is valid for $c(\mathcal{J}_0)$.

REFERENCES

- [BT76] E. Bedford and B. A. Taylor, *The Dirichlet problem for a complex Monge-Ampère equation*, Invent. Math. **37** (1976) 1–44.
- [BT82] E. Bedford and B. A. Taylor, *A new capacity for plurisubharmonic functions*, Acta Math. **149** (1982) 1–41.
- [Ce04] U. Cegrell, *The general definition of the complex Monge-Ampère operator*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), **54**(2004), 159–179.
- [Che05] I. Chel'tsov, *Birationally rigid Fano manifolds*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **60:5** (2005), 71–160 and Russian Math. Surveys **60:5** (2005), 875–965.
- [Cor95] A. Corti, *Factoring birational maps of threefolds after Sarkisov*, J. Algebraic Geom., **4** (1995), 223–254.
- [Cor00] A. Corti, *Singularities of linear systems and 3-fold birational geometry*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. **281** (2000) 259–312.
- [dFEM03] T. de Fernex, T. L. Ein and Mustața, *Bounds for log canonical thresholds with applications to birational rigidity*, Math. Res. Lett. **10** (2003) 219–236.
- [dFEM04] T. de Fernex, T. L. Ein and Mustața, *Multiplicities and log canonical thresholds*, J. Algebraic Geom. **13** (2004) 603–615.
- [Dem87] J.-P. Demailly, *Nombres de Lelong généralisés, théorèmes d'intégralité et d'analyticité*, Acta Math. **159** (1987) 153–169.
- [Dem92] J.-P. Demailly, *Regularization of closed positive currents and Intersection Theory*, J. Alg. Geom. **1** (1992), 361–409.

- [Dem93] J.-P. Demailly, *Monge-Ampère operators, Lelong numbers and intersection theory*, Complex Analysis and Geometry, Univ. Series in Math., edited by V. Ancona and A. Silva, Plenum Press, New-York, 1993.
- [DK00] J.-P. Demailly and J. Kollár, *Semi-continuity of complex singularity exponents and Kähler-Einstein metrics on Fano orbifolds*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (4) **34** (2001), 525–556.
- [Dem09] J.-P. Demailly, *Estimates on Monge-Ampère operators derived from a local algebra inequality*, in: Complex Analysis and Digital geometry, Proceedings of the Kiselmanfest 2006, Acta Universitatis Upsaliensis, 2009.
- [Eis95] D. Eisenbud, *Commutative algebra with a view toward algebraic geometry*, Grad. Texts in Math. **150**, Springer, New York, 1995.
- [Ho01] J. Howald, *Multiplier ideals of monomial ideals*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **353** (2001), 2665–2671.
- [IM72] V.A. Iskovskikh and I.Yu. Manin, *Three-dimensional quartics and counterexamples to the Lüroth problem*, Mat. Sb. **86** (1971), 140–166; English transl., Math. Sb. **15** (1972), 141–166.
- [Isk01] V.A. Iskovskikh, *Birational rigidity and Mori theory*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **56:2** (2001) 3–86, English transl., Russian Math. Surveys **56:2** (2001), 207–291.
- [Kis87] C.O. Kiselman, *Un nombre de Lelong raffiné*, Séminaire d’Analyse Complexe et Géométrie 198587, Faculté des Sciences de Tunis & Faculté des Sciences et Techniques de Monastir, (1987) 61–70.
- [Kis94a] C.O. Kiselman, *Attenuating the singularities of plurisubharmonic functions*, Ann. Polon. Math. **60** (1994) 173–197.
- [OhT87] T. Ohsawa and K. Takegoshi, *On the extension of L^2 holomorphic functions*, Math. Zeit. **195** (1987) 197–204.
- [Puk87] A.V. Pukhlikov, *Birational automorphisms of a four-dimensional quintic*, Invent. Math. **87** (1987), 303–329.
- [Puk02] A.V. Pukhlikov, *Birationally rigid Fano hypersurfaces*, Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat. **66:6** (2002), 159–186; English translation, Izv. Math. **66:** (2002), 1243–1269.
- [Sko72] H. Skoda, *Sous-ensembles analytiques d’ordre fini ou infini dans \mathbb{C}^n* , Bull. Soc. Math. France **100** (1972) 353–408.

Jean-Pierre Demailly
 Université de Grenoble I, Département de Mathématiques
 Institut Fourier, 38402 Saint-Martin d’Hères, France
e-mail: jean-pierre.demailly@ujf-grenoble.fr

Phạm Hoàng Hiệp
 Department of Mathematics, National University of Education
 136-Xuan Thuy, Cau Giay, Hanoi, Vietnam
 and Institut Fourier (on a Post-Doctoral grant from Univ. Grenoble I)
e-mail: phhiep_vn@yahoo.com