

# A sharp lower bound for the log canonical threshold

by

JEAN-PIERRE DEMAILLY

*Université de Grenoble I  
 Saint-Martin d'Hères, France*

HOÀNG HIỆP PHẠM

*Hanoi National University of Education  
 Hanoi, Vietnam*

## 1. Notation and main results

Here we put  $d^c = (i/2\pi)(\bar{\partial} - \partial)$ , so that  $dd^c = (i/\pi)\partial\bar{\partial}$ . The normalization of the  $d^c$  operator is chosen so that we have precisely  $(dd^c \log |z|)^n = \delta_0$  for the Monge–Ampère operator in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . The Monge–Ampère operator is defined on locally bounded plurisubharmonic functions according to the definition of Bedford–Taylor [BT1], [BT2]; it can also be extended to plurisubharmonic functions with isolated or compactly supported poles by [D3]. If  $\Omega$  is an open subset of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , we let  $\text{PSH}(\Omega)$  (resp.  $\text{PSH}^-(\Omega)$ ) be the set of plurisubharmonic (resp. psh  $\leq 0$ ) functions on  $\Omega$ .

*Definition 1.1.* Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded hyperconvex domain (i.e. a domain possessing a negative psh exhaustion). Following Cegrell [Ce], we introduce certain classes of psh functions on  $\Omega$ , in relation with the definition of the Monge–Ampère operator:

$$\mathcal{E}_0(\Omega) = \left\{ \varphi \in \text{PSH}^-(\Omega) : \lim_{z \rightarrow \partial\Omega} \varphi(z) = 0 \text{ and } \int_{\Omega} (dd^c \varphi)^n < \infty \right\}, \quad (\text{a})$$

$$\mathcal{F}(\Omega) = \left\{ \varphi \in \text{PSH}^-(\Omega) : \text{there is } \mathcal{E}_0(\Omega) \ni \varphi_p \searrow \varphi \text{ such that } \sup_{p \geq 1} \int_{\Omega} (dd^c \varphi_p)^n < \infty \right\}, \quad (\text{b})$$

$$\mathcal{E}(\Omega) = \{ \varphi \in \text{PSH}^-(\Omega) : \text{there is } \varphi_K \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega) \text{ such that } \varphi_K = \varphi \text{ on } K \text{ for all } K \Subset \Omega \}. \quad (\text{c})$$

It is proved in [Ce] that the class  $\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$  is the biggest subset of  $\text{PSH}^-(\Omega)$  on which the Monge–Ampère operator is well defined. For a general complex manifold  $X$ , after removing the negativity assumption of the functions involved, one can in fact extend the Monge–Ampère operator to the class

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(X) \subset \text{PSH}(X) \quad (1.1)$$

of psh functions which, on a neighborhood  $\Omega \ni x_0$  of an arbitrary point  $x_0 \in X$ , are equal to a sum  $u+v$  with  $u \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega)$  and  $v \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ ; again, this is the biggest subclass of functions of  $\text{PSH}(X)$  on which the Monge–Ampère operator is locally well defined. It is easy to see that  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(X)$  contains the class of psh functions which are locally bounded outside isolated singularities.

For  $\varphi \in \text{PSH}(\Omega)$  and  $0 \in \Omega$ , we introduce the *log canonical threshold* at 0,

$$c(\varphi) = \sup\{c > 0 : e^{-2c\varphi} \text{ is } L^1 \text{ on a neighborhood of } 0\}, \quad (1.2)$$

and for  $\varphi \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\Omega)$  we introduce the *intersection numbers*

$$e_j(\varphi) = \int_{\{0\}} (dd^c\varphi)^j \wedge (dd^c \log \|z\|)^{n-j}, \quad (1.3)$$

which can be seen also as the Lelong numbers of  $(dd^c\varphi)^j$  at 0. Our main result is the following sharp estimate. It is a generalization and a sharpening of similar inequalities discussed in [Co1], [Co2], [FEM1] and [FEM2]; such inequalities have fundamental applications to birational geometry (see [IM], [P1], [P2], [I] and [Ch]).

**THEOREM 1.2.** *Let  $\varphi \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\Omega)$  and  $0 \in \Omega$ . Then  $c(\varphi) = \infty$  if  $e_1(\varphi) = 0$  and, otherwise,*

$$c(\varphi) \geq \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{e_j(\varphi)}{e_{j+1}(\varphi)}.$$

*Remark 1.3.* By Lemma 2.1 below, we have  $(e_1(\varphi), \dots, e_n(\varphi)) \in D$ , where

$$D = \{t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in [0, \infty)^n : t_1^2 \leq t_2 \text{ and } t_j^2 \leq t_{j-1}t_{j+1} \text{ for } j = 2, \dots, n-1\},$$

i.e.  $\log e_j(\varphi)$  is a convex sequence. In particular, we have  $e_j(\varphi) \geq e_1(\varphi)^j$ , and the denominators do not vanish in Theorem 1.2 if  $e_1(\varphi) > 0$ . On the other hand, a well-known inequality due to Skoda [S] tells us that

$$\frac{1}{e_1(\varphi)} \leq c(\varphi) \leq \frac{n}{e_1(\varphi)},$$

and hence  $c(\varphi) < \infty$  if and only if  $e_1(\varphi) > 0$ . To see that Theorem 1.2 is optimal, let us choose

$$\varphi(z) = \max\{a_1 \log |z_1|, \dots, a_n \log |z_n|\},$$

with  $0 < a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_n$ . Then  $e_j(\varphi) = a_1 a_2 \dots a_j$ , and a change of variable  $z_j = \zeta_j^{1/a_j}$  on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_-$  easily shows that

$$c(\varphi) = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{a_j}.$$

Assume that we have a function  $f: D \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that  $c(\varphi) \geq f(e_1(\varphi), \dots, e_n(\varphi))$  for all  $\varphi \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\Omega)$ . Then, by the above example, we must have

$$f(a_1, a_1 a_2, \dots, a_1 \dots a_n) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{a_j}$$

for all  $a_j$  as above. By taking  $a_j = t_j/t_{j-1}$ , with  $t_0 = 1$ , this implies that

$$f(t_1, \dots, t_n) \leq \frac{1}{t_1} + \frac{t_1}{t_2} + \dots + \frac{t_{n-1}}{t_n} \quad \text{for all } t \in D,$$

whence the optimality of our inequality.

*Remark 1.4.* Theorem 1.2 is of course stronger than Skoda's lower bound

$$c(\varphi) \geq \frac{1}{e_1(\varphi)}.$$

By the inequality between the arithmetic and geometric means, we infer the main inequality of [FEM1], [FEM2] and [D4]:

$$c(\varphi) \geq \frac{n}{e_n(\varphi)^{1/n}}. \tag{1.4}$$

By applying the arithmetic-geometric inequality for the indices  $1 \leq j \leq n-1$  in our summation  $\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} e_j(\varphi)/e_{j+1}(\varphi)$ , we also infer the stronger inequality

$$c(\varphi) \geq \frac{1}{e_1(\varphi)} + (n-1) \left( \frac{e_1(\varphi)}{e_n(\varphi)} \right)^{1/(n-1)}. \tag{1.5}$$

## 2. Log convexity of the multiplicity sequence

The log convexity of the multiplicity sequence can be derived from very elementary integration by parts and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, using an argument from [Ce].

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $\varphi \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\Omega)$  and  $0 \in \Omega$ . We have*

$$e_j(\varphi)^2 \leq e_{j-1}(\varphi) e_{j+1}(\varphi) \quad \text{for all } j = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

*Proof.* Without loss generality, by replacing  $\varphi$  with a sequence of local approximations  $\varphi_p(z) = \max\{\varphi(z) - C, p \log |z|\}$  of  $\varphi(z) - C$ ,  $C \gg 1$ , we may assume that  $\Omega$  is the unit

ball and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_0(\Omega)$ . Take also  $h, \psi \in \mathcal{E}_0(\Omega)$ . Then integration by parts and the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality yield

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left( \int_{\Omega} -h(dd^c\varphi)^j \wedge (dd^c\psi)^{n-j} \right)^2 \\
&= \left( \int_{\Omega} d\varphi \wedge d^c\psi \wedge (dd^c\varphi)^{j-1} \wedge (dd^c\psi)^{n-j-1} \wedge dd^c h \right)^2 \\
&\leq \int_{\Omega} d\psi \wedge d^c\psi \wedge (dd^c\varphi)^{j-1} \wedge (dd^c\psi)^{n-j-1} \wedge dd^c h \\
&\quad \times \int_{\Omega} d\varphi \wedge d^c\varphi \wedge (dd^c\varphi)^{j-1} \wedge (dd^c\psi)^{n-j-1} \wedge dd^c h \\
&= \int_{\Omega} -h(dd^c\varphi)^{j-1} \wedge (dd^c\psi)^{n-j+1} \int_{\Omega} -h(dd^c\varphi)^{j+1} \wedge (dd^c\psi)^{n-j-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, as  $p \rightarrow \infty$ , take

$$h(z) = h_p(z) = \max \left\{ -1, \frac{1}{p} \log \|z\| \right\} \nearrow \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } z \in \Omega \setminus \{0\}, \\ -1, & \text{if } z = 0. \end{cases}$$

By the monotone convergence theorem, we get in the limit

$$\left( \int_{\{0\}} (dd^c\varphi)^j \wedge (dd^c\psi)^{n-j} \right)^2 \leq \int_{\{0\}} (dd^c\varphi)^{j-1} \wedge (dd^c\psi)^{n-j+1} \int_{\{0\}} (dd^c\varphi)^{j+1} \wedge (dd^c\psi)^{n-j-1}.$$

For  $\psi(z) = \log \|z\|$ , this is the desired estimate.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 2.2.** *Let  $\varphi \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\Omega)$  and  $0 \in \Omega$ . We have the inequalities*

$$\begin{aligned}
e_j(\varphi) &\geq e_1(\varphi)^j && \text{for } 0 \leq j \leq n, \\
e_k(\varphi) &\leq e_j(\varphi)^{(l-k)/(l-j)} e_l(\varphi)^{(k-j)/(l-j)} && \text{for } 0 \leq j < k < l \leq n.
\end{aligned}$$

*In particular  $e_1(\varphi) = 0$  implies that  $e_k(\varphi) = 0$  for  $k = 2, \dots, n-1$  if  $n \geq 3$ .*

*Proof.* If  $e_j(\varphi) > 0$  for all  $j$ , Lemma 2.1 implies that  $j \mapsto e_j(\varphi)/e_{j-1}(\varphi)$  is increasing, at least equal to  $e_1(\varphi)/e_0(\varphi) = e_1(\varphi)$ , and the inequalities follow from the log convexity. The general case can be proved by considering  $\varphi_\varepsilon(z) = \varphi(z) + \varepsilon \log \|z\|$ , since  $0 < \varepsilon^j \leq e_j(\varphi_\varepsilon) \rightarrow e_j(\varphi)$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . The last statement is obtained by taking  $j = 1$  and  $l = n$ .  $\square$

### 3. Proof of the main theorem

We start with a monotonicity statement.

LEMMA 3.1. Let  $\varphi, \psi \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\Omega)$  be such that  $\varphi \leq \psi$  (i.e.  $\varphi$  is “more singular” than  $\psi$ ). Then

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{e_j(\varphi)}{e_{j+1}(\varphi)} \leq \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{e_j(\psi)}{e_{j+1}(\psi)}.$$

*Proof.* As in Remark 1.3, we set

$$D = \{t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in [0, \infty)^n : t_1^2 \leq t_2 \text{ and } t_j^2 \leq t_{j-1}t_{j+1} \text{ for } j = 2, \dots, n-1\}.$$

Then  $D$  is a convex set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , as can be checked by a straightforward application of the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality. We consider the function  $f: \text{int } D \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  given by

$$f(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \frac{1}{t_1} + \frac{t_1}{t_2} + \dots + \frac{t_{n-1}}{t_n}. \quad (3.1)$$

We have

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t_j}(t) = -\frac{t_{j-1}}{t_j^2} + \frac{1}{t_{j+1}} \leq 0 \quad \text{for all } t \in D.$$

For  $a, b \in \text{int } D$  such that  $a_j \geq b_j$  for all  $j=1, \dots, n$ ,  $[0, 1] \ni \lambda \mapsto f(b + \lambda(a-b))$  is thus a decreasing function. This implies that  $f(a) \leq f(b)$  for  $a, b \in \text{int } D$ , with  $a_j \geq b_j$  for  $j=1, \dots, n$ . On the other hand, the hypothesis  $\varphi \leq \psi$  implies that  $e_j(\varphi) \geq e_j(\psi)$  for  $j=1, \dots, n$ , by the comparison principle (see e.g. [D1]). Therefore

$$f(e_1(\varphi), \dots, e_n(\varphi)) \leq f(e_1(\psi), \dots, e_n(\psi)). \quad \square$$

### 3.1. Proof of the main theorem in the “toric case”

It will be convenient here to introduce Kiselman’s refined Lelong numbers (cf. [K1] and [K2]).

*Definition 3.2.* Let  $\varphi \in \text{PSH}(\Omega)$ . Then the function

$$\nu_\varphi(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{\max\{\varphi(z) : |z_1| = e^{x_1 t}, \dots, |z_n| = e^{x_n t}\}}{t}$$

is called the *refined Lelong number* of  $\varphi$  at 0. This function is increasing in each variable  $x_j$  and concave on  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ .

By “toric case”, we mean that  $\varphi(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \varphi(|z_1|, \dots, |z_n|)$  depends only on  $|z_j|$  for all  $j$ ; then  $\varphi$  is psh if and only if  $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \mapsto \varphi(e^{t_1}, \dots, e^{t_n})$  is increasing in each  $t_j$  and convex. By replacing  $\varphi$  with  $\varphi(\lambda z) - \varphi(\lambda, \dots, \lambda)$ ,  $0 < \lambda \ll 1$ , we may assume that  $\Omega = \Delta^n$  is the unit polydisk,  $\varphi(1, \dots, 1) = 0$  (so that  $\varphi \leq 0$  on  $\Omega$ ), and we have

$$e_1(\varphi) = n\nu_\varphi\left(\frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}\right).$$

By convexity, the slope

$$\frac{\max\{\varphi(z) : |z_j| = e^{x_j t}\}}{t}$$

is increasing in  $t$  for  $t < 0$ . Therefore, by taking  $t = -1$ , we get

$$\nu_\varphi(-\log |z_1|, \dots, -\log |z_n|) \leq -\varphi(z_1, \dots, z_n).$$

Notice also that  $\nu_\varphi(x)$  satisfies the 1-homogeneity property  $\nu_\varphi(\lambda x) = \lambda \nu_\varphi(x)$  for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . As a consequence,  $\nu_\varphi$  is entirely characterized by its restriction to the set

$$\Sigma = \left\{ x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n : \sum_{j=1}^n x_j = 1 \right\}.$$

We choose  $x^0 = (x_1^0, \dots, x_n^0) \in \Sigma$  such that

$$\nu_\varphi(x^0) = \max\{\nu_\varphi(x) : x \in \Sigma\} \in \left[ \frac{e_1(\varphi)}{n}, e_1(\varphi) \right].$$

By [K2, Theorem 5.8] (see also [H] for similar results in an algebraic context) we have the formula

$$c(\varphi) = \frac{1}{\nu_\varphi(x^0)}.$$

Set

$$\zeta(x) = \nu_\varphi(x^0) \min \left\{ \frac{x_1}{x_1^0}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{x_n^0} \right\} \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n.$$

Then  $\zeta$  is the smallest non-negative concave 1-homogeneous function on  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$  that is increasing in each variable  $x_j$  and such that  $\zeta(x^0) = \nu_\varphi(x^0)$ . Therefore we have  $\zeta \leq \nu_\varphi$ , and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(z_1, \dots, z_n) &\leq -\nu_\varphi(-\log |z_1|, \dots, -\log |z_n|) \leq -\zeta(-\log |z_1|, \dots, -\log |z_n|) \\ &\leq \nu_\varphi(x^0) \max \left\{ \frac{\log |z_1|}{x_1^0}, \dots, \frac{\log |z_n|}{x_n^0} \right\} =: \psi(z_1, \dots, z_n). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.1 and Remark 1.3 we get

$$f(e_1(\varphi), \dots, e_n(\varphi)) \leq f(e_1(\psi), \dots, e_n(\psi)) = c(\psi) = \frac{1}{\nu_\varphi(x^0)} = c(\varphi).$$

### 3.2. Reduction to the case of psh functions with analytic singularities

In the second step, we reduce the proof to the case  $\varphi = \log(|f_1|^2 + \dots + |f_N|^2)$ , where  $f_1, \dots, f_N$  are germs of holomorphic functions at 0. Following the technique introduced in [D2], we let  $\mathcal{H}_{m\varphi}(\Omega)$  be the Hilbert space of holomorphic functions  $f$  on  $\Omega$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} |f|^2 e^{-2m\varphi} dV < \infty,$$

and let

$$\psi_m = \frac{1}{2m} \log \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |g_{m,k}|^2,$$

where  $\{g_{m,k}\}_{k \geq 1}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{H}_{m\varphi}(\Omega)$ . Due to [DK, Theorem 4.2], mainly based on the Ohsawa–Takegoshi  $L^2$  extension theorem [OT] (see also [D2]), there are constants  $C_1, C_2 > 0$  independent of  $m$  such that

$$\varphi(z) - \frac{C_1}{m} \leq \psi_m(z) \leq \sup_{|\zeta - z| < r} \varphi(\zeta) + \frac{1}{m} \log \frac{C_2}{r^n}$$

for every  $z \in \Omega$  and  $r < d(z, \partial\Omega)$ ,

$$\nu(\varphi) - \frac{n}{m} \leq \nu(\psi_m) \leq \nu(\varphi) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{c(\varphi)} - \frac{1}{m} \leq \frac{1}{c(\psi_m)} \leq \frac{1}{c(\varphi)}.$$

By Lemma 3.1, we get that

$$f(e_1(\varphi), \dots, e_n(\varphi)) \leq f(e_1(\psi_m), \dots, e_n(\psi_m)) \quad \text{for all } m \geq 1.$$

The above inequalities show that in order to prove the lower bound of  $c(\varphi)$  in Theorem 1.2, we only need to prove it for  $c(\psi_m)$  and let  $m$  tend to infinity. Also notice that since the Lelong numbers of a function  $\varphi \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\Omega)$  occur only on a discrete set, the same is true for the functions  $\psi_m$ .

### 3.3. Reduction of the main theorem to the case of monomial ideals

The final step consists of proving the theorem for

$$\varphi = \log(|f_1|^2 + \dots + |f_N|^2),$$

where  $f_1, \dots, f_N$  are germs of holomorphic functions at 0 (this is because the ideals  $(g_{m,k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  in the Noetherian ring  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n, 0}$  are always finitely generated). Set  $\mathcal{J} = (f_1, \dots, f_N)$ ,  $c(\mathcal{J}) = c(\varphi)$  and  $e_j(\mathcal{J}) = e_j(\varphi)$  for all  $j = 0, \dots, n$ . By the final observation of §3.2, we may assume that  $\mathcal{J}$  has an isolated zero at 0. Now, by fixing a multiplicative order on the monomials  $z^\alpha = z_1^{\alpha_1} \dots z_n^{\alpha_n}$  (see [E, Chapter 15] and [FEM2]), it is well known that one can construct a flat family  $(\mathcal{J}_s)_{s \in \mathbb{C}}$  of ideals of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n, 0}$  depending on a complex parameter  $s \in \mathbb{C}$ , such that  $\mathcal{J}_0$  is a monomial ideal,  $\mathcal{J}_1 = \mathcal{J}$  and  $\dim(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n, 0}/\mathcal{J}_s^t) = \dim(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n, 0}/\mathcal{J}^t)$  for all  $s$  and  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ ; in fact  $\mathcal{J}_0$  is just the initial ideal associated with  $\mathcal{J}$  with respect to the monomial order. Moreover, we can arrange, by a generic rotation of coordinates  $\mathbb{C}^p \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ , so that the family of ideals  $\mathcal{J}_s|_{\mathbb{C}^p}$  is also flat, and that the dimensions

$$\dim\left(\frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^p, 0}}{(\mathcal{J}_s|_{\mathbb{C}^p})^t}\right) = \dim\left(\frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^p, 0}}{(\mathcal{J}|_{\mathbb{C}^p})^t}\right)$$

compute the intermediate multiplicities

$$e_p(\mathcal{J}_s) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p!}{t^p} \dim \left( \frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^p, 0}}{(\mathcal{J}_s|_{\mathbb{C}^p})^t} \right) = e_p(\mathcal{J})$$

(notice, in the analytic setting, that the Lelong number of the  $(p, p)$ -current  $(dd^c \varphi)^p$  at 0 is the Lelong number of its slice on a generic  $\mathbb{C}^p \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ ); in particular  $e_p(\mathcal{J}_0) = e_p(\mathcal{J})$  for all  $p$ . The semicontinuity property of the log canonical threshold (see for example [DK]) now implies that  $c(\mathcal{J}_0) \leq c(\mathcal{J}_s)$  for  $s$  small. As  $c(\mathcal{J}_s) = c(\mathcal{J})$  for  $s \neq 0$  ( $\mathcal{J}_s$  being a pull-back of  $\mathcal{J}$  by a biholomorphism, in other words  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n, 0}/\mathcal{J}_s \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n, 0}/\mathcal{J}$  as rings; see again [E, Chapter 15]), the lower bound is valid for  $c(\mathcal{J})$  if it is valid for  $c(\mathcal{J}_0)$ .

### References

- [BT1] BEDFORD, E. & TAYLOR, B. A., The Dirichlet problem for a complex Monge–Ampère equation. *Invent. Math.*, 37 (1976), 1–44.
- [BT2] — A new capacity for plurisubharmonic functions. *Acta Math.*, 149 (1982), 1–40.
- [Ce] CEGRELL, U., The general definition of the complex Monge–Ampère operator. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 54 (2004), 159–179.
- [Ch] CHEL'TSOV, I. A., Birationally rigid Fano varieties. *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, 60:5 (2005), 71–160 (Russian); English translation in *Russian Math. Surveys*, 60 (2005), 875–965.
- [Co1] CORTI, A., Factoring birational maps of threefolds after Sarkisov. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 4 (1995), 223–254.
- [Co2] — Singularities of linear systems and 3-fold birational geometry, in *Explicit Birational Geometry of 3-folds*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 281, pp. 259–312. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2000.
- [D1] DEMAILLY, J.-P., Nombres de Lelong généralisés, théorèmes d'intégralité et d'analyticité. *Acta Math.*, 159 (1987), 153–169.
- [D2] — Regularization of closed positive currents and intersection theory. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 1 (1992), 361–409.
- [D3] — Monge–Ampère operators, Lelong numbers and intersection theory, in *Complex Analysis and Geometry*, Univ. Ser. Math., pp. 115–193. Plenum, New York, 1993.
- [D4] — Estimates on Monge–Ampère operators derived from a local algebra inequality, in *Complex Analysis and Digital Geometry*, Acta Univ. Upsaliensis Skr. Uppsala Univ. C Organ. Hist., 86, pp. 131–143. Uppsala Universitet, Uppsala, 2009.
- [DK] DEMAILLY, J.-P. & KOLLÁR, J., Semi-continuity of complex singularity exponents and Kähler–Einstein metrics on Fano orbifolds. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.*, 34 (2001), 525–556.
- [E] EISENBUD, D., *Commutative Algebra*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 150. Springer, New York, 1995.
- [FEM1] DE FERNEX, T., EIN, L. & MUSTAŢĂ, M., Bounds for log canonical thresholds with applications to birational rigidity. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 10 (2003), 219–236.
- [FEM2] — Multiplicities and log canonical threshold. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 13 (2004), 603–615.
- [H] HOWALD, J. A., Multiplier ideals of monomial ideals. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 353 (2001), 2665–2671.



- [I] ISKOVSKIKH, V. A., Birational rigidity of Fano hypersurfaces in the framework of Mori theory. *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, 56:2 (2001), 3–86 (Russian); English translation in *Russian Math. Surveys*, 56 (2001), 207–291.
- [IM] ISKOVSKIKH, V. A. & MANIN, J. I., Three-dimensional quartics and counterexamples to the Lüroth problem. *Mat. Sb.*, 86(128) (1971), 140–166 (Russian); English translation in *Math. USSR-Sb.*, 15 (1971), 141–166.
- [K1] KISELMAN, C. O., Un nombre de Lelong raffiné, in *Séminaire d'Analyse Complexe et Géométrie* 1985–87, pp. 61–70. Faculté des Sciences de Tunis & Faculté des Sciences et Techniques de Monastir, Monastir, 1987.
- [K2] — Attenuating the singularities of plurisubharmonic functions. *Ann. Polon. Math.*, 60 (1994), 173–197.
- [OT] OHSAWA, T. & TAKEGOSHI, K., On the extension of  $L^2$  holomorphic functions. *Math. Z.*, 195 (1987), 197–204.
- [P1] PUKHLIKOV, A. V., Birational isomorphisms of four-dimensional quintics. *Invent. Math.*, 87 (1987), 303–329.
- [P2] — Birationally rigid Fano hypersurfaces. *Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat.*, 66:6 (2002), 159–186 (Russian); English translation in *Izv. Math.*, 66 (2002), 1243–1269.
- [S] SKODA, H., Sous-ensembles analytiques d'ordre fini ou infini dans  $\mathbf{C}^n$ . *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 100 (1972), 353–408.

JEAN-PIERRE DEMAILLY  
Université de Grenoble I  
Département de Mathématiques  
Institut Fourier  
FR-38402 Saint-Martin d'Hères  
France  
[jean-pierre.demailly@ujf-grenoble.fr](mailto:jean-pierre.demailly@ujf-grenoble.fr)

HOÀNG HIỆP PHẠM  
Department of Mathematics  
Hanoi National University of Education  
136-Xuan Thuy, Cau Giay, Hanoi  
Vietnam  
[phhiepv@yahoo.com](mailto:phhiepv@yahoo.com)

*Received January 20, 2012*