

Metadata of the chapter that will be visualized in SpringerLink

Book Title	Geometric Complex Analysis	
Series Title		
Chapter Title	Extension of Holomorphic Functions and Cohomology Classes from Non Reduced Analytic Subvarieties	
Copyright Year	2018	
Copyright HolderName	Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd.	
Corresponding Author	Family Name	Demailly
	Particle	
	Given Name	Jean-Pierre
	Prefix	
	Suffix	
	Role	
	Division	
	Organization	Institut Fourier, Université Grenoble Alpes
	Address	100 rue des Maths, 38610, Gières, France
	Email	jean-pierre.demailly@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr
Abstract	<p>The goal of this survey is to describe some recent results concerning the L^2 extension of holomorphic sections or cohomology classes with values in vector bundles satisfying weak semi-positivity properties. The results presented here are generalized versions of the Ohsawa–Takegoshi extension theorem, and borrow many techniques from the long series of papers by T. Ohsawa. The recent achievement that we want to point out is that the surjectivity property holds true for restriction morphisms to non necessarily reduced subvarieties, provided these are defined as zero varieties of multiplier ideal sheaves. The new idea involved to approach the existence problem is to make use of L^2 approximation in the Bochner-Kodaira technique. The extension results hold under curvature conditions that look pretty optimal. However, a major unsolved problem is to obtain natural (and hopefully best possible) L^2 estimates for the extension in the case of non reduced subvarieties—the case when Y has singularities or several irreducible components is also a substantial issue.</p>	
Keywords (separated by '-')	Compact Kähler manifold - Singular hermitian metric - Coherent sheaf cohomology - Dolbeault cohomology - Plurisubharmonic function - L^2 estimates - Ohsawa–Takegoshi extension theorem - Multiplier ideal sheaf	
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification (separated by '-')	Primary 32L10 - Secondary 32E05.	

Extension of Holomorphic Functions and Cohomology Classes from Non Reduced Analytic Subvarieties



Jean-Pierre Demailly

Abstract The goal of this survey is to describe some recent results concerning the L^2 extension of holomorphic sections or cohomology classes with values in vector bundles satisfying weak semi-positivity properties. The results presented here are generalized versions of the Ohsawa–Takegoshi extension theorem, and borrow many techniques from the long series of papers by T. Ohsawa. The recent achievement that we want to point out is that the surjectivity property holds true for restriction morphisms to non necessarily reduced subvarieties, provided these are defined as zero varieties of multiplier ideal sheaves. The new idea involved to approach the existence problem is to make use of L^2 approximation in the Bochner-Kodaira technique. The extension results hold under curvature conditions that look pretty optimal. However, a major unsolved problem is to obtain natural (and hopefully best possible) L^2 estimates for the extension in the case of non reduced subvarieties—the case when Y has singularities or several irreducible components is also a substantial issue.

Keywords Compact Kähler manifold · Singular hermitian metric
Coherent sheaf cohomology · Dolbeault cohomology · Plurisubharmonic function
 L^2 estimates · Ohsawa–Takegoshi extension theorem · Multiplier ideal sheaf

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification Primary 32L10; Secondary 32E05.

1 Introduction and Main Results

The problem considered in these notes is whether a holomorphic object f defined on a subvariety Y of a complex manifold X can be extended as a holomorphic object F

J.-P. Demailly—In honor of Professor Kang-Tae Kim on the occasion of his 60th birthday. Supported by the European Research Council project “Algebraic and Kähler Geometr” (ERC-ALKAGE, grant No. 670846 from September 2015).

J.-P. Demailly (✉)

Institut Fourier, Université Grenoble Alpes, 100 rue des Maths, 38610 Gières, France
e-mail: jean-pierre.demailly@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr

© Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd. 2018

J. Byun et al. (eds.), *Geometric Complex Analysis*, Springer Proceedings in Mathematics & Statistics 246, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-1672-2_8

21 of the same nature on the whole of X . Here, Y is a subvariety defined as the the zero
 22 zet of a non necessarily reduced ideal \mathcal{I} of \mathcal{O}_X , the object to extend can be either
 23 a section $f \in H^0(Y, E|_Y)$ or a cohomology class $f \in H^q(Y, E|_Y)$, and we look for
 24 an extension $F \in H^q(X, E)$, assuming suitable convexity properties of X and Y ,
 25 suitable L^2 conditions for f on Y , and appropriate curvature positivity hypotheses
 26 for the bundle E . When Y is not connected, this can be also seen as an interpolation
 27 problem—the situation where Y is a discrete set is already very interesting.

28 The prototype of such results is the celebrated L^2 extension theorem of Ohsawa–
 29 Takegoshi [50], which deals with the important case when $X = \Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is a pseu-
 30 doconvex open set, and $Y = \Omega \cap L$ is the intersection of Ω with a complex affine
 31 linear subspace $L \subset \mathbb{C}^n$. The accompanying L^2 estimates play a very important
 32 role in applications, possibly even more than the qualitative extension theorems by
 33 themselves (cf. Sect. 4 below). The related techniques have then been the subject of
 34 many works since 1987, proposing either greater generality [12, 35, 43–47, 49, 52],
 35 alternative proofs [3, 9], improved estimates [38, 55] or optimal ones [4, 5, 24].

36 In this survey, we mostly follow the lines of our previous papers [8, 14], whose goal
 37 is to pick the weakest possible curvature and convexity hypotheses, while allowing
 38 the subvariety Y to be non reduced. The ambient complex manifold X is assumed to
 39 be a Kähler and *holomorphically convex* (and thus not necessarily compact); by the
 40 Remmert reduction theorem, the holomorphic convexity is equivalent to the existence
 41 of a proper holomorphic map $\pi : X \rightarrow S$ onto a Stein complex space S , hence
 42 arbitrary relative situations over Stein bases are allowed. We consider a holomorphic
 43 line bundle $E \rightarrow X$ equipped with a singular hermitian metric h , namely a metric
 44 which can be expressed locally as $h = e^{-\varphi}$ where φ is a *quasi-psh* function, i.e. a
 45 function that is locally the sum $\varphi = \varphi_0 + u$ of a plurisubharmonic function φ_0 and
 46 of a smooth function u . Such a bundle admits a curvature current

$$47 \quad \Theta_{E,h} := i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi = i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_0 + i\partial\bar{\partial}u \quad (1.1)$$

48 which is locally the sum of a positive $(1, 1)$ -current $i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_0$ and a smooth $(1, 1)$ -form
 49 $i\partial\bar{\partial}u$. Our goal is to extend sections that are defined on a non necessarily reduced
 50 complex subspace $Y \subset X$, when the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_Y := \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}(e^{-\psi})$ is given by
 51 the multiplier ideal sheaf of a quasi-psh function ψ with *neat analytic singularities*,
 52 i.e. locally on a neighborhood V of an arbitrary point $x_0 \in X$ we have

$$53 \quad \psi(z) = c \log \sum |g_j(z)|^2 + v(z), \quad g_j \in \mathcal{O}_X(V), \quad v \in C^\infty(V). \quad (1.2)$$

54 Let us recall that the multiplier ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}(e^{-\varphi})$ of a quasi-psh function φ is defined
 55 by

$$56 \quad \mathcal{I}(e^{-\varphi})_{x_0} = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x_0}; \exists U \ni x_0, \int_U |f|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda < +\infty \right\} \quad (1.3)$$

57 with respect to the Lebesgue measure λ in some local coordinates near x_0 . As is
 58 well known, $\mathcal{I}(e^{-\varphi}) \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ is a coherent ideal sheaf (see e.g. [16]). We also denote

59 by $K_X = \Lambda^n T_X^*$ the canonical bundle of an n -dimensional complex manifold X ; in
 60 the case of (semi)positive curvature, the Bochner-Kodaira identity yields positive
 61 curvature terms only for (n, q) -forms, so the best way to state results is to consider
 62 the adjoint bundle $K_X \otimes E$ rather than the bundle E itself. The main qualitative
 63 statement is given by the following result of [8].

64 **Theorem 1.1** *Let E be a holomorphic line bundle over a holomorphically convex*
 65 *Kähler manifold X . Let h be a possibly singular hermitian metric on E , ψ a quasi-*
 66 *psh function with neat analytic singularities on X . Assume that there exists a positive*
 67 *continuous function $\delta > 0$ on X such that*

$$68 \quad \Theta_{E,h} + (1 + \alpha\delta)i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi \geq 0 \quad \text{in the sense of currents, for } \alpha = 0, 1. \quad (1.4)$$

69 *Then the morphism induced by the natural inclusion $\mathcal{I}(he^{-\psi}) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(h)$*

$$70 \quad H^q(X, K_X \otimes E \otimes \mathcal{I}(he^{-\psi})) \rightarrow H^q(X, K_X \otimes E \otimes \mathcal{I}(h)) \quad (1.5)$$

71 *is injective for every $q \geq 0$. In other words, the morphism induced by the natural*
 72 *sheaf surjection $\mathcal{I}(h) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(h)/\mathcal{I}(he^{-\psi})$*

$$73 \quad H^q(X, K_X \otimes E \otimes \mathcal{I}(h)) \rightarrow H^q(X, K_X \otimes E \otimes \mathcal{I}(h)/\mathcal{I}(he^{-\psi})) \quad (1.6)$$

74 *is surjective for every $q \geq 0$.*

Remark 1.2 (A) When h is smooth, we have $\mathcal{I}(h) = \mathcal{O}_X$ and

$$\mathcal{I}(h)/\mathcal{I}(he^{-\psi}) = \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}(e^{-\psi}) := \mathcal{O}_Y$$

75 where Y is the zero subvariety of the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}(e^{-\psi})$. Hence, the surjectivity
 76 statement can be interpreted an extension theorem with respect to the restriction
 77 morphism

$$78 \quad H^q(X, K_X \otimes E) \rightarrow H^q(Y, (K_X \otimes E)|_Y). \quad (1.7)$$

79 In general, the quotient sheaf $\mathcal{I}(h)/\mathcal{I}(he^{-\psi})$ is supported in an analytic subvariety
 80 $Y \subset X$, which is the zero set of the conductor ideal

$$81 \quad \mathcal{J}_Y := \mathcal{I}(he^{-\psi}) : \mathcal{I}(h) = \{f \in \mathcal{O}_X; f \cdot \mathcal{I}(h) \subset \mathcal{I}(he^{-\psi})\}, \quad (1.8)$$

82 and (1.6) can thus also be considered as a restriction morphism.

83
 84 (B) A surjectivity statement similar to (1.7) holds true when (E, h) is a holomorphic
 85 vector bundle equipped with a smooth hermitian metric h . In that case, the
 86 required curvature condition (1.4) is a semipositivity assumption

$$87 \quad \Theta_{E,h} + (1 + \alpha\delta)i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi \otimes Id_E \geq 0 \quad \text{in the sense of Nakano, for } \alpha = 0, 1. \quad (1.9)$$

(This means that the corresponding hermitian form on $T_X \otimes E$ takes nonnegative values on all tensors of $T_X \otimes E$, even those that are non decomposable.)

- (C) The strength of our statements lies in the fact that no strict positivity assumption is made. This is a typical situation in algebraic geometry, e.g. in the study of the minimal model program (MMP) for varieties which are not of general type. Our joint work [17] contains some algebraic applications which we intend to reinvestigate in future work, by means of the present stronger qualitative statements.
- (D) Notice that if one replaces (1.4) by a strict positivity hypothesis

$$\Theta_{E,h} + i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi \geq \varepsilon\omega \quad \text{in the sense of currents, for some } \varepsilon > 0, \quad (1.10)$$

then Nadel's vanishing theorem implies $H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X \otimes E) \otimes \mathcal{I}(he^{-\psi})) = 0$ for $q \geq 1$, and the injectivity and surjectivity statements are just trivial consequences.

- (E) By applying convex combinations, one sees that condition (1.4) takes an equivalent form if we assume the inequality to hold for α varying in the whole interval $[0,1]$. \square

We now turn ourselves to the problem of establishing L^2 estimates for the extension problem, along the lines of [50]. The reader will find all details in [14].

Definition 1.3 If ψ is a quasi-psh function on a complex manifold X , we say that the singularities of ψ are log canonical along the zero variety $Y = V(\mathcal{I}(e^{-\psi}))$ if $\mathcal{I}(e^{-(1-\varepsilon)\psi})|_Y = \mathcal{O}_{X|Y}$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$.

In case ψ has log canonical singularities, it is easy to see by the Hölder inequality and the result of Guan-Zhou [25] on the "strong openness conjecture" that $\mathcal{I}(\psi)$ is a reduced ideal, i.e. that $Y = V(\mathcal{I}(\psi))$ is a reduced analytic subvariety of X . If ω is a Kähler metric on X , we let $dV_{X,\omega} = \frac{1}{n!}\omega^n$ be the corresponding Kähler volume element, $n = \dim X$. In case ψ has log canonical singularities along $Y = V(\mathcal{I}(\psi))$, one can also associate in a natural way a measure $dV_{Y^\circ,\omega}[\psi]$ on the set $Y^\circ = Y_{\text{reg}}$ of regular points of Y as follows. If $g \in \mathcal{C}_c(Y^\circ)$ is a compactly supported continuous function on Y° and \tilde{g} a compactly supported extension of g to X , we set

$$\int_{Y^\circ} g dV_{Y^\circ,\omega}[\psi] = \limsup_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \int_{\{x \in X, t < \psi(x) < t+1\}} \tilde{g} e^{-\psi} dV_{X,\omega}. \quad (1.11)$$

By the Hironaka desingularization theorem, one can show that the limit does not depend on the continuous extension \tilde{g} , and that one gets in this way a measure with smooth positive density with respect to the Lebesgue measure, at least on an (analytic) Zariski open set in Y° . In case Y is a codimension r subvariety of X defined by an equation $\sigma(x) = 0$ associated with a section $\sigma \in H^0(X, S)$ of some hermitian vector

bundle (S, h_S) on X , and assuming that σ is generically transverse to zero along Y , it is natural to take

$$\psi(z) = r \log |\sigma(z)|_{h_S}^2. \tag{1.12}$$

One can then easily check that $dV_{Y^\circ, \omega}[\psi]$ is the measure supported on $Y^\circ = Y_{\text{reg}}$ such that

$$dV_{Y^\circ, \omega}[\psi] = \frac{2^{r+1} \pi^r}{(r-1)!} \frac{1}{|\Lambda^r(d\sigma)|_{\omega, h_S}^2} dV_{Y, \omega} \quad \text{where} \quad dV_{Y, \omega} = \frac{1}{(n-r)!} \omega_{Y^\circ}^{n-r}. \tag{1.13}$$

For a quasi-psh function with log canonical singularities, $dV_{Y^\circ, \omega}[\psi]$ should thus be seen as some sort of (inverse of) Jacobian determinant associated with the logarithmic singularities of ψ . In general, the measure $dV_{Y^\circ, \omega}[\psi]$ blows up (i.e. has infinite volume) in a neighborhood of singular points of Y . Finally, the following positive real function will make an appearance in several of our estimates:

$$\gamma(x) = \exp(-x/2) \text{ if } x \geq 0, \quad \gamma(x) = \frac{1}{1+x^2} \text{ if } x \leq 0. \tag{1.14}$$

The first generalized L^2 estimate we are interested in is a variation of Theorem 4 in [46]. One difference is that we do not require any specific behavior of the quasi-psh function ψ defining the subvariety: any quasi-psh function with log canonical singularities will do; secondly, we do not want to make any assumption that there exist negligible sets in the ambient manifold whose complements are Stein, because such an hypothesis need not be true on a general compact Kähler manifold—one of the targets of our study.

Theorem 1.4 (L^2 estimate for the extension from reduced subvarieties) *Let X be a holomorphically convex Kähler manifold, and ω a Kähler metric on X . Let (E, h) be a holomorphic vector bundle equipped with a smooth hermitian metric h on X , and let $\psi : X \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty[$ be a quasi-psh function on X with neat analytic singularities. Let Y be the analytic subvariety of X defined by $Y = V(\mathcal{I}(e^{-\psi}))$ and assume that ψ has log canonical singularities along Y , so that Y is reduced. Finally, assume that the Chern curvature tensor $\Theta_{E, h}$ is such that the sum*

$$\Theta_{E, h} + (1 + \alpha\delta) i \partial \bar{\partial} \psi \otimes \text{Id}_E$$

is Nakano semipositive for some $\delta > 0$ and $\alpha = 0, 1$. Then for every holomorphic section $f \in H^0(Y^\circ, (K_X \otimes E)|_{Y^\circ})$ on $Y^\circ = Y_{\text{reg}}$ such that

$$\int_{Y^\circ} |f|_{\omega, h}^2 dV_{Y^\circ, \omega}[\psi] < +\infty,$$

there exists an extension $F \in H^0(X, K_X \otimes E)$ whose restriction to Y° is equal to f , such that

$$\int_X \gamma(\delta\psi) |F|_{\omega,h}^2 e^{-\psi} dV_{X,\omega} \leq \frac{34}{\delta} \int_{Y^\circ} |f|_{\omega,h}^2 dV_{Y^\circ,\omega}[\psi].$$

152 *Remark 1.5* Although $|F|_{\omega,h}^2$ and $dV_{X,\omega}$ both depend on ω , it is easy to see that the
 153 product $|F|_{\omega,h}^2 dV_{X,\omega}$ actually does not depend on ω when F is a $(n, 0)$ -form. The
 154 same observation applies to the product $|f|_{\omega,h}^2 dV_{Y^\circ,\omega}[\psi]$, hence the final L^2 estimate
 155 is in fact independent of ω . Nevertheless, the existence of a Kähler metric (and even of
 156 a complete Kähler metric) is crucial in the proof, thanks to the techniques developed
 157 in [2, 10]. The constant 34 is of course non optimal; the technique developed in
 158 [24] provides optimal choices of the function γ and of the constant in the right
 159 hand side. \square

We now turn ourselves to the case where non reduced multiplier ideal sheaves and non reduced subvarieties are considered. This situation has already been considered by Popovici [52] in the case of powers of a reduced ideal, but we aim here at a much wider generality, which also yields more natural assumptions. For $m \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we consider the multiplier ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}(e^{-m\psi})$ and the associated non necessarily reduced subvariety $Y^{(m)} = V(\mathcal{I}(e^{-m\psi}))$, together with the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{Y^{(m)}} = \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}(e^{-m\psi})$, the real number m being viewed as some sort of multiplicity—the support $|Y^{(m)}|$ may increase with m , but certainly stabilizes to the set of poles $P = \psi^{-1}(-\infty)$ for m large enough. We assume the existence of a discrete sequence of positive numbers

$$0 = m_0 < m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_p < \dots$$

such that $\mathcal{I}(e^{-m\psi}) = \mathcal{I}(e^{-m_p\psi})$ for $m \in [m_p, m_{p+1}[$ (with of course $\mathcal{I}(e^{-m_0\psi}) = \mathcal{O}_X$); they are called the *jumping numbers* of ψ . The existence of a discrete sequence of jumping numbers is automatic if X is compact. In general, this still holds on every relatively compact open subset

$$X_c := \{x \in X, \rho(x) < c\} \Subset X,$$

160 but requires some of uniform behaviour of singularities at infinity in the non compact
 161 case. We are interested in extending a holomorphic section

$$162 \quad f \in H^0(Y^{(m_p)}, \mathcal{O}_{Y^{(m_p)}}(K_X \otimes E|_{Y^{(m_p)}})) \\
 163 \quad \quad \quad := H^0(Y^{(m_p)}, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} E) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}(e^{-m_p\psi})).$$

[Later on, we usually omit to specify the rings over which tensor products are taken, as they are implicit from the nature of objects under consideration]. The results are easier to state in case one takes a nilpotent section of the form

$$f \in H^0(Y^{(m_p)}, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X \otimes E) \otimes \mathcal{I}(e^{-m_{p-1}\psi})/\mathcal{I}(e^{-m_p\psi})).$$

165 Then $\mathcal{I}(e^{-m_{p-1}\psi})/\mathcal{I}(e^{-m_p\psi})$ is actually a coherent sheaf, and one can see that its
 166 support is a reduced subvariety Z_p of $Y^{(m_p)}$. Therefore $\mathcal{I}(e^{-m_{p-1}\psi})/\mathcal{I}(e^{-m_p\psi})$ can

167 be seen as a vector bundle over a Zariski open set $Z_p^\circ \subset Z_p$. We can mimic formula
 168 (1.11) and define some sort of infinitesimal “ m_p -jet” L^2 norm $|J^{m_p} f|_{\omega,h}^2 dV_{Z_p^\circ,\omega}[\psi]$
 169 (a purely formal notation), as the measure on Z_p° defined by

$$\int_{Z_p^\circ} g |J^{m_p} f|_{\omega,h}^2 dV_{Z_p^\circ,\omega}[\psi] = \limsup_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \int_{\{x \in X, t < \psi(x) < t+1\}} \tilde{g} |\tilde{f}|_{\omega,h}^2 e^{-m_p \psi} dV_{X,\omega} \tag{1.15}$$

170
 171 for any $g \in \mathcal{C}_c(Z_p^\circ)$, where $\tilde{g} \in \mathcal{C}_c(X)$ is a continuous extension of g and \tilde{f} a smooth
 172 extension of f on X such that $\tilde{f} - f \in \mathcal{I}(m_p \psi) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{C}^\infty$ (this measure again has
 173 a smooth positive density on a Zariski open set in Z_p° , and does not depend on
 174 the choices of \tilde{f} and \tilde{g}). We extend the measure as being 0 on $Y_{\text{red}}^{(m_p)} \setminus Z_p$, since
 175 $\mathcal{I}(e^{-m_{p-1}\psi})/\mathcal{I}(e^{-m_p\psi})$ has support in $Z_p^\circ \subset Z_p$. In this context, we introduce the
 176 following natural definition.

Definition 1.6 We define the restricted multiplied ideal sheaf

$$\mathcal{I}'(e^{-m_{p-1}\psi}) \subset \mathcal{I}(e^{-m_{p-1}\psi})$$

to be the set of germs $F \in \mathcal{I}(e^{-m_{p-1}\psi})_x \subset \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ such that there exists a neighborhood U of x satisfying

$$\int_{Y^{(m_p)} \cap U} |J^{m_p} F|_{\omega,h}^2 dV_{Y^{(m_p)},\omega}[\psi] < +\infty.$$

This is a coherent ideal sheaf that contains $\mathcal{I}(e^{-m_p\psi})$. Both of the inclusions

$$\mathcal{I}(e^{-m_p\psi}) \subset \mathcal{I}'(e^{-m_{p-1}\psi}) \subset \mathcal{I}(e^{-m_{p-1}\psi})$$

177 can be strict (even for $p = 1$).

178 One of the geometric consequences is the following “quantitative” surjectivity
 179 statement, which is the analogue of Theorem 1.4 for the case when the first non
 180 trivial jumping number $m_1 = 1$ is replaced by a higher jumping number m_p .

181 **Theorem 1.7** *With the above notation and in the general setting of Theorem 1.4 (but*
 182 *without the hypothesis that the quasi-psh function ψ has log canonical singularities),*
 183 *let $0 = m_0 < m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_p < \dots$ be the jumping numbers of ψ . Assume that*

$$\Theta_{E,h} + i(m_p + \alpha\delta)\partial\bar{\partial}\psi \otimes \text{Id}_E \geq 0$$

185 *is Nakano semipositive for $\alpha = 0, 1$ and some $\delta > 0$.*

(a) *Let*

$$f \in H^0(Y^{(m_p)}, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X \otimes E) \otimes \mathcal{I}'(e^{-m_{p-1}\psi})/\mathcal{I}(e^{-m_p\psi}))$$

be a section such that

$$\int_{Y^{(m_p)}} |J^{m_p} f|_{\omega, h}^2 dV_{Y^{(m_p)}, \omega}[\psi] < +\infty.$$

Then there exists a global section

$$F \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X \otimes E) \otimes \mathcal{I}'(e^{-m_{p-1}\psi}))$$

which maps to f under the morphism $\mathcal{I}'(e^{-m_{p-1}\psi}) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(e^{-m_{p-1}\psi})/\mathcal{I}(e^{-m_p\psi})$, such that

$$\int_X \gamma(\delta\psi) |F|_{\omega, h}^2 e^{-m_p\psi} dV_{X, \omega}[\psi] \leq \frac{34}{\delta} \int_{Y^{(m_p)}} |J^{m_p} f|_{\omega, h}^2 dV_{Y^{(m_p)}, \omega}[\psi].$$

(b) *The restriction morphism*

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X \otimes E) \otimes \mathcal{I}'(e^{-m_{p-1}\psi})) \\ \rightarrow H^0(Y^{(m_p)}, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X \otimes E) \otimes \mathcal{I}'(e^{-m_{p-1}\psi})/\mathcal{I}(e^{-m_p\psi})) \end{aligned}$$

is surjective.

If E is a line bundle and h a singular hermitian metric on E , a similar result can be obtained by approximating h . However, the L^2 estimates then require to incorporate h into the definition of the multiplier ideals, as in Theorem 1.1 (see [13]). Hosono [29] has shown that one can obtain again an optimal L^2 estimate in the situation of Theorem 1.7, when $\mathcal{I}(e^{-m_p\psi})$ is a power of the reduced ideal of Y .

Question 1.8 It would be interesting to know whether Theorem 1.1 can be strengthened by suitable L^2 estimates, without making undue additional hypotheses on the section f to extend. The main difficulty is already to define the norm of jets when there is more than one jump number involved. Some sort of ‘‘Cauchy inequality’’ for jets would be needed in order to derive the successive jet norms from a known global L^2 estimate for a holomorphic section defined on the whole of X . We do not know how to proceed further at this point.

2 Bochner-Kodaira Estimate with Approximation

The crucial idea of the proof is to prove the results (say, in the form of the surjectivity statement), only up to approximation. This is done by solving a $\bar{\partial}$ -equation

$$\bar{\partial}u_\varepsilon + w_\varepsilon = v$$

where the right hand side v is given and w_ε is an error term such that $\|w_\varepsilon\| = O(\varepsilon^a)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, for some constant $a > 0$. A twisted Bochner-Kodaira-Nakano identity introduced by Donnelly and Fefferman [20], and Ohsawa and Takegoshi [50] is used

211 for that purpose. The technology goes back to the fundamental work of Bochner
 212 [6], Kodaira [31–33], Akizuki-Nakano [1, 39], Kohn [21], Andreotti-Vesentini [2],
 213 Hörmander [27, 28]. The version we need uses in an essential way an additional
 214 correction term, so as to allow a weak positivity hypothesis. It can be stated as
 215 follows.

216 **Proposition 2.1** (see [14, Proposition 3.12]) *Let X be a complete Kähler manifold*
 217 *equipped with a (non necessarily complete) Kähler metric ω , and let (E, h) be a*
 218 *Hermitian vector bundle over X . Assume that there are smooth and bounded functions*
 219 *$\eta, \lambda > 0$ on X such that the curvature operator*

$$220 \quad B = B_{E,h,\omega,\eta,\lambda}^{n,q} = [\eta \Theta_{E,h} - i \partial \bar{\partial} \eta - i \lambda^{-1} d \bar{\partial} \eta \wedge \bar{\partial} \eta, \Lambda_\omega]$$

$$221 \quad \in C^\infty(X, \text{Herm}(\Lambda^{n,q} T_X^* \otimes E))$$

satisfies $B + \varepsilon I > 0$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$ (so that B can be just semi-positive or even slightly negative; here I is the identity endomorphism). Given a section $v \in L^2(X, \Lambda^{n,q} T_X^* \otimes E)$ such that $\bar{\partial} v = 0$ and

$$M(\varepsilon) := \int_X \langle (B + \varepsilon I)^{-1} v, v \rangle dV_{X,\omega} < +\infty,$$

there exists an approximate solution $f_\varepsilon \in L^2(X, \Lambda^{n,q-1} T_X^* \otimes E)$ and a correction term $w_\varepsilon \in L^2(X, \Lambda^{n,q} T_X^* \otimes E)$ such that $\bar{\partial} u_\varepsilon = v - w_\varepsilon$ and

$$\int_X (\eta + \lambda)^{-1} |u_\varepsilon|^2 dV_{X,\omega} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_X |w_\varepsilon|^2 dV_{X,\omega} \leq M(\varepsilon).$$

223 Moreover, if v is smooth, then u_ε and w_ε can be taken smooth.

224 In our situation, the main part of the solution, namely u_ε , may very well explode
 225 as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. In order to show that the equation $\bar{\partial} u = v$ can be solved, it is therefore
 226 needed to check that the space of coboundaries is closed in the space of cocycles in
 227 the Fréchet topology under consideration (here, the L^2_{loc} topology), in other words,
 228 that the related cohomology group $H^q(X, \mathcal{F})$ is Hausdorff. In this respect, the fact of
 229 considering $\bar{\partial}$ -cohomology of smooth forms equipped with the C^∞ topology on the
 230 one hand, or cohomology of forms $u \in L^2_{\text{loc}}$ with $\bar{\partial} u \in L^2_{\text{loc}}$ on the other hand, yields
 231 the same topology on the resulting cohomology group $H^q(X, \mathcal{F})$. This comes from
 232 the fact that both complexes yield fine resolutions of the same coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} , and
 233 the topology of $H^q(X, \mathcal{F})$ can also be obtained by using Čech cochains with respect
 234 to a Stein covering \mathcal{U} of X . The required Hausdorff property then comes from the
 235 following well known fact.

236 **Lemma 2.2** *Let X be a holomorphically convex complex space and \mathcal{F} a coherent*
 237 *analytic sheaf over X . Then all cohomology groups $H^q(X, \mathcal{F})$ are Hausdorff with*
 238 *respect to their natural topology (induced by the Fréchet topology of local uniform*
 239 *convergence of holomorphic cochains).*

In fact, the Remmert reduction theorem implies that X admits a proper holomorphic map $\pi : X \rightarrow S$ onto a Stein space S , and Grauert's direct image theorem shows that all direct images $R^q \pi_* \mathcal{F}$ are coherent sheaves on S . Now, as S is Stein, Leray's theorem combined with Cartan's theorem B tells us that we have an isomorphism $H^q(X, \mathcal{F}) \simeq H^0(S, R^q \pi_* \mathcal{F})$. More generally, if $U \subset S$ is a Stein open subset, we have

$$H^q(\pi^{-1}(U), \mathcal{F}) \simeq H^0(U, R^q \pi_* \mathcal{F}) \quad (2.1)$$

and when $U \Subset S$ is relatively compact, it is easily seen that this is a topological isomorphism of Fréchet spaces since both sides are $\mathcal{O}_S(U)$ modules of finite type and can be seen as a Fréchet quotient of some direct sum $\mathcal{O}_S(U)^{\oplus N}$ by looking at local generators and local relations of $R^q \pi_* \mathcal{F}$. Therefore $H^q(X, \mathcal{F}) \simeq H^0(S, R^q \pi_* \mathcal{F})$ is a topological isomorphism and the space of sections in the right hand side is a Fréchet space. In particular, $H^q(X, \mathcal{F})$ is Hausdorff. \square

3 Sketch of Proof of the Extension Theorem

The reader may consult [8, 14] for more details. After possibly shrinking X into a relatively compact holomorphically convex open subset $X' = \pi^{-1}(S') \Subset X$, we can suppose that $\delta > 0$ is a constant and that $\psi \leq 0$ (otherwise subtract a large constant to ψ). As $\pi : X \rightarrow S$ is proper, we can also assume that X admits a finite Stein covering $\mathcal{U} = (U_i)$. Any cohomology class in

$$H^q(Y, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X \otimes E) \otimes \mathcal{I}(h)/\mathcal{I}(he^{-\psi}))$$

is represented by a holomorphic Čech q -cocycle with respect to the covering \mathcal{U}

$$(c_{i_0 \dots i_q}), \quad c_{i_0 \dots i_q} \in H^0(U_{i_0} \cap \dots \cap U_{i_q}, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X \otimes E) \otimes \mathcal{I}(h)/\mathcal{I}(he^{-\psi})).$$

By the standard sheaf theoretic isomorphisms with Dolbeault cohomology (cf. e.g. [15]), this class is represented by a smooth (n, q) -form

$$f = \sum_{i_0, \dots, i_q} c_{i_0 \dots i_q} \rho_{i_0} \bar{\partial} \rho_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\partial} \rho_{i_q}$$

by means of a partition of unity (ρ_i) subordinate to (U_i) . This form is to be interpreted as a form on the (non reduced) analytic subvariety Y associated with the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{I}(he^{-\psi}) : \mathcal{I}(h)$ and the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_Y = \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{J}$. We get an extension as a smooth (no longer $\bar{\partial}$ -closed) (n, q) -form on X by taking

$$\tilde{f} = \sum_{i_0, \dots, i_q} \tilde{c}_{i_0 \dots i_q} \rho_{i_0} \bar{\partial} \rho_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\partial} \rho_{i_q}$$

254 where $\tilde{c}_{i_0 \dots i_q}$ is an extension of $c_{i_0 \dots i_q}$ from $U_{i_0} \cap \dots \cap U_{i_q} \cap Y$ to $U_{i_0} \cap \dots \cap U_{i_q}$.
 255 Without loss of generality, we can assume that ψ admits a discrete sequence of
 256 “jumping numbers”

$$0 = m_0 < m_1 < \dots < m_p < \dots$$

such that $\mathcal{I}(m\psi) = \mathcal{I}(m_p\psi)$ for $m \in [m_p, m_{p+1}[$. (3.1)

260 Since ψ is assumed to have analytic singularities, this follows from using a log
 261 resolution of singularities, thanks to the Hironaka desingularization theorem (by the
 262 much deeper result of [25] on the strong openness conjecture, one could even possibly
 263 eliminate the assumption that ψ has analytic singularities). We fix here p such that
 264 $m_p \leq 1 < m_{p+1}$, and in the notation of [14], we let $Y = Y^{(m_p)}$ be defined by the non
 265 necessarily reduced structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_Y = \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}(e^{-\psi}) = \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}(e^{-m_p\psi})$.

266 We now explain the choice of metrics and auxiliary functions η, λ for the appli-
 267 cation of Proposition 2.1, following the arguments of [14, Proof of Theorem 2.14,
 268 p. 217]. Let $t \in \mathbb{R}^-$ and let χ_t be the negative convex increasing function defined in
 269 [14, (5.8*), p. 211]. Put $\eta_t := 1 - \delta \cdot \chi_t(\psi)$ and $\lambda_t := 2\delta \frac{(\chi_t'(\psi))^2}{\chi_t''(\psi)}$. We set

$$R_t := \eta_t(\Theta_{E,h} + i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi) - i\partial\bar{\partial}\eta_t - \lambda_t^{-1}i\partial\eta_t \wedge \bar{\partial}\eta_t$$

$$= \eta_t(\Theta_{E,h} + (1 + \delta\eta_t^{-1}\chi_t'(\psi))i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi) + \frac{\delta \cdot \chi_t''(\psi)}{2}i\partial\psi \wedge \bar{\partial}\psi.$$

Note that $\chi_t''(\psi) \geq \frac{1}{8}$ on $W_t = \{t < \psi < t + 1\}$. The curvature assumption (1.4) implies

$$\Theta_{E,h} + (1 + \delta\eta_t^{-1}\chi_t'(\psi))i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi \geq 0 \quad \text{on } X.$$

As in [14], we find

$$R_t \geq 0 \quad \text{on } X$$

and

$$R_t \geq \frac{\delta}{16}i\partial\psi \wedge \bar{\partial}\psi \quad \text{on } W_t = \{t < \psi < t + 1\}.$$

Let $\theta : [-\infty, +\infty[\rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a smooth non increasing real function satisfying $\theta(x) = 1$ for $x \leq 0$, $\theta(x) = 0$ for $x \geq 1$ and $|\theta'| \leq 2$. By using a blowing up process, one can reduce the situation to the case where ψ has divisorial singularities. Then we still have

$$\Theta_{E,h} + (1 + \delta\eta_t^{-1}\chi_t'(\psi))(i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi)_{ac} \geq 0 \quad \text{on } X,$$

where $(i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi)_{ac}$ is the absolutely continuous part of $i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi$. The regularization techniques of [19] and [13, Theorem 1.7, Remark 1.11] produce a family of singular metrics $\{h_{t,\varepsilon}\}_{k=1}^{+\infty}$ which are smooth in the complement $X \setminus Z_{t,\varepsilon}$ of an analytic set, such that $\mathcal{I}(h_{t,\varepsilon}) = \mathcal{I}(h)$, $\mathcal{I}(h_{t,\varepsilon}e^{-\psi}) = \mathcal{I}(he^{-\psi})$ and

$$\Theta_{E, h_{t, \varepsilon}} + (1 + \delta \eta_t^{-1} \chi_t'(\psi)) i \partial \bar{\partial} \psi \geq -\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon \omega \quad \text{on } X.$$

277 The additional error term $-\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon \omega$ is irrelevant when we use Proposition 2.1, as it is
 278 absorbed by taking the hermitian operator $B + \varepsilon I$. Therefore for every $t \in \mathbb{R}^-$, with
 279 the adjustment $\varepsilon = e^{\alpha t}$, $\alpha \in]0, m_{p+1} - 1[$, we can find a singular metric $h_t = h_{t, \varepsilon}$
 280 which is smooth in the complement $X \setminus Z_t$ of an analytic set, such that $\mathcal{I}(h_t) = \mathcal{I}(h)$,
 281 $\mathcal{I}(h_t e^{-\psi}) = \mathcal{I}(h e^{-\psi})$ and $h_t \uparrow h$ as $t \rightarrow -\infty$. We now apply the L^2 estimate of
 282 Proposition 2.1 and observe that $X \setminus Z_t$ is complete Kähler (at least after we shrink
 283 X a little bit as $X' = \pi^{-1}(S')$, cf. [10]). As a consequence, one can find sections u_t ,
 284 w_t satisfying

$$\bar{\partial} u_t + w_t = v_t := \bar{\partial}(\theta(\psi - t) \cdot \tilde{f}) \quad (3.4)$$

286 and

$$\begin{aligned} 287 \int_X (\eta_t + \lambda_t)^{-1} |u_t|_{\omega, h_t}^2 e^{-\psi} dV_{X, \omega} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_X |w_t|_{\omega, h_t}^2 e^{-\psi} dV_{X, \omega} \\ \leq \int_X \langle (R_t + \varepsilon I)^{-1} v_t, v_t \rangle_{\omega, h_t} e^{-\psi} dV_{X, \omega}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

288 One of the main consequence of (3.3) and (3.5) is that, for $\varepsilon = e^{\alpha t}$ and α well chosen,
 289 one can infer that the error term satisfies

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \int_X |w_t|_{\omega, h_t}^2 e^{-\psi} dV_{X, \omega} = 0.$$

291 One difficulty, however, is that L^2 sections cannot be restricted in a continuous way to
 292 a subvariety. In order to overcome this problem, we play again the game of returning
 293 to Čech cohomology by solving inductively $\bar{\partial}$ -equations for w_t on $U_{i_0} \cap \dots \cap U_{i_k}$,
 294 until we reach an equality

$$\bar{\partial}(\theta(\psi - t) \cdot \tilde{f} - \tilde{u}_t) = \tilde{w}_t := - \sum_{i_0, \dots, i_{q-1}} s_{t, i_0 \dots i_q} \bar{\partial} \rho_{i_0} \wedge \bar{\partial} \rho_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\partial} \rho_{i_{q-1}} \quad (3.6)$$

with holomorphic sections $s_{t, I} = s_{t, i_0 \dots i_q}$ on $U_I = U_{i_0} \cap \dots \cap U_{i_q}$, such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \int_{U_I} |s_{t, I}|_{\omega, h_t}^2 e^{-\psi} dV_{X, \omega} = 0.$$

Then the right hand side of (3.6) is smooth, and more precisely has coefficients in the sheaf $\mathcal{C}^\infty \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{I}(h e^{-\psi})$, and $\tilde{w}_t \rightarrow 0$ in C^∞ topology. A priori, \tilde{u}_t is an $L^2(n, q)$ -form equal to u_t plus a combination $\sum \rho_i s_{t, i}$ of the local solutions of $\bar{\partial} s_{t, i} = w_t$, plus $\sum \rho_i s_{t, i, j} \wedge \bar{\partial} \rho_j$ where $\bar{\partial} s_{t, i, j} = s_{t, j} - s_{t, i}$, plus etc . . . , and is such that

$$\int_X |\tilde{u}_t|_{\omega, h_t}^2 e^{-\psi} dV_{X, \omega} < +\infty.$$

296 Since $H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X \otimes E) \otimes \mathcal{I}(he^{-\psi}))$ can be computed with the L^2_{loc} resolution
 297 of the coherent sheaf, or alternatively with the $\bar{\partial}$ -complex of (n, \bullet) -forms with coeffi-
 298 cients in $C^\infty \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{I}(he^{-\psi})$, we may assume that $\tilde{u}_t \in C^\infty \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{I}(he^{-\psi})$, after playing
 299 again with Čech cohomology. Lemma 2.2 yields a sequence of smooth (n, q) -forms
 300 σ_t with coefficients in $C^\infty \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{I}(h)$, such that $\bar{\partial}\sigma_t = \tilde{w}_t$ and $\sigma_t \rightarrow 0$ in C^∞ -topology.
 301 Then $\tilde{f}_t = \theta(\psi - t) \cdot \tilde{f} - \tilde{u}_t - \sigma_t$ is a $\bar{\partial}$ -closed (n, q) -form on X with values in
 302 $C^\infty \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{I}(h) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(E)$, whose image in $H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X \otimes E) \otimes \mathcal{I}(h)/\mathcal{I}(he^{-\psi}))$
 303 converges to $\{f\}$ in C^∞ Fréchet topology. We conclude by a density argument on
 304 the Stein space S , by looking at the coherent sheaf morphism

$$305 \quad R^q \pi_* (\mathcal{O}_X(K_X \otimes E) \otimes \mathcal{I}(h)) \rightarrow R^q \pi_* (\mathcal{O}_X(K_X \otimes E) \otimes \mathcal{I}(h)/\mathcal{I}(he^{-\psi})).$$

306 □

307 **Proof of the quantitative estimates.** We refer again to [14] for details. One of the
 308 main features of the above qualitative proof is that we have not tried to control the
 309 solution u_t of our $\bar{\partial}$ -equation, in fact we only needed to prove that the error term w_t
 310 converges to zero. However, to get quantitative L^2 estimates, we have to pay attention
 311 to the L^2 norm of u_t . It is under control as $t \rightarrow -\infty$ only when f satisfies the more
 312 restrictive condition of being L^2 with respect to the residue measure $dV_{Y^\circ, \omega}[\psi]$. This
 313 is the reason why we lose track of the solution when the volume of the measure
 314 explodes on Y_{sing} , or when there are several jumps involved in the multiplier ideal
 315 sheaves.

316 4 Applications of the Ohsawa–Takegoshi Extension 317 Theorem

318 The Ohsawa–Takegoshi extension theorem is a very powerful tool that has many
 319 important applications to complex analysis and geometry. We will content ourselves
 320 by mentioning only a few statements and references.

321 4.1 Approximation of Plurisubharmonic Functions and of 322 Closed (1, 1)-Currents

323 By considering the extension from points (i.e. a 0-dimensional connected subvariety
 324 $Y \subset X$), even just locally on coordinates balls, one gets a precise Bergman kernel
 325 estimate for Hilbert spaces attached to multiples of any plurisubharmonic function.
 326 This leads to regularization theorems [11] that have many applications, such as the
 327 Hard Lefschetz theorem with multiplier ideal sheaves [19], or extended vanishing
 328 theorems for pseudoeffective line bundles [7]. The result may consult [13] for a
 329 survey of these questions. Another consequence is a very simple and direct proof

330 of Siu's result [53] on the analyticity of sublevel sets of Lelong numbers of closed
 331 positive currents.

332 4.2 Invariance of Plurigenera

333 Around 2000, Siu [54] proved that for every smooth projective deformation $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$
 334 over an irreducible base S , the plurigenera $p_m(t) = h^0(X_t, K_{X_t}^{\otimes m})$ of the
 335 fibers $X_t = \pi^{-1}(t)$ are constant. The proof relies in an essential way on the Ohsawa–
 336 Takegoshi extension theorem, and was later simplified and generalized by Păun [51].
 337 It is remarkable that no algebraic proof of this purely algebraic result is known!

338 4.3 Semicontinuity of Log Singularity Exponents

339 In [18], we proved that the log singularity exponent (or log canonical threshold)
 340 $c_x(\varphi)$, defined as the supremum of constants $c > 0$ such that $e^{-c\varphi}$ is integrable in a
 341 neighborhood of a point x , is a lower semicontinuous function with respect to the
 342 topology of weak convergence on plurisubharmonic functions. Guan and Zhou [25]
 343 recently proved our “strong openness conjecture”, namely that the integrability of
 344 $e^{-\varphi}$ implies the integrability of $e^{-(1+\varepsilon)\varphi}$ for $\varepsilon > 0$ small; later alternative proofs have
 345 been exposed in [26, 34].

346 4.4 Proof of the Suita Conjecture

347 In [5] Błocki determined the value of the optimal constant in the Ohsawa–Takegoshi
 348 extension theorem, a result that was subsequently generalized by Guan and Zhou
 349 [24]. In complex dimension 1, this result implies in its turn a conjecture of N. Suita,
 350 stating that for any bounded domain D in \mathbb{C} , one has $c_D^2 \leq \pi K_D$, where $c_D(z)$ is the
 351 logarithmic capacity of $\mathbb{C} \setminus D$ with respect to $z \in D$ and K_D is the Bergman kernel
 352 on the diagonal. Guan and Zhou [24] proved that the equality occurs if and only if
 353 D is conformally equivalent to the disc minus a closed set of inner capacity zero.

354 **Acknowledgements** Supported by the European Research Council project “Algebraic and Kähler
 355 Geometry” (ERC-ALKAGE, grant No. 670846 from September 2015).

References

1. Akizuki, Y., Nakano, S.: Note on Kodaira-Spencer's proof of Lefschetz theorems. Proc. Jap. Acad. **30**, 266–272 (1954)
2. Andreotti, A., Vesentini, E.: Carleman estimates for the Laplace-Beltrami equation in complex manifolds. Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. **25**, 81–130 (1965)
3. Berndtsson, B.: The extension theorem of Ohsawa-Takegoshi and the theorem of Donnelly-Fefferman. Ann. Inst. Fourier **14**, 1087–1099 (1996)
4. Berndtsson, B., Lempert, L.: A proof of the Ohsawa-Takegoshi theorem with sharp estimates. J. Math. Soc. Japan **68**(4), 1461–1472 (2016)
5. Błocki, Z.: Suita conjecture and the Ohsawa-Takegoshi extension theorem. Invent. Math. **193**(1), 149–158 (2013)
6. Bochner, S.: Curvature and Betti numbers (I) and (II). Ann. of Math. **49**, 379–390 (1948), **50**, 77–93 (1949)
7. Cao, Junyan: Numerical dimension and a Kawamata Viehweg Nadel-type vanishing theorem on compact Kähler manifolds. Compos. Math. **150**, 1869–1902 (2014)
8. Cao, J., Demailly, J.-P., Matsumura, S.: A general extension theorem for cohomology classes on non reduced analytic subspaces. Sci. Chin. Math. **60**(6), 949–962 (2017)
9. Chen, B.-Y.: A simple proof of the Ohsawa-Takegoshi extension theorem. [arXiv: math.CV/1105.2430](https://arxiv.org/abs/math.CV/1105.2430)
10. Demailly, J.-P.: Estimations L^2 pour l'opérateur $\bar{\partial}$ d'un fibré vectoriel holomorphe semi-positif au-dessus d'une variété kählérienne complète. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup(4). **15**, 457–511 (1982)
11. Demailly, J.-P.: Regularization of closed positive currents and intersection Theory. J. Alg. Geom. **1**, 361–409 (1992)
12. Demailly, J.-P.: On the Ohsawa-Takegoshi-Manivel L^2 extension theorem. In: Dolbeault, P. (ed.) Proceedings of the Conference in honour of the 85th birthday of Pierre Lelong, Paris, Sept 1997. Progress in Mathematics, Birkhäuser, vol. 188, pp. 47–82 (2000)
13. Demailly, J.-P.: On the cohomology of pseudoeffective line bundles. In: John Erik Fornæss, Marius Irgens, Erlend Fornæss Wold (ed.) The Abel Symposium: held at Trondheim, Complex Geometry and Dynamics, vol. 2015, pp. 51–99. Springer (2013)
14. Demailly, J.-P.: Extension of holomorphic functions defined on non reduced analytic subvarieties. Advanced Lectures in Mathematics ALM35, the legacy of Bernhard Riemann after one hundred and fifty years, pp. 191–222. Higher Education Press, Beijing-Boston (2015)
15. Demailly, J.-P.: Complex analytic and differential geometry. e-book available on the web page of the author, <https://www-fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/demailly/manuscripts/agbook.pdf>
16. Demailly, J.-P.: Analytic methods in algebraic geometry. In: Surveys of Modern Mathematics, vol. 1. International Press, Somerville, Higher Education Press, Beijing (2012)
17. Demailly, J.-P., Hacon, Ch., Păun, M.: Extension theorems, Non-vanishing and the existence of good minimal models. Acta Math. **210**, 203–259 (2013)
18. Demailly, J.-P., Kollár, J.: Semicontinuity of complex singularity exponents and Kähler-Einstein metrics on Fano orbifolds. Ann. Ec. Norm. Sup. **34**, 525–556 (2001)
19. Demailly, J.-P., Peternell, T., Schneider, M.: Pseudo-effective line bundles on compact Kähler manifolds. Int. J. Math. **6**, 689–741 (2001)
20. Donnelly, H., Fefferman, C.: L^2 -cohomology and index theorem for the Bergman metric. Ann. Math. **118**, 593–618 (1983)
21. Folland, G.B., Kohn, J.J.: The neumann problem for the cauchy-riemann complex. Ann. Math. Stud. **75**. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo (1972)
22. Fujino, O.: A transcendental approach to Kollár's injectivity theorem II. J. Reine Angew. Math. **681**, 149–174 (2013)
23. Fujino, O., Matsumura, S.: Injectivity theorem for pseudo-effective line bundles and its applications. [arXiv:1605.02284v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/1605.02284v1)
24. Guan, Q., Zhou, X.: A solution of an L^2 extension problem with an optimal estimate and applications. Ann. of Math. (2) **181**(3), 1139–1208 (2015)

- 409 25. Guan, Q., Zhou, X: A proof of Demailly's strong openness conjecture. *Ann. Math.* **182**(2),
 410 605–616 (2015)
- 411 26. Hiep, P.H.: The weighted log canonical threshold. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **352**(4), 283–
 412 288 (2014)
- 413 27. Hörmander, L.: L^2 estimates and existence theorems for the $\bar{\partial}$ operator. *Acta Math.* **113**,
 414 89–152 (1965)
- 415 28. Hörmander, L.: An introduction to complex analysis in several variables, 1st edn, Elsevier
 416 Science Pub., New York (1966), , vol. 7, 3rd revised edn., North-Holland Math. library,
 417 Amsterdam (1990)
- 418 29. Hosono, G.: The optimal jet L^2 extension of Ohsawa–Takegoshi type. [arXiv:
 419 math.CV/1706.08725](https://arxiv.org/abs/math.CV/1706.08725)
- 420 30. Hosono, G.: On sharper estimates of Ohsawa–Takegoshi L^2 -extension theorem. [arXiv:
 421 math.CV/1708.08269](https://arxiv.org/abs/math.CV/1708.08269)
- 422 31. Kodaira, K.: On cohomology groups of compact analytic varieties with coefficients in some
 423 analytic faisceaux. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **39**, 868–872 (1953)
- 424 32. Kodaira, K.: On a differential geometric method in the theory of analytic stacks. *Proc. Nat.
 425 Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **39**, 1268–1273 (1953)
- 426 33. Kodaira, K.: On Kähler varieties of restricted type. *Ann. Math.* **60**, 28–48 (1954)
- 427 34. Lempert, L.: Modules of square integrable holomorphic germs. In: *Analysis Meets Geometry:
 428 A Tribute to Mikael Passare*. Trends in Mathematics, pp. 311–333. Springer International
 429 Publishing (2017)
- 430 35. Manivel, L.: Un théorème de prolongement L^2 de sections holomorphes d'un fibré vectoriel.
 431 *Math. Zeitschrift* **212**, 107–122 (1993)
- 432 36. Matsumura, S.: An injectivity theorem with multiplier ideal sheaves for higher direct images
 433 under Kähler morphisms. [arXiv: math.CV/1607.05554v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/math.CV/1607.05554v1)
- 434 37. Matsumura, S.: An injectivity theorem with multiplier ideal sheaves of singular metrics with
 435 transcendental singularities. *J. Algebr. Geom.* (2017). [arXiv: math.CV/1308.2033v4](https://arxiv.org/abs/math.CV/1308.2033v4), [https://
 436 doi.org/10.1090/jag/687](https://doi.org/10.1090/jag/687)
- 437 38. McNeal, J., Varolin, D.: Analytic inversion of adjunction: L^2 extension theorems with gain.
 438 *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **57**(3), 703–718 (2007)
- 439 39. Nakano, S.: On complex analytic vector bundles. *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **7**, 1–12 (1955)
- 440 40. Nadel, A.M.: Multiplier ideal sheaves and Kähler–Einstein metrics of positive scalar curvature.
 441 *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **86**, 7299–7300 (1989) and *Ann. Math.* **132** (1990), 549–596
- 442 41. Nakano, S.: Vanishing theorems for weakly 1-complete manifolds. In: *Number Theory, Alge-
 443 braic Geometry and Commutative Algebra*, in honor of Y. Akizuki, Kinokuniya, Tokyo, pp.
 444 169–179 (1973)
- 445 42. Nakano, S.: Vanishing theorems for weakly 1-complete manifolds II. *Publ. R.I.M.S., Kyoto
 446 Univ.* **10**, 101–110 (1974)
- 447 43. Ohsawa, T.: On the extension of L^2 holomorphic functions, II. *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.* **24**,
 448 265–275 (1988)
- 449 44. Ohsawa, T.: On the extension of L^2 holomorphic functions, IV: A new density concept. In:
 450 Mabuchi, T. et al. (ed.) *Geometry and analysis on complex manifolds*. Festschrift for Professor
 451 S. Kobayashi's 60th birthday. World Scientific, Singapore, pp. 157–170 (1994)
- 452 45. Ohsawa, T.: On the extension of L^2 holomorphic functions, III: negligible weights. *Math. Z.*
 453 **219**, 215–225 (1995)
- 454 46. Ohsawa, T.: On the extension of L^2 holomorphic functions, V: Effects of generalization.
 455 *Nagoya Math. J.* **161**, pp. 1–21 (2001). *Nagoya Math. J.* **163**, 229 (2001) (erratum)
- 456 47. Ohsawa, T.: On the extension of L^2 holomorphic functions, VI: A limiting case explorations
 457 in complex and Riemannian geometry. *Contemp. Math.* **332**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence,
 458 RI, pp. 235–239 (2003)
- 459 48. Ohsawa, T.: On a curvature condition that implies a cohomology injectivity theorem of Kollár-
 460 Skoda type. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **41**(3), 565–577 (2005)
- 461 49. Ohsawa, T.: L^2 extension theorems—backgrounds and a new result, pp. 261–274. *Kyushu
 462 University Press, Fukuoka, Finite or infinite dimensional complex analysis and applications
 463 (2005)*

- 464 50. Ohsawa, T., Takegoshi, K.: On the extension of L^2 holomorphic functions. *Math. Z.* **195**,
465 197–204 (1987)
- 466 51. Păun, M.: Sius invariance of plurigenera: a one-tower proof. *J. Differ. Geom.* **76**, 485–493
467 (2007)
- 468 52. Popovici, D.: L^2 extension for jets of holomorphic sections of a Hermitian line bundle. *Nagoya*
469 *Math. J.* **180**, 1–34 (2005)
- 470 53. Siu, Y.-T.: Analyticity of sets associated to Lelong numbers and the extension of closed
471 positive currents. *Invent. Math.* **27**, 53–156 (1974)
- 472 54. Siu, Y.-T.: Extension of twisted pluricanonical sections with plurisubharmonic weight and
473 invariance of semipositively twisted plurigenera for manifolds not necessarily of general
474 type. In: *Complex Geometry* (Göttingen, vol. 2002, pp. 223–277. Springer, Berlin (2000)
- 475 55. Varolin, D.: Three variations on a theme in complex analytic geometry. In: *Analytic and*
476 *Algebraic Geometry*, IAS/Park City Math. Ser. **17**, Amer. Math. Soc., 183–294 (2010)

Author Queries

Chapter 8

Query Refs.	Details Required	Author's response
AQ1	Please check and confirm if the inserted article note is correct or not.	
AQ2	Kindly check the author name in Ref. [26].	

UNCORRECTED PROOF

MARKED PROOF

Please correct and return this set

Please use the proof correction marks shown below for all alterations and corrections. If you wish to return your proof by fax you should ensure that all amendments are written clearly in dark ink and are made well within the page margins.

<i>Instruction to printer</i>	<i>Textual mark</i>	<i>Marginal mark</i>
Leave unchanged	... under matter to remain	Ⓟ
Insert in text the matter indicated in the margin	∧	New matter followed by ∧ or ∧ [Ⓢ]
Delete	/ through single character, rule or underline or ┌───┐ through all characters to be deleted	Ⓞ or Ⓞ [Ⓢ]
Substitute character or substitute part of one or more word(s)	/ through letter or ┌───┐ through characters	new character / or new characters /
Change to italics	— under matter to be changed	↙
Change to capitals	≡ under matter to be changed	≡
Change to small capitals	≡ under matter to be changed	≡
Change to bold type	~ under matter to be changed	~
Change to bold italic	≈ under matter to be changed	≈
Change to lower case	Encircle matter to be changed	≡
Change italic to upright type	(As above)	⊕
Change bold to non-bold type	(As above)	⊖
Insert 'superior' character	/ through character or ∧ where required	Υ or Υ under character e.g. Υ or Υ
Insert 'inferior' character	(As above)	∧ over character e.g. ∧
Insert full stop	(As above)	⊙
Insert comma	(As above)	,
Insert single quotation marks	(As above)	ʹ or ʸ and/or ʹ or ʸ
Insert double quotation marks	(As above)	ʼ or ʻ and/or ʼ or ʻ
Insert hyphen	(As above)	⊥
Start new paragraph	┌	┌
No new paragraph	┐	┐
Transpose	└┐	└┐
Close up	linking ○ characters	Ⓞ
Insert or substitute space between characters or words	/ through character or ∧ where required	Υ
Reduce space between characters or words		↑