Two Counterexamples Concerning the Pluri-Complex Green Function in Cⁿ

ERIC BEDFORD & JEAN-PIERRE DEMAILLY

Given a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ and a point $z \in \Omega$, we consider the function

$$u_z(\zeta) = \sup\{v(\zeta) : v \text{ is psh on } \Omega, v < 0, \text{ and } v(\zeta) \le \log|\zeta - z| + O(1)\},$$

which is the pluri-complex Green function on Ω with logarithmic pole at z (cf. [2, 3, 4]). For a large class of domains Ω , (e.g., if $\partial\Omega$ is strongly pseudoconvex and bounded), then $u_z \in \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega} - \{z\})$, $u_z = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, and $u_z(\zeta) = \log|z - \zeta| + O(1)$. A fundamental property is that $(dd^c u_z)^n = 0$ on $\Omega - \{z\}$. Several further properties of u_z were derived in [2], where the following questions were also raised:

- 1. Is $u_z \in \mathcal{C}^2(\bar{\Omega} \{z\})$?
- 2. Is u_z symmetric, i.e., $u_z(\zeta) = u_{\zeta}(z)$?

Lempert [4] has shown that if $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is strictly convex and smoothly bounded, then the answer to these questions is "Yes." The convex situation, however, is quite special, and the point of this note is to show that, for strongly pseudoconvex domains, the answer to both these questions is "No."

Proposition 1. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ be a bounded, connected, strongly pseudoconvex domain with \mathcal{C}^2 boundary. Let Ω be invariant under the transformation $(z,w)\mapsto (z,-w)$, and suppose that $\Omega\cap\{w=0\}=D_1\cup\ldots\cup D_s$, where $\bar{D}_i\cap\bar{D}_j=\emptyset$, and D_j is a smoothly bounded disk. Then, if $p\in D_1$, the function u_p is not \mathcal{C}^2 in a neighborhood of the set \bar{D}_j for any $2\leq j\leq s$.

Remark. For a specific example, we may let Ω be a small neighborhood of the unit circle $\{(x_1,x_2)\in \mathbf{R}^2\subset \mathbf{C}^2: x_1^2+x_2^2=1\}$.

Proof. Since both p and the domain Ω are invariant under the mapping $(z,w)\mapsto (z,-w)$, it follows that u_p is also invariant. If u_p is of class \mathcal{C}^2 on a neighborhood of the set \bar{D}_2 , then we have an invariant solution of $\det(\partial^2 u_p/\partial z_i\partial \bar{z}_j)=0$ on \bar{D}_2 , with $u_p=0$ on ∂D_2 . But this is a contradiction to the Proposition of [1], which completes the proof.

Proposition 2. There is a bounded, strongly pseudoconvex domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ with real analytic boundary for which the Green function is not symmetric.

Proof. Let

$$u(z,w) = \max\left(\frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{|w^2 - z^2(z-a)|}{\varepsilon^2}\right), \log|z|\right),\,$$

where 0 < |a| < 1. We will show that for $\varepsilon > 0$ small, the Green function for the domain $\Omega = \{u(z,w) < 0\}$ is not symmetric. To prove Proposition 2, we consider an increasing sequence of strongly pseudoconvex domains Ω_j with real analytic boundary, which increase to Ω . If the Green function $u_z(\zeta,\Omega_j)$ is symmetric for all j, then so is $\lim_{j\to\infty} u_z(\zeta,\Omega_j) = u_z(\zeta)$. Thus one of the sets Ω_j must give us the set desired in Proposition 2.

We note that $u = u_{(0,0)}$ is the Green function for the domain Ω with pole at (0,0). Thus $u_{(0,0)}(a,0) = \log |a|$. On the other hand, the pole of $u_{(a,0)}$ is estimated by the function

$$v(z,w) = \begin{cases} \frac{\log|w|}{2} & \text{if } |z-a| \le \varepsilon \\ \max\left(\frac{\log|w|}{2}, \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}}\right) \log\left|\frac{z-a}{1-\bar{a}z}\right|\right) & \text{if } |z-a| \ge \varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

Indeed, we have |w| < 2 on Ω , and thus v < 0. Moreover, the inequalities

$$|w|^2 \ge |z^2(z-a)| - \varepsilon^2 \ge (|a| - \varepsilon)\varepsilon - \varepsilon^2$$

on $\Omega \cap \{|z-a| = \varepsilon\}$ show that v is psh. As $v(z,w) \leq \log(|w| + |z-a|) + O(1)$ near (a,0), it follows that $v(z,w) \leq u_{(a,0)}(z,w)$. Thus if ε is small, we have

$$u_{(a,0)}(0,0) \ge \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}}}\right) \log|a| > u_{(0,0)}(a,0),$$

and so the Green function is not symmetric.

Remark. In general, the Green function $u_z(\zeta)$ cannot even be expected to be psh in z. In fact, for any domain Ω , the symmetry property is equivalent to

the fact that $v(z) = u_z(\zeta)$ is psh in z for every fixed ζ . To see this, observe that v < 0 and $v(z) = \log|z - \zeta| + O(1)$ near ζ . The plurisubharmonicity of v implies therefore that $u_z(\zeta) = v(z) \le u_\zeta(z)$, and similarly $u_\zeta(z) \le u_z(\zeta)$.

As a consequence of this remark, we see that u_z is different from a related function defined by Cegrell (cf. [2], Proposition VII:2).

Acknowledgment. This paper was written while the authors were visiting the Mittag-Leffler Institute, and they wish to thank the Institute for its hospitality.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Bedford & J.-E. Fornæss, Counterexamples to regularity for the complex Monge-Ampère equation, Inv. Math. 50 (1979), 129-134.
- [2] U. CEGRELL, Capacities in Complex Analysis, Aspects of Mathematics, Vol. 14, Vieweg, 1988.
- J.-P. Demailly, Mesures de Monge-Ampère et mesures pluriharmoniques, Math.
 Z. 194 (1987), 519-564.
- [4] M. KLIMEK, Extremal plurisubharmonic functions and invariant pseudodistances, Bull. Soc. Math. France 113 (1985), 123-142.
- [5] L. LEMPERT, La métrique de Kobayashi et la répresentation des domaines sur la boule, Bull. Soc. Math. France 109 (1981), 427-474.

This work was partially supported by a grant from the National Science Foundation.

ERIC BEDFORD
Department of Mathematics
Indiana University
Bloomington, Indiana 47405

JEAN-PIERRE DEMAILLY Department of Mathematics Université de Grenoble I St. Martin d'Heres, France

Received August 10, 1988.