# Toward a Generalized Shapiro and Shapiro Conjecture

Alex Degtyarev

To my teacher Oleg Viro on his 60th birthday.

**Abstract** We obtain a new, asymptotically better, bound  $g \leq \frac{1}{4}d^2 + O(d)$  on the 5 genus of a curve that may violate the generalized total reality conjecture. The bound 6 covers all known cases except g = 0 (the original conjecture).

Keywords Shapiro and Shapiro conjecture • Real variety • Discriminant form 8 • Alexander module 9

# 1 Introduction

The original (rational) total reality conjecture suggested by B. and M. Shapiro in 11 1993 states that if all flattening points of a regular curve  $\mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^n$  belong to the 12 real line  $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{R}} \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ , then the curve can be made real by an appropriate projective 13 transformation of  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . (The *flattening points* are the points in the source  $\mathbb{P}^1$  where 14 the first *n* derivatives of the map are linearly dependent. In the case n = 1, a 15 curve is a meromorphic function, and the flattening points are its critical points.) 16 There are quite a few interesting and not always straightforward restatements of this 17 conjecture, in terms of the Wronsky map, Schubert calculus, dynamical systems, etc. 18

Although supported by extensive numerical evidence, the conjecture proved 19 extremely difficult to settle. It was not before 2002 that the first result appeared, due 20 to Eremenko and Gabrielov [4], settling the case n = 1, i.e., meromorphic functions 21 on  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . Later, a number of sporadic results were announced, and the conjecture was

10

4

1

2

A. Degtyarev (⊠)

Department of Mathematics, Bilkent University, 06800 Ankara, Turkey e-mail: degt@fen.bilkent.edu.tr

proved in full generality in 2005 by Mukhin et al.; see [6]. The proof, revealing a <sup>22</sup> deep connection between Schubert calculus and the theory of integrable systems, is <sup>23</sup> based on the Bethe ansatz method in the Gaudin model. <sup>24</sup>

In the meanwhile, a number of generalizations of the conjecture were sug-  $_{25}$  gested. In this paper, we deal with one of them, see [3] and Problem 1.1 below,  $_{26}$  replacing the source  $\mathbb{P}^1$  with an arbitrary compact complex curve (however,  $_{27}$  restricting *n* to 1, i.e., to the case of meromorphic functions). Due to the lack  $_{28}$  of evidence, the authors chose to state the assertion as a problem rather than a  $_{29}$  conjecture.

Recall that a *real variety* is a complex algebraic (analytic) variety X supplied <sup>31</sup> with a *real structure*, i.e., an antiholomorphic involution  $c: X \to X$ . Given two real <sup>32</sup> varieties (X,c) and (Y,c'), a regular map  $f: X \to Y$  is called *real* if it commutes <sup>33</sup> with the real structures:  $f \circ c = c' \circ f$ .

**Problem 1.1 (see [3]).** Let (C, c) be a real curve and let  $f: C \to \mathbb{P}^1$  be 35

- 1. All critical points and critical values of f are distinct;
- 2. All critical points of f are real.

Is it true that f is real with respect to an appropriate real structure in  $\mathbb{P}^1$ ?

The condition that the critical points of f be distinct includes, in particular, the <sup>39</sup> requirement that each critical point be simple, i.e., have ramification index 2. <sup>40</sup>

A pair of integers  $g \ge 0$ ,  $d \ge 1$  is said to have the *total reality property* if the 41 answer to Problem 1.1 is affirmative for any curve C of genus g and map f of 42 degree d. At present, the total reality property is known for the following pairs 43 (g,d):

- (0,d) for any  $d \ge 1$  (the original conjecture; see [4]); 45
- (g,d) for any  $d \ge 1$  and  $g > G_1(d) := \frac{1}{3}(d^2 4d + 3)$ ; see [3]; 46
- (g,d) for any  $g \ge 0$  and  $d \le 4$ ; see [3] and [1].

The principal result of the present paper is the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.2.** Any pair (g,d) with  $d \ge 1$  and g satisfying the inequality

$$g > G_0(d) := \begin{cases} k^2 - 2k, & \text{if } d = 2k \text{ is even,} \\ k^2 - \frac{10}{3}k + \frac{7}{3}, & \text{if } d = 2k - 1 \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

has the total reality property.

*Remark 1.3.* Note that one has  $G_0(d) - G_1(d) \le -\frac{1}{3}(k-1)^2 \le 0$ , where  $k = \lfloor \frac{1}{2}(d+52) \rfloor$ . Theorem 1.2 covers the values d = 2, 3 and leaves only g = 0 for d = 4, reducing 53 the generalized conjecture to the classical one. The new bound is also asymptotically 54 better:  $G_0(d) = \frac{1}{4}d^2 + O(d) < G_1(d) = \frac{1}{3}d^2 + O(d)$ . 55

51

47

48

49

36

37



Toward a Generalized Shapiro and Shapiro Conjecture

# 1.1 Content of the Paper

In Sect. 2, we outline the reduction of Problem 1.1 to the question of existence of <sup>57</sup> certain real curves on the ellipsoid and restate Theorem 1.2 in the new terms; see <sup>58</sup> Theorem 2.4. In Sect. 3, we briefly recall V. V. Nikulin's theory of discriminant <sup>59</sup> forms and lattice extensions. In Sect. 4, we introduce a version of the Alexander <sup>60</sup> module of a plane curve suited to the study of the resolution lattice in the homology <sup>61</sup> of the double covering of the plane ramified at the curve. Finally, in Sect. 5, we <sup>62</sup> prove Theorem 2.4 and hence Theorem 1.2.

## 2 The Reduction

We briefly recall the reduction of Problem 1.1 to the problem of existence of a 65 certain real curve on the ellipsoid. Details can be found in [3].

#### AQ1

2.1

Denote by conj:  $z \mapsto \overline{z}$  the standard real structure on  $\mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{C} \cup \infty$ . The *ellipsoid* **E** is 68 the quadric  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  with the real structure  $(z, w) \mapsto (\operatorname{conj} w, \operatorname{conj} z)$ . (It is in fact the 69 real structure whose real part is homeomorphic to the 2-sphere.) 70

Let (C,c) be a real curve and let  $f: C \to \mathbb{P}^1$  be a holomorphic map. Consider the 71 conjugate map  $\overline{f} = \operatorname{conj} \circ f \circ c: C \to \mathbb{P}^1$  and let 72

$$\boldsymbol{\Phi} = (f, \bar{f}) \colon \boldsymbol{C} \to \mathbf{E}.$$

It is straightforward that  $\Phi$  is holomorphic and real (with respect to the above real 74 structure on **E**). Hence, the image  $\Phi(C)$  is a real algebraic curve in **E**. (We exclude 75 the possibility that  $\Phi(C)$  is a point, for we assume  $f \neq \text{const}$ ; cf. Condition 1.1(1).) 76 In particular, the image  $\Phi(C)$  has bidegree (d',d') for some  $d' \ge 1$ . 77

**Lemma 2.1 (see [3]).** A holomorphic map  $f: C \to \mathbb{P}^1$  is real with respect to some real structure on  $\mathbb{P}^1$  if and only if there is a Möbius transformation  $\varphi: \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1$  such that  $\overline{f} = \varphi \circ f$ .

**Corollary 2.2 (see [3]).** A holomorphic map  $f: C \to \mathbb{P}^1$  is real with respect to some real structure on  $\mathbb{P}^1$  if and only if the image  $\Phi(C) \subset \mathbf{E}$  (see above) is a curve of bidegree (1,1).

56

67

# 2.2

78

98

Let  $p: \mathbf{E} \to \mathbb{P}^1$  be the projection to the first factor. In general, the map  $\Phi$  as above 79 splits into a ramified covering  $\alpha$  and a generically one-to-one map  $\beta$ , 80

$$\Phi: C \xrightarrow{\alpha} C' \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathbf{E},$$
 81

so that  $d = \deg f = d' \deg \alpha$ , where  $d' = \deg(p \circ \beta)$ , or alternatively, (d', d') is the set bidegree of the image  $\Phi(C) = \beta(C')$ . Then f itself splits into  $\alpha$  and  $p \circ \beta$ . Hence the critical values of f are those of  $p \circ \beta$  and the images under  $p \circ \beta$  of the ramification set points of  $\alpha$ . Thus, if f satisfies Condition 1.1(1), the splitting cannot be proper, set i.e., either  $d = \deg \alpha$  and d' = 1 or  $\deg \alpha = 1$  and d = d'. In the former case, f set is real with respect to some real structure on  $\mathbb{P}^1$ ; see Corollary 2.2. In the latter set case, assuming that the critical points of f are real, Condition 1.1(2), the image B = set  $\Phi(C)$  is a curve of genus g with 2g + 2d - 2 real ordinary cusps (type- $A_2$  singular points, the images of the critical points of f) and all other singularities with smooth so branches.

Conversely, let  $B \subset \mathbf{E}$  be a real curve of bidegree (d,d), d > 1, and genus g 92 with 2g + 2d - 2 real ordinary cusps and all other singularities with smooth 93 branches, and let  $\rho: \tilde{B} \to B$  be the normalization of B. Then  $f = p \circ \rho: \tilde{B} \to \mathbb{P}^1$  94 is a map that satisfies Conditions 1.1(1) and (2) but is not real with respect to 95 any real structure on  $\mathbb{P}^1$ ; hence, the pair (g,d) does not have the total reality 96 property. 97

As a consequence, we obtain the following statement.

**Theorem 2.3 (see [3]).** A pair (g,d) has the total reality property if and only if there does not exist a real curve  $B \subset \mathbf{E}$  of degree d and genus g with 2g + 2d - 2 real ordinary cusps and all other singularities with smooth branches.

Thus, Theorem 1.2 is equivalent to the following statement, which is actually 99 proved in the paper.

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $\mathbf{E}$  be the ellipsoid, and let  $B \subset \mathbf{E}$  be a real curve of bidegree 101 (d,d) and genus g with c = 2d + 2g - 2 real ordinary cusps and other singularities 102 with smooth branches. Then  $g \leq G_0(d)$ ; see Theorem 1.2. 103

*Remark* 2.5. It is worth mentioning that the bound  $g > G_1(d)$  mentioned in the 104 introduction is purely complex: it is derived from the adjunction formula for the 105 virtual genus of a curve  $B \subset \mathbf{E}$  as in Theorem 2.3. In contrast, the proof of the 106 conjecture for the case (g,d) = (1,4) found in [1] makes essential use of the real 107 structure, since an elliptic curve with eight ordinary cusps in  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  does in 108 fact exist! Our proof of Theorem 2.4 also uses the assumption that all cusps are 109 real.

Toward a Generalized Shapiro and Shapiro Conjecture

## 2.3

In general, a curve *B* as in Theorem 2.4 may have rather complicated singularities. <sup>112</sup> However, since the proof below is essentially topological, we follow Yu. Orevkov <sup>113</sup> [9] and perturb *B* to a real *pseudoholomorphic* curve with ordinary nodes (type  $A_1$ ) <sup>114</sup> and ordinary cusps (type  $A_2$ ) only. By the genus formula, the number of nodes of <sup>115</sup> such a curve is <sup>116</sup>

$$n = (d-1)^2 - g - c = d^2 - 4d - 1 - 3g.$$

# **3** Discriminant Forms

In this section, we cite the techniques and a few results of Nikulin [8]. Most proofs 118 can be found in [8]; they are omitted.

## *3.1*

A *lattice* is a finitely generated free abelian group L equipped with a symmetric 121 bilinear form  $b: L \otimes L \to \mathbb{Z}$ . We abbreviate  $b(x, y) = x \cdot y$  and  $b(x, x) = x^2$ . Since the 122 transition matrix between two integral bases has determinant  $\pm 1$ , the determinant 123 det $L \in \mathbb{Z}$  (i.e., the determinant of the Gram matrix of b in any basis of L) 124 is well defined. A lattice L is called *nondegenerate* if det  $L \neq 0$ ; it is called 125 *unimodular* if det  $L = \pm 1$  and *p-unimodular* if det L is prime to p (where p is a 126 prime).

To fix the notation, we use  $\sigma_+(L)$ ,  $\sigma_-(L)$ , and  $\sigma(L) = \sigma_+(L) - \sigma_-(L)$  for, 128 respectively, the positive and negative inertia indices and the signature of a lattice L. 129

# 3.2

Given a lattice *L*, the bilinear form extends to  $L \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ . If *L* is nondegenerate, the dual 131 group  $L^* = \text{Hom}(L, \mathbb{Z})$  can be regarded as the subgroup 132

$$\{x \in L \otimes \mathbb{Q} \mid x \cdot y \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } x \in L\}.$$
133

In particular,  $L \subset L^*$ , and the quotient  $L^*/L$  is a finite group; it is called the 134 *discriminant group* of *L* and is denoted by discr*L* or  $\mathcal{L}$ . The group  $\mathcal{L}$  inherits from 135  $L \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  a symmetric bilinear form  $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L} \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ , called the *discriminant form*; when 136

120

117

130

111

(1)

speaking about the discriminant groups, their (anti-)isomorphisms, etc., we always 137 assume that the discriminant form is taken into account. The following properties 138 are straightforward: 139

- 1. The discriminant form is nondegenerate, i.e., the associated homomorphism  $\mathcal{L} \to 140$ Hom $(\mathcal{L}, \mathbb{Q}/Z)$  is an isomorphism; 141
- 2. One has  $\#\mathcal{L} = |\det L|$ ;
- 3. In particular,  $\mathcal{L} = 0$  if and only if *L* is unimodular.

Following Nikulin, we denote by  $\ell(\mathcal{L})$  the minimal number of generators of a 144 finite abelian group  $\mathcal{L}$ . For a prime p, we denote by  $\mathcal{L}_p$  the p-primary part of  $\mathcal{L}$  and 145 let  $\ell_p(\mathcal{L}) = \ell(\mathcal{L}_p)$ . Clearly, for a lattice L one has 146

- 4. rk $L \ge \ell(\mathcal{L}) \ge \ell_p(\mathcal{L})$  (for any prime *p*);
- 5. *L* is *p*-unimodular if and only if  $\mathcal{L}_p = 0$ .

## 3.3

An *extension* of a lattice *S* is another lattice *M* containing *L*. All lattices below are 150 assumed nondegenerate. 151

Let  $M \supset S$  be a finite-index extension of a lattice *S*. Since *M* is also a lattice, one 152 has monomorphisms  $S \hookrightarrow M \hookrightarrow M^* \hookrightarrow S^*$ . Hence, the quotient  $\mathcal{K} = M/S$  can be 153 regarded as a subgroup of the discriminant S = discr S; it is called the *kernel* of the 154 extension  $M \supset S$ . The kernel is an isotropic subgroup, i.e.,  $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{K}^{\perp}$ , and one has 155  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{K}^{\perp}/\mathcal{K}$ . In particular, in view of Sect. 3.2(1), for any prime *p* one has 156

$$\ell_p(\mathcal{M}) \geqslant \ell_p(\mathcal{L}) - 2\ell_p(\mathcal{K}).$$
<sup>157</sup>

Now assume that  $M \supset S$  is a *primitive* extension, i.e., the quotient M/S is 158 torsion-free. Then the construction above applies to the finite-index extension 159  $M \supset S \oplus N$ , where  $N = S^{\perp}$ , giving rise to the kernel  $\mathcal{K} \subset S \oplus \mathcal{N}$ . Since both S 160 and N are primitive in M, one has  $\mathcal{K} \cap S = \mathcal{K} \cap \mathcal{N} = 0$ ; hence,  $\mathcal{K}$  is the graph 161 of an anti-isometry  $\kappa$  between certain subgroups  $S' \subset S$  and  $\mathcal{N}' \subset \mathcal{N}$ . If M is 162 unimodular, then S' = S and  $\mathcal{N}' = \mathcal{N}$ , i.e.,  $\kappa$  is an anti-isometry  $S \to \mathcal{N}$ . Similarly, 163 if M is p-unimodular for a certain prime p, then  $S'_p = S_p$  and  $\mathcal{N}'_p = \mathcal{N}_p$ , i.e.,  $\kappa$  164 is an anti-isometry  $S_p \to \mathcal{N}_p$ . In particular,  $\ell(S) = \ell(\mathcal{N})$  (respectively,  $\ell_p(S) = 165$  $\ell_p(\mathcal{N})$ ). Combining these observations with Sect. 3.2(4), we arrive at the following 166 statement.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let p be a prime, and let  $L \supset S$  be a p-unimodular extension of a nondegenerate lattice S. Denote by  $\tilde{S}$  the primitive hull of S in L, and let K be the kernel of the finite-index extension  $\tilde{S} \supset S$ . Then  $\operatorname{rk} S^{\perp} \ge \ell_p(S) - 2\ell_p(\mathcal{K})$ .

149

147

148

142

Toward a Generalized Shapiro and Shapiro Conjecture

# 4 The Alexander Module

Here we discuss (a version of) the Alexander module of a plane curve and its relation 169 to the resolution lattice in the homology of the double covering of the plane ramified 170 at the curve. 171

# *4.1*

Let  $\pi$  be a group, and let  $\kappa: \pi \to \mathbb{Z}_2$  be an epimorphism. Set  $K = \text{Ker} \kappa$  and 173 define the *Alexander module* of  $\pi$  (more precisely, of  $\kappa$ ) as the  $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2]$ -module 174  $A_{\pi} = K/[K,K]$ , the generator t of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  acting via  $x \mapsto [\bar{t}^{-1}\bar{x}\bar{t}] \in A_{\pi}$ , where  $\bar{t} \in \pi$  175 and  $\bar{x} \in K$  are some representatives of t and x, respectively. (We simplify the usual 176 definition and consider only the case needed in the sequel. A more general version 177 and further details can be found in A. Libgober [7].) 178

Let  $B \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  be an irreducible curve of even bidegree (d,d) = (2k,2k), 179 and let  $\pi = \pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus B)$ . Recall that  $\pi/[\pi,\pi] = \mathbb{Z}_{2k}$ ; hence, there is a unique 180 epimorphism  $\kappa \colon \pi \to \mathbb{Z}_2$ . The resulting Alexander module  $A_B = A_{\pi}$  will be called 181 the *Alexander module* of *B*. The *reduced Alexander module*  $\tilde{A}_B$  is the kernel of the 182 canonical homomorphism  $A_B \to \mathbb{Z}_k \subset \pi/[\pi,\pi]$ . There is a natural exact sequence 183

$$0 \longrightarrow \tilde{A}_B \longrightarrow A_B \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_k \longrightarrow 0 \tag{2}$$

of  $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2]$ -modules (where the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -action on  $\mathbb{Z}_k$  is trivial). The following statement is 184 essentially contained in Zariski [10]. 185

**Lemma 4.1.** The exact sequence (2) splits: one has  $A_B = \tilde{A}_B \oplus \text{Ker}(1-t)$ , where t 186 is the generator of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ . Furthermore,  $\tilde{A}_B$  is a finite group free of 2-torsion, and the 187 action of t on  $\tilde{A}_B$  is via the multiplication by (-1).

*Proof.* Since  $A_B$  is a finitely generated abelian group, to prove that it is finite and 189 free of 2-torsion, it suffices to show that  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\tilde{A}_B, \mathbb{Z}_2) = 0$ . Assume the contrary. 190 Then the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -action in the 2-group  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\tilde{A}_B, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  has a fixed nonzero element, i.e., 191 there is an equivariant epimorphism  $\tilde{A}_B \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ . Hence,  $\pi$  factors to a group *G* that is 192 an extension  $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{2k} \rightarrow 0$ . The group *G* is necessarily abelian, and it is 193 strictly larger than  $\mathbb{Z}_{2k} = \pi/[\pi, \pi]$ . This is a contradiction.

Since  $\tilde{A}_B$  is finite and free of 2-torsion, one can divide by 2, and there is a splitting 195  $\tilde{A}_B = \tilde{A}^+ \oplus \tilde{A}^-$ , where  $\tilde{A}^{\pm} = \text{Ker}[(1 \pm t) : \tilde{A}_B \to \tilde{A}_B]$ . Then  $\pi$  factors to a group G 196 that is a central extension  $0 \to \tilde{A}^+ \to G \to \mathbb{Z}_{2k} \to 0$ , and as above, one concludes 197 that  $\tilde{A}^+ = 0$ , i.e., t acts on  $\tilde{A}_B$  via (-1). 198

Pick a representative  $a' \in A_B$  of a generator of  $\mathbb{Z}_k = A_B/\tilde{A}_B$ . Then obviously,  $(1-t)a' \in \tilde{A}_B$ , and replacing a' with  $a' + \frac{1}{2}(1-t)a'$ , one obtains a *t*-invariant representative  $a \in \text{Ker}(1-t)$ . The multiple  $ka \in \tilde{A}_B$  is both invariant and skew-invariant; since  $\tilde{A}_B$  is free of 2-torsion, ka = 0, and the sequence splits.  $\Box$ 

168

A. Degtyarev

#### 4.2

Let  $B \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  be an irreducible curve of even bidegree (d,d) = (2k,2k) and with 200 simple singularities only. Consider the double covering  $X \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  and denote 201 by  $\tilde{X}$  the minimal resolution of singularities of X. Let  $\tilde{B} \subset \tilde{X}$  be the proper pullback 202 of B, and let  $E \subset \tilde{X}$  be the exceptional divisor contracted by the blowdown  $\tilde{X} \to X$ . 203

Recall that the minimal resolution of a simple surface singularity is diffeomor- 204 phic to its perturbation; see, e.g., [2]. Hence,  $\tilde{X}$  is diffeomorphic to the double 205 covering of  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  ramified at a nonsingular curve. In particular,  $\pi_1(\tilde{X}) = 0$ , and 206 one has 207

$$b_2(X) = \chi(X) - 2 = 8k^2 - 8k + 6, \quad \sigma(X) = -4k^2.$$
 (3)

# *4.3*

Set  $L = H_2(\tilde{X})$ . We regard L as a lattice via the intersection index pairing on  $\tilde{X}$ . 209 (Since  $\tilde{X}$  is simply connected, L is a free abelian group. It is a unimodular lattice 210 by Poincaré duality.) Let  $\Sigma \subset L$  be the sublattice spanned by the components of E, 211 and let  $\tilde{\Sigma} \subset L$  be the primitive hull of  $\Sigma$ . Recall that  $\Sigma$  is a negative definite lattice. 212 Further, let  $h_1, h_2 \subset L$  be the classes of the pullbacks of a pair of generic generatrices 213 of  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ , so that  $h_1^2 = h_2^2 = 0$ ,  $h_1 \cdot h_2 = 2$ . 214

**Lemma 4.2.** If a curve *B* as above is irreducible, then there are natural isomorphisms  $\tilde{A}_B = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{K}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) = \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{K}, \mathbb{Z})$ , where  $\mathcal{K}$  is the kernel of the extension 216  $\tilde{\Sigma} \supset \Sigma$ .

*Proof.* One has  $A_B = H_1(\tilde{X} \setminus (\tilde{B} + E))$  as a group, the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -action being induced by 218 the deck translation of the covering. Hence, by Poincaré–Lefschetz duality,  $A_B$  is 219 the cokernel of the inclusion homomorphism  $i^* \colon H^2(\tilde{X}) \to H^2(\tilde{B} + E)$ . 220

On the other hand, there is an orthogonal (with respect to the intersection index 221 form in  $\tilde{X}$ ) decomposition  $H_2(\tilde{B} + E) = \Sigma \oplus \langle b \rangle$ , where  $b = k(h_1 + h_2)$  is the 222 class realized by the divisorial pullback of *B* in  $\tilde{X}$ . The cokernel of the restriction 223  $i^*: H^2(X) \to \langle b \rangle^*$  is a cyclic group  $\mathbb{Z}_k$  fixed by the deck translation. Hence, in view 224 of Lemma 4.1, 225

$$\tilde{A}_B = \operatorname{Coker}[i^* \colon H^2(\tilde{X}) \to H^2(E)] = \operatorname{Coker}[L^* \to \Sigma^*] = \operatorname{discr} \Sigma / \mathcal{K}^{\perp}.$$
 226

(We use the splitting  $L^* \to \tilde{\Sigma}^* \to \Sigma^*$ , the first map being an epimorphism, since  $L/\tilde{\Sigma}$  227 is torsion-free.) Since the discriminant form is nondegenerate (see Sect. 3.2(1)), one 228 has discr  $\Sigma/K^{\perp} = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{K}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ . 229

Since  $\mathcal{K}$  is a finite group, applying the functor  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{K}, \cdot)$  to the short exact sequence  $0 \to \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Q} \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \to 0$ , one obtains an isomorphism  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{K}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) = \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{K}, \mathbb{Z})$ .

199

Toward a Generalized Shapiro and Shapiro Conjecture

**Corollary 4.3.** In the notation of Lemma 4.2, if *B* is irreducible and the group  $\pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus B)$  is abelian, then  $\mathcal{K} = 0$ .

**Corollary 4.4.** In the notation of Lemma 4.2, if B is an irreducible curve of bidegree 230  $(d,d), d = 2k \ge 2$ , then  $\mathcal{K}$  is free of 2-torsion and  $\ell(\mathcal{K}) \le d-2$ . 231

*Proof.* Due to Lemma 4.2, one can replace  $\mathcal{K}$  with  $\tilde{A}_B$ . Then the statement on the 232 2-torsion is given by Lemma 4.1, and it suffices to estimate the numbers  $\ell_p(\tilde{A}_B) = 233 \ell(\tilde{A}_B \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)$  for odd primes p.

Due to the Zariski–van Kampen theorem [5] applied to one of the two rulings of 235  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ , there is an epimorphism  $\pi_1(L \setminus B) = F_{d-1} \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus B)$ , where *L* is a 236 generic generatrix of  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  and  $F_{d-1}$  is the free group on d-1 generators. Hence, 237  $A_B$  is a quotient of the Alexander module 238

$$A_{F_{d-1}} = \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2]/(t-1) \oplus \bigoplus_{d-2} \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2].$$
239

For an odd prime *p*, there is a splitting  $A_{F_{d-1}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p = A_p^+ \oplus A_p^-$  (over the field  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ ) into the eigenspaces of the action of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ , and due to Lemma 4.1, the group  $\tilde{A}_B \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ is a quotient of  $A_p^- = \bigoplus_{d-2} \mathbb{Z}_p$ .

*Remark 4.5.* All statements in this section hold for pseudoholomorphic curves 240 as well; cf. Sect. 2.3. For Corollary 4.4, it suffices to assume that *B* is a small 241 perturbation of an algebraic curve of bidegree (d,d). Then one still has an 242 epimorphism  $F_{d-1} \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus B)$ , and the proof applies literally. 243

# 5 Proof of Theorem 1.2

As explained in Sect. 2, it suffices to prove Theorem 2.4. We consider the cases of  $d_{245}$  even and d odd separately. 246

## 5.1

Let  $B \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  be an irreducible curve of even bidegree (d,d), d = 2k. Assume that 248 all singularities of B are simple and let  $\tilde{X}$  be the minimal resolution of singularities 249 of the double covering  $X \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  ramified at B; cf. Sect. 4.2. As in Sect. 4.3, 250 consider the unimodular lattice  $L = H_2(\tilde{X})$ . 251

Let  $c: \tilde{X} \to \tilde{X}$  be a real structure on  $\tilde{X}$ , and denote by  $L^{\pm}$  the  $(\pm 1)$ -eigenlattices 252 of the induced involution  $c_*$  of L. The following statements are well known: 253

- 1.  $L^{\pm}$  are the orthogonal complements of each other; 254
- 2.  $L^{\pm}$  are *p*-unimodular for any odd prime *p*;
- 3. One has  $\sigma_+(L^+) = \sigma_+(L^-) 1$ .

244

247

255

Since also  $\sigma_+(L^+) + \sigma_+(L^-) = \sigma_+(L) = 2k^2 - 4k + 3$ , see (3), one arrives at 257  $\sigma_+(L^+) = \sigma_+(L^-) - 1 = (k-1)^2$  and, further, at 258

$$\operatorname{rk} L^{-} = (7k^{2} - 6k + 5) - \sigma_{-}(L^{+}).$$
(4)

*Remark 5.1.* The common proof of Property 5.1(3) uses the Hodge structure. <sup>259</sup> However, there is another (also very well known) proof that also applies to almost <sup>260</sup> complex manifolds. Let  $\tilde{X}_{\mathbb{R}} = \text{Fix } c$  be the real part of  $\tilde{X}$ . Then the normal bundle of <sup>261</sup>  $\tilde{X}_{\mathbb{R}}$  in  $\tilde{X}$  is *i* times its tangent bundle; hence, the normal Euler number  $\tilde{X}_{\mathbb{R}} \circ \tilde{X}_{\mathbb{R}}$  equals <sup>262</sup> (-1) times the index of any tangent vector field on  $\tilde{X}_{\mathbb{R}}$ , i.e.,  $-\chi(\tilde{X}_{\mathbb{R}})$ . Now one has <sup>263</sup>  $\sigma(L^+) - \sigma(L^-) = \tilde{X}_{\mathbb{R}} \circ \tilde{X}_{\mathbb{R}} = -\chi(\tilde{X}_{\mathbb{R}})$  (by the Hirzebruch *G*-signature theorem) and <sup>264</sup> rk $L^+ - \text{rk}L^- = \chi(\tilde{X}_{\mathbb{R}}) - 2$  (by the Lefschetz fixed-point theorem). Adding the two <sup>265</sup> equations, one obtains Sect. 5.1(3).

# 5.2 The Case of d = 2k Even

Perturbing, if necessary, B in the class of real pseudoholomorphic curves, 268 see Sect. 2.3, one can assume that all singularities of B are c real ordinary cusps and 269 n ordinary nodes, where 270

$$c = 2d + 2g - 2$$
 and  $n = d^2 - 4d - 1 - 3g;$  (5)

see Theorem 2.3 and (1). Let n = r + 2s, where *r* and *s* are respectively the numbers 271 of real nodes and pairs of conjugate nodes. 272

## 5.3

Consider the double covering  $\tilde{X}$ , see Sect. 4.2, lift the real structure on **E** to a real 274 structure *c* on  $\tilde{X}$ , and let  $L^{\pm} \subset L$  be the corresponding eigenlattices; see Sect. 5.1. In 275 the notation of Sect. 4.3, let  $\Sigma^{\pm} = \Sigma \cap L^{\pm}$ . Then 276

- Each real cusp of *B* contributes a sublattice  $A_2$  to  $\Sigma^-$ ; 277 • Each real node of *B* contributes a sublattice  $A_1 = [-2]$  to  $\Sigma^-$ ; 278
- Each pair of conjugate nodes contributes [-4] to  $\Sigma^-$  and [-4] to  $\Sigma^+$ . 279

In addition, the classes  $h_1$ ,  $h_2$  of two generic generatrices of **E** span a hyperbolic <sup>280</sup> plane orthogonal to  $\Sigma$ ; see Sect. 4.3. It contributes <sup>281</sup>

- A sublattice  $[4] \subset L^-$  spanned by  $h_1 + h_2$ , and 282
- A sublattice  $[-4] \subset L^+$  spanned by  $h_1 h_2$ .

(Recall that any real structure reverses the canonical complex orientation of 284 pseudoholomorphic curves.) 285

267

Toward a Generalized Shapiro and Shapiro Conjecture

5.4

All sublattices of  $L^+$  described above are negative definite; hence, their total rank  ${}_{287}$ s+1 contributes to  $\sigma_-(L^+)$ . The total rank 2c+r+s+1 of the sublattices of  $L^-$  288 contributes to the rank of  $S^- = \Sigma^- \oplus [4] \subset L^-$ . Due to (4), one has 289

$$2c + n + 2 + \operatorname{rk} S^{\perp} \leqslant 7k^2 - 6k + 5, \tag{6}$$

where  $S^{\perp}$  is the orthogonal complement of  $S^{-}$  in  $L^{-}$ . All summands of  $S^{-}$  other 290 than  $\mathbf{A}_{2}$  are 3-unimodular, whereas discr  $\mathbf{A}_{2}$  is the group  $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$  spanned by an element 291 of square  $\frac{1}{3} \mod \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $\tilde{S}^{-} \supset S^{-}$  and  $\tilde{\Sigma} \supset \Sigma$  be the primitive hulls, and denote 292 by  $\mathcal{K}^{-}$  and  $\mathcal{K}$  the kernels of the corresponding finite-index extensions; see Sect. 3.3. 293 Clearly,  $\ell_{3}(\mathcal{K}^{-}) \leq \ell_{3}(\mathcal{K})$ , and due to Corollary 4.4 (see also Remark 4.5), one 294 has  $\ell_{3}(\mathcal{K}) \leq d-2$ . Then using Lemma 3.1, one obtains rk  $S^{\perp} \geq c - 2(d-2)$ , and 295 combining the last inequality with (6), one arrives at

$$3c + n - 2(d - 2) \leqslant 7k^2 - 6k + 3.$$
 297

It remains to substitute the expressions for c and n given by (5) and solve for g 298 to get 299

$$g \leqslant k^2 - 2k + \frac{2}{3}.$$
 300

Since g is an integer, the last inequality implies  $g \leq G_0(2k)$  as in Theorem 2.4. 301

# 5.5 The Case of d = 2k-1 Odd

As above, one can assume that *B* has *c* real ordinary cusps and n = r + 2s ordinary 303 nodes; see (5). Furthermore, one can assume that c > 0, since otherwise, g = 0 and 304 d = 1. Then *B* has a real cusp, and hence a real smooth point *P*. 305

Let  $L_1$ ,  $L_2$  be the two generatrices of **E** passing through *P*. Choose *P* generic, 306 so that each  $L_i$ , i = 1, 2, intersects *B* transversally at *d* points, and consider the real 307 curve  $B' = B + L_1 + L_2$  of even bidegree (2k, 2k), applying to it the same doublecovering arguments as above. In addition to the nodes and cusps of *B*, the new 309 curve *B'* has (d - 1) pairs of conjugate nodes and a real triple (type-**D**<sub>4</sub>) point 310 at *P* (with one real and two complex conjugate branches). Hence, in addition to 311 the classes listed in Sect. 5.3, there are 312

• 
$$(d-1)$$
 copies of  $[-4]$  in each  $\Sigma^+$ ,  $\Sigma^-$  (from the new conjugate nodes), 313

- A sublattice  $[-4] \subset \Sigma^+$  (from the type-**D**<sub>4</sub> point), and
- A sublattice  $A_3 \subset \Sigma^-$  (from the type-**D**<sub>4</sub> point).

Thus, inequality (6) turns into

$$2c + n + 2(d - 1) + 4 + 2 + \operatorname{rk} S^{\perp} \leq 7k^2 - 6k + 5.$$

286

302

314

315

A. Degtyarev

320

We will show that  $\operatorname{rk} S^{\perp} \ge c$ . Then substituting the expressions for c and n, see (5), 318 and solving the resulting inequality in g, one will obtain  $g \le G_0(2k-1)$ , as required. 319

# 5.6

In view of Lemma 3.1, in order to prove that  $\operatorname{rk} S^{\perp} \ge c$ , it suffices to show that  ${}^{321}\ell_3(\mathcal{K}) = 0$  (cf. similar arguments in Sect. 5.4).

Perturb B' to a pseudoholomorphic curve B'', keeping the cusps of B' and 323 resolving the other singularities. (It would suffice to resolve the singular points 324 resulting from the intersection  $B \cap L_1$ .) Then, applying the Zariski–van Kampen 325 theorem [5] to the ruling containing  $L_1$ , it is easy to show that the fundamental 326 group  $\pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus B'')$  is cyclic. 327

Indeed, let U be a small tubular neighborhood of  $L_1$  in  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ , and let  $L'' \subset U$  be 328 a generatrix transversal to B''. Obviously, the epimorphism  $\pi_1(L''_1 \setminus B'') \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1 \times 329 \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus B'')$  given by the Zariski–van Kampen theorem factors through  $\pi_1(U \setminus B'')$ , 330 and the latter group is cyclic. 331

On the other hand, the new double covering  $\tilde{X}'' \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  ramified at B'' is diffeomorphic to  $\tilde{X}$ , and the diffeomorphism can be chosen identically over the union of a collection of Milnor balls about the cusps of B'. Thus, since discr  $\mathbf{A}_1$  and discr  $\mathbf{D}_4$  are 2-torsion groups, the perturbation does not change  $\mathcal{K} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_3$ , and Corollary 4.3 (see also Remark 4.5) implies that  $\mathcal{K} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_3 = 0$ .

Acknowledgments I am grateful to T. Ekedahl and B. Shapiro for the fruitful discussions of the332subject. This work was completed during my participation in the special semester on real and333tropical algebraic geometry held at Centre Interfacultaire Bernoulli, École polytechnique fédérale334de Lausanne. I extend my gratitude to the organizers of the semester and to the administration of335CIB.336

# References

337

- A. Degtyarev, T. Ekedahl, I. Itenberg, B. Shapiro, M. Shapiro: On total reality of meromorphic 338 functions. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 57, 2015–2030 (2007) 339
   A. H. Durfee: Fifteen characterizations of rational double points and simple critical points. 340 Enseign. Math. (2) 25, 131–163 (1979) 341
- 3. T. Ekedahl, B. Shapiro, M. Shapiro: First steps towards total reality of meromorphic functions. 342 Moscow Math. J. to appear 343
- A. Eremenko, A. Gabrielov: Rational functions with real critical points and the B. and M. 344 Shapiro conjecture in real enumerative geometry. Ann. of Math. (2) 155, 105–129 (2002) 345
- 5. E. R. van Kampen: On the fundamental group of an algebraic curve. Amer. J. Math. 55 346 255–260 (1933) 347
- E. Mukhin, V. Tarasov, A. Varchenko: The B. and M. Shapiro conjecture in real algebraic 348 geometry and the Bethe ansatz. Ann. of Math. (2) 170, 863–881 (2009) 349
- 7. A. Libgober: Alexander modules of plane algebraic curves. Contemporary Math. 20, 231–247 350 (1983)

AQ2

Toward a Generalized Shapiro and Shapiro Conjecture

- V. V. Nikulin: Integral symmetric bilinear forms and some of their geometric applications,. Izv. 352 Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 43, 111–177 (1979) (Russian). English transl. in Math. USSR–Izv. 353 14, 103–167 (1980) 354
- 9. S. Yu. Orevkov: Classification of flexible *M*-curves of degree 8 up to isotopy. Geom. Funct. 355 Anal. **12**, 723–755 (2002) 356
- 10. O. Zariski: On the irregularity of cyclic multiple planes. Ann. of Math. 32, 485–511 (1931) 357

# AUTHOR QUERIES

- AQ1. Kindly provide section title for the subsections of Sects. 2–5.1, 5.3, 4.3, and 5.6.
- AQ2. Kindly update Ref. [3].

UNCORPECTED