

On the Monge-Ampère volume of holomorphic vector bundles

Jean-Pierre Demailly

Institut Fourier, Université Grenoble Alpes & Académie des Sciences de Paris

Analysis of Monge-Ampère, a tribute to Ahmed Zeriahi
Conference AMAZER 2021, Université Paul Sabatier, Toulouse
May 31 – June 4, 2021

Positive and ample vector bundles

Let X be a projective n -dimensional manifold and $E \rightarrow X$ a holomorphic vector bundle of rank $r \geq 1$.

Ample vector bundles

$E \rightarrow X$ is said to be **ample in the sense of Hartshorne** if the associated line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(1)$ on $\mathbb{P}(E)$ is ample.

By Kodaira, this is equivalent to the existence of a **smooth hermitian metric on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(1)$ with positive curvature** (equivalently, a negatively curved Finsler metric on E^*).

Chern curvature tensor

This is $\Theta_{E,h} = i\nabla_{E,h}^2 \in C^\infty(\Lambda^{1,1} T_X^* \otimes \text{Hom}(E, E))$, which can be written

$$\Theta_{E,h} = i \sum_{1 \leq j, k \leq n, 1 \leq \lambda, \mu \leq r} c_{jk\lambda\mu} dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_k \otimes e_\lambda^* \otimes e_\mu$$

in terms of an orthonormal frame $(e_\lambda)_{1 \leq \lambda \leq r}$ of E .

Griffiths and (dual) Nakano positivity

One looks at the associated quadratic form on $S = T_X \otimes E$

$$\tilde{\Theta}_{E,h}(\xi \otimes v) := \langle \Theta_{E,h}(\xi, \bar{\xi}) \cdot v, v \rangle_h = \sum_{1 \leq j, k \leq n, 1 \leq \lambda, \mu \leq r} c_{jk\lambda\mu} \xi_j \bar{\xi}_k v_\lambda \bar{v}_\mu.$$

Then E is said to be

- Griffiths positive (Griffiths 1969) if at any point $z \in X$

$$\tilde{\Theta}_{E,h}(\xi \otimes v) > 0, \quad \forall \xi \in T_{X,z} \setminus \{0\}, \forall v \in E_z \setminus \{0\}$$

- Nakano positive (Nakano 1955) if at any point $z \in X$

$$\tilde{\Theta}_{E,h}(\tau) = \sum c_{jk\lambda\mu} \tau_{j,\lambda} \bar{\tau}_{k,\mu} > 0, \quad \forall \tau \neq 0 = \sum \tau_{j,\lambda} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} \otimes e_\lambda \in T_{X,z} \otimes E_z.$$

- dual Nakano positive if at any point $z \in X$

$${}^T \tilde{\Theta}_{E,h}(\tau) = \sum c_{jk\mu\lambda} \tau_{j,\lambda} \bar{\tau}_{k,\mu} > 0, \quad \forall \tau \neq 0 = \sum \tau_{j,\lambda} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} \otimes e_\lambda^* \in T_{X,z} \otimes E_z^*.$$

$$\Theta_{E^*,h} = -{}^T \Theta_{E,h} = - \sum c_{jk\mu\lambda} dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_k \otimes (e_\lambda^*)^* \otimes e_\mu^*.$$

Relationships between these positivity concepts

Easy and well known facts

$$E \text{ (dual) Nakano positive} \Rightarrow E \text{ Griffiths positive} \Rightarrow E \text{ ample.}$$

In fact E Griffiths positive $\Rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(1)$ positive:

$$\Theta_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(1)} = \omega_{\text{FS}}([v]) + \sum c_{jk\lambda\mu} \frac{v_\lambda \bar{v}_\mu}{|v|^2} dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_k, \quad z \in X, v \in E_z.$$

Remark: dual Nakano positivity is somewhat better behaved

E dual Nakano (semi)positive \Rightarrow any quotient $Q = E/S$ is also dual Nakano (semi)positive.

E generated by global sections $\Rightarrow E$ dual Nakano semipositive.

Proposition

E ample $\Rightarrow S^m E$ Nakano and dual Nakano > 0 for $m \gg 1$.

Berndtsson (2007): E ample $\Rightarrow S^m E \otimes \det E$ Nakano $> 0, \forall m \geq 0$.

Some counterexamples

First (well known) observation

E Griffiths positive $\not\Rightarrow$ E Nakano positive.

For instance, $T_{\mathbb{P}^n}$ is easily shown to be ample and Griffiths positive for the Fubini-Study metric, but it is **not Nakano positive**. Otherwise the Nakano vanishing theorem would then yield

$$H^{n-1, n-1}(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{C}) = H^{n-1}(\mathbb{P}^n, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{n-1}) = H^{n-1}(\mathbb{P}^n, K_{\mathbb{P}^n} \otimes T_{\mathbb{P}^n}) = 0.$$

Second observation (Liu, Sun, Yang, 2013)

E Griffiths positive $\not\Rightarrow$ E dual Nakano positive.

In fact, a variant of the Nakano vanishing theorem gives that E dual Nakano $> 0 \Rightarrow H^0(X, \Omega_X^p \otimes E^*) = 0$ for $p < n = \dim X$.

Take e.g. a smooth compact quotient $X = \mathbb{B}^n/\Gamma$ of the ball, $n \geq 2$. Then $E = \Omega_X^1$ is Griffiths positive, but $\text{Id} \in H^0(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes E^*) \neq 0$, so E cannot be dual Nakano positive.

Positivity thresholds

Definition of a few thresholds

Let $E \rightarrow X$ be a holomorphic vector bundle such that $\det E = \Lambda^r E$ is ample.

One can introduce respectively the ample threshold $\tau_A(E)$, the Griffiths threshold $\tau_G(E)$, the Nakano threshold $\tau_N(E)$, the dual Nakano threshold $\tau_{N^*}(E)$ to be the infimum of $t \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $E \otimes (\det E)^t$ is ample, i.e. $S^m(E \otimes (\det E)^t)$ is ample, resp. **Griffiths, Nakano, dual Nakano positive**.

Assume that E is ample. One has $\tau_N(E) < 1$ (Berndtsson), $\tau_{N^*}(E) < 1$ (Liu-Sun-Yang), and the Griffiths conjecture

E ample $\Rightarrow E$ Griffiths > 0 is equivalent to asserting that $\tau_G(E) < 0$.

The previous counterexamples show that one may have

$\tau_N(E) \geq 0$ and $\tau_{N^*}(E) \geq 0$, but it could still wonder whether

$$E \text{ ample} \Rightarrow \tau_N(E) \leq 0, \tau_{N^*}(E) \leq 0 ?$$

If the Chern curvature tensor $\Theta_{E,h}$ is **Nakano positive**, one can introduce the $(n \times r)$ -dimensional determinant of the Hermitian quadratic form on $T_X \otimes E$

$$\det_{T_X \otimes E}(\Theta_{E,h})^{1/r} := \det(c_{jk\lambda\mu})_{(j,\lambda),(k,\mu)}^{1/r} idz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge idz_n \wedge d\bar{z}_n.$$

On the other hand, if $\Theta_{E,h}$ is **dual Nakano positive**, one can consider the $(n \times r)$ -dimensional determinant of the “dual” Hermitian quadratic form on $T_X \otimes E^*$

$$\det_{T_X \otimes E^*}({}^T \Theta_{E,h})^{1/r} := \det(c_{jk\mu\lambda})_{(j,\lambda),(k,\mu)}^{1/r} idz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge idz_n \wedge d\bar{z}_n.$$

These (n, n) -forms do not depend on the choice of coordinates (z_j) on X , nor on the choice of the orthonormal frame (e_λ) on E .

In case $\Theta_{E,h}$ is Griffiths > 0 , we have a functional

$$\text{Grif}(\Theta_{E,h})(z) = \inf_{v \in E_z, |v|_h=1} \langle \Theta_{E,h}(z)v, v \rangle^n.$$

Monge-Ampère volumes for vector bundles

If $E \rightarrow X$ is an ample vector bundle of rank r that is Nakano positive (resp. dual Nakano positive), one can introduce its **Monge-Ampère volume** to be

$$\begin{aligned} \text{MAVol}(E) &= \sup_h \int_X \det_{T_X \otimes E}((2\pi)^{-1} \Theta_{E,h})^{1/r}, \\ \text{MAVol}^*(E) &= \sup_h \int_X \det_{T_X \otimes E^*}((2\pi)^{-1} {}^T \Theta_{E,h})^{1/r}, \end{aligned}$$

where the supremum is taken over all smooth metrics h on E such that $\Theta_{E,h}$ is Nakano positive (resp. dual Nakano positive).

This supremum is always finite, and in fact

Proposition

For any (dual) Nakano positive vector bundle E , one has

$$\text{MAVol}(E) \leq r^{-n} c_1(E)^n, \quad \text{MAVol}^*(E) \leq r^{-n} c_1(E)^n.$$

Equality occurs if and only if E is projectively flat.

Proof of the volume inequality

Assume e.g. E nakano positive. Take $\omega_0 = \Theta_{\det E} > 0$ as a Kähler metric on X , and let $(\lambda_j)_{1 \leq j \leq nr}$ be the eigenvalues of $\tilde{\Theta}_{E,h}$ as a hermitian form on $T_X \otimes E$, with respect to $\omega_0 \otimes h$. We have

$$\det_{T_X \otimes E} ((2\pi)^{-1} \Theta_{E,h})^{1/r} = \left(\prod_j \lambda_j \right)^{1/r} \omega_0^n$$

The inequality between geometric and arithmetic means $(\prod \lambda_j)^{1/nr} \leq \frac{1}{nr} \sum \lambda_j$ implies, after raising to power n

$$\det_{T_X \otimes E} ((2\pi)^{-1} \Theta_{E,h})^{1/r} \leq \left(\frac{1}{nr} \sum \lambda_j \right)^n \omega_0^n = \frac{\omega_0^n}{r^n} = \frac{1}{r^n} (\Theta_{\det E})^n.$$

Equality occurs iff all λ_j are equal, i.e. E projectively flat.

In case E is Griffiths > 0 , one can define

$$\text{MAVol}_{\text{Grif}}(E) = \sup_h \int_{z \in X} \inf_{v \in E_z, |v|_h=1} \left((2\pi)^{-1} \langle \Theta_{E,h} v, v \rangle \right)^n.$$

The Teissier-Hovanskii inequalities imply again

$\text{MAVol}_{\text{Grif}}(E) \leq \frac{1}{r^n} c_1(E)^n$ with equality iff E is projectively flat.

Further remarks

- In the split case $E = \bigoplus_{1 \leq j \leq r} E_j$ and $h = \bigoplus_{1 \leq j \leq r} h_j$, the inequality reads

$$\left(\prod_{1 \leq j \leq r} c_1(E_j)^n \right)^{1/r} \leq r^{-n} c_1(E)^n,$$

with equality iff $c_1(E_1) = \dots = c_1(E_r)$.

- In the split case, it seems natural to conjecture that

$$\text{MAVol}(E) = \left(\prod_{1 \leq j \leq r} c_1(E_j)^n \right)^{1/r},$$

i.e. that the supremum is reached for **split metrics** $h = \bigoplus h_j$.

- The Euler-Lagrange equation for the maximizer is complicated (**4th order!**). It somehow extends the equation characterizing cscK metrics.

On the Fulton Lazarsfeld inequalities (S. Finski)

A fundamental result due to Fulton-Lazarsfeld asserts that if $E \rightarrow X$ is an ample vector bundle, then all Schur polynomials $P(c_\bullet(E))$ in the Chern classes are **numerically positive**, i.e.

$$\int_Y P(c_\bullet(E)) > 0$$

for all irreducible cycles Y of the appropriate dimension in X .

Recently, Siarhei Finski has shown

Theorem (Finski 2020)

If (E, h) is a **(dual) Nakano positive** vector bundle, then all Schur polynomials $P(c_\bullet(E, h))$ in the Chern forms are pointwise positive (k, k) -forms (in the sense of the weak positivity of forms).

This is a compelling motivation to investigate the relationships between ampleness, Griffiths and Nakano positivity!

Further recent results by Siarhei Finski

When $E \rightarrow X$ is an ample vector bundle, the symmetric powers $S^m E$ have enough sections to generate 1-jets for $m \geq m_0 \gg 1$, and one can immediately derive from there that

$$E \text{ ample} \Rightarrow S^m E \text{ dual-Nakano positive for } m \geq m_0 \gg 1.$$

Then it makes sense to wonder whether there is an asymptotic formula for the Monge-Ampère volume $\text{MAVol}(S^m E)$. S. Finski obtained more generally an asymptotic formula for the Monge-Ampère volume of direct images $E_m = \pi_*(L^m \otimes G)$ by any proper morphism $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ of any line bundle $(L, h_L) > 0$ on Y .

Theorem (S. Finski 2020)

Given any volume form $d\nu$ on X , the direct images satisfy

$$\text{MAVol}(E_m, h_{E_m}) \sim m^{\dim X} \int_X \exp\left(\frac{\int_Y \log(\omega_H^{\dim X} / \pi^* \nu) \omega^{\dim Y}}{\int_Y c_1(L)^{\dim Y}}\right) d\nu,$$

where $\omega = \Theta_{L, h_L} > 0$ on Y , and ω_H is its horizontal part.

Basic idea

Assigning a “matrix Monge-Ampère equation”

$$\det_{T_X \otimes E}(\Theta_{E,h})^{1/r} = f > 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \text{Grif}(\Theta_{E,h}) = f > 0$$

where f is a positive (n, n) -form, may enforce the Nakano (resp. Griffiths) positivity of $\Theta_{E,h}$, especially if that assignment is combined with a continuity technique from an initial starting point where positivity is known.

Also, in order to compute thresholds, one could instead replace E by $E \otimes (\det E)^t$ for a large value t_0 and try to decrease t as much as possible.

In case $r = \text{rank } E = 1$ and $h = h_0 e^{-\varphi}$, this is the same as solving a complex Monge-Ampère equation

$$(\Theta_{E,h})^n = (\omega_0 + i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi)^n = f.$$

Underdeterminacy of the equation

Assuming E to be ample of rank $r > 1$, the equation

$$(**) \quad \det_{T_X \otimes E}(\Theta_{E,h})^{1/r} = f > 0$$

becomes underdetermined, as the real rank of the space of hermitian matrices $h = (h_{\lambda\mu})$ on E is equal to r^2 , while $(**)$ provides only 1 scalar equation.

(Solutions might still exist, but lack uniqueness and a priori bounds.)

Conclusion

In order to recover a well determined system of equations, one needs an additional “matrix equation” of rank $(r^2 - 1)$.

Observation 1 (from the Donaldson-Uhlenbeck-Yau theorem)

Take a Hermitian metric η_0 on $\det E$ so that $\omega_0 := \Theta_{\det E, \eta_0} > 0$. If E is ω_0 -polystable, $\exists h$ Hermitian metric h on E such that

$$\omega_0^{n-1} \wedge \Theta_{E,h} = \frac{1}{r} \omega_0^n \otimes \text{Id}_E \quad (\text{Hermite-Einstein equation, slope } \frac{1}{r}).$$

Resulting trace free condition

Observation 2

The trace part of the above Hermite-Einstein equation is “automatic”, hence the equation is equivalent to the trace free condition

$$\omega_0^{n-1} \wedge \Theta_{E,h}^\circ = 0,$$

when decomposing any endomorphism $u \in \text{Herm}(E, E)$ as

$$u = u^\circ + \frac{1}{r} \text{Tr}(u) \text{Id}_E \in \text{Herm}^\circ(E, E) \oplus \mathbb{R} \text{Id}_E, \quad \text{tr}(u^\circ) = 0.$$

Observation 3

The trace free condition is a matrix equation of **rank** $(r^2 - 1)$!!!

Remark

In case $\dim X = n = 1$, the trace free condition means that E is **projectively flat**, and the Umemura proof of the Griffiths conjecture proceeds exactly in that way, using the fact that the graded pieces of the Harder-Narasimhan filtration are projectively flat.

Towards a “cushioned” Hermite-Einstein equation

In general, one cannot expect E to be ω_0 -polystable, but Uhlenbeck-Yau have shown that there always exists a smooth solution q_ε to a certain **“cushioned” Hermite-Einstein equation**.

To make things more precise, let $\text{Herm}(E)$ be the space of Hermitian (non necessarily positive) forms on E . Given a reference Hermitian metric $H_0 > 0$, let $\text{Herm}_{H_0}(E, E)$ be the space of H_0 -Hermitian endomorphisms $u \in \text{Hom}(E, E)$; denote by

$$\text{Herm}(E) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Herm}_{H_0}(E, E), \quad q \mapsto \tilde{q} \text{ s.t. } q(v, w) = \langle \tilde{q}(v), w \rangle_{H_0}$$

the natural isomorphism. Let also

$$\text{Herm}_{H_0}^\circ(E, E) = \{q \in \text{Herm}_{H_0}(E, E); \text{tr}(q) = 0\}$$

be the subspace of “trace free” Hermitian endomorphisms.

In the sequel, we fix H_0 on E such that

$$\Theta_{\det E, \det H_0} = \omega_0 > 0.$$

Uhlenbeck-Yau 1986, Theorem 3.1

For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there **always exists** a (unique) smooth Hermitian metric q_ε on E such that

$$\omega_0^{n-1} \wedge \Theta_{E, q_\varepsilon} = \omega_0^n \otimes \left(\frac{1}{r} \text{Id}_E - \varepsilon \log \tilde{q}_\varepsilon \right),$$

where \tilde{q}_ε is computed with respect to H_0 , and $\log g$ denotes the logarithm of a positive Hermitian endomorphism g .

The reason is that the term $-\varepsilon \log \tilde{q}_\varepsilon$ is a “friction term” that prevents the explosion of the a priori estimates, similarly what happens for Monge-Ampère equations $(\omega_0 + i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi)^n = e^{\varepsilon\varphi+f}\omega_0^n$.

The above matrix equation is equivalent to prescribing $\det q_\varepsilon = \det H_0$ and the trace free equation of rank $(r^2 - 1)$

$$\omega_0^{n-1} \wedge \Theta_{E, q_\varepsilon}^\circ = -\varepsilon \omega_0^n \otimes \log \tilde{q}_\varepsilon.$$

Search for an appropriate evolution equation

General setup

In this context, given $\alpha > 0$ large enough, it is natural to search for a time dependent family of metrics $h_t(z)$ on the fibers E_z of E , $t \in [0, 1]$, satisfying a generalized Monge-Ampère equation

$$(D) \quad \det_{T_X \otimes E} (\Theta_{E, h_t} + (1-t)\alpha \omega_0 \otimes \text{Id}_E)^{1/r} = f_t \omega_0^n, \quad f_t > 0,$$

and trace free, rank $r^2 - 1$, Hermite-Einstein conditions

$$(T) \quad \omega_t^{n-1} \wedge \Theta_{E, h_t}^\circ = g_t$$

with smoothly varying families of functions $f_t \in C^\infty(X, \mathbb{R})$, Hermitian metrics $\omega_t > 0$ on X and sections

$$g_t \in C^\infty(X, \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}^{n,n} T_X^* \otimes \text{Herm}_{h_t}^\circ(E, E)), \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Observe that this is a determined (not overdetermined!) system.

Choice of the initial state ($t = 0$)

We start with the Uhlenbeck-Yau solution $h_0 = q_\varepsilon$ of the “cushioned” trace free Hermite-Einstein equation, so that $\det h_0 = \det H_0$, and take $\alpha > 0$ so large that

$$\Theta_{E, h_0} + \alpha \omega_0 \otimes \text{Id}_E > 0 \text{ in the sense of Nakano.}$$

If conditions (D) and (T) can be met for all $t \in [0, t_0]$, thus without any discontinuity or explosion of the solutions h_t , we infer from (D) that

$$\Theta_{E, h_t} + (1 - t)\alpha \omega_0 \otimes \text{Id}_E > 0 \text{ in the sense of Nakano}$$

for all $t \in [0, t_0]$.

Question

Is the maximal existence time t_0 of the solution such that $(1 - t_0)\alpha = \tau_N(E)$ (Nakano threshold of E) ?

Possible choices of the right hand side

One still has the freedom of adjusting f_t , ω_t and g_t in the general setup. There are in fact many possibilities:

Proposition

Let (E, H_0) be a smooth Hermitian holomorphic vector bundle such that E is ample and $\omega_0 = \Theta_{\det E, \det H_0} > 0$. Then the system of determinantal and trace free equations

$$(D) \quad \det_{T_X \otimes E} (\Theta_{E, h_t} + (1 - t)\alpha \omega_0 \otimes \text{Id}_E)^{1/r} = F(t, z, h_t, D_z h_t)$$

$$(T) \quad \omega_t^{n-1} \wedge \Theta_{E, h_t}^\circ = G(t, z, h_t, D_z h_t, D_z^2 h_t) \in \text{Herm}^\circ(E, E)$$

(where $F > 0$), is a well determined system of PDEs.

It is **elliptic** whenever the symbol η_h of the linearized operator $u \mapsto DG_{D^2 h}(t, z, h, Dh, D^2 h) \cdot D^2 u$ has an Hilbert-Schmidt norm

$$\sup_{\xi \in T_X^*, |\xi|_{\omega_t} = 1} \|\eta_{h_t}(\xi)\|_{h_t} \leq (r^2 + 1)^{-1/2} n^{-1}$$

for any metric h_t involved, e.g. if G does not depend on $D^2 h$.

Proof of the ellipticity

The (long, computational) proof consists of analyzing the linearized system of equations, starting from the curvature tensor formula

$$\Theta_{E,h} = i\bar{\partial}(h^{-1}\partial h) = i\bar{\partial}(\tilde{h}^{-1}\partial_{H_0}\tilde{h}),$$

where $\partial_{H_0}s = H_0^{-1}\partial(H_0s)$ is the $(1,0)$ -component of the Chern connection on $\text{Hom}(E, E)$ associated with H_0 on E .

Let us recall that the ellipticity of an operator

$$P : C^\infty(V) \rightarrow C^\infty(W), \quad f \mapsto P(f) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} a_\alpha(x) D^\alpha f(x)$$

means the invertibility of the principal symbol

$$\sigma_P(x, \xi) = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} a_\alpha(x) \xi^\alpha \in \text{Hom}(V, W)$$

whenever $0 \neq \xi \in T_{X,x}^*$.

For instance, on the torus $\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n$, $f \mapsto P_\lambda(f) = -\Delta f + \lambda f$ has an invertible symbol $\sigma_{P_\lambda}(x, \xi) = -|\xi|^2$, but P_λ is invertible only for $\lambda > 0$.

A more specific choice of the right hand side

Theorem

The elliptic differential system defined by

$$\det_{T_X \otimes E} (\Theta_{E,h_t} + (1-t)\alpha\omega_0 \otimes \text{Id}_E)^{1/r} = \left(\frac{\det H_0(z)}{\det h_t(z)} \right)^\lambda a_0(z),$$

$$\omega_t^{n-1} \wedge \Theta_{E^\circ, h_t} = -\varepsilon \left(\frac{\det H_0(z)}{\det h_t(z)} \right)^\mu (\log \tilde{h}_t^\circ) \omega_0^n \quad \text{w.r.t. Kähler metric}$$

$$\omega_t = \frac{1}{r\alpha + 1} \text{tr}(\Theta_{E,h_t} + (1-t)\alpha\omega_0 \otimes \text{Id}_E) > 0,$$

possesses an **invertible elliptic linearization** for $\varepsilon \geq \varepsilon_0(h_t)$ and $\lambda \geq \lambda_0(h_t)(1 + \mu^2)$, with $\varepsilon_0(h_t)$ and $\lambda_0(h_t)$ large enough.

Corollary

Under the above conditions, starting from the Uhlenbeck-Yau solution h_0 such that $\det h_0 = \det H_0$ at $t = 0$, the PDE system **still has a solution** for $t \in [0, t_0]$ and $t_0 > 0$ small.

Proof. Compute **total symbol** of linearized system + linear algebra.

Joyeuse et active retraite, Ahmed !



References

- [Ber09] Berndtsson B.: *Curvature of vector bundles associated to holomorphic fibrations*, Annals of Math., **169** (2009), 531–560.
- [Dem21] Demailly J.-P.: *Hermitian-Yang-Mills approach to the conjecture of Griffiths on the positivity of ample vector bundles*, Mat. Sbornik **212** (2021) 39–53.
- [Fin20a] Finski, S. *On characteristic forms of positive vector bundles, mixed discriminants and pushforward identities*, arXiv:2009.13107.
- [Fin20b] Finski, S. *On Monge-Ampère volumes of direct images* arXiv:2010.01839.
- [LSY13] Liu, Kefeng, Sun, Xiaofeng, Yang, Xiaokui: *Positivity and vanishing theorems for ample vector bundles*, J. Algebraic Geom. **22** (2013), 303-331.

- [Pin20] Pingali, V.P.: *A vector bundle version of the Monge-Ampère equation*, Adv. in Math. **360** (2020), 40 pages, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2019.106921>.
- [Pin21] Pingali, V.P.: *A note on Demailly's approach towards a conjecture of Griffiths*, arXiv:2102.02496 [math.DG], to appear in Comptes Rendus Math. Acad. Sc. Paris.
- [UhY86] Uhlenbeck, K., Yau, S.T.: *On the existence of Hermitian-Yang-Mills connections in stable vector bundles*, Comm. Pure and Appl. Math. **39** (1986) 258–293.
- [Ume73] Umemura, H.: *Some results in the theory of vector bundles*, Nagoya Math. J. **52** (1973), 97–128.