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Given a projective 1.c.i. scheme, $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}$, we show that $X$ has a smooth formal neighbourhood in which $X$ is globally a complete intersection- that is, $X$ is the intersection of codim $(X)$ hypersurfaces.

## 1 Introduction

If $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}(k)$ ( $k$ being any field) is a projective local complete intersection scheme, then $X$ is not necessarily a global complete intersection in projective space - that is, $X$ is not necessarily embedded in $\mathbb{P}^{N}(k)$ as the vanishing locus of codim $X$ polynomials. It seems natural to ask whether this is true for more general ambient varieties. In particular, given such an $X$, we may wonder whether it can be embedded in some smooth $Y$ as a globally complete intersection; ie, the intersection of $\operatorname{codim}(X)$ hypersurfaces. The aim of this note is to answer this question in the affirmative, at least formally, by proving the following result:

Theorem 1.1 Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}(k)$ be a projective local complete intersection scheme. Then there exists a smooth formal neighbourhood, $X_{\infty}$ of $X$, a vector bundle, $V$ on $X_{\infty}$, and a section $\sigma: X_{\infty} \rightarrow V$,such that

- $V$ is a direct sum of line bundles,
- $r k(V)=\operatorname{codim}_{X_{\infty}} X$
- $X$ is schematically the zero locus of $\sigma$.

REMARK. I do not know whether or not this scheme $X_{\infty}$ maybe chosen algebrisable.

### 1.1 Idea of the proof

We will embed $\mathbb{P}^{N}$ in a smooth space, $W$. The normal bundle of $\mathbb{P}^{N}$ in $W$ will be highly negative, and $\mathbb{P}^{N}$ will be the zero locus of a section of a vector bundle, $V$, which is a direct sum of line bundles. We will consider the spaces $\mathbb{P}_{n}^{N}$, which will be cut out in $W$ by $I_{\mathbb{P}^{N}}^{n}$, and will recursively construct an 1.c.i. scheme $X_{n}$ in $\mathbb{P}_{n}^{N}$ extending $X_{n-1}$. If $V$ is negative enough, the construction of $X_{n}$ will be unobstructed, and we may therefore continue this construction to $\infty$ to obtain a formal neighbourhood, $X_{\infty}$ of $X$. We will also be able to impose that $X_{\infty}$ is smooth. $X_{\infty}$ will then satisfy all the requirements of the theorem.

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1

Let $I_{X}$ be the ideal sheaf of $X$ in $\mathbb{P}^{N}$. Since $X$ is a 1.c.i. subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^{N}$, the co-normal bundle $I_{X} / I_{X}^{2}$ is a locally free sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{X}$ modules. We recall Serre's vanishing theorem, which may be found in [1]

Proposition 2.1 Let $F$ be a coherent sheaf on $X$, a projective scheme. There exists an $i$ such that, for all $a \geq i$ and for all $j \geq 1$, we have:

1. $H^{j}(X, F(a))=0$
2. $F(a)$ is generated by its global sections.

We may therefore, in particular, choose $m$ such that

1. $\left.H^{1}\left(\left(I_{X} / I_{X}^{2}\right)^{*} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X}(m)\right)\right)=0$
2. $\left(I_{X} / I_{X}^{2}\right)^{*} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X}(m)$ is generated by its global sections.

We define:

- $l$, the dimension of $H^{0}\left(\left(I_{X} / I_{X}^{2}\right)^{*} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X}(m)\right)$
- $W$, the total space of the vector bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{N}}(-m)^{\oplus l}$, in which $\mathbb{P}^{N}$ is naturally embedded as the zero section.
- $\pi$, the projection $\pi: W \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N}$.

We denote by $V$ the bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{N}}(m)^{\oplus l}$ and by $\mathbb{P}_{n}^{N}$ the n-th formal neighbourhood of $\mathbb{P}^{N}$ in $W$, that is, the subscheme defined by the ideal $I_{\mathbb{P}^{N}}^{n}$. Let $c$ be the codimension of $X$ in $\mathbb{P}^{N}$.

The following proposition allows us to recursively construct neighbourhoods of $X$ in $\mathbb{P}_{n}^{N}$ in a compatible way:

Proposition 2.2 If $X_{n}$ is a l.c.i. subscheme of $\mathbb{P}_{n}^{N}$, such that $X_{n} \cap \mathbb{P}^{\mathbb{N}}=X$, and codim $X_{n} \subset \mathbb{P}_{n}^{N}=c$, then there exists $X_{n+1}$, an l.c.i. subscheme of $\mathbb{P}_{n+1}^{N}$ such that

- $X_{n+1} \cap \mathbb{P}_{n}^{N}=X_{n}$,
- $\operatorname{codim}\left(X_{n+1}\right)=c$.

If $U$ is an open subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^{N}$, then we denote by $U_{n}$ the open subscheme of $\mathbb{P}_{n}^{N}$ whose underlying geometric space is $U$. Let

- $U^{i}$ be an affine open covers of $\mathbb{P}^{N}$, such that $X_{n} \cap U_{n}^{i}$ is a complete intersection,
- $f_{1}^{i} \ldots f_{c}^{i} \subset H^{0}\left(U_{n}^{i}, I_{\left.X^{n} \cap U_{n}^{i}\right)}\right.$ a regular sequence for the ideal sheaf of $X_{n} \cap U_{n}^{i}$.

We denote $U^{i} \cap U^{j}$ by $U^{i, j}, X_{n} \cap U_{n}^{i}$ by $X_{n}^{i}$ and $X_{n} \cap U_{n}^{i, j}$ by $X_{n}^{i, j}$.
For every $i, d$, we choose $\tilde{f}_{d}^{i} \in H^{0}\left(U_{n+1}^{i}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{n+1}^{N}}\right)$ such that $\left.\tilde{f}_{d}^{i}\right|_{\mathbb{P}_{n}^{N}}=f_{d}^{i}$. $\tilde{f}_{d}^{i}$ is then a regular sequence in $\mathcal{O}_{U_{n+1}^{i}}$. We denote by $I_{n+1}^{i}$ the ideal sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{U_{n+1}^{i}}$ generated by the $\tilde{f}_{d}^{i} \mathrm{~s}$.
$I_{n+1}^{i}$ defines an 1.c.i subscheme of $U_{n+1}^{i}$, which we denote by $\tilde{X}_{n+1}^{i}$. We will show that, after modification of the functions $\tilde{f}_{d}^{i}, I_{n+1}^{i}$ will be equal to $I_{n+1}^{j}$ on $U_{n+1}^{i, j}$ and therefore, the $\tilde{X}_{n+1}^{i}$ 's may be glued together to form an 1.c.i subscheme, $X_{n+1} \subset$ $\mathbb{P}_{n+1}^{N}$, satisfying the requirements of the proposition.
Consider the following exact sequence of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{n+1}^{N}}$ modules:

$$
0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Sym}^{n+1}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{n+1}^{N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{n}^{N}} \rightarrow 0
$$

Sym ${ }^{n+1}(V)$ is here considered with its $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{N}-\text { module structure. We will now define a }}$ Cech cocycle

$$
h^{i, j} \in \Gamma\left(\left(I_{X_{n}} / I_{X_{n}}^{2}\right)^{*} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X_{n}}} \operatorname{Sym}^{n+1}\left(\left.V\right|_{X}\right), U_{n}^{i, j}\right)
$$

whose vanishing will be a sufficient condition for $\tilde{X}_{n+1}^{i}$ to be compatible.
Given $f \in \Gamma\left(I_{X_{n}} / I_{X_{n}}^{2}, U_{n}^{i, j}\right)$, we now construct $h(f) \in \Gamma\left(S y m^{n+1}\left(\left.V\right|_{X}\right), U^{i, j}\right)$. We choose

- $f^{\prime} \in \Gamma\left(I_{X_{n}}, U_{n}^{i, j}\right)$, extending $f$. This is possible since, $U_{n}^{i}$ and $U_{n}^{j}$, and therefore $U_{n}^{i, j}$ are affine.
- $f^{\prime i} \in \Gamma\left(I_{n+1}^{i}, U_{n+1}^{i, j}\right)$ extending $f^{\prime}$.
- $f^{\prime j} \in \Gamma\left(I_{n+1}^{j}, U_{n+1}^{i, j}\right)$ extending $f^{\prime}$.

Then, $f^{\prime i}-f^{\prime j} \in \operatorname{Sym}^{n+1}(V)$ and $f^{\prime i}-\left.\left.f^{\prime j}\right|_{X} \in \operatorname{Sym}^{n+1}(V)\right|_{X}$.
The difference $f^{\prime i}-\left.f^{\prime j}\right|_{X}$ is independent of the choice of $f^{\prime i}$. Indeed, the choice of a different $f^{\prime i}$ alters $f^{\prime i}-f^{\prime j}$ by an element of $I_{n+1}^{i} \cap \operatorname{Sym}^{n+1}(V)$, which is equal to $S y m^{n+1}(V) \otimes I_{X}$, since $f_{1}^{i} \ldots f_{c}^{i}$ is a regular sequence. The same argument show that $f^{\prime i}-\left.f^{\prime j}\right|_{X}$ is independent of the choice of $f^{\prime j}$

Likewise, $f^{\prime i}-\left.f^{\prime j}\right|_{X}$ is independent of $f^{\prime}$. If $f^{\prime \prime}=f^{\prime}+g_{1} g_{2}, g_{i} \in I_{X_{n}}$, then we may choose

$$
f^{\prime \prime i}=f^{\prime i}+g_{1}^{i} g_{2}^{i} \quad f^{\prime \prime j}=f^{\prime j}+g_{1}^{j} g_{2}^{i}
$$

and hence $f^{\prime \prime i}-f^{\prime \prime j}=\left(g_{1}^{i}-g_{1}^{j}\right) g_{2}^{i}+f^{\prime i}-f^{\prime j}$, whence $\left.\left(f^{\prime \prime i}-f^{\prime \prime j}\right)\right|_{X}=\left.\left(f^{\prime i}-f^{\prime j}\right)\right|_{X}$.
We may therefore define $h^{i, j}$ by $h^{i, j}(f)=f^{\prime i}-\left.f^{\prime j}\right|_{X}$. We now need the following lemma:

Lemma 2.1 If $h_{i, j}=0$, then $I_{n+1}^{i}$ and $I_{n+1}^{j}$ are compatible on the intersection $U^{i, j}$.

If $h^{i, j}=0$, then, for every $f \in \Gamma\left(I_{n+1}^{i}, U^{i, j}\right)$, there exists $g \in \Gamma\left(I_{n+1}^{j}, U^{i, j}\right)$, such that

$$
(g-f) \in I_{X} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X}} \operatorname{Sym}^{n+1}(V)
$$

On $U^{i, j}$, we have $I_{X} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} N}} S^{\operatorname{Sm}} \mathrm{m}^{n+1}(V)=I_{n+1}^{i} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{n+1}^{N}}} S y m^{n+1}(V)$ and therefore $(g-f) \in I_{n+1}^{j}$ whence $f \in I_{n+1}^{j}$. We now finish the proof of the proposition. We alter the regular sequences $\tilde{f}_{d}^{i}$ so that $h^{i, j}=0$. We note that
$H^{1}\left(\left(I_{X_{n}} / I_{X_{n}}^{2}\right)^{*} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X_{n}}} \operatorname{Sym}^{n+1}\left(\left.V\right|_{X}\right)\right)=H^{1}\left(\left(I_{X} / I_{X}^{2}\right)^{*} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X}} \operatorname{Sym}^{n+1}\left(\left.V\right|_{X}\right)\right)=0$, and that, therefore, there exist elements $\left.h_{i} \in \Gamma\left(\left(I_{X_{n}} / I_{X_{n}}^{2}\right)^{*} \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{n}\left(\left.V\right|_{X}\right)\right), U_{i}\right)$, such that

$$
h_{i, j}=h_{i}-h_{j} .
$$

We now choose a new regular sequence $\bar{f}_{d}^{i}$, in such a way that $\left.\bar{f}_{d}^{i}\right|_{X}=\left.\tilde{f}_{d}^{i}\right|_{X}+h_{i}\left(f_{d}^{i}\right)$. These sequences generate new ideal sheaves $\bar{I}_{n+1}^{i}$. It is immediate from the construction that the associated cocycle $\bar{h}_{i, j}$ is 0 , and hence, by the previous lemma, the sheaves $\bar{I}_{n+1}^{i}$ are compatible on the intersections. Therefore, the $\bar{I}_{n+1}^{i}$ 's glue together to form a global ideal sheaf, $I_{n+1}$. $I_{n+1}$ defines a subscheme of $\mathbb{P}_{n+1}^{N}$, which we denote by $X_{n+1} . X_{n+1}$ is l.c.i, and $\operatorname{codim}\left(X_{n+1}\right)=c$ since $\bar{f}_{d}^{i}$ is a regular sequence for $X_{n+1} \cap U_{n+1}^{i} . X_{n+1}$ satisfies, therefore, all the requirements of the proposition. The formal scheme $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} X_{n}=X_{\infty}$ is then a formal neighbourhood of $X$ in which $X$ is embedded as the zero locus of the tautological section of $\pi^{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}(-m)^{\oplus l}\right.$. It remains only to show that $X_{\infty}$ is smooth for some choice of $X_{n}$. The smoothness of $X_{\infty}$ depends only on the choice of $X_{2}$. All the results we now quote on Kähler differentials may be found in [1].
Let $\pi$ be the projection $\pi: \mathbb{P}_{2}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N}$. The sheaf of Kähler differentials $\Omega_{k}^{1}\left(\mathbb{P}_{2}^{N}\right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{N}}$ is canonically isomorphic to $\pi^{*} \Omega_{k}^{1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{N}\right) \oplus V$. The universal derivative map: $d$ : $I_{X_{2}} / I_{X_{2}}^{2} \rightarrow \Omega_{k}^{1}\left(\mathbb{P}_{2}^{N}\right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_{2}}$ is an $\mathcal{O}_{X_{2}}$ linear map. After tensoring by $\mathcal{O}_{X}$, we obtain an $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-linear map:

$$
d_{X_{2}}: I_{X} /\left.\left.I_{X}^{2} \rightarrow \Omega_{k}^{1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{N}\right)\right|_{X} \oplus V\right|_{X}
$$

$X_{\infty}$ is smooth at $x$ if $d_{X_{2}}(x)$ is injective. We now assocaite to any $\phi: I_{X} / I_{X}^{2} \rightarrow V_{X}$ a candidate space for $X_{2}, X_{\phi}$, in such a way that $X_{\phi}$ will be smooth if $\phi(x)$ is injective for all $x$. $X_{\phi} \subset \mathbb{P}_{2}^{N}$ is defined by the formula:

$$
\left.f \in I_{X_{\phi}} \Leftrightarrow f\right|_{\mathbb{P}^{N}} \in I_{X} \text { and }\left.\left(f-\pi^{*}\left(\left.f\right|_{\mathbb{P}^{N}}\right)\right)\right|_{X}=\phi(f)
$$

For this $X_{\phi}$, we have that

$$
d_{X_{\phi}}(f)=\pi^{*} d f+\phi(f),
$$

whence $d_{X_{\phi}}(x)$ is injective for all $x$ if $\phi(x)$ is injective for all $x$. It remains only to find $\phi \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(I_{X} / I_{X}^{2}, V\right)$ such that $\phi(x)$ is injective for every $x$. Now, $\operatorname{Hom}\left(I_{X} / I_{X}^{2}, \mathcal{O}_{X}(m)\right)$ is globally generated. If $v_{1} \ldots v_{l}$ is a basis for $H^{0}\left(\operatorname{Hom}\left(I_{X} / I_{X}^{2}, \mathcal{O}_{X}(m)\right)\right)$, then

$$
\phi=\oplus_{b=1}^{l} v_{b}: I_{X} / I_{X}^{2} \rightarrow V
$$

is injective on $I_{X} / I_{X}^{2}(x)$ for every $x$. The theorem follows.
REMARK. One might wonder whether this work holds for quasi-projective $X$. We have used the fact that $X$ is projective only to invoke Serre's vanishing theorem. Suppose that $X$ is a quasi projective variety- that is, $X$ is the complement in an projective variety, $V$, of an closed subvariety of $V, U$. The results of this paper will hold for $X$, provided that:
(A) For any coherent sheaf, $\mathcal{F}$ on $X$, there exists $k$ such that for any $m \geq k$ $H^{1}(\mathcal{F}(m))=0$ and $\mathcal{F}(m)$ is generated by its global sections.

If $U$ is of pure codimension 1 , then $X$ is affine, and the condition (A) is immediately satisfied. Further, there is an exact sequence:

$$
H^{1}(V, \mathcal{F}(m)) \rightarrow H^{1}(X, \mathcal{F}(m)) \rightarrow H_{U}^{2}(V, \mathcal{F}(m))
$$

where $H_{U}^{2}(V, \mathcal{F}(m))$, the local cohomology of $F$ along $U$, vanishes if every component of $U$ has codimension $\geq 3$ (see [2] for more details.) It follows that the results of this note are, in fact, also valid for $X=V / U$, where $V$ is projective and $U$ is a closed subvariety containing no codimension 2 component. I would like to express my gratitude to my supervisor, Claire Voisin, for her unstinting help and guidance. I would also like to thank Yves Lazlo, who was always ready to answer my questions, and the referee, whose generous comments and help have much improved this work.
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