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#### Abstract

In this article, we consider the conjecture that a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane with constant Makar-Limanov invariants is isomorphic to either the affine plane $\mathbb{A}^{2}$ or the complement of a smooth conic on the projective plane $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. Though the conjecture is not fully solved yet, we can show strong evidences to support the conjecture. Furthermore, it is shown that such a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane is a quotient of a hypersurface $x y=p(z)$ by a cyclic group $\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z}$, where the hypersurface was investigated in [1] by Bandman and Makar-Limanov.


## 0 Introduction

A $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane is, by definition, a smooth algebraic surface $X$ defined over the complex field $\mathbb{C}$ such that $H_{i}(X ; \mathbb{Q})=(0)$ for every $i>0$ [11]. It is known that $X$ is affine and rational [5]. If there is a nontrivial action of the additive group scheme $G_{a}$ on $X$, the orbits will form the fibers of an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $\rho: X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1}$. Hence $X$ has $\log$ Kodaira dimension $\bar{\kappa}(X)=-\infty$. Write $A=\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$. Then there is a well-known bijective correspondence
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between the set of $G_{a}$-actions on $X$ and the set of locally nilpotent derivations on $A$. The correspondence is given by assigning to a locally nilpotent derivation $\delta$ on $A$ an algebra homomorphism $\varphi: A \rightarrow A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[t]$ giving rise to the coaction :

$$
\varphi(a)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \delta^{n}(a) t^{n}
$$

The set of invariant elements of $A$ under the given $G_{a}$-action is obtained as $\operatorname{Ker} \delta$ consisting of elements annihilated by $\delta$. Then $\operatorname{Ker} \delta$ is isomorphic to a polynomial ring in one variable and the base curve of the $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration which is isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^{1}$ is obtained as the spectrum of Ker $\delta$.

The Makar-Limanov invariant ML $(X)$ for $X$ is then introduced by Kaliman and Makar-Limanov [6] as the set $\bigcap$ Ker $\delta$, where $\delta$ ranges over all possible locally nilpotent derivations of $A$. Then it is shown that ML $(X)$ for a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane $X$ is the coordinate ring $A$, a polynomial ring in one variable $\mathbb{C}[x]$ or $\mathbb{C}$. We are particularly interested in such $\mathbb{Q}$-homology planes $X$ that the Makar-Limanov invariant $\mathrm{ML}(X)$ is equal to $\mathbb{C}$. We shall consider two algebraically independent $G_{a}$-actions $\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}$ and define the intertwining number $\iota\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ associated with these $G_{a}$-actions. It is then shown that the intertwining number is actually a multiple of $m^{2}$, where $m=\left|H_{1}(X ; \mathbb{Z})\right|$. We define a minimal pair $\left\{\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right\}$ of algebraically independent $G_{a}$-actions as such with $\iota\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)=m^{2}$. We show that there are no minimal pairs of $G_{a}$-actions if $m \geq 3$.

Recently, Bandman and Makar-Limanov [1] considered a problem of characterizing in terms of the boundary divisors the smooth affine rational surfaces with trivial Makar-Limanov invarinats. They succeeded in obtaining a characterization in the case where the surfaces are embedded into $\mathbb{A}^{3}$ as hypersurfaces. Furthermore, the hypersurfaces are defined by the equations of the form $x y=p(z)$ with respect to a suitable system of coordinates $\{x, y, z\}$, where $p(z)$ is a polynomial in $z$ such that $p(z)=0$ has distinct roots.

## 1 Intertwining number

Let $X$ be a smooth affine surface defined over the ground field $k=\mathbb{C}$. We assume always that $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)^{*}=k^{*}$. The Makar-Limanov invariant ML $(X)$
is defined as the intersection

$$
\operatorname{ML}(X)=\bigcap_{\delta} \operatorname{Ker} \delta,
$$

where $\delta$ runs over all locally nilpotent derivations $\delta$ on the coordinate ring $A=\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$, where $\delta$ corresponds in a bijective way to an algebraic $G_{a^{-}}$ action $\sigma$ on $X$. We assume that $X$ is rational and $A^{*}=k^{*}$. Then it is known that $\operatorname{Ker} \delta=k[t]$ a polynomial ring in one variable for any locally nilpotent derivation $\delta$.

We begin with the following result.
Lemma 1.1 We have one of the following three cases.
(1) $\operatorname{ML}(X)=A$ and there are no nontrivial $G_{a}$-actions on $X$. In particular, $\bar{\kappa}(X) \geq 0$.
(2) $\operatorname{ML}(X)=k[t]$, and any two locally nilpotent derivations $\delta, \delta^{\prime}$ on $A$ are conjugate to each other in the sense that $a \delta=a^{\prime} \delta^{\prime}$ for nonzero elements $a, a^{\prime} \in \operatorname{ML}(X)$. The surface $X$ has a unique $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration defined by the inclusion $\operatorname{ML}(X) \hookrightarrow A$.
(3) $\mathrm{ML}(X)=k$, and there are two non-conjugate locally nilpotent derivations on $A$.

Proof. Our proof consists of several steps.
(I) Suppose that $X$ has a nontrivial $G_{a}$-action $\sigma$. Let $\delta$ be the corresponding locally nilpotent derivation. Let $A_{0}=\operatorname{Ker} \delta$. Then $A_{0}$ is a normal rational algebra of dimension one with $A_{0}^{*}=k^{*}$. Hence $A_{0}=k[t]$. The $G_{a}$-action $\sigma$ gives rise to an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration. Hence $\bar{\kappa}(X)=-\infty$. Conversely, if $\bar{\kappa}(X)=-\infty, X$ has an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $\rho: X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1}=\operatorname{Spec} A_{0}$.
(II) Suppose that $\delta$ and $\delta^{\prime}$ are locally nilpotent derivations on $A$. Then Ker $\delta=k[t]$ and $\operatorname{Ker} \delta^{\prime}=k[u]$. If $t$ and $u$ are algebraically independent over $k$, we have $k[t] \cap k[u]=k$. In this case, we say that $\delta$ and $\delta^{\prime}$ (or the corresponding $G_{a}$-actions $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ ) are algebraically independent over $k$. Then $M L(X)=k$.
(III) Suppose that $u$ is algebraic over $k(t)$. Then there exists an algebraic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{0}(t) u^{n}+a_{1}(t) u^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{n-1}(t) u+a_{n}(t)=0, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{i}(t) \in k[t]$, and we may assume that (1) is minimal. Since $\operatorname{Ker} \delta=k[t]$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{n a_{0}(t) u^{n-1}+(n-1) a_{1}(t) u^{n-2}+\cdots+a_{n-1}(t)\right\} \delta(u)=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since (1) is minimal, $n a_{( }(t) u^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{n-1}(t) \neq 0$. This implies that $\delta(u)=0$. Hence $k[u] \subseteq k[t]$, and $t$ is then algebraic over $k(u)$. By the same reasoning as above, we infer that $k[t] \subseteq k[u]$. So, $k[t]=k[u]$. The $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibrations associated with $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ coincide with the morphism $X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1}$ defined by the inclusion $k[t]=k[u] \hookrightarrow A$. By (1) above, $A\left[a^{-1}\right]=k\left[t, a^{-1}\right][\xi]=k\left[u, a^{-1}\right][\xi]$ for $a \in k[t]$ and an element $\xi \in A$ which is algebraically independent over $k(t)$. Then $a_{1} \delta=b_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}$ and $a_{2} \delta^{\prime}=b_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}$ for $a_{1}, a_{2}, b_{1}, b_{2} \in k[t]$. By adjusting the coefficients, we have $a \delta=a^{\prime} \delta^{\prime}$ for some nonzero elements $a, a^{\prime} \in k[t]$. Namely, $\delta$ and $\delta^{\prime}$ are conjugate to each other. These observations yields the assertions (2) and (3).
Q.E.D.

Remark. Note that there exists an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $\rho: X \rightarrow B$ if and only if there exists an algebraic $G_{a}$-action on $X$. In fact, if there exists a nontrivial $G_{a}$-action $\sigma$, then there is an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration as in the above proof of the assertion (1). Suppose that there is an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $\rho: X \rightarrow B \cong \mathbb{A}^{1}$. Write $B=\operatorname{Spec} k[t]$ and $X=\operatorname{Spec} A$. Then there exists an element $a \in k[t]$ such that $\rho^{-1}(U) \cong U \times \mathbb{A}^{1}$, where $U=\operatorname{Spec} k\left[t, a^{-1}\right]$. Hence $A\left[a^{-1}\right]=k\left[t, a^{-1}\right][\xi]$, where we can take $\xi$ to be an element of $A$. Consider a derivation $\delta=a^{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}$ with $N>0$. This is a locally nilpotent derivation on $k\left[t, a^{-1}\right][\xi]$. Since $A$ is finitely generated over $k$, it follows that $\delta(A) \subseteq A$ if $N \gg 0$. Then $\delta$ defines a $G_{a}$-action $\sigma$ and the associated $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration consisting of $\sigma$-orbits is the given $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $\rho$.

We consider first the case where $M L(X)=k$. In this case, there are two $G_{a}$-actions $\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}$ which are algebraically independent over $k$. Let $T, T^{\prime}$ be general $G_{a}$-orbits with respect to the actions $\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}$, respectively. We have the following result.

Lemma 1.2 There exists a non-empty open set $U$ of $X$ such that, for $P \in U$ and the $\sigma$-orbit $T$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$-orbit $T^{\prime}$ passing through $P$, the number

$$
\iota\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime} ; P\right)=\sum_{Q \in T \cap T^{\prime}} i\left(T, T^{\prime} ; Q\right)
$$

is independent of the choice of $P$. Furthermore, $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ meet transversally in each point $Q \in T \cap T^{\prime}$.

Proof. Let $\rho: X \rightarrow B \cong \mathbb{A}^{1}$ and $\rho^{\prime}: X \rightarrow B^{\prime} \cong \mathbb{A}^{1}$ be the $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibrations defined by $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$, respectively. Then there exists a smooth compactification $V$ of $X$ such that the $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibrations $\rho, \rho^{\prime}$ are extended to the $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-fibrations $p: V \rightarrow \bar{B}$ and $p^{\prime}: V \rightarrow \bar{B}^{\prime}$, respectively, where $\bar{B}$ and $\bar{B}^{\prime}$ are isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{1}$. Let $\bar{T}$ and $\bar{T}^{\prime}$ be respectively the closures of $T$ and $T^{\prime}$. Consider the restriction $p_{\bar{T}^{\prime}}: \bar{T}^{\prime} \rightarrow \bar{B}$ of $p$. Since $\bar{T}^{\prime}$ has only one place outside of $X$, which must dominate the point of $\bar{T}^{\prime}$ where $\bar{T}^{\prime}$ intersects the fiber of $p$ lying over the point at infinity of $\bar{B}$, the restriction $\rho_{T^{\prime}}: T^{\prime} \rightarrow B$ is a finite morphism. Then $\rho_{T^{\prime}}$ is unramified over an open set $W$ of $B$. This means that the intersection of $T^{\prime}$ and a fiber $\rho^{-1}(Q)$ with $Q \in W$ is transversal and consists of the same number of points.

Similarly, there exists an open set $W^{\prime}$ of $B^{\prime}$ such that the intersection of $T$ and a fiber $\rho^{\prime-1}\left(Q^{\prime}\right)$ with $Q^{\prime} \in W^{\prime}$ is transversal and consists of the same number of points. Now choose an open set $U$ so that $U \subseteq \rho^{-1}(W) \cap \rho^{\prime-1}\left(W^{\prime}\right)$. Then, for $P \in U$, the fibers $T:=\rho^{-1}(\rho(P))$ and $T^{\prime}:=\rho^{\prime-1}\left(\rho^{\prime}(P)\right)$ are respectively the $\sigma$-orbit and $\sigma^{\prime}$-orbit passing through $P$. Hence we have the property for $T, T^{\prime}$ as required in the statement.
Q.E.D.

We call $\iota\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime} ; P\right)$ the intertwinig number of $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$, and denote it by $\iota\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$. By the abuse of the notations, we denote it by $\left(T \cdot T^{\prime}\right)$ if we choose $T, T^{\prime}$ as in the above proof and treat it as the intersection number of divisors on a smooth projective surface.

Choose a point $P \in U$ as above and defines a morphism $\Phi_{P}: \mathbb{A}^{2} \rightarrow X$ by $\Phi_{P}\left(g, g^{\prime}\right)=\sigma(g) \sigma^{\prime}\left(g^{\prime}\right) P$, where $\left(g, g^{\prime}\right) \in \mathbb{A}^{2} \cong G_{a} \times G_{a}$. Then we have the following result.

Lemma 1.3 The morphism $\Phi_{P}$ has degree $\iota\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$.
Proof. For $\left(g, g^{\prime}\right)=(0,0)$, we have $\Phi_{P}(0,0)=P$. With the above notations, any point of $T \cap T^{\prime}$ is written as $\sigma\left(g_{i}\right)(P)=\sigma^{\prime}\left(g_{i}^{\prime}\right)(P), 1 \leq i \leq n$, where $n=\left|T \cap T^{\prime}\right|=\iota\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$. Conversely, $\Phi_{P}^{-1}(P)$ consists of the $\left(g, g^{\prime}\right)$ such that $\sigma(g) \sigma^{\prime}\left(g^{\prime}\right) P=P$, i.e., $\sigma\left(g^{-1}\right) P=\sigma^{\prime}\left(g^{\prime}\right) P$.

Let $Q$ be a general point of $X$, say $Q \in U$. Then $\Phi^{-1}(Q)$ consists of the $\left(g, g^{\prime}\right) \in \mathbb{A}^{2}$ such that $\sigma(g) \sigma^{\prime}\left(g^{\prime}\right) P=Q$, i.e., $\sigma\left(g^{-1}\right) Q=\sigma^{\prime}\left(g^{\prime}\right) P$. Suppose $\sigma\left(g_{1}\right) \sigma^{\prime}\left(g_{1}^{\prime}\right) P=\sigma(g) \sigma^{\prime}\left(g^{\prime}\right) P$. Then we have

$$
\sigma^{\prime}\left(g_{1}^{\prime}\right) P=\sigma\left(g_{1}^{-1} g\right) \sigma^{\prime}\left(g^{\prime}\right) P \in \sigma\left(G_{a}\right)\left(\sigma^{\prime}\left(g^{\prime}\right) P\right) \cap \sigma^{\prime}\left(G_{a}\right) P
$$

This implies that $\Phi_{P}^{-1}(Q)$ corresponds bijectively to the set of intersection
points of the $\sigma$-orbit $\sigma\left(G_{a}\right)\left(\sigma^{\prime}\left(g^{\prime}\right) P\right)$ and the $\sigma^{\prime}$-orbit $\sigma^{\prime}\left(G_{a}\right) P$. So, $\Phi_{P}^{-1}(Q)$ consists of $\iota\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ points.
Q.E.D.

As an immediate consequence of Lemma 1.3, we have:
Corollary 1.4 With the notations and assumptions, $\pi_{1}(X)$ is a finite group of order less than or equal to $\iota\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$.

Let $\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}$ be algebraically independent $G_{a}$-actions on $X$ and let $\delta, \delta^{\prime}$ be the corresponding locally nilpotent derivations on $A$. We can interpret the intertwining number $\iota\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ in terms of $\delta, \delta^{\prime}$. Write $\operatorname{Ker} \delta=k[t]$ and $\operatorname{Ker} \delta^{\prime}=$ $k\left[t^{\prime}\right]$ for two elements $t, t^{\prime}$ of $A$ which are algebraically independent over $k$. Then we have:

Lemma 1.5 With the notations as above, the following equalities hold:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\iota\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right) & =\min \left\{n \mid \delta^{n}\left(t^{\prime}\right)=0\right\}-1 \\
& =\min \left\{n \mid \delta^{\prime n}(t)=0\right\}-1
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. By [8], there exist $a \in \operatorname{Ker} \delta$ and $\xi \in A$ such that $A\left[a^{-1}\right]=$ $k\left[t, a^{-1}\right][\xi]$. Then $t^{\prime}$ is written as

$$
t^{\prime}=c_{0} \xi^{N}+c_{1} \xi^{N-1}+\cdots+c_{N}
$$

where $c_{i} \in k\left[t, a^{-1}\right]$ and $c_{0} \neq 0$. We may assume, after replacing $t^{\prime}$ by $t^{\prime}+\lambda$ with $\lambda \in k$, that $t^{\prime}=0$ defines a general $\sigma^{\prime}$-orbit $T^{\prime}$. Similarly, we can take $\mu \in k$ so that $c_{i}(\mu)$ is defined for $0 \leq i \leq N, c_{0}(\mu) \neq 0$ and the curve $t=\mu$ is a general $\sigma$-orbit $T$. Then the intersection number $\left(T \cdot T^{\prime}\right)$ is equal to the number of roots of the equation

$$
c_{0}(\mu) \xi^{N}+c_{1}(\mu) \xi^{N-1}+\cdots+c_{N}(\mu)=0
$$

where each root is counted with multiplicity. Namely $\left(T \cdot T^{\prime}\right)=N$. On the other hand, since $\delta$ is equivalent to the derivation $\partial / \partial \xi$, it follows that $N=\min \left\{n \mid \delta^{n}\left(t^{\prime}\right)=0\right\}-1$. So, we have the assertion.
Q.E.D.

## $2 \mathbb{Q}$-homology planes and the Makar-Limanov invariants

In this section, $X$ denotes a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane, that is, a smooth algebraic surface defined over the complex field such that $H_{i}(X ; \mathbb{Q})=(0)$ for every
$i>0$. In particular, $X$ is affine and rational [5]. Furthermore, $\pi_{1}(X) \cong$ $H_{1}(X: \mathbb{Z}) \cong \operatorname{Pic}(X)$. We consider the existence of $G_{a}$-actions on $X$ and the structure of $X$ when $X$ has enough $G_{a}$-actions.

We recall the following result [11, Th.1.2].
Lemma 2.1 Let $X$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane with an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $\rho: X \rightarrow B$. Then every fiber $\rho^{-1}(P)$ is irreducible and $\rho^{-1}(P)_{\text {red }}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^{1}$. Let $m_{1} A_{1}, \ldots, m_{n} A_{n}$ exhaust all multiple fibers with $A_{i} \cong \mathbb{A}^{1}$. Then $H_{1}(X ; \mathbb{Z}) \cong$ $\prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{Z} / m_{i} \mathbb{Z}$.

We need the following result.
Lemma 2.2 Let $X=\operatorname{Spec} A$ be an affine variety defined over $k$ and let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be an étale finite morphism. Suppose that there exists a $G_{a}$ action $\sigma$ on $X$. Then $\sigma$ lifts up uniquely to $a G_{a}$-action $\widetilde{\sigma}$ on the variety $Y$.

Proof. Let $\delta$ be the locally nilpotent derivation associated with $\sigma$. Let $A_{0}=\operatorname{Ker} \delta$. Then $A\left[a^{-1}\right]=A_{0}\left[a^{-1}\right][\xi]$ for some element $a \in A_{0}$, and $\delta$ is conjugate to $\partial / \partial \xi$, i.e., $a_{0} \delta=a_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}$ for nonzero elements $a_{0}, a_{1} \in A_{0}$. Let $B=\Gamma\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)$. Then the derivation $\delta$ extends uniquely to a derivation $\widetilde{\delta}$ on $B$ because $\operatorname{Der}_{k}(B, B) \cong \operatorname{Der}_{k}(A, A) \otimes_{A} B$, which follows from the hypothesis that $B$ is étale over $A$. On the other hand, $\delta$ extends uniquely to a derivation $\delta$ on the function field $Q(A)$ and to a derivation on $Q(B)$ which must coincide with the extension of $\widetilde{\delta}$ on $Q(B)$. Since $f: Y \rightarrow X$ is étale and finite and since $D(a) \cong \operatorname{Spec} A_{0}\left[a^{-1}\right] \times \mathbb{A}^{1}$, it follows that $f^{-1}(D(a)) \cong \operatorname{Spec} B_{0} \times \mathbb{A}^{1}$, where $\left.f\right|_{f^{-1}(D(a))}$ is induced by an étale finite morphism $f_{0}: \operatorname{Spec} B_{0} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} A_{0}\left[a^{-1}\right]$ via the fiber product $f=f_{0} \times \mathbb{A}^{1}$. Hence $B\left[a^{-1}\right]=B_{0}[\xi]$. Then the derivation $\widehat{\delta}=\frac{a_{1}}{a_{0}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}$ is a derivation on $Q(B)$ which is zero on $Q\left(B_{0}\right)$. Since $\widehat{\delta}$ is clearly an extension of $\delta$ on $Q(B)$, the uniqueness of the extension implies that $\widehat{\delta}=\widetilde{\delta}$. In particular, $\widehat{\delta}$ is zero on $B_{0}$. This implies that $\widehat{\delta}$ is a locally nilpotent derivation on $B$, and $\widetilde{\delta}$ defines a $G_{a}$-action $\widetilde{\sigma}$ on $Y$ which extends $\sigma$ on $X$.
Q.E.D.

The existence of two algebraically independent $G_{a}$-actions on a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane gives a strong restriction on the structure of $X$. Namely we have:

Lemma 2.3 Let $X$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane with algebraically independent $G_{a}$-actions $\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}$. Then each of the $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibrations $\rho: X \rightarrow B$ and $\rho^{\prime}: X \rightarrow B^{\prime}$
associated respectively with $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ has a unique multiple fiber of multiplicity $m$, where $m=\left|H_{1}(X ; \mathbb{Z})\right|$. Furthermore, $\iota\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ is a multiple of $m^{2}$.

Proof. Consider the $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $\rho: X \rightarrow B$. Let $m_{1} A_{1}, \ldots, m_{n} A_{n}$ exhaust all multiple fibers of $\rho$. Then there is a Galois covering $\pi: C \rightarrow \bar{B}$ which ramifies over the points $P_{1}=\rho\left(A_{1}\right), \ldots, P_{n}=\rho\left(A_{n}\right)$ and $P_{\infty}$ with respective multiplicities $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n}$ and $m_{\infty}$, where $\bar{B}$ is the smooth compactification of $B$ and $\left\{P_{\infty}\right\}=\bar{B}-B$. By [2]and [3], such a covering exists for a suitable choice of $m_{\infty}>1$ provided $n \geq 1$. The genus $g$ of $C$ is computed by the Riemann-Hurwitz formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 g-2 & =-2 d+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{d}{m_{i}}\left(m_{i}-1\right)+\frac{d}{m_{\infty}}\left(m_{\infty}-1\right) \\
& =d\left\{(n-1)-\left(\frac{1}{m_{1}}+\cdots+\frac{1}{m_{n}}+\frac{1}{m_{\infty}}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $d$ is the degree of the morphism $\pi$. Hence $g \geq 1$ if and only if

$$
n-1 \geq \frac{1}{m_{1}}+\cdots+\frac{1}{m_{n}}+\frac{1}{m_{\infty}}
$$

Since $m_{i} \geq 2(1 \leq i \leq n)$ and $m_{\infty} \geq 2$, it follows that $g=0$ only if $n-1<(n+1) / 2$, i.e., $n \leq 2$. If $n=2$, then $g=0$ only if

$$
\frac{1}{m_{1}}+\frac{1}{m_{2}}+\frac{1}{m_{\infty}}>1
$$

If $n=1$, then $g=0$ always. The above observation implies that we can choose $\left\{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n}, m_{\infty}\right\}$ to make the genus $g>0$ unless one of the following cases takes place:
(1) $n=1$
(2) $\left\{m_{1}, m_{2}\right\}=\{2,2\}$.

Suppose we can take $C$ to have genus $g \geq 1$. Let $C_{0}=C-\pi^{-1}\left(P_{\infty}\right)$. Let $Y$ be the normalization of the fiber product $X \times{ }_{B} C_{0}$ and let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be the composite of the normalization morphism and the projection $X \times{ }_{B} C_{0} \rightarrow C_{0}$. Then $f$ is a finite etale morphism. Hence the $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $\rho$ lifts up to the $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $\widetilde{\rho}: Y \rightarrow C_{0}$. Let $T^{\prime}$ be a general orbit of the $G_{a}$-action $\sigma^{\prime}$. Then $\pi^{-1}\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ splits into a disjoint union of the affine lines $\widetilde{T}_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \widetilde{T}_{d}^{\prime}$, where
$d=\operatorname{deg} \pi$. Since $T^{\prime}$ is transversal to $\rho$, each of $\widetilde{T}_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \widetilde{T}_{n}^{\prime}$ is transversal to the $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $\widetilde{\rho}$. Then $\widetilde{\rho}: \widetilde{T}_{j}^{\prime} \rightarrow C_{0}$ is dominant. Since the genus of $C$ is positive by the assumption, this is a contradiction.

In the case (2) above, we have $H_{1}(X ; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$. By Lemma 2.1, the $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $\rho^{\prime}$ then has also two multiple fibers of multiplicity two. Let $2 A_{1}, 2 A_{2}$ be the multiple fibers of $\rho$ and let $2 A_{1}^{\prime}, 2 A_{2}^{\prime}$ be the multiple fibers of $\rho^{\prime}$. Since $\iota\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)=\left(2 A_{1}, 2 A_{1}^{\prime}\right)=4\left(A_{1}, A_{1}^{\prime}\right)$, write $\iota\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)=4 d$. Consider the restriction $\rho_{1}^{\prime}: A_{1}^{\prime} \rightarrow B$ of $\rho^{\prime}$ onto $A_{1}^{\prime}$. Since $A_{1}^{\prime}$ has only one place point lying over the point $P_{\infty}:=\bar{B}-B$, the Riemann-Hurwitz formula applied to $\rho_{1}^{\prime}$, which has degree $2 d$, yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
-2 & =-4 d+(2 d-1)+\{\text { contributions from ramifying points over } B\} \\
& \geq-4 d+(2 d-1)+d+d
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a contradiction, where we obtain the above inequality by counting the ramifications at the intersection points of $A_{1}^{\prime}$ with $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$. This implies that the case (2) does not occur.

In the case (1), let $m A_{1}$ (resp. $m A_{1}^{\prime}$ ) be a unique multiple fiber of $\rho$ (resp. $\rho^{\prime}$ ), where $m=m_{1}$. Then $\iota\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)=\left(m A_{1}, m A_{1}^{\prime}\right)=m^{2}\left(A_{1}, A_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. Hence $\iota\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ is a multiple of $m^{2}$.
Q.E.D.

A pair ( $\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}$ ) of two algebraically independent $G_{a}$-actions on a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane $X$ is minimal if $\iota\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)=m^{2}$, where $m=\left|H_{1}(X ; \mathbb{Z})\right|$. The following result guarantees the existence of a minimal pair of $G_{a}$-actions in the case $m=2$.

Lemma 2.4 Let $C$ be a smooth conic on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ and let $X=\mathbb{P}^{2}-C$. Then the following assertions hold:
(1) $X$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane with $m=2$.
(2) Let $Q$ be a point on $C$ and let $\ell_{Q}$ be the tangent line of $C$ at $Q$. Let $\Lambda_{Q}$ be the linear pencil spanned by $C$ and $2 \ell_{Q}$. Then the pencil $\Lambda_{Q}$ defines an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $\rho_{Q}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1}$, and hence the conjugate class of $G_{a}$-actions $\sigma_{Q}$ on $X$.
(3) If $Q, Q^{\prime}$ are distinct points on $C$, then $\sigma_{Q}, \sigma_{Q^{\prime}}$ are algebraically independent. Furthermore, $\iota\left(\sigma_{Q}, \sigma_{Q^{\prime}}\right)=4$. Hence $\left(\sigma_{Q}, \sigma_{Q^{\prime}}\right)$ is a minimal pair.

Proof. All the assertions are verified by a straightforward argument. Note that there is an infinite family of mutually algebraically independent $G_{a^{-}}$ actions on $X$.
Q.E.D.

On the contrary, the following result denies the existence of minimal pairs of $G_{a}$-actions in the case $m \geq 3$.

Theorem 2.5 There are no minimal pairs of two algebraically independent $G_{a}$-actions on a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane with $m=\left|H_{1}(X ; \mathbb{Z})\right| \geq 3$.

Proof. Suppose that $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ is a minimal pair of two algebraically independent $G_{a}$-actions on a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane $X$ with $m \geq 3$. We consider the associated $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibrations $\rho: X \rightarrow B$ and $\rho^{\prime}: X \rightarrow B^{\prime}$. With the previous notations, let $m A_{1}$ and $m A_{1}^{\prime}$ be the unique multiple fibers of $\rho$ and $\rho^{\prime}$, respectively. Since $\iota\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)=m^{2}$ by the hypothesis, we have $\left(A_{1} \cdot A_{1}^{\prime}\right)=1$. We consider the normalization $Y$ of $X \times_{B} C_{0}$, where $C_{0} \rightarrow B \cong \mathbb{A}^{1}$ is a finite covering of degree $m$ totally ramifying over the point $P_{1}=\rho\left(A_{1}\right)$ and the point at infinity $P_{\infty}$. Let $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ be a Galois covering with Galois group $G \cong \mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z}$, which is a composite of the normalization morphism $Y \rightarrow X \times_{B} C_{0}$ and the second projection $X \times_{B} C_{0} \rightarrow C_{0}$. Then $\pi^{*}\left(A_{1}\right)=E_{1}+\cdots+E_{m}$ and $\pi^{*}\left(A_{1}^{\prime}\right)=B_{1}+\cdots+B_{m}$, where the $E_{i}$ and the $B_{j}$ are mutually disjoint and isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^{1}$. Furthermore, we may assume that $\left(E_{i} \cdot B_{j}\right)=1$ if $i=j$ and 0 otherwise. In fact, $Y_{i}:=Y-\bigcup_{j \neq i} E_{j}$ is isomorphic to the affine plane and $Y$ is obtained by glueing the $Y_{i}(1 \leq i \leq m)$ along the open set $Y-\bigcup_{i} E_{i}$.

Let $T^{\prime}$ be a general fiber of the $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $\rho^{\prime}$. Then $\pi^{*}\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ splits into a disjoint sum of $\widetilde{T}_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \widetilde{T}_{m}^{\prime}$ which are isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^{1}$. In fact, when $T^{\prime}$ ranges over the fibers of $\rho^{\prime}$, the family of curves consisting of the connected components of the $\pi^{*}\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ defines an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $\widetilde{\rho}: Y \rightarrow \widetilde{B}^{\prime} \cong \mathbb{A}^{1}$, which is different from the $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $\widetilde{\rho}: Y \rightarrow C_{0}$ induced by the lifting of $\rho$.

Claim. For $1 \leq i \leq m, \widetilde{T}_{i}^{\prime}$ meets each of the $E_{j}$ in one point transversally.
Indeed, we may consider $\widetilde{T}_{i}^{\prime}$ as a general fiber of $\widetilde{\rho}$. Then it follows that the intersection number $\left(\widetilde{T}_{i}^{\prime} \cdot E_{j}\right)$ is independent of the choice of $\widetilde{T}_{i}^{\prime}$ and $E_{j}$. Since $\left(\pi^{*}\left(T^{\prime}\right) \cdot \pi^{*}\left(A_{1}\right)\right)=m^{2}$, we obtain $\left(\widetilde{T}_{i}^{\prime} \cdot E_{j}\right)=1$.

Hence each of the curves $E_{j}$ is considered as a cross-section of the $\mathbb{A}^{1}$ fibration $\widetilde{\rho}$. Similarly, each of the $B_{j}$ is a cross-section of the $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $\widetilde{\rho}$. Consider $Y_{1}:=Y-\bigcup_{i \neq 1} E_{i}$ which is isomorphic to the affine plane as
remarked above. Let $\widetilde{\rho}_{1}^{\prime}: Y_{1} \rightarrow \widetilde{B}^{\prime}$ be the fibration induced by the restriction of $\vec{\rho}$ onto $Y_{1}$. Then $E_{1}$ and $B_{1}$ are two affine lines meeting in one point transversally, and we can choose $E_{1}$ and $B_{1}$ as the coordinate axes. Furthermore, the general fibers of $\widetilde{\rho}_{1}$ are generically rational polynomial curves of simple type with $m$ places at infinity (see [10] for the definition and the relevant results). The fibration $\widetilde{\rho}_{1}$ has a unique reducible fiber consisting of $B_{1} \cong \mathbb{A}^{1}$ and $B_{j}^{0} \cong \mathbb{A}_{*}^{1}(2 \leq j \leq m)$.

We may choose a system of coordinates $\{x, y\}$ on $Y_{1}$ in such a way that $B_{1}$ (resp. $E_{1}$ ) is defined by $x=0$ (resp. $y=0$ ). Suppose $m \geq 3$. Set $n=m-1$. By [10, Th.3.3], the fibration $\widetilde{\rho}_{1}$ is defined by a polynomial $f$ which has one of the following forms, where the symbol $\sim$ means that $f$ is given upto constant multiples by the polynomial on the right hand side:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \sim\left(\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(x-d_{j}\right)^{\alpha_{j}}\right) \cdot\left(y \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(x-d_{j}\right)^{\varepsilon_{j}}+P(x)\right)+1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}$ are mutually distinct elements in $k$ and $P(x) \in k[x]$, $\alpha_{j}>0$ and $\varepsilon_{j} \geq 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq n ; P\left(d_{j}\right) \neq 0$ if $\varepsilon_{j}>0$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \sim x \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(x^{\ell}\left(x^{t} y+P(x)\right)-d_{j}\right)^{\alpha_{j}}+1 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\ell>0, t \geq 0$ and $P(x) \in k[x] ; \operatorname{deg} P(x)<t$ and $P(0) \neq 0$ if $t>0$ and $P(x)=0$ if $t=0$; the $\alpha_{j}$ and the $d_{j}$ are as in the case (1).

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \sim x^{\beta} y^{\alpha_{1}} \cdot \prod_{j=2}^{n}\left(x^{\ell} y-d_{j}\right)^{\alpha_{j}}+1 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}$ are mutually distinct elements in $k^{*} ; \beta>0, \ell>0$ and $\alpha_{j}>0$ for $1 \leq j \leq n ; \beta-\alpha_{1} \ell= \pm 1$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \sim x^{\beta} \cdot\left(x^{t} y+P(x)\right)^{\alpha_{1}} \cdot \prod_{j=2}^{n}\left(x^{\ell}\left(x^{t} y+P(x)\right)-d_{j}\right)^{\alpha_{j}}+1 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t>0$ and $P(x) \in k[x]$ with $\operatorname{deg} P(x)<t$ and $P(0) \neq 0 ; \beta, \ell$, the $\alpha_{j}$ and the $d_{j}$ are as in the case (3).

Note that the unique reducible fiber of $\vec{\rho}_{1}$ is defined by $f=1$. Then, in the case (1) for example, ${\widetilde{\rho_{1}^{\prime}}}^{-1}(1)$ consists of $n \geq 2$ components isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^{1}$ and one component isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}_{*}^{1}$. Hence the case (1) is ruled out. Similarly, the case (3) is ruled out. In the case (2), ${\widetilde{\rho_{1}^{\prime}}}^{-1}(1)$ consists of one component isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^{1}$ and $n$ components isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}_{*}^{1}$. Meanwhile, a general fiber, say $H$, of $\widetilde{\rho}_{1}$ meets the curve $E_{1}=\{y=0\}$ in the points given by the equation

$$
x \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(x^{\ell} P(x)-d_{j}\right)^{\alpha_{j}}+1=0 .
$$

Namely, $H$ meets $E_{1}$ in not less than two points. So, the case (2) is ruled out. Consider the case (4). The singular fiber $\widetilde{\rho_{1}^{\prime}}{ }^{-1}(1)$ consists of one component isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^{1}$ and $n$ components isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}_{*}^{1}$. The points of intersection where $H$ meets $E_{1}$ are given by

$$
x^{\beta} \cdot P(x)^{\alpha_{1}} \prod_{j=2}^{n}\left(x^{\ell} P(x)-d_{j}\right)^{\alpha_{j}}+1=0
$$

So, $H$ meets $E_{1}$ in not not less than two points. This case is thus ruled out. Hence the case $m \geq 3$ does not occur in our settings.
Q.E.D.

Remark. On the affine plane $\mathbb{A}^{2}$, a minimal pair of the $G_{a}$-actions ( $\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}$ ) has $\iota\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)=1$. Hence the general orbits $T, T^{\prime}$ of $\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}$ respectively meets in one point transversally. Consider, for example, the $G_{a}$ actions $\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}$ such that the associated $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibrations $\rho, \rho^{\prime}$ are given respectively by the inclusions $k[y] \hookrightarrow k[x, y]$ and $k[y+P(x)] \hookrightarrow k[x, y]$, where $P(x) \in k[x]$. Then $\sigma$ corresponds to a locally nilpotent derivation $\partial / \partial x$. Hence the intertwining number $\iota\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ is equal to $\operatorname{deg} P(x)$. Hence there exist non-minimal pairs of $G_{a}$-actions on $\mathbb{A}^{2}$.

Let $X$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane with two algebraically independent $G_{a^{-}}$ actions $\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}$. Suppose that $\left|H_{1}(X ; \mathbb{Z})\right|=m>1$. Embed $X$ into a smooth projective surface $V$ in such a way that the following conditions are satisfied:
(1) There exists a $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-fibration $p: V \rightarrow \bar{B}$ which restricts to the $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $\rho: X \rightarrow B$ associated with $\sigma$, where $\bar{B}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{1}$.
(2) The boundary divisor $D:=V-X$ is a divisor with simple normal crossings.
(3) The divisor $D$ is written as $D=F_{\infty}+S+G$, where $F_{\infty}$ is a smooth fiber of $p$ lying over the point $P_{\infty}=\bar{B}-B, S$ is a cross-section of $p$ and $G$ together with the closure $\bar{A}_{0}$ of a unique multiple fiber $m A_{0}$ of $\rho$ supports a fiber of $p$ lying over the point $P_{0}:=\rho\left(A_{0}\right)$.
(4) The connected component $G$ contains no ( -1 ) components.

We consider the linear pencil $\Lambda^{\prime}$ on $V$ generated by the closures of $\sigma^{\prime}$ orbits. Then we have the following result.

Lemma 2.6 We may furthermore assume that the following conditions are satisfied:
(5) $\Lambda^{\prime}$ has a unique base point $Q$ on $F_{\infty}$, which is different from the point $Q_{0}=S \cap F_{\infty}$.
(6) $\left(S^{2}\right)=-1$.

Proof. Let $\bar{T}^{\prime}$ be the closure of a general $\sigma^{\prime}$-orbit $T^{\prime}$. If $\bar{T}^{\prime} \cap F_{\infty}=\emptyset$, then the $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibrations $\rho, \rho^{\prime}$ associated respectively with $\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}$ coincide with each other, which is impossible. Thence it follows that $\bar{T}^{\prime} \cap F_{\infty} \neq \emptyset$. Suppose that $\Lambda^{\prime}$ has no base points. Since $\bar{T}^{\prime}$ has a single one-place point on $F_{\infty}$, this implies that $F_{\infty}$ is a cross-section of $\Lambda^{\prime}$. This implies that $\iota\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)=1$, which is impossible because $\iota\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ is a multiple of $m^{2}$ by Lemma 2.3 and $m>1$ by the hypothesis. So, $\Lambda^{\prime}$ has a unique one-place base point $Q$ on $F_{\infty}$. Suppose that $Q=Q_{0}$. Then blow up the point $Q_{0}$ to obtain an exceptional $(-1)$ curve $E$ and the proper transform $E^{\prime}$ of $F_{\infty}$ with $\left(E^{\prime 2}\right)=-1$. Then contract $E^{\prime}$ to obtain a smooth projective surface $V^{\prime}$. We call this process of obtaining $V^{\prime}$ from $V$ the elementary transformation with center $Q_{0}$. By this process we have a new compactification $X \hookrightarrow V^{\prime}$ which satisfies the same conditions $(1) \sim(4)$ as above. By applying the elementary transformations with center $Q_{0}$ several times, the proper transform of $\Lambda^{\prime}$ will have no base points on the proper transform of $S$. We may assume that this situation is already realized on the surface $V$ at the beginning.

Then the components of $S+G$ are contained in one and the same member $M_{0}$ of $\Lambda^{\prime}$. Since these components are untouched until the base points of $\Lambda^{\prime}$ are eliminated, it follows that $\left(S^{2}\right) \leq-1$. Suppose that $\left(S^{2}\right) \leq-2$. Let $\mu$ be
the multiplicity of $\bar{T}^{\prime}$ at the point $Q$. Let $\iota\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)=m^{2} d$. Suppose $\mu=m^{2} d$. Blow up the point $Q$. Let $E$ be the exceptional curve and let $F_{\infty}^{\prime}$ be the proper transform of $F_{\infty}$. Then $E$ is a component of the member $M_{0}^{\prime}$ of the proper transform of $\Lambda^{\prime}$ corresponding to $M_{0}$. Otherwise, $E$ is a cross-section and $m^{2} d=\mu=1$, which is impossible. By contracting $F_{\infty}^{\prime}$, we obtain a new compactification of $X$ with the same property but with $\left(S^{2}\right)$ increased by 1. Hence we may assume that $m^{2} d>\mu$. Then $\left(S^{2}\right)=-1$. For otherwise, the member $M_{0}$ of $\Lambda^{\prime}$ containing $S+G$ will have no $(-1)$ components when the base points of $\Lambda^{\prime}$ are eliminated and the last $(-1)$ curve arising from the elimination process gives rise to a cross-section. This is impossible. Q.E.D.

Lemma 2.6 has the following consequence (cf. [9]).
Theorem 2.7 With the notations as in Lemma 2.6, the dual graph of $G$ is a linear chain. In particular, if $C$ is a projective plane curve defined by an equation $X_{0} X_{1}^{m-1}=X_{2}^{m}$ with $m>2$, then the surface $X:=\mathbb{P}^{2}-C$ has a unique $G_{a}$-action up to equivalence which is associated with the pencil generated by $C$ and $m \ell_{0}$, where $\ell_{0}$ is the line $X_{1}=0$.

Proof. Let $\varphi: \widetilde{V} \rightarrow V$ be the shortest sequence of blowing-ups to eliminate the base points of the pencil $\Lambda^{\prime}$ and let $\widetilde{\Lambda}^{\prime}$ be the proper transform of $\Lambda^{\prime}$ by $\varphi$. Let $\widetilde{M}_{0}$ be the member of $\widetilde{\Lambda}^{\prime}$ containing $S+G$, where we denote the proper transforms of $S, G$ by the same symbols. Then $S$ is a unique $(-1)$ curve in $\widetilde{M}_{0}$ because $m^{2} d>\mu$ with the notations in the proof of Lemma 2.6. One can obtain a smooth member by a sequence of blowing-downs which starts with the contraction of $S$. If the dual graph of $G$ contains a branch point, then there appears in the course of the above sequence of blowing-downs a $(-1)$ component meeting three or more components, one of which might be replaced by the cross-section. Hence the dual graph of $G$ must be a linear chain. The second assertion is a straightforward consequence if one notices that a smooth compactification $V$ of $X$ satisfying the conditions (1) $\sim(6)$ as listed above is obtained by blowing up the point $(1,0,0)$ and its infinitely near points and that the dual graph of $D$ is then as given in [9, Figure 1, p.23], where $r=m>2$ and $n=1$. Hence the dual graph of the component $G$ is not linear.
Q.E.D.

Another consequence of Lemma 2.6 (and also Theorem 2.7) is the following result.

Theorem 2.8 Let $X$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane with $H_{1}(X ; \mathbb{Z})=\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$. Sup-
pose that $X$ has two algebraically independent $G_{a}$-actions. Then $X$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{2}-C$, where $C$ is a smooth conic.

Proof. With the notations in Lemma 2.6, we consider the fiber $F_{0}$ which restricts on $X$ a unique multiple fiber $2 T_{0}$. The fiber $F_{0}$ is supported by $\bar{T}_{0}+G$ and $\bar{T}_{0}$ is a unique ( -1 ) component. By Theorem 2.7, the dual graph of $G$ is a linear chain. Then it is readily verified that $G$ consists of three irreducible components $G_{1}+G_{2}+G_{3}$ which are all $(-2)$ curves. Furthermore, $\bar{T}_{0}$ meets the component $G_{2}$, and we may assume that $G_{1}$ meets the cross-section $S$ of the $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-fibration $p: V \rightarrow \bar{B}$. Now contract $S+G_{1}+G_{2}+G_{3}$. Then we obtain a projective plane $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ and the proper transforms of $F_{\infty}, \bar{T}_{0}$ become respectively a smooth conic $C$ and a line tangent to the conic. Hence $X$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{2}-C$.
Q.E.D.

We assume that the conditions $(1) \sim(6)$ are satisfied. By Theorem 2.7, the dual graph of $G$ is a linear chain. The linear pencil $\Lambda^{\prime}$ has a base point $Q$ on $F_{\infty}$ which is different from the point $S \cap F_{\infty}$. Let $\bar{T}^{\prime}$ be a general member of $\Lambda^{\prime}$. As in the proof of Lemma 2.6, we may assume that $\mu<m^{2} d$, where $m^{2} d=i\left(\bar{T}^{\prime}, F_{\infty} ; Q\right)$ and $\mu=\operatorname{mult}{ }_{Q} \bar{T}^{\prime}$. The pencil contains a member $m \bar{A}^{\prime}$, where $m A^{\prime}$ with $A^{\prime}:=\bar{A}^{\prime} \cap X$ is a unique multiple fiber of the $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $\rho^{\prime}: X \rightarrow B^{\prime}$ which is induced by $\Lambda^{\prime}$. Let $\mu^{\prime}:=\operatorname{mult}{ }_{Q} \bar{A}^{\prime}$. Let $\varphi: \widetilde{V} \rightarrow V$ be the shortest sequence of blowing-ups which eliminates the base points of $\Lambda^{\prime}$ and let $\widetilde{\Lambda}^{\prime}$ be the proper transform of $\Lambda^{\prime}$ by $\varphi$. Let $E$ be the last $(-1)$ curve appearing in the process $\varphi$ and write $\varphi^{-1}(Q)=\Gamma+E+\Delta$, where $\Gamma$ (resp. $\Delta$ ) is the connected component of $\varphi^{-1}(Q)-E$ which meets the proper transform $\widetilde{F}_{\infty}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\widetilde{A}^{\prime}\right)$ of $F_{\infty}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\bar{A}^{\prime}\right)$. Theorem 2.7 applied to the $\sigma^{\prime}$-action implies that the dual graph of $\Delta$ is a linear chain.

Lemma 2.9 The following assertions hold true.
(1) $m \mu^{\prime} \geq \mu$.
(2) Suppose that $m \mu^{\prime}>\mu$. Then the dual graph of $\Gamma$ is either an emptyset or a linear chain. Furthermore, $m \mu^{\prime}-\mu=1$.
(3) Suppose that $m \mu^{\prime}=\mu$. Then the dual graph of $\Gamma$ has a branch point.

Proof. (1) This is clear because the multiplicity mult ${ }_{Q} \bar{T}^{\prime}=\mu$ is the minimum of the multiplicities which the members of $\Lambda^{\prime}$ take at the point $Q$.
(2) Let $\varphi_{1}$ be the first blowing-up in the process $\varphi$ and let $E_{1}$ be the exceptional curve. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{1}^{*}\left(m \bar{A}^{\prime}\right) & =m \varphi_{1}^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right)+m \mu^{\prime} E_{1} \\
\varphi_{1}^{*}\left(\bar{T}^{\prime}\right) & =\varphi_{1}^{\prime}(\bar{T})+\mu E_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence in the proper transform $\Lambda_{1}^{\prime}$ of $\Lambda^{\prime}$ by $\varphi_{1}$, the $(-1)$ curve $E_{1}$ belongs to the member containing $\varphi_{1}^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right)$. If the dual graph $\varphi^{-1}(Q)=\Gamma+E+\Delta$ has a branching point, the member $\widetilde{M}_{0}^{\prime}$ of $\widetilde{\Lambda}^{\prime}$ containing $S+G$ has to coincide with the member containing $\varphi^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right)$, which is a contradiction. So, the dual graph of $\Gamma$ is a linear chain. Under the assumption $m \mu^{\prime}>\mu$, the proper transform of $E_{1}$ by $\varphi \cdot \varphi_{1}^{-1}$ is the end component of $\Delta$. Since $\Delta+\varphi^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right)$ is contractible to a smooth fiber of a $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-fibration, it follows that $m \mu^{\prime}-\mu=1$.
(3) With the above notation, $E_{1}$ belongs to the member $\widetilde{M}_{0}^{\prime}$. Let $\psi: \widehat{V} \rightarrow$ $V$ be the oscilating sequence of blowing-ups with the data ( $m d, \mu^{\prime}$ ) (cf. [11]) and let $E^{\prime}$ be the last $(-1)$ curve. Since the proper transforms of $E_{1}$ and $F_{\infty}$ by $\varphi$ are contained in the member $\widetilde{M}_{0}^{\prime}$, all the exceptional curves of $\psi$ are also contained in $\widetilde{M}_{0}^{\prime}$. In order to eliminate the base points of $\Lambda^{\prime}$, we have therefore to blow up a point on $E^{\prime}$. Hence the dual graph of $\Gamma$ has a branch point which represent the proper transform of $E^{\prime}$.
Q.E.D.

Lemma 2.10 The following assertions hold.
(1) Suppose $\mu^{\prime}=1$ and $m \mu^{\prime}>\mu$. Then $m=2$.
(2) Suppose $\mu^{\prime} \leq d$ and $m \mu^{\prime}>\mu$. Then $\mu^{\prime}=1$.

Proof. (1) By Lemma 2.9 and the hypothesis $\mu^{\prime}=1$, we have $\mu=m-1$. Then the curve $\bar{A}^{\prime}$ touches $F_{\infty}$ with multiplicity $m d$. Let $\psi: V^{\prime} \rightarrow V$ be a sequence of $m d$ blowing-ups with centers $Q$ and its infinitely near points lying on the proper transforms of $F_{\infty}$. Let $E_{1}, \ldots, E_{m d}$ be the irreducible exceptional curves. Then $\psi^{\prime}\left(F_{\infty}\right)+E_{m d}+\cdots+E_{1}$ is a linear chain and $\psi^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right)$ meets $E_{m d}$ transversally. Let $M_{0}^{\prime}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.M_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ be the member of $\psi^{\prime}\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right)$ containing $\psi^{\prime}\left(F_{\infty}\right)$ (resp. $\left.\psi^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right)\right)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{0}^{\prime}=(m-1) \psi^{\prime}\left(F_{\infty}\right)+\text { a divisor supported by } \psi^{\prime}(S)+\psi^{*}(G)_{\mathrm{red}} \\
& M_{1}^{\prime}=m \psi^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right)+E_{1}+2 E_{2}+\cdots+m d E_{m d}
\end{aligned}
$$

The general member $\psi^{\prime}\left(\bar{T}^{\prime}\right)$ passes the point $Q^{\prime}:=\psi^{\prime}\left(F_{\infty}\right) \cap E_{m d}$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
i\left(\psi^{\prime}\left(F_{\infty}\right), \psi^{\prime}\left(\bar{T}^{\prime}\right) ; Q^{\prime}\right) & =m^{2} d-(m-1) m d=m d \\
i\left(\psi^{\prime}\left(\bar{T}^{\prime}\right), E_{m d} ; Q^{\prime}\right) & =m-1
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\varphi: \widetilde{V} \rightarrow V$ be the sequence of blowing-ups as above which eliminates the base points of $\Lambda^{\prime}$. Then the member $\widetilde{M}_{1}$ of $\varphi^{\prime}\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right)$ containing $\varphi^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right)$ is a degenerate fiber of a $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-fibration which contains only one $(-1)$ curve $\varphi^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right)$. Since the coefficient of $\varphi^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right)$ in $\widetilde{M}_{1}$ is $m$, it is the largest coefficient among those for the components of $\widetilde{M}_{1}$. This implies that $m d \leq m$. Hence $d=1$. So, the pair ( $\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}$ ) is a minimal pair, and Theorem 2.5 implies that $m=2$.
(2) Suppose on the contrary that $\mu^{\prime} \geq 2$. Write

$$
m d=c_{1} \mu^{\prime}+\mu_{1}^{\prime}, \quad 0 \leq \mu_{1}^{\prime}<\mu^{\prime} .
$$

Then

$$
m^{2} d=m\left(c_{1} \mu^{\prime}+\mu_{1}^{\prime}\right)=c_{1} \mu+\left(c_{1}+m \mu_{1}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Since $\mu^{\prime} \leq d$, we have $c_{1} \geq m$. In the case $c_{1}>m$, we abuse the notations to denote by $\psi: V^{\prime} \rightarrow V$ a sequence of $c_{1}$ blowing-ups with center $Q$ and its infinitely near points lying on $F_{\infty}$. It produces the member $M_{1}^{\prime}$ of $\psi^{\prime}\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right)$ such that

$$
M_{1}^{\prime}=m \psi^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right)+E_{1}+2 E_{2}+\cdots+c_{1} E_{c_{1}},
$$

which leads to a contradiction as in the proof of the previous assertion. Consider the case $c_{1}=m$. Suppose $\mu_{1}^{\prime}>0$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
i\left(\psi^{\prime}\left(F_{\infty}\right), \psi^{\prime}(\bar{A}) ; Q^{\prime}\right) & =\mu_{1}^{\prime} \\
i\left(\psi^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right), E_{c_{1}} ; Q^{\prime}\right) & =\mu^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $Q^{\prime}=\psi^{\prime}\left(F_{\infty}\right) \cap E_{c_{1}}$. Then, after the base points of $\Lambda^{\prime}$ are removed by $\varphi$ : $\widetilde{V} \rightarrow V, \varphi^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right)$ does not meet any one of the proper transforms of $E_{1}, \ldots, E_{c_{1}}$. This implies that a component of the member $\widetilde{M}_{1}$ has coefficient greater than $m$, where $\widetilde{M}_{1}$ is a member of the proper transform $\varphi^{\prime}\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right)$ containing $\varphi^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right)$. This is a contradiction. So, we must have $\mu_{1}^{\prime}=0$. Then $c_{1}=m$ and $\mu^{\prime}=d$. Since $\mu^{\prime} \geq 2, \psi^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right)$ meets $E_{m}$ in a single point with multiplicity $\mu^{\prime}$, and this
point is untouched in the further process of eliminating the base points of $\Lambda^{\prime}$. This is a contradiction.
Q.E.D.

We continue the analysis of the case $m \mu^{\prime}>\mu$ and keep the same notations as above. In particular, we abuse the notations $M_{0}^{\prime}$ and $M_{1}^{\prime}$ to denote respectively the members of $\Lambda^{\prime}$ such that Supp $M_{0}^{\prime}=F_{\infty}+S+G$ and $M_{1}^{\prime}=m \bar{A}^{\prime}$, while $\bar{T}^{\prime}$ denotes a general member of $\Lambda^{\prime}$. Let $\varphi: \widetilde{V} \rightarrow V$ be the shortest sequence of blowing-ups with centers at the base point $Q$ of $\Lambda^{\prime}$ and its infinitely near points such that the proper transform $\widetilde{\Lambda}^{\prime}$ of $\Lambda^{\prime}$ has no base points. We denote by $\widetilde{M}_{0}^{\prime}$ and $\widetilde{M}_{1}^{\prime}$ the members of $\widetilde{\Lambda}^{\prime}$ corresponding to $M_{0}^{\prime}$ and $M_{1}^{\prime}$ respectively. Let $\varphi^{-1}(Q)=\Gamma+E+\Delta$ as before, where $\Gamma \cap \varphi^{\prime}\left(F_{\infty}\right) \neq \emptyset$ and $\Delta \cap \varphi^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right) \neq \emptyset$. We assume that $m \mu^{\prime}>\mu$. Then $\Gamma$ is a linear chain and $m \mu^{\prime}-\mu=1$ by Lemma 2.9.

By the Euclidean algorithm with respect to $m d$ and $\mu^{\prime}$, we introduce the integers $c_{i}, \mu_{i}^{\prime}$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
m d= & c_{1} \mu^{\prime}+\mu_{2}^{\prime}, & & 0<\mu_{2}^{\prime}<\mu^{\prime} \\
\mu_{1}^{\prime}= & c_{2} \mu_{2}^{\prime}+\mu_{3}^{\prime}, & & 0<\mu_{3}^{\prime}<\mu_{2}^{\prime} \\
& \cdots \cdots & & \\
\mu_{s-2}^{\prime}= & c_{s-1} \mu_{s-1}^{\prime}+\mu_{s}^{\prime}, & & 0<\mu_{s}^{\prime}<\mu_{s-1}^{\prime} \\
\mu_{s-1}^{\prime}= & c_{s} \mu_{s}^{\prime}, & & c_{s} \geq 2,
\end{aligned}
$$

where we set $\mu_{1}^{\prime}=\mu^{\prime}$. Let $\psi: \widehat{V} \rightarrow V$ be an oscilating sequence of blowingups with respect the data ( $m d, \mu^{\prime}$ ) (cf. [11]). Then we have the following exceptional dual graph of $\psi^{-1}(Q)$. See also [8] for similar dual graphes and relevant explanations.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{llllll}
-\left(c_{1}+1\right) & -2 & -\left(c_{3}+2\right) & -2 & -2 & -\left(c_{s}+1\right)
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -2 \quad-\left(c_{2}+2\right) \quad-\left(c_{s-1}+2\right) \quad-2 \quad-2 \\
& \bigcirc-\cdots \cdots \longrightarrow \cdots \quad-\longrightarrow\left(s, c_{s}-1\right) \\
& E(1,1) \quad E\left(1, c_{1}\right) \quad E\left(s-2, c_{s-2}\right) \quad E(s, 1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Case $s$ is odd

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{lllll}
-\left(c_{1}+1\right) & -2 & -\left(c_{3}+2\right) & -2 & -\left(c_{s-1}+2\right)
\end{array}-2 \\
& \begin{array}{c}
F_{\infty} \\
\hline
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E(1,1) \quad E\left(1, c_{1}\right) \quad E\left(s-3, c_{s-3}\right) \quad E(s-1,1)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Case $s$ is even

Lemma 2.11 The following assertions hold true.
(1) $\psi^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right)$ meets the component $E\left(s, c_{s}\right)$ in one point transversally and does not meet any other components of $\psi^{-1}(Q)$. In particular, $\mu_{s}^{\prime}=1$.
(2) The components located on the rught side of $E\left(s, c_{s}\right)$, i.e., $E(1,1), \ldots$, $E(s, 1), \ldots, E\left(s-1, c_{s-1}\right)$ if $s$ is odd and $E(1,1), \ldots, E\left(s-1, c_{s-1}\right)$, $E\left(s, c_{s}-1\right)$ if $s$ is even, are contained in the member $\widehat{M}_{1}^{\prime}$ of $\psi^{\prime}\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right)$ corresponding to $M_{1}^{\prime}$ of $\Lambda^{\prime}$.
(3) $\psi^{\prime}\left(\bar{T}^{\prime}\right)$ passes through the point $E\left(s, c_{s}\right) \cap E\left(s-1, c_{s-1}\right)$ if $s$ is odd and the point $E\left(s, c_{s}\right) \cap E\left(s, c_{s}-1\right)$ if $s$ is even.
(4) The components located on the left side of $E\left(s, c_{s}\right)$ are contained in the member $\widehat{M_{0}^{\prime}}$ of $\psi^{\prime}\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right)$, where $\widehat{M_{0}^{\prime}}$ corresponds to $M_{0}^{\prime}$ of $\Lambda^{\prime}$.

Proof. Let $\widehat{M_{0}^{\prime}}$ and $\widehat{M_{1}^{\prime}}$ be respectively the members of the proper transform $\psi^{\prime}\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right)$ of $\Lambda^{\prime}$ such that $\widehat{M_{0}^{\prime}}$ (resp. $\left.\widehat{M_{1}^{\prime}}\right)$ contains $\psi^{\prime}\left(F_{\infty}\right)$ (resp. $\psi^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right)$ ). Since every member of $\psi^{\prime}\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right)$ is connected, $\widehat{M}_{1}^{\prime}$ contains a connected linear chain $\psi^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right)+E\left(s, c_{s}\right)+\cdots+E(1,1)$, which contains the lower half of the whole chain. We note that $\psi^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right)$ meets $E\left(s, c_{s}\right)$ in one point with multiplicity $\mu_{s}^{\prime}$ which is different from the points of $E\left(s, c_{s}\right)$ where $E\left(s, c_{s}\right)$ meets the other components $E(i, j)$ 's.

The member $\widehat{M}_{0}^{\prime}$ contains some connected part of the linear chain $E(2,1)+$ $\cdots+E\left(s-1, c_{s-1}\right)$ if $s$ is odd (resp. $E(2,1)+\cdots+E\left(s, c_{s}-1\right)$ if $s$ is even). We claim that $\widehat{M_{0}^{\prime}}$ contains all of this linear chain and hence the point $E\left(s-1, c_{s-1}\right) \cap E\left(s, c_{s}\right)$ (resp. $\left.E\left(s, c_{s}-1\right) \cap E\left(s, c_{s}\right)\right)$ is the base point of $\psi^{\prime}\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right)$ if $s$ is odd (resp. if $s$ is even). Suppose on the contrary that the rightmost component $E$ of $\widehat{M_{0}^{\prime}}$ is not $E\left(s-1, c_{s-1}\right)$ (resp. $E\left(s, c_{s}-1\right)$ ) if $s$ is odd (resp. if $s$ is even). Then, from the mid-stage of $\psi$ onward when $E$ was the last ( -1 ) curve, the general member $\bar{T}^{\prime}$ (or precisely, its proper transform) keeps meeting the component $E$. Namely, the process $\varphi$ is branched at this stage and should constitute of the blowing-ups with centers at the intersection point of $E$ and $\bar{T}^{\prime}$ and its infinitely near points. This implies that the component $\varphi^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right)$ in the corresponding member $\widetilde{M}_{1}^{\prime}$ of $\varphi^{\prime}\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right)$ has a singular point or meets two other components in a point. This is a contradiction. Hence our claim is ascertained. Furthermore, the point $Q_{1}=E\left(s-1, c_{s-1}\right) \cap E\left(s, c_{s}\right)$ if $s$ is odd (resp. $Q_{1}=E\left(s, c_{s}-1\right) \cap E\left(s, c_{s}\right)$ if $s$ is even) is a base point of the pencil $\psi^{\prime}\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right)$.

Now the process $\varphi$ is a sequence of blowing-ups with centers $Q_{1}$ and its infinitely near points. Let $\psi_{1}=\psi^{-1} \cdot \varphi: \widetilde{V} \rightarrow \widetilde{V}$ be the necessary process of eliminating the base points of $\psi^{\prime}\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right)$. Since $Q_{1} \neq \psi^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right) \cap E\left(s, c_{s}\right)$, it follows that $\mu_{s}^{\prime}=1$ because the proper transforms of $\psi^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right)$ and $E\left(s, c_{s}\right)$ in $\widetilde{M}_{1}^{\prime}$ meet each other transversally. All the assertions of Lemma 2.11 follows from these observations.
Q.E.D.

Now let $\psi_{1}^{-1}\left(Q_{1}\right)=\Gamma_{1}+E_{1}+\Delta_{1}$, where $E_{1}$ is the last $(-1)$ curve and $\Gamma_{1}$
(resp. $\Delta_{1}$ ) is contained in $\widetilde{M_{0}^{\prime}}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\widetilde{M_{1}^{\prime}}\right)$. Then

$$
\Delta_{1}+\varphi^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right)+\psi_{1}^{\prime}\left(E\left(s, c_{s}\right)+\cdots+E(1,1)\right)
$$

is contracted to a smooth $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-fiber, and the dual graph of $\Delta_{1}$ (hence $\Gamma_{1}$ ) is therefore uniquely determined. In fact, the dual graph of $\Delta_{1}$ coincides with the dual graph $F_{\infty}+E(2,1)+\cdots+E\left(s-1, c_{s-1}\right)$ if $s$ is odd (resp. $F_{\infty}+E(2,1)+\cdots+E\left(s, c_{s}-1\right)$ if $s$ is even $)$.

We shall determine the multiplicity of $\psi_{1}^{\prime}\left(E\left(s, c_{s}\right)\right)$ as a component of a degenerate $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-fiber supported by $\Delta_{1}+\varphi^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right)+\psi_{1}^{\prime}\left(E\left(s, c_{s}\right)+\cdots+E(1,1)\right)$. For this purpose, identify $\Delta_{1}$ with $F_{\infty}+E(2,1)+\cdots+E\left(s-1, c_{s-1}\right)$ (resp. $\left.F_{\infty}+E(2,1)+\cdots+E\left(s, c_{s}-1\right)\right)$ if $s$ is odd (resp. if $s$ is even), and let $\mu(i, j)$ be the multiplicity of $E(i, j)$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$ and $1 \leq j \leq c_{i}$, where $\mu(1,1)=1$ and the multiplicity of $F_{\infty}$ is 1 . Then we have the following relations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu(1, j) & =j, & & 1 \leq j \leq c_{1} \\
\mu(2, j) & =1+j \mu\left(1, c_{1}\right), & & 1 \leq j \leq c_{2} \\
\mu(3, j) & =\mu\left(1, c_{1}\right)+j \mu\left(2, c_{2}\right), & & 1 \leq j \leq c_{3} \\
& \cdots \cdots & & \\
\mu(t, j) & =\mu\left(t-2, c_{t-2}\right)+j \mu\left(t-1, c_{t-1}\right), & & 1 \leq j \leq c_{t} \\
& \cdots \cdots & & \\
\mu(s, j) & =\mu\left(s-2, c_{s-2}\right)+j \mu\left(s-1, c_{s-1}\right), & & 1 \leq j \leq c_{s} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thence we have

$$
\frac{\mu\left(s, c_{s}\right)}{\mu\left(s-1, c_{s-1}\right)}=c_{s}+\frac{1}{c_{s-1}+\frac{1}{c_{s-2}+\frac{1}{\ddots--\frac{1}{c_{1}}}}}=\left[c_{s}, c_{s-1}, \ldots, c_{1}\right]
$$

while $m d / \mu^{\prime}=\left[c_{1}, \ldots, c_{s}\right]$. Note that $\mu_{s}^{\prime}=1$ implies $\operatorname{gcd}\left(m d, \mu^{\prime}\right)=1$. Then it follows that $\mu\left(s, c_{s}\right)=m d$. Meanwhile, the multiplicity of $\varphi^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right)$ (and hence the one of $\left.\psi_{1}^{\prime}\left(E\left(s, c_{s}\right)\right)\right)$ is $m$. So, we conclude that $d=1$ and that the pair $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ is minimal. Then $m \geq 3$ is impossible by Theorem 2.5. Hence we have the following result.

Theorem 2.12 Suppose that $m \mu^{\prime}>\mu$. Then the pair $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ is minimal, and hence $m=1$ or 2 .

## 3 Observations in the case $m \mu^{\prime}=\mu$

Inheriting the notations in the previous section, we shall explain the elimination process $\varphi: \widetilde{V} \rightarrow V$ of the base points of the pencil $\Lambda^{\prime}$ in the case $m \mu^{\prime}=\mu$. Let $\varphi_{1}: V_{1} \rightarrow V$ be the oscilating sequence of blowing-ups with center $Q$ and data $\left(m d, \mu^{\prime}\right)$. With the observations before Lemma 2.11 taken into account, the proper transform $\varphi_{1}^{\prime}\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right)$ has a base point $Q_{1}$ on the last exceptional curve $E_{1}:=E\left(s, c_{s}\right)$, which does not lie on any other components of $\varphi_{1}^{-1}(Q)$. Note that the following assertions hold:
(1) Every component of $\varphi_{1}^{-1}(Q)$ belongs to the member $M_{0}^{\prime}(1)$ of $\varphi_{1}^{\prime}\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right)$ which corresponds to the member $M_{0}^{\prime}$ of $\Lambda^{\prime}$.
(2) Write $\varphi_{1}^{-1}(Q)=\Gamma_{1}+E_{1}+\Delta_{1}$, where $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Delta_{1}$ are the connected components of $\varphi_{1}^{-1}(Q)-E_{1}$ such that $\Gamma_{1} \cap \varphi_{1}^{\prime}\left(F_{\infty}\right) \neq \emptyset$ and $\Delta_{1} \cap$ $\varphi_{1}^{\prime}\left(F_{\infty}\right)=\emptyset$. Then $\varphi^{\prime}\left(G+S+F_{\infty}\right)+\Gamma_{1}$ contracts to a smooth point.
(3) The general member $\varphi_{1}^{\prime}\left(\bar{T}^{\prime}\right)$ of $\varphi_{1}^{\prime}\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right)$ satisfies

$$
i\left(E_{1}, \varphi_{1}^{\prime}\left(\bar{T}^{\prime}\right) ; Q_{1}\right)=\operatorname{mult}_{Q_{1}} \varphi_{1}^{\prime}\left(\bar{T}^{\prime}\right)=\mu_{s}=m \mu_{s}^{\prime}
$$

Let $\psi_{1}: V_{1}^{\prime} \rightarrow V_{1}$ be a sequence of blowing-ups such that $\psi^{-1}\left(Q_{1}\right)$ has the dual graph

where the proper transform $\Lambda_{1}^{\prime}:=\left(\varphi_{1} \psi_{1}\right)^{\prime}\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right)$ has a base point $Q_{1}^{\prime}$ lying only on the last $(-1)$ curve $E_{1}^{\prime}$ and not on the other components, and where

$$
m \mu_{s}^{\prime}=i\left(E_{1}^{\prime},\left(\varphi_{1} \psi_{1}\right)^{\prime}\left(\bar{T}^{\prime}\right) ; Q_{1}^{\prime}\right)>\mu^{(2)}:=\operatorname{mult}_{Q_{1}^{\prime}}\left(\varphi_{1} \psi_{1}\right)^{\prime}\left(\bar{T}^{\prime}\right)
$$

We note that $m\left(\varphi_{1} \psi_{1}\right)^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right)$ is the member of $\Lambda_{1}^{\prime}$ and hence passes through the point $Q_{1}^{\prime}$ with

$$
\mu_{s}^{\prime}=i\left(E_{1}^{\prime},\left(\varphi_{1} \psi_{1}\right)^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right) ; Q_{1}^{\prime}\right) \geq \mu^{\prime(2)}:=\operatorname{mult}_{Q_{1}^{\prime}}\left(\varphi \psi_{1}\right)^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Here $m \mu^{\prime(2)} \geq \mu^{(2)}$.

Suppose $\mu^{(2)}=m \mu^{\prime(2)}$. The the next process is similar to the sequence $\varphi_{1}$ above. We let $\varphi_{2}: V_{2} \rightarrow V_{1}^{\prime}$ be the oscilating sequence of blowing-ups with center $Q_{1}^{\prime}$ and data $\left(\mu_{s}^{\prime}, \mu^{(2)}\right)$. Let $E_{2}$ be the last $(-1)$ curve of $\varphi_{2}$. Then the pencil $\left(\varphi_{1} \psi_{1} \varphi_{2}\right)^{\prime}\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right)$ has a base point $Q_{2}$ on $E_{2}$ not lying on any other components of $\varphi_{2}^{-1}\left(Q_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. Write $\left(\psi_{1} \varphi_{2}\right)^{-1}\left(Q_{1}\right)=\Gamma_{2}+E_{2}+\Delta_{2}$, where $\Gamma_{2}$ and $\Delta_{2}$ are the connected components of $\left(\psi_{1} \varphi_{2}\right)^{-1}\left(Q_{1}\right)-E_{2}$ such that $\Gamma_{2} \cap\left(\psi_{1} \varphi_{2}\right)^{\prime}\left(E_{1}\right) \neq \emptyset$.
(4) Then $\left(\psi_{1} \varphi_{2}\right)^{\prime}\left(\varphi_{1}^{\prime}\left(G+S+F_{\infty}\right)+\Gamma_{1}+E_{1}+\Delta_{1}\right)+\Gamma_{2}$ contracts to a smooth point.

After a possible sequence of blowing-ups $\psi_{2}: V_{2}^{\prime} \rightarrow V_{2}$ like $\psi_{1}$ whose dual graph is a ( -2 ) sequence

the proper transform $\Lambda_{2}^{\prime}:=\left(\varphi_{2} \psi_{2}\right)^{\prime}\left(\Lambda_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ has a base point $Q_{2}^{\prime}$ lying only on the last ( -1 ) curve $E_{2}^{\prime}$ and not lying on the other components. Furthermore,

$$
i\left(E_{2}^{\prime},\left(\varphi_{1} \psi_{1} \varphi_{2} \psi_{2}\right)^{\prime}\left(\bar{T}^{\prime}\right) ; Q_{2}^{\prime}\right)>\mu^{(3)}=\operatorname{mult}_{Q_{2}^{\prime}}\left(\left(\varphi_{1} \psi_{1} \varphi_{2} \psi_{2}\right)^{\prime}\left(\bar{T}^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

We note that $m\left(\varphi_{1} \psi_{1} \varphi_{2} \psi_{2}\right)^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right)$ is the member of $\Lambda_{2}^{\prime}$ and passes through the point $Q_{2}^{\prime}$ with

$$
i\left(E_{2}^{\prime},\left(\varphi_{1} \psi_{1} \varphi_{2} \psi_{2}\right)^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right) ; Q_{2}^{\prime}\right) \geq \mu^{\prime(3)}=\operatorname{mult}_{Q_{2}^{\prime}}\left(\left(\varphi_{1} \psi_{1} \varphi_{2} \psi_{2}\right)^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

where $m \mu^{\prime(3)} \geq \mu^{(3)}$.
After this process repeated several times, we reach to the $t$-th stage where $m \mu^{\prime(t)}>\mu^{(t)}$. As in Lemma 2.9, it then follows that $m \mu^{\prime(t)}-\mu^{(t)}=1$. As in the proof of Lemma 2.11 and the subsequent arguments, the oscilating sequence of blowing-ups with center $Q_{t-1}^{\prime}$ and data $\left(i\left(E_{t-1}^{\prime}, \widehat{T}^{\prime} ; Q_{t-1}^{\prime}\right), \mu^{(t)}\right)$ eliminates the base points of the pencil $\Lambda_{t-1}^{\prime}$, where $\widehat{T}^{\prime}$ is the proper transform of $\bar{T}^{\prime}$. Hence $V_{t}=\widetilde{V}$. Let $E_{t}$ be the last $(-1)$ curve of $\varphi_{t}$ and write $\left(\psi_{t-1} \varphi_{t}\right)^{\prime}\left(Q_{t-1}^{\prime}\right)=\Gamma_{t}+E_{t}+\Delta_{t}$ as above, where $\Gamma_{t}$ is connected to the proper transform of $F_{\infty}$. Then we have:
(5) All the components lying on the left side of $E_{t}$, i.e., the connected component containing $\Gamma_{t}$ and the proper transform of $G+S+F_{\infty}$ contracts to a smooth $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-fiber.
(6) $\Delta_{t}$ together with the proper transform of $\bar{A}^{\prime}$ contracts to a smooth $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-fiber. In fact, the component of $\Delta_{t}$ where $\bar{A}^{\prime}$ meets is the proper transform of the $(-1)$ curve which appears as the last exceptional curve of the oscilating sequence of blowing-ups with center $Q_{t-1}^{\prime}$ and data $\left(i\left(E_{t-1}^{\prime}, \widehat{A}^{\prime} ; Q_{t-1}^{\prime}\right), \mu^{\prime(t)}\right)$, where $\widehat{A}^{\prime}$ is the proper transform of $\bar{A}^{\prime}$ on $V_{t-1}^{\prime}$.
(7) The same argument as the one leading to Theorem 2.12 shows that $\left(i\left(E_{t-1}^{\prime}, \widehat{A}^{\prime} ; Q_{t-1}^{\prime}\right), \mu^{\prime(t)}\right)=m$.

We do not know if such a pencil $\Lambda^{\prime}$ exists as satisfying all the above conditions. But the following example shows that the dual graph of exceptional curves of $\varphi: \widetilde{V} \rightarrow V$ together with the proper transform of $G+S+F_{\infty}$ is realizable.

Example 3.1 Let $m=7, d=76, \mu^{\prime}=31, \mu=m \mu^{\prime}, s=5, \mu_{s}^{\prime}=7, t=$ $1, \mu^{(1)}=27, \mu^{\prime(1)}=4$. The dual graph is as given as follows.


Although we have this example, we have an impression that the linear pencil $\Lambda^{\prime}$ does not exist. Hence we propose the following

Conjecture Let $X$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane with an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $\rho: X \rightarrow B$. Suppose that $\rho$ has a single multiple fiber of multiplicity $m \geq 3$. Then the Makar-Limanov invariant of $X$ is not constant.

We shall include here a remark made by a doctoral student Adrien Dubouloz of the Université de Grenoble, which gives a relation between the $\mathbb{Q}$-homology planes with trivial Makar-Limanov invariants and the hypersurfaces $x y=$ $p(z)$ in [1].

Theorem 3.2 Let $X$ be $a \mathbb{Q}$-homology plane with trivial Makar-Limanov invariant and let $\rho: X \rightarrow B$ be an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration with a unique multiple fiber $m A$ of multiplicity $m>1$. Let $B^{\prime} \rightarrow B$ be a cyclic Galois covering of order $m$ ramifying totally over the point $P_{0}=\rho(A)$ and let $Y$ be the normalization of the fiber product $X \times{ }_{B} B^{\prime}$. Then $Y$ is isomorphic to a hypersurface $x y=p(z)$, where $p(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $m$ in $z$ with distinct linear factors. The given $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane $X$ is regained as the quotient of $X$ with respect to a $\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z}$-action.

Proof. We shall give a rough sketch of the proof, leaving the details to a paper by A. Dubouloz. We use the projective embedding $X \hookrightarrow V$ considered in Lemmas 2.6 and 2.7. In particular, the fiber $F_{0}$ of $p: V \rightarrow \bar{B}$ over the point $P_{0}$ is supported by $G+\bar{A}$, where the dual graph is a linear chain and $\bar{A}$ is the closure of $A$ in $V$. Let $G_{1}$ be the irreducible component of $G$ such that $\left(G_{1} \cdot \bar{A}\right)=1$. Let $\sigma: \bar{B}^{\prime} \rightarrow \bar{B}$ be a cyclic Galois covering of order $m$ ramifying totally over the points $P_{0}$ and $P_{\infty}=p\left(F_{\infty}\right)$. Let $W^{\prime}$ be the normalization of $V$ in the function field of $V$ and let $\tau^{\prime}: W^{\prime} \rightarrow V$ be the normalization morphism. Then the branch locus of $\tau^{\prime}$ contains $F_{\infty}$ and is contained in the sum $F_{\infty}+G$. Hence $W^{\prime}$ has a $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-fibration $q^{\prime}: W^{\prime} \rightarrow \bar{B}^{\prime}$. The singularity of $W^{\prime}$ are at most cyclic quotient singularities which arise from the intersection points of the branch locus and lie on the fiber $q^{\prime-1}\left(P_{0}^{\prime}\right)$, where $P_{0}^{\prime}$ is the point of $\bar{B}^{\prime}$ lying over $P_{0}$. Let $\nu: W \rightarrow W^{\prime}$ be the minimal resolution of the singular points of $W^{\prime}$ and let $\tau=\tau^{\prime} \cdot \nu: W \rightarrow V$. Then there is an induced $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-fibration $q: W \rightarrow \bar{B}^{\prime}$, which satisfies $\sigma \cdot q=p \cdot \tau$. Remind that the component $A$ splits into a disjoint union of $m$ affine lines $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{m}$. This implies that the component $G_{1}$ is not contained in the branch locus of $\tau^{\prime}$ and hence $\tau$. Let $H_{1}$ be the irreducible component of $q^{-1}\left(P_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ lying over $G_{1}$. Then $\left.\tau\right|_{H_{1}}: H_{1} \rightarrow G_{1}$ is a cyclic covering of order $m$, and there are $m$ irreducible components $\bar{B}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{B}_{m}$ of $q^{-1}\left(P_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ such that $\left(H_{1} \cdot \bar{B}_{i}\right)=1$ and $\bar{B}_{i} \cap Y=B_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Since $\bar{B}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{B}_{m}$ are
reduced in $q^{-1}\left(P_{0}^{\prime}\right)$, the multiplicity of $H_{1}$ in $q^{-1}\left(P_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ is accordingly equal to 1 . So, we can contract all the components of $q^{-1}\left(P_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ except for $H_{1}$ and $\bar{B}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{B}_{m}$. Let $\widetilde{W}$ be the surface thus obtained from $W$. Then $\widetilde{W}$ has a $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-fibration $\widetilde{q}: \widetilde{W} \rightarrow \bar{B}$ and $Y$ is embedded into $\widetilde{W}$ as an open set, and the boundary divisor $\widetilde{D}:=\widetilde{W}-Y$ consists of the cross-section $\widetilde{S}$ of $\widetilde{q}$, the fiber $\widetilde{F}_{\infty}$ lying above the point at infinity $Q_{\infty}$, and the fiber $\widetilde{F}_{0}=\widetilde{H}_{1}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \widetilde{B}_{i}$, where $Q_{\infty}$ is a unique point lying above $P_{\infty}, \widetilde{S}$ is the inverse image of $S$ and $\widetilde{H}_{1}, \widetilde{B}_{1}, \ldots, \widetilde{B}_{m}$ are respectively the proper transforms of $H_{1}, \bar{B}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{B}_{m}$. Then it is straightforward to see that the canonical divisor $K_{Y}$, that is to say, the restriction of $K_{\widetilde{W}}$ onto $Y$, is trivial. On the other hand, since all the $G_{a}$-actions on $X$ lifts up to $Y$ by Lemma 2.2, $Y$ is a smooth affine surface with trivial Makar-Limanov invariant. Hence the Makar-Limanov invariant of $Y$ is trivial by [1, Lemma 4], and $Y$ is isomorphic to a hypersurface $x y=p(z)$ with $\operatorname{deg} p(z)=m$.
Q.E.D.

## 4 Etale endomorphisms of $\mathbb{Q}$-homology planes

In [4], the generalized Jacobian conjecture for $\mathbb{Q}$-homology planes is considered. It is shown that any étale endomorphism of a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane $X$ is an automorphism if one of the following conditions is satisfied:
(1) $\bar{\kappa}(X)=2$ or 1 .
(2) $\bar{\kappa}(X)=-\infty$ and $X$ has an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $\rho: X \rightarrow B$ with at least two multiple fibers.

In this section, we shall consider the generalized Jacobian conjecture for a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane $X$ with an action of the additive group, which has accordingly $\bar{\kappa}(X)=-\infty$. We shall rectify some of the arguments in [4]. We recall the following two lemmas (cf. [4, Lemma 6.1] and [4, 7, Lemma 3.1]).

Lemma 4.1 Let $\rho: X \rightarrow B$ be an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration on a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane. Suppose that $\rho$ has at least two singular fibers. Let $g: \mathbb{A}^{1} \rightarrow X$ be a nonconstant morphism. Then the image of $g$ is a fiber of $\rho$.

Lemma 4.2 For $i=1,2$, let $\rho_{i}: X_{i} \rightarrow B_{i}$ be $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibrations on $\mathbb{Q}$-homology planes. Let $\phi: X_{1} \rightarrow X_{2}$ and $\beta: B_{1} \rightarrow B_{2}$ be dominant morphisms such that $\rho_{2} \cdot \phi=\beta \cdot \rho_{1}$. Let $m \Gamma$ be an irreducible fiber of $\rho_{2}$ lying over a point
$p \in B_{2}$ with $m \geq 1$ and $\Gamma$ reduced, and let $q \in B_{1}$ be a point such that $\beta(q)=p$. Suppose $\rho_{1}^{*}(q)=\ell \Delta$, where $\Delta$ is reduced and irreducible and $\ell$ is its multiplicity. Suppose furthermore that $\phi$ is an étale morphism. If the ramification index of $\beta$ at $q$ is e then $\ell e=m$. In particular, if $m=1$ then $\ell=e=1$.

Applying these lemmas, we shall show the following result.
Lemma 4.3 Let $X$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane with an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $\rho: X \rightarrow B$. Let $m_{1} A_{1}, \ldots, m_{n} A_{n}$ exhaust all multiple fibers of $\rho$. Let $\phi: X \rightarrow X$ be an étale endomorphism. Then the following assertions hold:
(1) If $n \geq 2$, then there exists an endomorphism $\beta$ of $B$ such that $\rho \cdot \phi=\beta \cdot \rho$.
(2) The above endomorphism $\beta$ is an automorphism provided $n \geq 3$ or $n=2$ and $\left\{m_{1}, m_{2}\right\} \neq\{2,2\}$.

Proof. The first assertion is an immediate consequence of Lemma 4.1. So, we consider the second assertion. We employ the arguments in [7, Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2]. Note that $\beta: B \rightarrow B$ is a finite morphism because $B$ is the affine line. By Lemma 4.1, the set $\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right\}$ is mapped to itself by $\beta$, where $p_{i}=\rho\left(A_{i}\right)$. Suppose, furthermore, that the points $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{s}$, none of which belongs to $\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right\}$, are mapped to $\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right\}$. Then, by Lemma 4.2, the ramification index of $\beta$ at $q_{j}$, say $e_{j}$, is larger than 1 . In fact, if $\beta\left(q_{j}\right)=p_{i}$ then $e_{j}=m_{i}$.

Since $\beta$ induces an étale finite morphism

$$
\beta: B-\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}, q_{1}, \ldots, q_{s}\right\} \longrightarrow B-\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right\},
$$

the comparison of the Euler numbers gives rise to an equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-(n+s)=d(1-n) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d=\operatorname{deg} \beta$. On the other hand, by summing up the ramification indices, we have an inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 s+n \leq d n \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, by combining (1) and (2) together, we have an inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
2(d-1)(n-1)=2 s \leq(d-1) n \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose $d>1$. Then $n \leq 2$. Hence, if $n \geq 3$ then $d=1$ and $\beta$ is an automorphism. Suppose that $d>1$ and $n=2$. Then the equality occurs in (3), and hence the equality occurs in (2). Namely, the ramification index $e_{j}$ at $q_{j}$ is two for all $j$, and $s=d-1$. Since $d>1$ implies $s>0$, we may assume that $q_{1}$ is mapped to $p_{1}$. Then $m_{1}=2$. Suppose $d \geq 3$. Then $2 s=2(d-1)>d$. Hence one of the $q_{j}$ is mapped to $p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n}$, say $p_{2}$. Hence $m_{2}=2$. In this case, after a suitable change of indices, one of the following two cases is possible:
(1) $s=s_{1}+s_{2}=d-1$, and $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{s_{1}}, p_{1}$ (or $p_{2}$ ) (resp. $q_{s_{1}+1}, \ldots, q_{s} p_{2}$ (or $p_{1}$ ) are mapped to $p_{1}$ (resp. $p_{2}$ ).
(2) $s=s_{1}+s_{2}, d=2 s_{1}=2 s_{2}+2$, and $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{s_{1}}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.q_{s_{1}+1}, \ldots, q_{s}, p_{1}, p_{2}\right)$ are mapped to $p_{1}$ (resp. $p_{2}$ ).

Finally, suppose that $d=n=2$ and $s=1$. Then we may assume that $\beta\left(q_{1}\right)=p_{1}$ and $\beta\left(p_{1}\right)=\beta\left(p_{2}\right)=p_{2}$. Then $m_{2}=2$ as well by Lemma 4.2. So, if $\left\{m_{1}, m_{2}\right\} \neq\{2,2\}$, then $d=1$ and $\beta$ is an automorphism. Q.E.D.

As a consequence of Lemma 4.3, we can prove the following result, which rectifies Theorem 6.1 in [4].

Theorem 4.4 Let $X$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane with an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $\rho: X \rightarrow$ $B$. Let $m_{1} A_{1}, \ldots, m_{n} A_{n}$ exhaust all multiple fibers of $\rho$. Suppose that either $n \geq 3$ or $n=2$ and $\left\{m_{1}, m_{2}\right\} \neq\{2,2\}$. Then any étale endomorphism $\phi: X \rightarrow X$ is an automorphism.

Proof. By Lemma 4.3, there exists an automorphism $\beta$ of $B$ such that $\rho \cdot \phi=\beta \cdot \rho$. Since $\beta$ is an automorphism, Lemma 4.2 implies that $\beta$ induces a permutation of the finite set $\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right\}$. By replacing $\beta$ by its suitable iteration $\beta^{r}$, we may assume that $\beta$ induces the identity on $\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right\}$. Since $n \geq 2$ and $\beta$ (or rather an induced automorphism of the smooth compactification $\bar{B}$ of $B$ ) fixes the point at infinity $p_{\infty}$. Hence $\beta$ is then the identity automorphism.

Let $K=k(B)$ be the function field of $B$ and let $X_{K}$ be the generic fiber of $\rho$. Then $X_{K}$ is isomorphic to the affine line over $K$, and $\phi$ induces an étale endomorphism $\phi_{K}$ of $X_{K}$. Since $\phi_{K}$ is then finite, $\phi_{K}$ is an automorphism. Hence $\phi$ is birational. Then Zariski's Main Theorem implies that $\phi$ is an open immersion. Note that Pic $(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}=0$ and $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)^{*}=\mathbb{C}^{*}$. Suppose that $X \neq \phi(X)$. Then $X-\phi(X)$ has pure codimension one. Since $\operatorname{Pic}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}=0$,
there exists a regular function $h$ on $X$ such that the zero locus $(h)_{0}$ of $h$ is supported by $X-\phi(X)$. Then $\phi^{*}(h)$ is a non-constant invertible function on $X$, which contradicts the property $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)^{*}=\mathbb{C}^{*}$. So, $\phi$ is an automorphism.
Q.E.D.

In the case $\left\{m_{1}, m_{2}\right\}=\{2,2\}, d=n=2$ and $s=1$, there exists the following counter-example to the generalized Jacobian conjecture.

Example 4.5 Let $V_{0}=\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$. Let $M_{0}$ be a cross-section and let $\ell_{0}, \ell_{1}, \ell_{\infty}$ be distinct three fibers with respect to the second projection $\pi_{2}: \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$. Let $\varphi: V \rightarrow V_{0}$ be $q$ sequence of blowing-ups with centers at $\ell_{0} \cap M_{0}, \ell_{1} \cap M_{0}$ and their infinitely near points such that $\varphi^{*}\left(\ell_{0}\right)=\ell_{0}^{\prime}+E_{1}+2 E_{2}+2 E_{3}$ and $\varphi^{*}\left(\ell_{1}\right)=\ell_{1}^{\prime}+F_{1}+2 F_{2}+2 F_{3}$, where $\left(\ell_{0}^{\prime 2}\right)=\left(\ell_{1}^{\prime 2}\right)=\left(E_{i}^{2}\right)=\left(F_{i}^{2}\right)=-2$ for $i=1,2$ and $\left(E_{3}{ }^{2}\right)=\left(F_{3}{ }^{2}\right)=-1$. Let

$$
X:=V-\left(\ell_{\infty}+M_{0}^{\prime}+\ell_{0}^{\prime}+\ell_{1}^{\prime}+E_{1}^{\prime}+F_{1}^{\prime}+E_{2}^{\prime}+F_{2}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Hence $X$ has an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $\rho: X \rightarrow B$ with two multiple fibers $2 E_{3} \cap$ $X, 2 F_{3} \cap X$ of multiplicity 2. Then $X$ has a degree two, non-finite étale endomorphism.

In fact, let $\sigma: B^{\prime} \rightarrow B$ be a degree two covering ramifying over the point at infinity $p_{\infty}$ and $p_{0}$, where $p_{0}=\rho\left(E_{3} \cap X\right)$. Let $\widetilde{X}$ be the normalization of $X \times{ }_{B} B^{\prime}$, let $\tau: \widetilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be the composite of the normalization morphism and the first projection $X \times{ }_{B} B^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ and let $\widetilde{\rho}: \widetilde{X} \rightarrow B^{\prime}$ be the $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration induced naturally by $\rho$. Then $\widetilde{\rho}^{*}\left(q_{0}\right)$ is a disjoint sum $G_{1}+G_{2}$ of two affine lines and $\tau: \widetilde{X} \rightarrow \underset{\sim}{X}$ is a finite étale morphism, where $q_{0}$ is a point of $B^{\prime}$ lying over $p_{0}$. Then $\widetilde{X}-G_{1} \cong \widetilde{X}-G_{2} \cong X$, and $\left.\tau\right|_{\tilde{X}-G_{1}}$ and $\left.\tau\right|_{\tilde{X}-G_{2}}$ induce a non-finite étale endomorphism of $X$.
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