

# Pseudo-effective line bundles on compact Kähler manifolds

Jean-Pierre Demailly\*, Thomas Peternell\*\*, Michael Schneider\*\*

\* *Université de Grenoble I, BP 74  
Institut Fourier, UMR 5582 du CNRS  
38402 Saint-Martin d'Hères, France*

\*\* *Universität Bayreuth  
Mathematisches Institut  
D-95440 Bayreuth, Deutschland*

Prépublication de l'Institut Fourier n° 512 (2000)

<http://www-fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/prepublications.html>

**Abstract.** The goal of this work is to pursue the study of pseudo-effective line bundles and vector bundles. Our first result is a generalization of the Hard Lefschetz theorem for cohomology with values in a pseudo-effective line bundle. The Lefschetz map is shown to be surjective when (and, in general, only when) the pseudo-effective line bundle is twisted by its multiplier ideal sheaf. This result has several geometric applications, e.g. to the study of compact Kähler manifolds with pseudo-effective canonical or anticanonical line bundles. Another concern is to understand pseudo-effectivity in more algebraic terms. In this direction, we introduce the concept of an “almost” nef line bundle, and mean by this that the degree of the bundle is nonnegative on sufficiently generic curves. It can be shown that pseudo-effective line bundles are almost nef, and our hope is that the converse also holds true. This can be checked in some cases, e.g. for the canonical bundle of a projective 3-fold. From this, we derive some geometric properties of the Albanese map of compact Kähler 3-folds.

## Contents

§0. Introduction .....	2
§1. Nef and pseudo-effective line bundles .....	5
§2. Hard Lefschetz theorem with multiplier ideal sheaves .....	8
§3. Pseudo-effective versus almost nef line bundles .....	22
§4. Varieties with pseudo-effective anticanonical bundles .....	24
§5. Threefolds with pseudo-effective canonical classes .....	35
§6. Pseudo-effective vector bundles .....	37
References .....	44

---

*Key-words:* compact Kähler manifold, multiplier ideal, hard Lefschetz theorem, positive vector bundle, pseudo-effective line bundle, canonical line bundle, coherent analytic sheaf, direct image of sheaf, Mori theory, Albanese map.

*AMS-Classification:* 14F05, 14C20, 14C30, 32J25, 32J27.

## §0. Introduction

A line bundle  $L$  on a projective manifold  $X$  is *pseudo-effective* if  $c_1(L)$  is in the closed cone in  $H_{\mathbb{R}}^{1,1}(X)$  generated by the effective divisors. If  $X$  is only supposed to be Kähler, this definition is no longer very meaningful, instead we require that  $c_1(L)$  is in the closure of the cone generated by the classes of closed positive  $(1,1)$ -currents. In case  $X$  is projective this is equivalent to the previous definition. Pseudo-effective line bundles on Kähler manifolds were first introduced in [De90]. The aim of this paper is to study pseudo-effective line bundles in general (as well as the concept of pseudo-effective vector bundle) and in particular varieties whose canonical or anticanonical bundles are pseudo-effective. Pseudo-effective line bundles can also be characterized in a differential-geometric way: they carry singular hermitian metrics  $h$ , locally of the form  $e^{-2\varphi}$  with  $\varphi$  integrable, such that the curvature current

$$\Theta_h(L) = i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi$$

is positive. In this context the multiplier ideal sheaf  $\mathcal{J}(h)$  plays an important role; by definition it is the ideal sheaf of local holomorphic functions  $f$  such that  $|f|^2 e^{-2\varphi}$  is locally integrable. If  $h$  is a smooth metric (with semi-positive curvature), then  $\mathcal{J}(h) = \mathcal{O}_X$ , but the converse is not true. Our first main result in § 2 is the following hard Lefschetz theorem

**Theorem.** *Let  $(L, h)$  be a pseudo-effective line bundle on a compact Kähler manifold  $(X, \omega)$ , let  $\Theta_h(L) \geq 0$  be its curvature current and  $\mathcal{J}(h)$  the associated multiplier ideal sheaf. Then the wedge multiplication operator  $\omega^q \wedge \bullet$  induces a surjective morphism*

$$H^0(X, \Omega_X^{n-q} \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{J}(h)) \xrightarrow{\omega^q \wedge \bullet} H^q(X, \Omega_X^n \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{J}(h)).$$

The classical hard Lefschetz theorem is the case when  $L$  is trivial or unitary flat; then  $L$  has a metric  $h$  of zero curvature, whence  $\mathcal{J}(h) = \mathcal{O}_X$ . One might ask whether it is possible to omit the multiplier ideal sheaf when  $L$  is nef, i.e. when  $c_1(L)$  is contained in the closure of the Kähler cone. This is however not the case, as shown by some example (see § 2.5). Therefore the above Lefschetz theorem provides a tool to distinguish between nef and hermitian semi-positive line bundles at the cohomological level.

We then give two applications of the hard Lefschetz theorem. The first is concerned with compact Kähler manifolds  $X$  whose anticanonical bundle  $-K_X$  is pseudo-effective, carrying a singular metric with semi-positive curvature and  $\mathcal{J}(h) = \mathcal{O}_X$ . Then we show that the Albanese map of  $X$  is a surjective submersion. We will come back to this type of problems later. The second application deals with Kähler manifolds with  $K_X$  pseudo-effective and should be considered as a contribution to Mori theory on Kähler manifolds. We show that if  $K_X$  has a singular metric  $h$  whose singularities are not “too bad” (e.g. if  $\mathcal{J}(h) = \mathcal{O}_X$ ), then either  $\chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$  (which provides a non-zero holomorphic form of odd degree) or

$$H^0(X, \Omega_X^q \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(mK_X)) \neq 0$$

for a fixed number  $q$  and infinitely many  $m$ . One might hope that this last condition enforces  $\kappa(X) \geq 0$ ; at least we are able to show that it implies the existence of a non-constant meromorphic function on  $X$ . Using results of threefold classification, we are

then able to show  $\kappa(X) \geq 0$  for a compact Kähler threefold with  $K_X$  pseudo-effective having a metric of semi-positive curvature with “mild” singularities. In particular this holds if  $K_X$  is hermitian semi-positive. Of course, the algebraic case (“Abundance Conjecture”) is known since some time by deep results of Mori theory. We also prove, however not as an application of the hard Lefschetz theorem and therefore postponed to § 5, that a compact Kähler threefold (isolated singularities are allowed but  $X$  must be  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial) with  $K_X$  pseudo-effective but not nef admits a curve  $C$  with  $K_X \cdot C < 0$ . In case  $X$  is smooth this implies the existence of a Mori contraction. Of course this is only new in the non-algebraic setting.

We next address (in § 3) the question whether pseudo-effective line bundles can be characterized in more algebraic terms in case the underlying manifold is projective. We say that a line bundle  $L$  is *almost nef*, if there is a family  $A_i \subset X$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , of proper algebraic subvarieties such that  $L \cdot C \geq 0$  for all irreducible curves  $C \not\subset \bigcup_i A_i$ . The Zariski closure of the union of all curves  $C$  with  $L \cdot C < 0$  will be called the *non-nef locus* of  $L$ . In this setting, pseudo-effective line bundles  $L$  turn out to be almost nef, but the converse seems to be a very hard problem (if at all true). The equivalence between pseudo-effectivity and almost nefness is however always true on surfaces; and, by using Mori theory, it is true for  $L = K_X$  on every threefold.

In § 4 we study compact Kähler manifolds  $X$  with  $-K_X$  pseudo-effective resp. almost nef. First we study morphism  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  and restrict to projective varieties  $X$  and  $Y$ . In general  $-K_Y$  will not be pseudo-effective resp. almost nef; the reason is that the non-nef locus of  $-K_X$  might project onto  $Y$ . Ruling this out, we obtain

**Theorem.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be normal projective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein varieties. Let  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  be a surjective map with connected fibers.*

- (a) *Suppose that  $X$  and  $Y$  are smooth, that  $\varphi$  is a submersion, that  $-K_X$  is pseudo-effective and that the zero locus of the multiplier ideal of a minimal metric on  $-K_X$  does not project onto  $Y$ . Then  $-K_Y$  is pseudo-effective.*
- (b) *Let  $-K_X$  be almost nef with non-nef locus not projecting onto  $Y$ . Then  $-K_Y$  is generically nef.*

We say that a ( $\mathbb{Q}$ -) line bundle  $L$  on a normal  $n$ -dimensional projective variety  $X$  is *generically nef* if

$$L \cdot H_1 \cdots H_{n-1} \geq 0$$

for all ample divisors  $H_i$  on  $X$ . This is a much weaker notion than almost nefness.

**Corollary.** *Let  $X$  be a normal projective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein variety. Assume  $-K_X$  almost nef with non-nef locus  $B$ .*

- (a) *If  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  is a surjective morphism to a normal projective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein variety  $Y$  with  $\varphi(B) \neq Y$ , then  $\kappa(Y) \leq 0$ .*
- (b) *The Albanese map  $\alpha : X \rightarrow \text{Alb}(X)$  is surjective, if  $\alpha(B) \neq \alpha(X)$ .*

We shall call  $-K_X$  *properly pseudo-effective* (*properly almost nef*) with respect to  $\alpha$ , if it is pseudo-effective (almost nef), with  $\alpha(B) \neq \alpha(X)$ . Concerning the fundamental group, we show

**Theorem.** *Let  $X$  be a terminal 3-fold with  $-K_X$  properly pseudo-effective (properly almost nef) with respect to the Albanese map. Then  $\pi_1(X)$  is almost abelian.*

If  $-K_X$  hermitian semi-positive, then  $\pi_1(X)$  is almost abelian by [DPS96b] in any dimension, in case  $-K_X$  nef,  $\pi_1(X)$  has at least polynomial growth (Paun [Pa96]).

If  $X$  is merely supposed to be Kähler, we have to restrict to the case when  $-K_X$  is nef. Here, using the algebraic case settled in [PS97], our main result is

**Theorem.** *Let  $X$  be a compact Kähler 3-fold with  $-K_X$  nef. Then the Albanese map is a surjective submersion. Moreover  $\pi_1(X)$  is almost abelian.*

The last section is concerned with pseudo-effective and almost nef vector bundles. Given a projective manifold  $X$  and a vector bundle  $E$  over  $X$ , we say that  $E$  is *pseudo-effective*, if  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(1)$  is pseudo-effective and the union of all curves  $C$  with  $\mathcal{O}(1) \cdot C < 0$  (i.e. the non-nef locus of the almost nef line bundle  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ ) is contained in a union of subvarieties which does not project onto  $X$ . The definition of almost nefness is analogous to the rank 1 case. Basic properties of pseudo-effective and almost nef bundles are collected in

**Theorem.** *Let  $X$  be a projective manifold and  $E$  a vector bundle on  $X$ .*

- (a) *If  $E$  is pseudo-effective (almost nef) and  $\Gamma^a$  is any tensor representation, then  $\Gamma^a E$  is again pseudo-effective (almost nef). In particular  $S^m E$  and  $\Lambda^q E$  are pseudo-effective (almost nef).*
- (b) *If  $E$  is almost nef and if  $s \in H^0(E^*)$  is a non-zero section, then  $s$  has no zeroes at all.*
- (c) *If either  $E$  is pseudo-effective or almost nef with non-nef locus  $S$  having codimension at least 2, and if  $\det E^*$  is almost nef, then  $E$  is numerically flat i.e. both  $E$  and  $E^*$  are nef, and then  $E$  has a filtration by hermitian flat bundles.*

We then discuss projective manifolds  $X$  with pseudo-effective or almost nef tangent bundles  $T_X$ . Important examples are provided by almost homogeneous spaces, i.e. the automorphism group acts with an open orbit. It is easily seen that necessarily  $\kappa(X) \leq 0$ . Moreover if  $\kappa(X) = 0$ , then  $K_X \equiv 0$ . Now in case  $K_X \equiv 0$ , and if  $T_X$  is pseudo-effective or if  $T_X$  is almost nef with non-nef locus of codimension at least 2, then  $X$  is abelian after possibly finite étale cover. As a consequence, a Calabi-Yau manifold can never have a pseudo-effective tangent or cotangent bundle and the union of the curves  $C$  with  $T_X|_C$  is not nef (resp.  $\Omega_X^1|_C$  is not nef) is not contained in a countable union of analytic sets of codimension at least 2.

In general the Albanese map of  $X$  are surjective submersion and we give a precise description of the Albanese map in case  $\dim X = 3$ . In case neither  $X$  nor any finite étale cover of  $X$  has a holomorphic 1-form,  $X$  is expected to be simply connected. This is true if  $X$  is almost homogeneous, if  $\dim X \leq 3$  or if  $T_X$  is nef ([DPS94]).

The research on this paper started in fall 1996 with the important participation of Michael Schneider. After his tragic death in august 1997, the paper was finished – after some delay – by the two first-named authors who therefore carry full scientific responsibility.

## §1. Nef and pseudo-effective line bundles

We first recall (in the Kähler context) the basic concepts of numerical effectivity and pseudo-effectivity. The proofs as well as more details can be found in [De90, De92, DPS94, DPS96a].

**1.1. Definition.** *Let  $X$  be a compact Kähler manifold. A line bundle  $L$  on  $X$  is said to be*

- a) *pseudo-effective if  $c_1(L)$  is in the closed cone of  $H_{\mathbb{R}}^{1,1}(X)$  generated by classes of  $d$ -closed positive  $(1,1)$ -currents.*
- b) *nef (numerically effective) if  $c_1(L)$  is in the closure of the Kähler cone, i.e. the closed cone generated by smooth non-negative  $d$ -closed  $(1,1)$ -forms.*

It is clear from the above definition that every nef line bundle is pseudo-effective (but the converse is in general *not true*). The names of these concepts stem from the following “more concrete” characterisation in case  $X$  is projective.

**1.2. Proposition.** *Let  $X$  be a projective manifold and  $L$  a line bundle on  $X$ . Then*

- a)  *$L$  is pseudo-effective if and only if  $c_1(L)$  is in the closure  $\bar{K}_{\text{eff}}(X)$  of the cone generated by the effective divisors (modulo numerical equivalence) on  $X$ .*
- b)  *$L$  is nef if and only if the degree  $L \cdot C$  is non-negative for every effective curve  $C \subset X$ , or equivalently, if  $c_1(L)$  is in the closure  $K_{\text{nef}}(X) = \bar{K}_{\text{ample}}$  of the cone of ample divisors.*

Assume that  $L$  is pseudo-effective, and let  $T$  be a closed positive  $(1,1)$ -current such that  $c_1(L) = [T]$ . Choose a smooth hermitian metric  $h_{\infty}$  on  $L$ . Let  $\alpha$  denote its curvature. Then we can write  $T = \alpha + \frac{i}{\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi$  for some locally integrable function  $\psi$ . Then  $h = h_{\infty} \exp(-2\psi)$  is a singular metric on  $L$  whose curvature is just  $T$ . Hence,  $L$  is pseudo-effective if and only if there exists a singular hermitian metric  $h$  on  $L$  such that its curvature  $\Theta_h(L) = -i \partial \bar{\partial} \log h$  is a positive current.

When  $X$  is projective, Hörmander’s  $L^2$  estimates show that  $L$  is pseudo-effective if and only if there exists an ample divisor  $A$  such that

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mL + A)) \neq 0$$

for  $m \gg 0$  (see [De90]). Whence 1.2 a). We now come to the very important concept of multiplier ideal sheaf.

**1.3. Multiplier ideal sheaves.** *Let  $\varphi$  be a psh function on an open subset  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ . To  $\varphi$  is associated the ideal subsheaf  $\mathcal{J}(\varphi) \subset \mathcal{O}_{\Omega}$  of germs of holomorphic functions  $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\Omega, x}$  such that  $|f|^2 e^{-2\varphi}$  is integrable with respect to the Lebesgue measure in some local coordinates near  $x$ .*

A basic result of Nadel [Nad89] shows that the sheaf  $\mathcal{J}(\varphi)$  is a coherent sheaf of ideals over  $\Omega$ , generated by its global  $L^2$  sections over  $\Omega$ , provided  $\Omega$  is e.g. bounded and pseudoconvex (this comes from standard  $L^2$  estimates combined with the Krull lemma). If  $(L, h)$  is a pseudo-effective line bundle with  $\Theta_h(L) \geq 0$ , then the local weight functions  $\varphi$  of  $h$  are plurisubharmonic and we simply denote  $\mathcal{J}(h) := \mathcal{J}(\varphi)$ .

**1.4. Definition.** Let  $L$  be a pseudo-effective line bundle on a compact complex manifold  $X$ . Consider two hermitian metrics  $h_1, h_2$  on  $L$  with curvature  $\Theta_{h_j}(L) \geq 0$  in the sense of currents.

- a) We write  $h_1 \preceq h_2$ , and say that  $h_1$  is less singular than  $h_2$ , if there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that  $h_1 \leq Ch_2$ .
- b) We write  $h_1 \sim h_2$ , and say that  $h_1, h_2$  are singularity equivalent, if there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that  $C^{-1}h_2 \leq h_1 \leq Ch_2$ .

Of course  $h_1 \preceq h_2$  if and only if the local associated weights in suitable trivializations satisfy  $\varphi_2 \leq \varphi_1 + C$ . This implies in particular that the Lelong numbers satisfy  $\nu(\varphi_1, x) \leq \nu(\varphi_2, x)$  at every point. The above definition is motivated by the following observation.

**1.5. Theorem.** For every pseudo-effective line bundle  $L$  over a compact complex manifold  $X$ , there exists up to equivalence of singularities a unique class of hermitian metrics  $h$  with minimal singularities such that  $\Theta_h(L) \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* The proof is almost trivial. We fix once for all a smooth metric  $h_\infty$  (whose curvature is of random sign and signature), and we write singular metrics of  $L$  under the form  $h = h_\infty e^{-2\psi}$ . The condition  $\Theta_h(L) \geq 0$  is equivalent to  $\frac{i}{\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi \geq -u$  where  $u = \Theta_{h_\infty}(L)$ . This condition implies that  $\psi$  is plurisubharmonic up to the addition of the weight  $\varphi_\infty$  of  $h_\infty$ , and therefore locally bounded from above. Since we are concerned with metrics only up to equivalence of singularities, it is always possible to adjust  $\psi$  by a constant in such a way that  $\sup_X \psi = 0$ . We now set

$$h_{\min} = h_\infty e^{2\psi_{\min}}, \quad \psi_{\min}(x) = \sup_{\psi} \psi(x)$$

where the supremum is extended to all functions  $\psi$  such that  $\sup_X \psi = 0$  and  $\frac{i}{\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi \geq -u$ . By standard results on plurisubharmonic functions (see Lelong [Lel69]),  $\psi_{\min}$  still satisfies  $\frac{i}{\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi_{\min} \geq -u$  (i.e. the weight  $\varphi_\infty + \psi_{\min}$  of  $h_{\min}$  is plurisubharmonic), and  $h_{\min}$  is obviously the metric with minimal singularities that we were looking for.  $\square$

Now, given a section  $\sigma \in H^0(X, mL)$ , the expression  $h(\xi) = |\xi^m / \sigma(x)|^{2/m}$  defines a singular metric on  $L$ , which therefore necessarily has at least as much singularity as  $h_{\min}$  as, i.e.  $\frac{1}{m} \log |\sigma|^2 \leq \varphi_{\min} + C$  locally. In particular,  $|\sigma|^2 e^{-2m\varphi_{\min}}$  is locally bounded, hence  $\sigma \in H^0(X, mL \otimes \mathcal{J}(h_{\min}^{\otimes m}))$ . For all  $m > 0$  we therefore get an isomorphism

$$H^0(X, mL \otimes \mathcal{J}(h_{\min}^{\otimes m})) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^0(X, mL).$$

By the well-known properties of Lelong numbers (see Skoda [Sk72]), the union of all zero varieties of the ideals  $\mathcal{J}(h_{\min}^{\otimes m})$  is equal to the Lelong sublevel set

$$(1.6) \quad E_+(h_{\min}) = \{x \in X; \nu(\varphi_{\min}, x) > 0\}.$$

We will call this set the *virtual base locus* of  $L$ . It is always contained in the “algebraic” base locus

$$B_{\|L\|} = \bigcap_{m>0} B_{|mL|}, \quad B_{|mL|} = \bigcap_{\sigma \in H^0(X, mL)} \sigma^{-1}(0),$$

but there may be a strict inclusion. This is the case for instance if  $L \in \text{Pic}^0(X)$  is such that all positive multiples  $mL$  have no nonzero sections; in that case  $E_+(h_{\min}) = \emptyset$  but  $\bigcap_{m>0} B_{|mL|} = X$ . Another general situation where  $E_+(h_{\min})$  and  $B_{\|L\|}$  can differ is given by the following result.

**1.7. Proposition.** *Let  $L$  be a big nef line bundle. Then  $h_{\min}$  has zero Lelong numbers everywhere, i.e.  $E_+(h_{\min}) = \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* Recall that  $L$  is big if its Kodaira-Iitaka dimension  $\kappa(L)$  is equal to  $n = \dim X$ . In that case, it is well known that one can write  $m_0L = A + E$  with  $A$  ample and  $E$  effective, for  $m_0$  sufficiently large. Then  $mL = ((m - m_0)L + A) + E$  is the sum of an ample divisor  $A_m = (m - m_0)L + A$  plus a (fixed) effective divisor, so that there is a hermitian metric  $h_m$  on  $L$  for which  $\Theta_{h_m}(L) = \frac{1}{m}\Theta(A_m) + \frac{1}{m}[E]$ , with a suitable smooth positive form  $\Theta(A_m)$ . This shows that the Lelong numbers of the weight of  $h_m$  are  $O(1/m)$ , hence in the limit those of  $h_{\min}$  are zero.  $\square$

If  $h$  is a singular hermitian metric such that  $\Theta_h(L) \geq 0$  and

$$(1.8) \quad H^0(X, mL \otimes \mathcal{J}(h^{\otimes m})) \simeq H^0(X, mL) \quad \text{for all } m \geq 0,$$

we say that  $h$  is an *analytic Zariski decomposition* of  $L$ . We have just seen that such a decomposition always exists and that  $h = h_{\min}$  is a solution. The concept of analytic Zariski decomposition is motivated by its algebraic counterpart (the existence of which generally fails): one says that  $L$  admits an *algebraic Zariski decomposition* if there exists a modification  $\mu : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  and an integer  $m_0$  with  $m_0\tilde{L} \simeq \mathcal{O}(E + D)$ , where  $\tilde{L} = \mu^*L$ ,  $E$  is an effective divisor and  $D$  a nef divisor on  $\tilde{X}$  such that

$$(1.9) \quad H^0(\tilde{X}, kD) = H^0(\tilde{X}, k(D + E)) \simeq H^0(X, km_0L) \quad \text{for all } k \geq 0.$$

If  $\mathcal{O}(*D)$  is generated by sections, there is a smooth metric with semi-positive curvature on  $\mathcal{O}(D)$ , and this metric induces a singular hermitian metric  $\tilde{h}$  on  $\tilde{L} = \mu^*(L)$  of curvature current  $\frac{1}{m_0}(\Theta(\mathcal{O}(D)) + [E])$ . Its poles are defined by the effective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $\frac{1}{m_0}E$ . For this metric, we of course have  $\mathcal{J}(\tilde{h}^{\otimes km_0}) = \mathcal{O}(-kE)$ , hence assumption (1.9) can be rewritten

$$(1.10) \quad H^0(\tilde{X}, km_0\tilde{L} \otimes \mathcal{J}(\tilde{h}^{\otimes km_0})) = H^0(\tilde{X}, km_0\tilde{L}) \quad \text{for all } k \geq 0.$$

When we take the direct image, we find a hermitian metric  $h$  on  $L$  with curvature current  $\Theta_h(L) = \mu_*\Theta_{\tilde{h}}(\tilde{L}) \geq 0$  and

$$(1.11) \quad \mathcal{O}_X \supset \mathcal{J}(h^{\otimes km_0}) = \mu_*(K_{\tilde{X}/X} \otimes \mathcal{J}(\tilde{h}^{\otimes km_0})) \supset \mu_*(\mathcal{J}(\tilde{h}^{\otimes km_0})),$$

thus (1.8) holds true at least when  $m$  is a multiple of  $m_0$ .  $\square$

## §2. Hard Lefschetz theorem with multiplier ideal sheaves

### §2.1. Main statements

The goal of this section is to prove the following surjectivity theorem, which can be seen as an extension of the hard Lefschetz theorem.

**2.1.1. Theorem.** *Let  $(L, h)$  be a pseudo-effective line bundle on a compact Kähler manifold  $(X, \omega)$ , let  $\Theta_h(L) \geq 0$  be its curvature current and  $\mathcal{J}(h)$  the associated multiplier ideal sheaf. Then, the wedge multiplication operator  $\omega^q \wedge \bullet$  induces a surjective morphism*

$$\Phi_{\omega, h}^q : H^0(X, \Omega_X^{n-q} \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{J}(h)) \longrightarrow H^q(X, \Omega_X^n \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{J}(h)).$$

The special case when  $L$  is nef is due to Takegoshi [Ta97]. An even more special case is when  $L$  is semi-positive, i.e. possesses a smooth metric with semi-positive curvature. In that case the multiplier ideal sheaf  $\mathcal{J}(h)$  coincides with  $\mathcal{O}_X$  and we get the following consequence already observed by Mourougane [Mou99].

**2.1.2. Corollary.** *Let  $(L, h)$  be a semi-positive line bundle on a compact Kähler manifold  $(X, \omega)$ . Then, the wedge multiplication operator  $\omega^q \wedge \bullet$  induces a surjective morphism*

$$\Phi_{\omega}^q : H^0(X, \Omega_X^{n-q} \otimes L) \longrightarrow H^q(X, \Omega_X^n \otimes L).$$

The proof of Theorem 2.1.1 is based on the Bochner formula, combined with a use of harmonic forms with values in the hermitian line bundle  $(L, h)$ . The method can be applied only after  $h$  has been made smooth at least in the complement of an analytic set. However, we have to accept singularities even in the regularized metrics because only a very small incompressible loss of positivity is acceptable in the Bochner estimate (by the results of [De92], singularities can only be removed at the expense of a fixed loss of positivity). Also, we need the multiplier ideal sheaves to be preserved by the smoothing process. This is possible thanks to a suitable “equisingular” regularization process.

### §2.2. Equisingular approximations of quasi plurisubharmonic functions

A quasi-plurisubharmonic (quasi-psh) function is by definition a function  $\varphi$  which is locally equal to the sum of a psh function and of a smooth function, or equivalently, a locally integrable function  $\varphi$  such that  $i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi$  is locally bounded below by  $-C\omega$  where  $\omega$  is a hermitian metric and  $C$  a constant. We say that  $\varphi$  has logarithmic poles if  $\varphi$  is locally bounded outside an analytic set  $A$  and has singularities of the form

$$\varphi(z) = c \log \sum_k |g_k|^2 + O(1)$$

with  $c > 0$  and  $g_k$  holomorphic, on a neighborhood of every point of  $A$ . Our goal is to show the following



**2.2.1. Theorem.** *Let  $T = \alpha + i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi$  be a closed  $(1,1)$ -current on a compact hermitian manifold  $(X, \omega)$ , where  $\alpha$  is a smooth closed  $(1,1)$ -form and  $\varphi$  a quasi-psh function. Let  $\gamma$  be a continuous real  $(1,1)$ -form such that  $T \geq \gamma$ . Then one can write  $\varphi = \lim_{\nu \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi_\nu$  where*

- a)  $\varphi_\nu$  is smooth in the complement  $X \setminus Z_\nu$  of an analytic set  $Z_\nu \subset X$ ;
- b)  $(\varphi_\nu)$  is a decreasing sequence, and  $Z_\nu \subset Z_{\nu+1}$  for all  $\nu$ ;
- c)  $\int_X (e^{-2\varphi} - e^{-2\varphi_\nu}) dV_\omega$  is finite for every  $\nu$  and converges to 0 as  $\nu \rightarrow +\infty$ ;
- d)  $\mathcal{J}(\varphi_\nu) = \mathcal{J}(\varphi)$  for all  $\nu$  (“equisingularity”);
- e)  $T_\nu = \alpha + i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_\nu$  satisfies  $T_\nu \geq \gamma - \varepsilon_\nu \omega$ , where  $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow +\infty} \varepsilon_\nu = 0$ .

**2.2.2. Remark.** It would be interesting to know whether the  $\varphi_\nu$  can be taken to have logarithmic poles along  $Z_\nu$ . Unfortunately, the proof given below destroys this property in the last step. Getting it to hold true seems to be more or less equivalent to proving the semi-continuity property

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0_+} \mathcal{J}((1 + \varepsilon)\varphi) = \mathcal{J}(\varphi).$$

Actually, this can be checked in dimensions 1 and 2, but is unknown in higher dimensions (and probably quite hard to establish).

*Proof of Theorem 2.2.1.* Clearly, by replacing  $T$  with  $T - \alpha$  and  $\gamma$  with  $\gamma - \alpha$ , we may assume that  $\alpha = 0$  and  $T = i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi \geq \gamma$ . We divide the proof in four steps.

*Step 1. Approximation by quasi-psh functions with logarithmic poles.*

By [De92], there is a decreasing sequence  $(\psi_\nu)$  of quasi-psh functions with logarithmic poles such that  $\varphi = \lim \psi_\nu$  and  $i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi_\nu \geq \gamma - \varepsilon_\nu \omega$ . We need a little bit more information on those functions, hence we first recall the main techniques used for the construction of  $(\psi_\nu)$ . Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a covering of  $X$  by open balls  $B_j = \{|z^{(j)}| < r_j\}$  with coordinates  $z^{(j)} = (z_1^{(j)}, \dots, z_n^{(j)})$ , such that

$$(2.2.3) \quad 0 \leq \gamma + c_j i\partial\bar{\partial}|z^{(j)}|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon\omega \quad \text{on } B_j,$$

for some real number  $c_j$ . This is possible by selecting coordinates in which  $\gamma$  is diagonalized at the center of the ball, and by taking the radii  $r_j > 0$  small enough (thanks to the fact that  $\gamma$  is continuous). We define

$$(2.2.4) \quad \psi_{\nu,j} = \frac{1}{2\nu} \log \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |f_{\nu,j,k}|^2 - c_j |z^{(j)}|^2 \quad \text{on } B_j,$$

where  $(f_{\nu,j,k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is an orthonormal basis of the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_{\nu,j}$  of holomorphic functions on  $B_j$  with finite  $L^2$  norm

$$\|u\|^2 = \int_{B_j} |u|^2 e^{-2\nu(\varphi + c_j |z^{(j)}|^2)} d\lambda(z^{(j)}).$$

Observe that the choice of  $c_j$  in (2.2.3) guarantees that  $\varphi + c_j |z^{(j)}|^2$  is plurisubharmonic on  $B_j$ , and notice also that

$$(2.2.5) \quad \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |f_{\nu,j,k}(z)|^2 = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{H}_{\nu,j}, \|f\| \leq 1} |f(z)|^2$$

is the square of the norm of the continuous linear form  $\mathcal{H}_{\nu,j} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $f \mapsto f(z)$ . Choose slightly smaller balls  $B'_j = \{|z^{(j)}| < r'_j\}$  such that  $\bigcup B'_j = X$ . Then there are uniform estimates

$$(2.2.6) \quad i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi_{\nu,j} \geq -c_j i\partial\bar{\partial}|z^{(j)}|^2 \geq \gamma - \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon\omega \quad \text{on } B'_j,$$

$$(2.2.7) \quad \varphi(z) \leq \psi_{\nu,j}(z) \leq \sup_{|\zeta-z| \leq r} \varphi(\zeta) + \frac{n}{\nu} \log \frac{C_1}{r} \quad \text{for } z \in B'_j, \quad r < r_j - r'_j,$$

$$(2.2.8) \quad |\psi_{\nu,j} - \psi_{\nu,k}| \leq \frac{C_2}{\nu} \quad \text{on } B'_j \cap B'_k.$$

The constants  $C_1, C_2$  depend on the covering, thus on  $\varepsilon$ , but not on  $\nu$ . Actually, the Hessian estimate (2.2.6) is obvious from (2.2.3) and (2.2.4); as in [De92], (2.2.7) results from the Ohsawa-Takegoshi  $L^2$  extension theorem (left hand inequality) and from the mean value inequality (right hand inequality); finally, (2.2.8) is a consequence of Hörmander's  $L^2$  estimates [by using a cut-off argument to extend to  $B_k$  the value of a holomorphic function on  $B_j$  at a given point  $z \in B'_j \cap B'_k$ ]. We now set

$$\psi_\nu(z) = \sup_{B'_j \ni z} \left( \psi_{\nu,j}(z) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}}(r_j'^2 - |z^{(j)}|^2) \right) \quad \text{on } X,$$

to get a globally defined quasi-psh function  $\psi_\nu$  with

$$(2.2.9) \quad i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi_\nu \geq \gamma - \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon\omega - \frac{C}{\sqrt{\nu}}\omega \geq \gamma - \varepsilon\omega$$

for  $\nu \geq \nu_0$  large enough. Also, by taking e.g.  $r = e^{-\sqrt{\nu}}$ , we see from (2.2.7) that  $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow +\infty} \psi_\nu(z) = \varphi(z)$ .

*Step 2. A comparison of integrals.*

We claim that

$$(2.2.10) \quad I := \int_X \left( e^{-2\varphi} - e^{-2\max(\varphi, \frac{\ell}{\ell-1}\psi_\nu)} \right) dV_\omega < +\infty$$

for every  $\ell \in ]1, \nu]$ . In fact

$$\begin{aligned} I &\leq \int_{\{\varphi < \frac{\ell}{\ell-1}\psi_\nu\}} e^{-2\varphi} dV_\omega = \int_{\{\varphi < \frac{\ell}{\ell-1}\psi_\nu\}} e^{2(\ell-1)\varphi - 2\ell\varphi} dV_\omega \\ &\leq \int_X e^{2\ell(\psi_\nu - \varphi)} dV_\omega \leq C \left( \int_X e^{2\nu(\psi_\nu - \varphi)} dV_\omega \right)^{\frac{\ell}{\nu}} \end{aligned}$$

by Hölder's inequality. In order to show that these integrals are finite, it is enough, by the definition and properties of the functions  $\psi_\nu$  and  $\psi_{\nu,j}$ , to prove that

$$\int_{B'_j} e^{2\nu\psi_{\nu,j}-2\nu\varphi} d\lambda = \int_{B'_j} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} |f_{\nu,j,k}|^2 \right) e^{-2\nu\varphi} d\lambda < +\infty.$$

By the strong Noetherian property of coherent ideal sheaves, we know that the sequence of ideal sheaves generated by the functions  $(f_{\nu,j,k}(z)\overline{f_{\nu,j,k}(\overline{w})})_{k \leq k_0}$  on  $B_j \times B_j$  is locally stationary as  $k_0$  increases, hence independant of  $k_0$  on  $B'_j \times B'_j \Subset B_j \times B_j$  for  $k_0$  large enough. As the sum of the series  $\sum_k f_{\nu,j,k}(z)\overline{f_{\nu,j,k}(\overline{w})}$  is bounded by

$$\left( \sum_k |f_{\nu,j,k}(z)|^2 \sum_k |f_{\nu,j,k}(\overline{w})|^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

and thus uniformly convergent on every compact subset of  $B_j \times B_j$ , and as the space of sections of a coherent ideal sheaf is closed under the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets, we infer from the Noetherian property that the holomorphic function  $\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} f_{\nu,j,k}(z)\overline{f_{\nu,j,k}(\overline{w})}$  is a section of the coherent ideal sheaf generated by  $(f_{\nu,j,k}(z)\overline{f_{\nu,j,k}(\overline{w})})_{k \leq k_0}$  over  $B'_j \times B'_j$ , for  $k_0$  large enough. Hence, by restricting to the diagonal  $w = \overline{z}$ , we get

$$\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} |f_{\nu,j,k}(z)|^2 \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} |f_{\nu,j,k}(z)|^2 \quad \text{on } B'_j.$$

This implies

$$\int_{B'_j} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} |f_{\nu,j,k}|^2 \right) e^{-2\varphi} d\lambda \leq C \int_{B'_j} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} |f_{\nu,j,k}|^2 \right) e^{-2\varphi} d\lambda = C(k_0 + 1).$$

Property (2.2.10) is proved.

*Step 3. Subadditivity of the approximating sequence  $\psi_\nu$ .*

We want to compare  $\psi_{\nu_1+\nu_2}$  and  $\psi_{\nu_1}, \psi_{\nu_2}$  for every pair of indices  $\nu_1, \nu_2$ . For this, we consider a function  $f \in \mathcal{H}_{\nu_1+\nu_2,j}$  with

$$\int_{B_j} |f(z)|^2 e^{-2(\nu_1+\nu_2)\varphi_j(z)} d\lambda(z) \leq 1, \quad \varphi_j(z) = \varphi(z) + c_j |z^{(j)}|^2.$$

We may view  $f$  as a function  $\hat{f}(z, z)$  defined on the diagonal  $\Delta$  of  $B_j \times B_j$ . Consider the Hilbert space of holomorphic functions  $u$  on  $B_j \times B_j$  such that

$$\int_{B_j \times B_j} |u(z, w)|^2 e^{-2\nu_1\varphi_j(z)-2\nu_2\varphi_j(w)} d\lambda(z)d\lambda(w) < +\infty.$$

By the Ohsawa-Takegoshi  $L^2$  extension theorem [OT87], there exists a function  $\tilde{f}(z, w)$  on  $B_j \times B_j$  such that  $\tilde{f}(z, z) = f(z)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_j \times B_j} |\tilde{f}(z, w)|^2 e^{-2\nu_1 \varphi_j(z) - 2\nu_2 \varphi_j(w)} d\lambda(z) d\lambda(w) \\ \leq C_n \int_{B_j} |f(z)|^2 e^{-2(\nu_1 + \nu_2) \varphi_j(z)} d\lambda(z) = C_n, \end{aligned}$$

where the constant depends only on the dimension  $n$  (it is actually independent of the radius  $r_j$  if say  $0 < r_j \leq 1$ ). As the Hilbert space under consideration on  $B_j \times B_j$  is the completed tensor product  $\mathcal{H}_{\nu_1, j} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}_{\nu_2, j}$ , we infer that

$$\tilde{f}(z, w) = \sum_{k_1, k_2} c_{k_1, k_2} f_{\nu_1, j, k_1}(z) f_{\nu_2, j, k_2}(w)$$

with  $\sum_{k_1, k_2} |c_{k_1, k_2}|^2 \leq C_n$ . By restricting to the diagonal, we obtain

$$|f(z)|^2 = |\tilde{f}(z, z)|^2 \leq \sum_{k_1, k_2} |c_{k_1, k_2}|^2 \sum_{k_1} |f_{\nu_1, j, k_1}(z)|^2 \sum_{k_2} |f_{\nu_2, j, k_2}(z)|^2.$$

From (2.2.3) and (2.2.4), we get

$$\psi_{\nu_1 + \nu_2, j} \leq \frac{\log C_n}{\nu_1 + \nu_2} + \frac{\nu_1}{\nu_1 + \nu_2} \psi_{\nu_1, j} + \frac{\nu_2}{\nu_1 + \nu_2} \psi_{\nu_2, j},$$

in particular

$$\psi_{2\nu, j} \leq \psi_{2\nu-1, j} + \frac{C}{2\nu}.$$

Thanks to the term  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}}(r_j'^2 - |z^{(j)}|^2)$  in the definition of  $\psi_\nu$ , the term  $C/2\nu$  can be absorbed and we conclude that  $(\psi_{2\nu})$  is a decreasing sequence. By Step 2 and Lebesgue's monotone convergence theorem, we infer that for every  $\delta > 0$  the integral

$$I_{\varepsilon, \delta, \nu} = \int_X \left( e^{-2\varphi} - e^{-2 \max(\varphi, (1+\delta)\psi_{2\nu})} \right) dV_\omega$$

converges to 0 as  $\nu$  tends to  $+\infty$  (take  $\ell = \frac{1}{\delta} + 1$  and  $2^\nu > \ell$ ).

*Step 4. Selection of a suitable upper envelope.*

Here, we want to emphasize that the functions  $\psi_\nu$  constructed so far also depend on  $\varepsilon > 0$  (and on the choice of the open covering  $(B_j)$ ). We therefore denote them  $\psi_{\varepsilon, \nu}$  instead of just  $\psi_\nu$ . By Step 3, for each  $\delta = \varepsilon = 2^{-k}$ , we can select an index  $\nu = p(k)$  such that

$$(2.2.11) \quad I_{2^{-k}, 2^{-k}, p(k)} = \int_X \left( e^{-2\varphi} - e^{-2 \max(\varphi, (1+2^{-k})\psi_{2^{-k}, 2^{p(k)}})} \right) dV_\omega \leq 2^{-k}.$$

By construction, we have an estimate  $i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi_{2^{-k}, 2^{p(k)}} \geq \gamma - 2^{-k}\omega$ , and the functions  $\psi_{2^{-k}, 2^{p(k)}}$  are quasi-psh with logarithmic poles. For a suitable choice of the increasing

sequence  $(p(k))$ , they form a decreasing sequence, since the estimates (2.2.8) hold true for  $|\psi_{\varepsilon_1, \nu, j_1} - \psi_{\varepsilon_2, \nu, j_2}|$  on  $B'_{\varepsilon_1, j_1} \cap B'_{\varepsilon_2, j_2}$ , when  $\nu$  is large enough. We set

$$(2.2.12) \quad \varphi_\nu(z) = \sup_{k \geq \nu} (1 + 2^{-k}) \psi_{2^{-k}, 2^{p(k)}}(z).$$

Inequality (2.2.11) implies in particular that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \psi_{2^{-k}, 2^{p(k)}}(z) = \varphi(z)$ , hence  $\varphi_\nu \geq \varphi$  and  $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi_\nu = \varphi$ . By construction  $(\varphi_\nu)$  is a decreasing sequence and satisfies the estimates

$$\varphi_\nu \geq \max(\varphi, (1 + 2^{-\nu})\psi_{2^{-\nu}}), \quad i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_\nu \geq \gamma - 2^{-\nu}\omega.$$

Inequality (2.2.11) implies that

$$\int_X (e^{-2\varphi} - e^{-2\varphi_\nu}) dV_\omega \leq \sum_{k=\nu}^{+\infty} 2^{-k} = 2^{1-\nu}.$$

Finally, if  $Z_\nu$  is the set of poles of  $\psi_{2^{-\nu}, 2^{p(\nu)}}$ , then  $Z_\nu \subset Z_{\nu+1}$  and  $\varphi_\nu$  is continuous on  $X \setminus Z_\nu$ . The reason is that in a neighborhood of every point  $z_0 \in X \setminus Z_\nu$ , the term  $(1 + 2^{-k})\psi_{2^{-k}, 2^{p(k)}}$  contributes to  $\varphi_\nu$  only when it is larger than  $(1 + 2^{-\nu})\psi_{2^{-\nu}, 2^{p(\nu)}}$ . Hence the relevant terms of the sup in (2.2.12) are squeezed between  $(1 + 2^{-\nu})\psi_{2^{-\nu}, 2^{p(\nu)}}$  and  $(1 + 2^{-k})\psi_{2^{-k}, 2^{p(k)}}$ , and therefore there is uniform convergence in a neighborhood of  $z_0$ . Finally, condition c) implies that

$$\int_U |f|^2 (e^{-2\varphi} - e^{-2\varphi_\nu}) dV_\omega < +\infty$$

for every germ of holomorphic function  $f \in \mathcal{O}(U)$  at a point  $x \in X$ . Therefore both integrals  $\int_U |f|^2 e^{-2\varphi} dV_\omega$  and  $\int_U |f|^2 e^{-2\varphi_\nu} dV_\omega$  are simultaneously convergent or divergent, i.e.  $\mathcal{J}(\varphi) = \mathcal{J}(\varphi_\nu)$ . Theorem 2.2.1 is proved, except that  $\varphi_\nu$  is possibly just continuous instead of being smooth. This can be arranged by Richberg's regularization theorem [Ri68], at the expense of an arbitrary small loss in the Hessian form.  $\square$

**2.2.13. Remark.** By a very slight variation of the proof, we can strengthen condition c) and obtain that for every  $t > 0$

$$\int_X (e^{-2t\varphi} - e^{-2t\varphi_\nu}) dV_\omega$$

is finite for  $\nu$  large enough and converges to 0 as  $\nu \rightarrow +\infty$ . This implies that the sequence of multiplier ideals  $\mathcal{J}(t\varphi_\nu)$  is a stationary decreasing sequence, with  $\mathcal{J}(t\varphi_\nu) = \mathcal{J}(t\varphi)$  for  $\nu$  large.

### §2.3. A Bochner type inequality

Let  $(L, h)$  be a smooth hermitian line bundle on a (non necessarily compact) Kähler manifold  $(Y, \omega)$ . We denote by  $|\cdot| = |\cdot|_{\omega, h}$  the pointwise hermitian norm on  $\Lambda^{p, q} T_X^* \otimes L$  associated with  $\omega$  and  $h$ , and by  $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_{\omega, h}$  the global  $L^2$  norm

$$\|u\|^2 = \int_X |u|^2 dV_\omega \quad \text{where} \quad dV_\omega = \frac{\omega^n}{n!}$$

Let  $v$  be a smooth  $(n - q, 0)$ -form with compact support in  $Y$ . Then  $u = \omega^q \wedge v$  satisfies

$$(2.3.1) \quad \|\bar{\partial}u\|^2 + \|\bar{\partial}_h^* u\|^2 = \|\bar{\partial}v\|^2 + \int_X \sum_{I,J} \left( \sum_{j \in J} \lambda_j \right) |u_{IJ}|^2$$

where  $\lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$  are the curvature eigenvalues of  $\Theta_h(L)$  expressed in an orthonormal frame  $(\partial/\partial z_1, \dots, \partial/\partial z_n)$  (at some fixed point  $x_0 \in X$ ), in such a way that

$$\omega_{x_0} = i \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_j, \quad \Theta_h(L)_{x_0} = i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_{x_0} = i \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} \lambda_j dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_j.$$

The proof of (2.3.1) proceeds by checking that

$$(2.3.2) \quad (\bar{\partial}_\varphi^* \bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial} \bar{\partial}_\varphi^*)(v \wedge \omega^q) - (\bar{\partial}_\varphi^* \bar{\partial}v) \wedge \omega^q = q i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi \wedge \omega^{q-1} \wedge v,$$

taking the inner product with  $u = \omega^q \wedge v$  and integrating by parts in the left hand side. In order to check (2.3.2), we use the identity  $\bar{\partial}_\varphi^* = e^\varphi \bar{\partial}^*(e^{-\varphi} \bullet) = \bar{\partial}^* + \nabla^{0,1} \varphi \lrcorner \bullet$ . Let us work in a local trivialization of  $L$  such that  $\varphi(x_0) = 0$  and  $\nabla \varphi(x_0) = 0$ . At  $x_0$  we then find

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{\partial}_\varphi^* \bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial} \bar{\partial}_\varphi^*)(\omega^q \wedge v) - \omega^q \wedge (\bar{\partial}_\varphi^* \bar{\partial}v) = \\ [(\bar{\partial}^* \bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial} \bar{\partial}^*)(\omega^q \wedge v) - \omega^q \wedge (\bar{\partial}^* \bar{\partial}v)] + \bar{\partial}(\nabla^{0,1} \varphi \lrcorner (\omega^q \wedge v)). \end{aligned}$$

However, the term  $[..]$  corresponds to the case of a trivial vector bundle and it is well known in that case that  $[\square, \omega^q \wedge \bullet]$ , hence  $[..] = 0$ . On the other hand

$$\nabla^{0,1} \varphi \lrcorner (\omega^q \wedge v) = q(\nabla^{0,1} \varphi \lrcorner \omega) \wedge \omega^{q-1} \wedge v = -q i \partial \varphi \wedge \omega^{q-1} \wedge v,$$

and so

$$(\bar{\partial}_\varphi^* \bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial} \bar{\partial}_\varphi^*)(\omega^q \wedge v) - \omega^q \wedge (\bar{\partial}_\varphi^* \bar{\partial}v) = q i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi \wedge \omega^{q-1} \wedge v.$$

Our formula is thus proved when  $v$  is smooth and compactly supported. In general, we have:

**2.3.3. Proposition.** *Let  $(Y, \omega)$  be a complete Kähler manifold and  $(L, h)$  a smooth hermitian line bundle such that the curvature possesses a uniform lower bound  $\Theta_h(L) \geq -C\omega$ . For every measurable  $(n - q, 0)$ -form  $v$  with  $L^2$  coefficients and values in  $L$  such that  $u = \omega^q \wedge v$  has differentials  $\bar{\partial}u, \bar{\partial}^* u$  also in  $L^2$ , we have*

$$\|\bar{\partial}u\|^2 + \|\bar{\partial}_h^* u\|^2 = \|\bar{\partial}v\|^2 + \int_X \sum_{I,J} \left( \sum_{j \in J} \lambda_j \right) |u_{IJ}|^2$$

(here, all differentials are computed in the sense of distributions).

*Proof.* Since  $(Y, \omega)$  is assumed to be complete, there exists a sequence of smooth forms  $v_\nu$  with compact support in  $Y$  (obtained by truncating  $v$  and taking the convolution with a regularizing kernel) such that  $v_\nu \rightarrow v$  in  $L^2$  and such that  $u_\nu = \omega^q \wedge v_\nu$  satisfies  $u_\nu \rightarrow u, \bar{\partial}u_\nu \rightarrow \bar{\partial}u, \bar{\partial}^* u_\nu \rightarrow \bar{\partial}^* u$  in  $L^2$ . By the curvature assumption, the final integral in the right hand side of (2.3.1) must be under control. We thus get the equality by passing to the limit.  $\square$

### §2.4. Proof of Theorem 2.1.1

Fix  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_\nu$  and  $h_\varepsilon = h_{\varepsilon_\nu}$  given by an approximation of  $h$ , such that  $h_\varepsilon$  is smooth on  $X \setminus Z_\varepsilon$ ,  $\Theta_{h_\varepsilon}(L) \geq -\varepsilon\omega$ ,  $h_\varepsilon \leq h$  and  $\mathcal{J}(h_\varepsilon) = \mathcal{J}(h)$ . This is possible by Theorem 2.2.1. Now, we can find a family

$$\omega_{\varepsilon,\delta} = \omega + \delta(i\partial\bar{\partial}u_\varepsilon + \omega), \quad \delta > 0$$

of complete Kähler metrics on  $X \setminus Z_\varepsilon$ , where  $u_\varepsilon$  is a quasi-psh function on  $X$  with  $u_\varepsilon = -\infty$  on  $Z_\varepsilon$ ,  $u_\varepsilon$  on  $X \setminus Z_\varepsilon$  and  $i\partial\bar{\partial}u_\varepsilon + \omega \geq 0$  (see e.g. [De82]). By construction,  $\omega_{\varepsilon,\delta} \geq \omega$  and  $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \omega_{\varepsilon,\delta} = \omega$ . We look at the  $L^2$  Dolbeault complex  $K_{\varepsilon,\delta}^\bullet$  of  $(n, \bullet)$ -forms on  $X \setminus Z_\varepsilon$ , where the  $L^2$  norms are induced by  $\omega_{\varepsilon,\delta}$  on differential forms and by  $h_\varepsilon$  on elements in  $L$ . Specifically

$$K_{\varepsilon,\delta}^q = \left\{ u : X \setminus Z_\varepsilon \rightarrow \Lambda^{n,q} T_X^* \otimes L; \int_{X \setminus Z_\varepsilon} (|u|_{\Lambda^{n,q}\omega_{\varepsilon,\delta} \otimes h_\varepsilon}^2 + |\bar{\partial}u|_{\Lambda^{n,q+1}\omega_{\varepsilon,\delta} \otimes h_\varepsilon}^2) dV_{\omega_{\varepsilon,\delta}} < \infty \right\}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon,\delta}^q$  be the corresponding sheaf of germs of  $L^2$  sections on  $X$  (not only on  $X \setminus Z_\varepsilon$ !). Then, for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\delta \geq 0$ ,  $(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon,\delta}^q, \bar{\partial})$  is a resolution of the sheaf  $\Omega_X^n \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{J}(h_\varepsilon) = \Omega_X^n \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{J}(h)$ . This is because  $L^2$  estimates hold locally on small Stein open sets, and the  $L^2$  condition on  $X \setminus Z_\varepsilon$  forces holomorphic sections to extend across  $Z_\varepsilon$ .

Let  $\{\beta\} \in H^q(X, \Omega_X^n \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{J}(h))$  be a cohomology class represented by a smooth form with values in  $\Omega_X^n \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{J}(h)$  (one can use a Čech cocycle and convert it to an element in the  $C^\infty$  Dolbeault complex by means of a partition of unity, thanks to the usual De Rham-Weil isomorphism). Then

$$\|\beta\|_{\varepsilon,\delta}^2 \leq \|\beta\|^2 = \int_X |\beta|_{\Lambda^{n,q}\omega \otimes h}^2 dV_\omega < +\infty.$$

The reason is that  $|\beta|_{\Lambda^{n,q}\omega \otimes h}^2 dV_\omega$  decreases as  $\omega$  increases (it would just be constant and independent of  $\omega$  for  $q = 0$ , equal to  $\langle \beta \wedge \bar{\beta} \rangle_h$ ). By the proof of the De Rham-Weil isomorphism, the map  $\alpha \mapsto \{\alpha\}$  from the cocycle space  $Z^q(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon,\delta}^\bullet)$  equipped with its  $L^2$  topology, into  $H^q(X, \Omega_X^n \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{J}(h))$  equipped with its finite vector space topology. Also, Banach's open mapping theorem implies that the coboundary space  $B^q(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon,\delta}^\bullet)$  is closed in  $Z^q(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon,\delta}^\bullet)$ . This is true for all  $\delta \geq 0$  (the limit case  $\delta = 0$  yields the strongest  $L^2$  topology in bidegree  $(n, q)$ ).

Now,  $\beta$  is a  $\bar{\partial}$ -closed form in the Hilbert space defined by  $\omega_{\varepsilon,\delta}$  on  $X \setminus Z_\varepsilon$ , so there is a  $\omega_{\varepsilon,\delta}$ -harmonic form  $u_{\varepsilon,\delta}$  in the same cohomology class as  $\beta$ , such that

$$\|u_{\varepsilon,\delta}\|_{\varepsilon,\delta} \leq \|\beta\|_{\varepsilon,\delta}.$$

(This is true only for  $\delta > 0$  because we need to have a complete Kähler metric at this point). Let  $v_{\varepsilon,\delta}$  be the unique  $(n - q, 0)$ -form such that  $u_{\varepsilon,\delta} = v_{\varepsilon,\delta} \wedge \omega_{\varepsilon,\delta}^q$  ( $v_{\varepsilon,\delta}$  exists by the pointwise Lefschetz isomorphism). Then

$$\|v_{\varepsilon,\delta}\|_{\varepsilon,\delta} = \|u_{\varepsilon,\delta}\|_{\varepsilon,\delta} \leq \|\beta\|_{\varepsilon,\delta} \leq \|\beta\|.$$

As  $\sum_{j \in J} \lambda_j \geq -q\varepsilon$  by the assumption on  $\Theta_{h_\varepsilon}(L)$ , the Bochner formula yields

$$\|\bar{\partial}v_{\varepsilon,\delta}\|_{\varepsilon,\delta}^2 \leq q\varepsilon \|u_{\varepsilon,\delta}\|_{\varepsilon,\delta}^2 \leq q\varepsilon \|\beta\|^2.$$

These uniform bounds imply that there are subsequences  $u_{\varepsilon, \delta_\nu}$  and  $v_{\varepsilon, \delta_\nu}$  with  $\delta_\nu \rightarrow 0$ , possessing weak- $L^2$  limits  $u_\varepsilon = \lim_{\nu \rightarrow +\infty} u_{\varepsilon, \delta_\nu}$  and  $v_\varepsilon = \lim_{\nu \rightarrow +\infty} v_{\varepsilon, \delta_\nu}$ . The limit  $u_\varepsilon = \lim_{\nu \rightarrow +\infty} u_{\varepsilon, \delta_\nu}$  is with respect to  $L^2(\omega) = L^2(\omega_{\varepsilon, 0})$  [which has the weakest topology of all spaces  $L^2(\omega_{\varepsilon, \delta})$  in bidegree  $(n - q, 0)$ ], whilst the limit  $v_\varepsilon = \lim_{\nu \rightarrow +\infty} v_{\varepsilon, \delta_\nu}$  occurs in all spaces  $L^2(\omega_{\varepsilon, \delta})$ ,  $\delta > 0$ . The above estimates yield

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_\varepsilon\|_{\varepsilon, 0}^2 &= \int_X |v_\varepsilon|_{\Lambda^{n-q, 0} \omega \otimes h_\varepsilon}^2 dV_\omega \leq \|\beta\|^2, \\ \|\bar{\partial}v_\varepsilon\|_{\varepsilon, 0}^2 &\leq q\varepsilon \|\beta\|_{\varepsilon, 0}^2, \\ u_\varepsilon &= \omega^q \wedge v_\varepsilon \equiv \beta \quad \text{in } H^q(X, \Omega_X^n \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{J}(h_\varepsilon)). \end{aligned}$$

Again, by arguing in a given Hilbert space  $L^2(h_{\varepsilon_0})$ , we find  $L^2$  convergent subsequences  $u_\varepsilon \rightarrow u$ ,  $v_\varepsilon \rightarrow v$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , and in this way get  $\bar{\partial}v = 0$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\|^2 &\leq \|\beta\|^2, \\ u &= \omega^q \wedge v \equiv \beta \quad \text{in } H^q(X, \Omega_X^n \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{J}(h)). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.1.1 is proved.  $\square$

## §2.5. A counterexample

In view of Corollary 2.1.2, one might wonder whether the morphism  $\Phi_\omega^q$  would not still be surjective when  $L$  is a nef vector bundle. We will show that this is unfortunately not so, even in the case of algebraic surfaces.

Let  $B$  be an elliptic curve and  $V$  the rank 2 vector bundle over  $B$  which is defined as the (unique) non split extension

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B \rightarrow V \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B \rightarrow 0.$$

In particular, the bundle  $V$  is numerically flat, i.e.  $c_1(V) = 0$ ,  $c_2(V) = 0$ . We consider the ruled surface  $X = \mathbb{P}(V)$ . On that surface there is a unique section  $C = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_B) \subset X$  with  $C^2 = 0$  and

$$\mathcal{O}_X(C) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(1)$$

is a nef line bundle. It is easy to see that

$$h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(m)) = h^0(B, S^m V) = 1$$

for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  (otherwise we would have  $mC = aC + M$  where  $aC$  is the fixed part of the linear system  $|mC|$  and  $M \neq 0$  the moving part, thus  $M^2 \geq 0$  and  $C \cdot M > 0$ , contradiction). We claim that

$$h^0(X, \Omega_X^1(kC)) = 2$$

for all  $k \geq 2$ . This follows by tensoring the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{X|C}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_X^1 \rightarrow \pi^* \Omega_C^1 \simeq \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow 0$$



by  $\mathcal{O}_X(kC)$  and observing that

$$\Omega_{X|C}^1 = K_X = \mathcal{O}_X(-2C).$$

From this, we get

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X((k-2)C)) \rightarrow H^0(X, \Omega_X^1 \mathcal{O}(kC)) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(kC))$$

where  $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X((k-2)C)) = h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(kC)) = 1$  for all  $k \geq 2$ . Moreover, the last arrow is surjective because we can multiply a section of  $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(kC))$  by a nonzero section in  $H^0(X, \pi^* \Omega_B^1)$  to get a preimage. Our claim follows. We now consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^0(X, \Omega_X^1(2C)) & \xrightarrow{\wedge \omega} & H^1(X, K_X(2C)) \\ \simeq \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi \\ H^0(X, \Omega_X^1(3C)) & \xrightarrow[\psi]{\wedge \omega} & H^1(X, K_X(3C)). \end{array}$$

Since  $K_X(2C) \simeq \mathcal{O}_X$  and  $K_X(3C) \simeq \mathcal{O}_X(C)$ , the cohomology sequence of

$$0 \rightarrow K_X(2C) \rightarrow K_X(3C) \rightarrow K_X(3C)|_C \simeq \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow 0$$

immediately implies  $\varphi = 0$  (notice that  $h^1(X, K_X(2C)) = h^1(X, K_X(3C)) = 1$ , since  $h^1(B, \mathcal{O}_B) = h^1(B, V) = 1$ ), and  $h^2(X, K_X(2C)) = h^2(B, \mathcal{O}_B) = 0$ ). Therefore the diagram implies  $\psi = 0$ , and we get:

**2.5.1. Proposition.**  *$L = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(3)$  is a counterexample to 2.1.2 in the nef case.*

By Corollary 2.1.2, we infer that  $\mathcal{O}_X(3)$  cannot be hermitian semi-positive and we thus again obtain – by a quite different method – the result of [DPS94], example 1.7.

**2.5.2. Corollary.** *Let  $B$  be an elliptic curve,  $V$  the vector bundle given by the unique non-split extension*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B \rightarrow V \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B \rightarrow 0.$$

*Let  $X = \mathbb{P}(V)$ . Then  $L = \mathcal{O}_X(1)$  is nef but not hermitian semi-positive (nor does any multiple, e.g. the anticanonical line bundle  $-K_X = \mathcal{O}_X(-2)$  is nef but not semi-positive).*

We now show that the above counterexample is the only one that can occur on a surface, at least when  $L = \mathcal{O}_X(\lambda C)$  and  $C$  is an elliptic curve with  $C^2 = 0$  (if  $C$  is a curve with  $C^2 > 0$ ,  $L$  is big and therefore the conclusion is positive as well).

**2.5.3. Proposition.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth minimal compact Kähler surface with Kähler form  $\omega$ . Let  $C \subset X$  be a smooth elliptic curve with  $C^2 = 0$ . Then the natural map*

$$\Phi_\omega^1 : H^0(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(\lambda C)) \rightarrow H^1(X, K_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(\lambda C))$$

*is surjective for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$  with the following single exception:  $X = \mathbb{P}(V)$  where  $V$  is the unique non-split extension  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B \rightarrow V \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B \rightarrow 0$  and  $L = \mathcal{O}_X(1) = \mathcal{O}_X(C_0)$  where  $C_0 = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_B) \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$  is the section with  $C_0^2 = 0$ .*

*Proof.* (0) First notice that by 2.1.2 the result is positive if  $\mathcal{O}_X(\mu C)$  is generated by global sections for some  $\mu \in \mathbb{N}$ , or if  $\mathcal{O}_X(\mu C) \otimes G$  is generated by global sections for some  $G \in \text{Pic}^0(X)$ . Since  $K_X \cdot C = K_C \cdot C = 0$ ,  $K_X$  cannot be ample, hence  $\kappa(X) \leq 1$ . If  $\kappa(X) = 1$ , then  $|mK_X|$  defines an elliptic fibration  $f : X \rightarrow B$  and the equality  $K_X \cdot C = 0$  implies  $\dim f(C) = 0$ . Therefore we conclude by (0).

If  $X$  is a torus or an hyperelliptic surface, we can directly apply (0). If  $X$  is a K3 surface, Riemann-Roch gives  $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X(C)) = 2$ , hence  $h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(C)) \geq 2$ , so that  $\mathcal{O}_X(C)$  is generated by global sections. If  $X$  is Enriques, choose a 2:1 unramified cover  $h : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  with  $\tilde{X}$  a K3 surface. Then  $h^*(\mathcal{O}_X(C))$  is generated by global sections and therefore  $\mathcal{O}_X(C)$  is semi-positive, so that 2.1.2 applies again.

It remains to treat the case  $\kappa(X) = -\infty$ . Since  $X \neq \mathbb{P}_2$ , the surface  $X$  carries a  $\mathbb{P}_1$ -bundle structure  $f : X \rightarrow B$ , and  $f(C) = B$ . In particular the genus  $g(B) \leq 1$ . We cannot have  $B = \mathbb{P}_1$ , since there is no rational ruled surface  $X$  carrying an elliptic curve  $C$  with  $C^2 = 0$ , as we check immediately by [Ha77, V.2]. Hence  $B$  is elliptic. In that case [Ha77, V.2] gives immediately that  $X = \mathbb{P}(V)$  with a semi-stable rank 2 vector bundle  $V$  on  $B$ . We normalize  $V$  in such a way that  $c_1(V) \in \{0, 1\}$ .

(a)  $c_1(V) = 0$ .

Then either  $V = \mathcal{O} \oplus L$  with  $L \in \text{Pic}^0(B)$  or there is a non-split extension

$$(2.5.4) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B \rightarrow V \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B \rightarrow 0.$$

If  $V$  splits,  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(1)$  is semi-positive. Since

$$\mathcal{O}_X(C) \equiv \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(\alpha)$$

for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ , we conclude by 2.1.2. In the non split case, we claim that the curve  $C$  must be equal to  $C_0 = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_B) \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$ . In fact, sequence (2.5.4) implies that

$$H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(\alpha) \otimes \pi^*(L)) = 0$$

for all  $L \in \text{Pic}^0(B)$ ,  $L \neq \mathcal{O}_B$ . Hence

$$\mathcal{O}_X(C) \simeq \mathcal{O}_X(\alpha C_0)$$

for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $\alpha \neq 1$  or  $C \neq C_0$ , then  $V$  would split – possibly after taking a finite étale cover  $C \rightarrow B$ , which is not the case.

The latter case was already discussed and leads to the exception mentioned in the theorem.

(b)  $c_1(V) = 1$ .

We perform a base change  $h : C \rightarrow B$ ,  $\tilde{V} = h^*(V)$ . Let  $\tilde{X} = \mathbb{P}(\tilde{V})$ . Then  $\tilde{V}$  is semi-stable with  $c_1(\tilde{V})$  even so that we are in case (a). By [At57],  $C$  is 2:1 (étale) over  $B$ . Therefore  $h^{-1}(C)$  consists of two sections, hence  $\tilde{V}$  splits. Consequently we can easily reduce ourselves to the splitting case of (a) and obtain surjectivity.  $\square$

## §2.6. A direct image theorem

We state here, for later use, the following useful direct image theorem.

**2.6.1. Theorem.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a holomorphic submersion between compact Kähler manifolds, and let  $L$  be a pseudo-effective line bundle. We assume that the direct image  $\mathcal{E} = f_*(K_{X/Y} \otimes L)$  is locally free and that the zero variety of  $\mathcal{J}(h_{\min})$  does not project onto  $Y$ . Set  $\mathcal{J} = f_*(\mathcal{J}(h_{\min})) \subset \mathcal{O}_Y$ ,  $\mathcal{J} \neq 0$ .*

- a) *If  $Y$  is projective, there exists a very ample line bundle  $G$  on  $Y$  such that the global sections of  $\mathcal{E}^{\otimes m} \otimes G$  generate a subsheaf containing  $\mathcal{E}^{\otimes m} \otimes G \otimes \mathcal{J}^m$ .*
- b) *If  $Y$  is projective or Kähler, then  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1)$  is pseudo-effective on  $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ .*
- c) *If  $\mathcal{E}$  is of rank 1, then  $\mathcal{E}$  is a pseudo-effective line bundle on  $Y$ .*

*Proof.* The proof closely follows ideas already described by Viehweg, [Kol86], [DPS94] and [Mou97], so we will be rather quick on details.

- a) One can take for instance  $G = K_Y + (n + 1)A$  where  $A$  is very ample on  $Y$  and  $n = \dim Y$ . Then

$$K_{X/Y} \otimes L \otimes f^*G = K_X \otimes L \otimes f^*A$$

and  $L \otimes f^*A$  can be equipped with the tensor product of the metric  $h_{\min}$  of  $L$  by a singular metric on  $A$  which is smooth of positive curvature outside a point  $y \in Y$ , with a single isolated pole of Lelong number  $> 1 - \varepsilon$  at  $y$ . Hörmander's standard  $L^2$  estimates show that sections of  $K_{X/Y} \otimes L \otimes f^*G \otimes \mathcal{J}(h_{\min})$  on  $X_y = f^{-1}(y)$  can be extended to global sections on  $X$ , hence the direct image sheaf

$$f_*(K_{X/Y} \otimes L \otimes f^*G \otimes \mathcal{J}(h_{\min}))$$

is generated by global sections. However, this sheaf is obviously contained in  $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y$  and its global sections contain those of  $\mathcal{E} \otimes G \otimes \mathcal{J}$ . The assertion for  $\mathcal{E}^m$  follows by the usual fiber product trick, where  $X \rightarrow Y$  is replaced by  $X_m = X \times_Y \dots \times_Y X \rightarrow Y$ . Then  $K_{X_m/Y} \otimes (L \boxtimes_Y \dots \boxtimes_Y L)$  has direct image  $\mathcal{E}^{\otimes m}$  on  $Y$ .

- b) is an straightforward consequence of a), at least in the projective situation, since  $G$  gets multiplied by  $1/m$  as  $m$  goes to  $+\infty$ . The Kähler case (which we will not need anyway) can be dealt with as in Mourougane [Mou97], by using metrics and local sections over a fixed Stein covering of  $Y$ .

- c) special case of b).  $\square$

## §2.7. Applications

Our applications mostly concern compact Kähler manifolds such that either the canonical or anticanonical line bundle is pseudo-effective. The first one has been observed independently by M. Paun [Pa98].

**2.7.1. Proposition.** *Let  $X$  be a compact Kähler manifold with  $K_X$  pseudo-effective. Assume that  $-K_X$  has a (singular) hermitian metric  $h$  with semi-positive curvature such that  $\mathcal{J}(h) = \mathcal{O}_X$  (i.e. the singularities of the weights  $\varphi$  are mild enough to warrant that  $e^{-\varphi}$  is locally integrable). Then*

a) *The natural pairing*

$$H^0(X, T_X) \times H^0(X, \Omega_X^1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

*is non degenerate on the  $H^0(X, \Omega_X^1)$  side, and the non zero holomorphic 1-forms do not vanish at all.*

b) *The Albanese map  $\alpha : X \rightarrow \text{Alb}(X)$  is a submersion, and there is a group of automorphisms of  $X$  which lies above the translations of the Albanese torus.*

*Proof.* a) The hard Lefschetz theorem applied with  $L = K_X^{-1}$  and  $q = 1$  implies that there is a surjective map

$$H^0(X, \Omega_X^{n-1} \otimes K_X^{-1}) \xrightarrow{\omega \wedge \bullet} H^1(X, K_X \otimes K_X^{-1}) = H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X).$$

However,  $H^0(X, \Omega_X^{n-1} \otimes K_X^{-1}) \simeq H^0(X, T_X)$  and the arrow  $\omega \wedge \bullet$  can then be seen as the contraction mapping  $\xi \mapsto \xi \lrcorner \omega$  of the Kähler form by a holomorphic vector field  $\xi$ . Since the group  $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  is conjugate to  $H^0(X, \Omega_X^1)$  by Hodge symmetry, the (non degenerate)  $L^2$  pairing between the  $(0, 1)$ -class  $\{\xi \lrcorner \omega\} \in H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  and a form  $\eta \in H^0(X, \Omega_X^1)$  is given by

$$\int_X \langle \xi \lrcorner \omega, \bar{\eta} \rangle \omega^n = \int_X \langle \xi, \eta \rangle_{T_X \times \Omega_X^1} \omega^n = C \langle \xi, \eta \rangle_{T_X \times \Omega_X^1}, \quad C > 0.$$

( $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_{T_X \times \Omega_X^1}$  is a holomorphic function, hence constant). Because of surjectivity onto  $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ , there exists for every nonzero holomorphic 1-form  $\eta$  a vector field  $\xi$  such that  $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_{T_X \times \Omega_X^1} \neq 0$ . This implies that  $\eta$  does not vanish and a) is proved.

b) Let  $u_1, \dots, u_q$  be a basis of  $H^0(X, \Omega_X^1)$ . The Albanese map is given by

$$\alpha : X \rightarrow \text{Alb}(X), \quad x \mapsto \alpha(x) = \left( \int_{x_0}^x u_j \right)_{1 \leq j \leq q} \text{ modulo periods.}$$

Hence  $d\alpha \simeq (du_1, \dots, du_q)$ , and we know by a) that  $du_1(x), \dots, du_q(x) \in T_{X,x}^*$  are linearly independent at every point. This means that  $\alpha$  has maximal rang  $q$  at every point, i.e. is a submersion. The existence of vector fields shown in a) easily imply the assertion on automorphisms.  $\square$

We next consider the case when  $K_X$  is pseudo-effective.

**2.7.2. Abundance conjecture (Kähler case).** *If  $X$  is a compact complex manifold with  $K_X$  pseudo-effective, then the Kodaira dimension  $\kappa(X)$  is non-negative, i.e. there exist non trivial sections of  $H^0(X, mK_X)$  for some  $m > 0$ .*

The abundance conjecture is presently known only in the Kähler case, and even then, only for  $\dim X \leq 3$ . What we can prove from our hard Lefschetz theorem is the following partial result.

**2.7.3. Theorem.** *Let  $X$  be a compact complex manifold with  $K_X$  pseudo-effective. Assume that  $K_X$  has a singular hermitian metric of non-negative curvature, possessing “algebraic singularities” in the following sense: there exists a modification  $\mu : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  such that the pullbacks of the local plurisubharmonic weights  $\varphi$  take the form*

$$\varphi \circ \mu = \sum_j \lambda_j \log |g_j| + O(1)$$

where  $O(1)$  is a bounded term,  $D_j = \{g_j = 0\}$  is a family of normal crossing divisors in  $\tilde{X}$  and  $\lambda_j$  are positive rational numbers. Then  $X$  satisfies at least one of the following two properties:

- a)  $\chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = \chi(X, K_X) = 0$  and there exists a nonzero holomorphic  $p$ -form in  $H^0(X, \Omega_X^p)$  for some odd integer  $p$ .
- b) There exists  $q = 0, 1, \dots, n$  and infinitely many positive integers  $m$  such that

$$H^0(X, \Omega_X^q \otimes \mathcal{O}(mK_X)) \neq 0.$$

*Proof.* Observe that sections of  $H^0(X, \Omega_X^q \otimes \mathcal{O}(mK_X))$  are bimeromorphic invariants, hence we can assume that  $\tilde{X} = X$ . Suppose that b) fails, i.e. that there is  $m_0 > 0$  such that  $H^0(X, \Omega_X^q \otimes \mathcal{O}(mK_X)) = 0$  for all  $q$  and  $m \geq m_0$ . Then a fortiori

$$H^0(X, \Omega_X^q \otimes \mathcal{O}(mK_X) \otimes \mathcal{J}(h^{\otimes m})) = 0$$

and the hard Lefschetz theorem implies that

$$H^q(X, K_X \otimes \mathcal{O}(mK_X) \otimes \mathcal{J}(h^{\otimes m})) = 0 \quad \text{for all } q \text{ and } m \geq m_0.$$

Therefore  $\chi(X, \mathcal{O}((m+1)K_X) \otimes \mathcal{J}(h^{\otimes m})) = 0$  for  $m \geq m_0$ . However, the assumption on the singularities of  $h$  shows that  $\mathcal{J}(h^{\otimes m}) = \mathcal{O}_X(-[m\lambda_j]D_j)$  where  $[ \ ]$  denotes the integral part. By Riemann-Roch, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(m) &= \chi(X, \mathcal{O}((m+1)K_X) \otimes \mathcal{J}(h^{\otimes m})) \\ &= \int_X \exp\left((m+1)c_1(K_X) - \sum [m\lambda_j]c_1(D_j)\right) \text{Todd}(X). \end{aligned}$$

By expanding the integral, we find a constant integer  $N$  such that

$$N f(m) = P(m, [m\lambda_1], \dots, [m\lambda_r])$$

for some polynomial  $P \in \mathbb{Z}[t_0, t_1, \dots, t_r]$  of degree  $\leq n$ . We take  $m$  to be a large multiple  $kd$  of a common denominator for the  $\lambda_j$ 's. Then  $f(kd)$  is a polynomial in  $k$  which vanishes for  $k$  large, thus  $f(0) = \chi(K_X) = 0$ . Therefore  $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = (-1)^n \chi(K_X) = 0$ , and as  $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 1$  we conclude that one of the odd degree groups  $H^p(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  must be nonzero. By Hodge symmetry, we get  $H^0(X, \Omega_X^p) = 0$  and a) is satisfied.

It seems likely that in Theorem 2.7.2 (at least in case b), the Kodaira dimension  $\kappa(X)$  should be non-negative. For our purposes it suffices to have

**2.7.4. Proposition.** *Let  $X$  be a compact manifold,  $E$  a vector bundle and  $L$  a line bundle on  $X$ . Suppose that  $H^0(X, E \otimes L^m) \neq 0$  for infinitely many  $m$ . Then  $a(X) \geq 1$  or  $\kappa(L) \geq 0$ .*

*Proof.* By our assumption we have inclusions  $L^{-m} \rightarrow E$ . Considering the smallest subsheaf in  $E$  containing all the images and taking determinants, we obtain a line

bundle  $F$  and infinitely many inclusions  $L^{-m} \rightarrow F$ . So  $H^0(X, F \otimes L^m) \neq 0$  for infinitely many  $m$ . If  $X$  carries infinitely many irreducible hypersurfaces, then  $a(X) > 0$ , [FiFo79]. So suppose that  $X$  has only finitely many irreducible hypersurfaces  $Y_i$ . Then consider the cone  $K$  generated by the  $Y_i$ , say in  $\text{Pic}(X) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $F \otimes L^m \in K$ , hence  $L \in K$ , which implies  $\kappa(L) \geq 0$ .  $\square$

**2.7.5. Theorem.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth compact Kähler threefold with  $K_X$  pseudo-effective. Assume that  $K_X$  has a singular metric as in Theorem 2.7.3, e.g. that  $K_X$  is hermitian semi-positive. Then  $\kappa(X) \geq 0$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $K_X$  is pseudo-effective,  $X$  cannot be uniruled. Therefore the main result in [CP00] implies that  $\kappa(X) \geq 0$  unless possibly if  $X$  is simple (and  $\kappa(X) = -\infty$ ), which means that there is no positive-dimensional subvariety through the very general point of  $X$ . From 2.7.3 we obtain that

$$\chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$$

or that

$$H^0(X, \Omega_X^q \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(mK_X)) \neq 0$$

for infinitely many  $m$ . In the first case we have a 3-form (so that  $\kappa(X) \geq 0$  or a 1-form, so that we have a non-trivial Albanese.  $X$  being simple, the Albanese map must be generically finite over the Albanese torus. But then  $\kappa(X) \geq 0$ . In the second case we conclude by Proposition 2.7.4.  $\square$

### §3. Pseudo-effective versus almost nef line bundles

In this section we study pseudo-effective line bundles and their “numerical” counterparts, which we call almost nef line bundles.

**3.1. Definition.** *Let  $X$  be a projective manifold,  $L$  a line bundle on  $X$ . The bundle  $L$  is almost nef if and only if there is a family  $A_i \subset X$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , of proper algebraic subvarieties such that  $L \cdot C \geq 0$  for all irreducible curves  $C \not\subset \bigcup_i A_i$ . The Zariski closure of the union of all curves  $C$  with  $L \cdot C < 0$  will be called the non-nef locus of  $L$ .*

**3.2. Remark.** We say that  $(C_t)_{t \in T}$  is a covering family of curves on  $X$  if  $T$  is compact,  $X = \bigcup_{t \in T} C_t$ , and if  $C_t$  is irreducible for general  $t \in T$ .

With this notation,  $L$  is almost nef if and only if  $L \cdot C_t \geq 0$  for all covering families  $(C_t)$  of curves. Indeed, one direction is clear, the other is an obvious Hilbert scheme argument.

**3.3. Proposition.** *Let  $X$  be a projective manifold. Assume that  $L$  is pseudo-effective on  $X$ . Then  $L$  is almost nef.*

*Proof.* This follows from [DPS96a, 4.3] but for the convenience of the reader we give here a proof using directly the definition. Choose  $A$  ample such that  $mL + A$  is effective for  $m \geq m_0$  sufficiently divisible. Denote this set of  $m$ 's by  $M$ . Then we can write

$$mL + A = E_m$$

with an effective divisor  $E_m \subset X$ . Hence  $E_m \cdot C \geq 0$  for all  $C \not\subset E_m$  and therefore  $(mL + A) \cdot C \geq 0$  for  $C \not\subset E_m$ . Consequently we have

$$(mL + A) \cdot C \geq 0$$

for all  $m \in M$  and all  $C \not\subset \bigcup_{m \in M} E_m$ . Hence  $L \cdot C \geq 0$  for all those  $C$ .  $\square$

**3.4. Problem.** *Let  $X$  be a projective manifold and  $L$  an almost nef divisor on  $X$ . Is  $L$  pseudo-effective?*

**3.5. Comments.** This is in general a very hard problem (maybe even the answer is negative). We here point out some circumstances when (3.4) has a positive answer.

a)  $\dim X = 2$  and  $L$  is arbitrary.

This is already observed in [DPS96a, 4.5]. The reason is simply that the cone of effective divisors is the cone effective curves, hence, by dualizing, the ample cone is the dual cone to the cone of effective divisors. So  $L \in \bar{K}_{\text{eff}}(X)$  if and only if  $L \cdot C \geq 0$  for all  $C \subset X$  with  $C^2 \geq 0$ .

b) Consider now the case  $L = K_X$ .

Notice first that  $K_X$  almost nef just says that  $X$  is not uniruled. In fact, if  $X$  is uniruled, we have a covering family  $(C_t)$  of rational curves  $(C_t)$  with  $K_X \cdot C_t < 0$ , and conversely, if there is a covering family  $(C_t)$  with  $K_X \cdot C_t < 0$ , then  $X$  is uniruled by [MM86].

Now suppose that  $K_X$  is almost nef and  $\dim X = 3$ . Then  $X$  has a minimal model  $X'$ , i.e.  $K_{X'}$  is nef. By abundance,  $\kappa(X) = \kappa(X') \geq 0$ , in particular  $K_X$  is pseudo-effective. Notice that this is more than we asked for because a priori  $K_X$  could be pseudo-effective and  $\kappa(X) = -\infty$ .

In order to prove (3.4) in the case  $L = K_X$  and  $\dim X = n$ , we will “only” need the existence and finiteness of flips but we can avoid the use of the abundance conjecture. Since  $X$  is not uniruled,  $X$  has a birational model  $X'$  with  $K_{X'}$  nef, therefore  $K_{X'}$  is pseudo-effective. In order to see that  $K_X$  itself is pseudo-effective, take a divisor  $A'$  on  $X'$  such that  $H^0(mK_{X'} + A') \neq 0$  for  $m \gg 0$  and sufficiently divisible. Then we only need to consider the two following situations

(3.5.1)  $\lambda : X \rightarrow X'$  is a divisorial contraction

(3.5.2)  $\lambda : X \rightarrow X'$  is of flipping type.

In case (3.5.1)  $K_X = \lambda^*(K_{X'}) + \mu E$ , where  $E$  is the exceptional divisor and  $\mu > 0$ , therefore clearly  $K_X$  is pseudo-effective. In case (3.5.2) let  $A$  be the strict transform of  $A'$  in  $X$  and,  $\lambda$  being an isomorphism in codimension 1, we have by the Riemann extension theorem

$$H^0(X, mK_X + A) = H^0(X', mK_{X'} + A'),$$

thus  $H^0(X, mK_X + A) \neq 0$  for  $m \gg 0$  sufficiently divisible. There is a slight difficulty:  $A$  is a priori only a Weil divisor, but since  $X$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial, we find  $\lambda$  such that  $\lambda A$  is Cartier and moreover

$$H^0(X, \lambda mK_X + \lambda A) \neq 0.$$

In total the flip conjectures imply (3.4) for  $L = K_X$  (in any dimension).  $\square$

## §4. Varieties with pseudo-effective anticanonical bundles

Concerning the structure of compact Kähler manifolds  $X$  with  $-K_X$  nef, we have the following

**4.1. Conjecture** ([DPS93, 96b]). *Let  $X$  be a compact Kähler manifold with  $-K_X$  nef. Then*

- a) *If  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  is a surjective map to the normal complex space  $Y$ , then  $\kappa(Y) \leq 0$  where  $\kappa(Y) = \kappa(\hat{Y})$ ,  $\hat{Y}$  a desingularisation).*
- b) *The Albanese map  $\alpha : X \rightarrow \text{Alb}(X)$  is a surjective submersion.*
- c)  *$\pi_1(X)$  is almost abelian, i.e. abelian up to a subgroup of finite index.*

**4.2. Remark.** The status of the conjecture is as follows

(4.2.1) a), b) and c) hold if  $-K_X$  is hermitian semi-positive ([DPS93, DPS96b])

(4.2.2) a) holds and therefore surjectivity of  $\alpha$ , if  $X$  is projective [Zh96]

(4.2.3) a), b) and c) hold if  $X$  is a projective 3-fold ([PS97]).

(4.2.4) a compact Kähler 4-fold does not admit a surjective map to a normal projective variety of general type and therefore a) holds for 4-folds [CPZ98].

(4.2.5)  $X$  does not admit a map to a curve  $C$  of genus  $g(C) \geq 2$  [DPS93].

In this section we continue the study of compact (non-algebraic) Kähler threefolds with  $-K_X$  nef and investigate more generally the structure of normal projective varieties  $X$  such that  $-K_X$  is pseudo-effective. Our leitfaden is the following

**4.3. Problem.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be normal projective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein varieties. Let  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  be a surjective morphism. If  $-K_X$  is pseudo-effective (almost nef), is  $-K_Y$  pseudo-effective (almost nef) ?*

This problem in general has a negative answer:

**4.4. Example.** *Let  $C$  be any curve of genus  $g \geq 2$ . Let  $L$  be a line bundle on  $C$  and put  $X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O} \oplus L)$ . Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(-K_X) &= H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O} \oplus L)}(2) \otimes \pi^*(L^* \otimes -K_C)) \\ &= H^0(C, S^2(\mathcal{O} \oplus L) \otimes L^* \otimes -K_C) \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

*if  $\deg L^* > 3g - 2$ . So  $-K_X$  is effective, hence pseudo-effective (hence also almost nef by (1.5)), however  $-K_C$  is not pseudo-effective.*

It is easily checked in this example that  $-K_X \cdot B \geq 0$  for all curves  $B \subset X$  with the only exception  $B = C_0$ ,  $C_0$  the unique exceptional section of  $X$ . In particular the non-nef locus of  $-K_X$  projects onto  $C$ . This leads us to reformulate (4.3) in the following way:



**4.3.a. Problem.** *Assume in (4.3) additionally that the non-nef locus of  $-K_X$  does not project onto  $Y$ . Is  $-K_Y$  pseudo-effective?*

In case  $-K_X$  is pseudo-effective the answer to (4.3.a) is positive at least in the case of submersions but with a slightly stronger assumption as in (4.3.a), replacing the non-nef locus by the zero locus of the multiplier ideal sheaf associated with a metric of minimal singularities. (4.5 below) while in the almost nef case we have only a weak answer (4.6 below), but for general  $\phi$  and dealing with the non-nef locus.

**4.5. Theorem.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be projective (or compact Kähler) manifolds. Let  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  be a surjective submersion. Suppose that  $-K_X$  is pseudo-effective and that the zero locus of the multiplier ideal of a minimal metric of  $-K_X$  does not project onto  $Y$ . Then  $-K_Y$  is pseudo-effective.*

*Proof.* This is a consequence of (2.6.1). In fact, apply (2.6.1) with  $L = -K_X$ .  $\square$

**4.6. Definition.** *A ( $\mathbb{Q}$ -)line bundle  $L$  on a normal  $n$ -dimensional projective variety  $X$  is generically nef if*

$$L \cdot H_1 \cdots H_{n-1} \geq 0$$

*for all ample divisors  $H_i$  on  $X$ .*

**4.7. Theorem.** *Let  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  be as in (4.3a). Let  $-K_X$  be almost nef with non-nef locus  $B$ . Assume  $\varphi(B) \neq Y$ . Then  $-K_Y$  is generically nef.*

*Proof.* We will use the method of [Zh96] in which Zhang proves the surjectivity of the Albanese map for projective manifolds with  $-K_X$  nef. In generalisation of Prop. 1 in [Zh96] we claim

(4.7.1) Let  $\pi : X \rightarrow Z$  be a surjective morphism of smooth projective varieties. Then there is no ample divisor  $A$  on  $Z$  such that  $-K_{X|Z} - \delta\varphi^*(A)$  is pseudo-effective (almost nef) with non-nef locus  $B$  not projecting onto  $Z$  for some  $\delta > 0$ , unless  $\dim Z = 0$ .

*Proof of (4.7.1).* The proof is essentially the same as the one of Theorem 2 in [Miy93], where Miyaoka proves that  $-K_{X|Z}$  cannot be ample. Just replace  $\text{Sing}(\pi)$  in Lemma 10 by  $\text{Sing}(\pi) \cup B$ . Then, assuming the existence of  $A$  and  $\delta$ , the old arguments work also in our case. This proves (4.7.1).

Now, coming to our previous situation, we argue as in [Zh96]. Take  $C \subset Y$  be a general complete intersection curve cut out by  $m_1 H_1, \dots, m_{n-1} H_{n-1}$ , with  $H_i$  ample,  $m_i > 0$ . Let  $X_C = \varphi^{-1}(C)$ . By Bertini,  $C$  and  $X_C$  are smooth. Applying (4.7.1) to  $X_C \rightarrow C$  it follows that

$$-K_{X_C|C} - \delta\varphi^*(A)$$

is never pseudo-effective (almost nef) with non-nef locus not projecting onto  $C$  for any choice of  $A$  and  $\delta$ . On the other hand

$$-K_{X_C|C} = -K_{X|Z}|_{X_C} = -K_X|_{X_C} + \varphi^*(K_Z|C).$$

Since  $-K_X$  is pseudo-effective with non-nef locus not projecting onto  $Z$ , so does  $-K_X|_{X_C}$  and we conclude that  $K_Z|C$  cannot be ample, i.e.  $K_Z \cdot C \leq 0$ , which was to be proved.  $\square$

**4.8. Corollary.** *Let  $X$  be a normal projective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein variety. Assume  $-K_X$  almost nef with non-nef locus  $B$ .*

- a) *If  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  is a surjective morphism to a normal projective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein variety  $Y$  with  $\varphi(B) \neq Y$ , then  $\kappa(Y) \leq 0$ .*
- b) *The Albanese map  $\alpha : X \rightarrow \text{Alb}(X)$  is surjective, if  $\alpha(B) \neq \alpha(X)$ .*

The next question asks for the fundamental group of varieties  $X$  with  $-K_X$  pseudo-effective and small non-nef locus. Here of course we need more assumptions on the singularities. A *terminal (canonical)  $n$ -fold* is a normal projective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial variety with at most *terminal (canonical)* singularities.

**4.9. Question.** Let  $X$  be a terminal (or canonical) variety with  $-K_X$  pseudo-effective (almost nef). Assume that  $\alpha(B) \neq \alpha(X)$ , with  $\alpha : X \rightarrow \text{Alb}(X)$  the Albanese and  $B$  the non-nef locus of  $-K_X$ . Is  $\pi_1(X)$  almost abelian (i.e. abelian up to finite index)?

If  $\alpha : X \rightarrow Y$  is a morphism, we will say that a line bundle  $L$  on  $X$  is *properly pseudo-effective (properly almost nef)* with respect to  $\alpha$ , if  $L$  is pseudo-effective (resp. nef) and the non-nef locus satisfies  $\alpha(B) \neq \alpha(X)$ .

**4.10. Remarks.**

- a) If  $-K_X$  is hermitian semi-positive, then  $\pi_1(X)$  is almost abelian by [DPS96b].
- b) If  $-K_X$  nef, then  $\pi_1(X)$  has at least polynomial growth by Paun [Pa96].
- c) If  $X$  is a projective surface such that  $-K_X$  is properly pseudo-effective with respect to the Albanese map, then  $\pi_1(X)$  is almost abelian. This is a consequence of the Kodaira-Enriques classification and is proved as follows. First it is clear that  $\kappa(X) \leq 0$ . If  $\kappa(X) = 0$ , there is nothing to prove,  $X$  being birationally a torus, hyperelliptic, K3 or Enriques. So let  $\kappa(X) = -\infty$ . By (4.4),(4.5),  $X$  does not admit a map to a curve of genus  $\geq 2$ , so that  $X$  is either rational or its minimal model is a ruled surface over an elliptic curve. Thus  $\pi_1(X) = 0$  or  $\pi_1(X) = \mathbb{Z}^2$ .  $\square$

In dimension 3, (4.10) has still a positive answer, at least if  $X$  is projective.

**4.11. Theorem.** *Let  $X$  be a terminal 3-fold such that  $-K_X$  is properly pseudo-effective with respect to the Albanese map. Then  $\pi_1(X)$  is almost abelian.*

*Proof.* By [Mor88] there exists a finite sequence  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X'$  of extremal birational "divisorial" contractions and flips such that either  $K_{X'}$  is nef or  $X'$  carries a Fano fibration  $\varphi : X' \rightarrow Y$ , i.e. an extremal contraction with  $\dim Y < \dim X'$ . Since extremal contractions and flips leave the fundamental group unchanged, we have

$$\pi_1(X) = \pi_1(X').$$

- a) We claim that  $-K_{X'}$  is properly pseudo-effective with respect to Albanese. For that we need to prove the following. If  $\lambda : X \rightarrow Z$  is an extremal divisorial contraction (i.e.  $\lambda$  contracts a divisor) or if  $\lambda : X \rightarrow X^+$  is a flip, then  $-K_Z$  (resp  $-K_{X^+}$ ) is properly pseudo-effective if  $-K_X$  is properly pseudo-effective. Indeed, if  $\lambda : X \rightarrow Z$  is divisorial, then  $\lambda_*(-K_X) = -K_Z$  as  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisors and moreover  $\lambda_* : N^1(X) \rightarrow N^1(Z)$  maps

effective divisors to effective divisors, hence pseudo-effective divisors to pseudo-effective divisors. If  $\lambda : X \rightarrow X^+$  is small, we still have a natural map

$$\lambda_* : N^1(X) \rightarrow N^1(Z)$$

with the same properties as above. In fact, if  $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(X)$ , then let  $C \subset X$  and  $C^+ \subset X^+$  be the 1-dimensional indeterminacy sets so that  $X \setminus C \simeq X^+ \setminus C^+$ , and consider  $\mathcal{L}^+ = \lambda_*(\mathcal{L}|_{X \setminus C})$ .  $\mathcal{L}^+$  can be extended to a reflexive sheaf on  $X^+$ , however since  $X$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial, so does  $X^+$  ([KMM87]), and some  $(\mathcal{L}^+)^m$  extends to a line bundle  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}^{[m]}$  on  $X^+$ . Now

$$H^0(X^+, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}^{[m]}) = H^0(X^+ \setminus C^+, (\mathcal{L}^+)^m) = H^0(X \setminus C, \mathcal{L}^m) = H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^m).$$

In total  $-K_{X^+}$  is again pseudo-effective.

It is now clear that if  $-K_X$  is *properly* pseudo-effective with respect to Albanese, then so is  $-K_Z$  (resp.  $-K_{X^+}$ ).

b) Now let  $\varphi : X' \rightarrow Y$  be an extremal contraction with  $\dim Y \leq 2$ .

By a) we may assume  $X = X'$ . If  $\dim Y = 0$ , then  $X$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano, hence rationally connected by [KoMM92], and in particular  $\pi_1(X) = 0$ . If  $\dim Y = 1$ , then  $Y$  is a smooth curve with genus  $g \leq 1$  by (4.7). Therefore  $\pi_1(X) = \pi_1(Y) = 0$  or  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ . If  $\dim Y = 2$ , then  $\kappa(\hat{Y}) \leq 0$ , where  $\hat{Y}$  is a desingularisation, again by (4.7). If  $\kappa(\hat{Y}) = 0$ , then  $\hat{Y}$  is birational to a torus, a hyperelliptic surface, a  $K3$  surface or an Enriques surface. Thus  $\pi_1(\hat{Y})$  is (almost) abelian. Since  $Y$  has at most quotient singularities, we have  $\pi_1(\hat{Y}) = \pi_1(Y)$ , hence  $\pi_1(X) = \pi_1(Y)$  is (almost) abelian.

c) Finally assume  $K_X$  nef. Since  $-K_X$  is pseudo-effective, we must have  $K_X \equiv 0$ . Therefore  $mK_X = \mathcal{O}_X$  for suitable  $m > 0$ , and  $\pi_1(X)$  is almost abelian by [Ko95].  
□

#### 4.12. Remarks.

a) If  $-K_X$  is properly almost nef in (4.8) instead of properly pseudo-effective, then our conclusion still holds. The proof is essentially the same. The only change concerns the invariance under flips. This follows from [KMM87, 5-1-11].

b) To prove (4.11) in any dimension with the methods presented here, would require several deep things. First of all we would need the minimal model program working in any dimension.

Second we need to know that, given an extremal contraction  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  with  $< \dim X$ , then  $-K_Y$  is properly pseudo-effective, if  $-K_X$  is properly pseudo-effective; i.e. we would need a positive answer to (4.3a)) at least in the case of an extremal contraction.

And last we would need to know that  $\pi_1(X)$  is almost abelian if  $K_X \equiv 0$ . This is well known if  $X$  is smooth [Bea83] but hard if  $X$  is singular,  $\dim X \geq 4$ . Compare [Pet93]. □

We are now turning to the Kähler case. For technical reasons we restrict ourselves to the nef case.

**4.13. Theorem.** *Let  $X$  be a compact Kähler 3-fold with  $-K_X$  nef. Then the Albanese map  $\alpha : X \rightarrow \text{Alb}(X)$  is surjective and has connected fibers.*

*Proof.* We may assume  $X$  non-algebraic (4.2) and  $\kappa(X) = -\infty$  [Bea83]. Let  $Y = \alpha(X)$  and assume  $Y \neq \text{Alb}(X)$ . By (4.2.4) we have  $\dim Y = 2$ . Let  $\hat{Y} \rightarrow Y$  be a desingularisation. Then  $\kappa(\hat{Y}) > 0$  and  $q(\hat{Y}) \geq 3$  by [Ue75]. If necessary, substitute  $\alpha$  by its Stein factorisation. The general fiber  $F$  of  $\alpha : X \rightarrow Y$  must be a smooth rational curve; otherwise  $\kappa(X) \geq 0$  by  $C_{3,1}$  ([Ue87]). Next observe that the algebraic dimension  $a(Y) \leq 1$ . In fact otherwise  $Y$  would be Moishezon and induces 2 independent meromorphic functions on  $X$ . But then  $X$  is clearly rationally connected, i.e. any two points can be joined by a finite union of irreducible compact curves. Hence  $X$  is Moishezon (and therefore projective) by [Cam81]. So  $a(Y) \leq 1$ ; in particular  $\kappa(\hat{Y}) = 1 = a(Y)$ . Let  $\hat{f} : \hat{Y} \rightarrow C$  be the algebraic reduction (= Iitaka fibration). The induced map  $f : Y \rightarrow C$  holomorphic (since  $Y \rightarrow C$  does not admit a multi-section), hence  $X \rightarrow C$  is holomorphic. By (4.2.4),  $g(C) \leq 1$ . By the Leray spectral sequence

$$H^1(\mathcal{O}_{\hat{Y}}) \subset H^1(\mathcal{O}_C) \oplus H^0(R^1 f_*(\mathcal{O}_{\hat{Y}})).$$

Since  $q(\hat{Y}) \geq 3$ , we conclude  $h^0(R^1 f_*(\mathcal{O}_{\hat{Y}})) \geq 2$ . But  $R^1 f_*(\mathcal{O}_{\hat{Y}})$  is a semi-negative line bundle (i.e. its dual  $f_*(\omega_{Y|C})$  is nef), contradiction.

It remains to prove that  $\alpha$  has connected fibers. If  $\dim A = 1$ , this is a general fact [Ue75]. If  $\dim A = 2$ , we argue as follows. If  $a(A) = 2$ , then, using again  $C_{3,1}$ ,  $X$  is projective and we refer to (4.2.3). If  $a(A) = 1$ , consider the algebraic reduction  $f : A \rightarrow B$  to the elliptic curve. If  $\alpha$  is not connected, then so does  $\beta : X \rightarrow B$ . Let  $\gamma : X \rightarrow \tilde{B}$  be the Stein factorisation of  $\beta$ . By (4.2.4),  $\tilde{B}$  must be an elliptic curve. This contradicts clearly the universal property of the Albanese torus. If finally  $a(A) = 0$ , then consider the Stein factorisation  $g : X \rightarrow S$  of  $\alpha$ . Since  $A$  contains no curves, the map  $h : S \rightarrow A$  is unramified, hence  $S$  is a torus itself. Therefore  $h = \text{Id}$ .  $\square$

In order to investigate further the structure of compact Kähler 3-folds with  $-K_X$  nef, we quote the

**4.14. Proposition.** *Let  $Z$  be a compact Kähler threefold and  $f : Z \rightarrow C$  be a surjective map with connected fibers to a smooth curve of genus  $g \geq 1$ . Assume that the general fiber  $F$  has  $\kappa(F) = -\infty$  and  $q(F) = 1$ . If  $-K_{Z|C}$  is nef, then the only singular fibers of  $f$  are multiples of smooth surfaces. In particular,  $f$  is smooth after a finite base change  $\tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ . If  $C$  is elliptic, the original  $f$  is already smooth. Furthermore there is a smooth minimal surface  $Y$ , a  $\mathbb{P}_1$ -bundle structure  $g : Z \rightarrow Y$  and an elliptic fibration  $h : Y \rightarrow C$  with at most multiple fibers as singular fibers such that  $f = h \circ g$ .*

The proof can be found in [CPZ98]. For the convenience of the reader, we sketch the idea of the proof. Since  $Z$  is Kähler and  $f$  is locally Moishezon,  $f$  is projective [CP00]. Since  $K_Z$  is not  $f$ -nef, a theorem of Kawamata allows us to construct locally over the base  $C$  a relative contraction, and it turns out that the dimension of the images is always 2 unless  $f$  is birational. Then one proves that all these local relative contractions glue to a global relative contraction  $g : Z \rightarrow Y$ . In the birational case one has to repeat this construction and one finally ends up with some fibration analogous to  $g$  which has to be studied with the methods of [PS97].

**4.15. Corollary.** *Let  $X$  be a compact Kähler threefold with  $-K_X$  nef. If  $q(X) = 1$ ,  $X$  is projective, unless  $K_X \equiv 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha : X \rightarrow A$  be the Albanese to the elliptic curve  $A$  and suppose  $X$  not projective and  $K_X \not\equiv 0$ . By  $C_{3,1}$ , we have  $\kappa(F) = -\infty$  for the general fiber  $F$  of  $\alpha$ . Since  $-K_F$  is nef, the irregularity  $q(F) \leq 1$ . If  $q(F) = 0$ , then  $X$  is algebraic; see [CP00] for that and further references. Actually we can also conclude as follows. Let  $\omega$  be a non-zero 2-form. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow N_F^* \otimes \Omega_F^1 \longrightarrow \Omega_X^2|_F \longrightarrow \Omega_F^2 \longrightarrow 0;$$

then  $\omega|_F$  induces a 1-form on  $F$ . So by (4.14),  $\alpha$  is a submersion and there is a smooth surface  $Y$  and submersions  $g : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $h : Y \rightarrow A$  such that  $f = h \circ g$ . Moreover  $g$  is  $\mathbb{P}_1$ -bundle and  $h$  is a smooth elliptic fibration. Since  $A$  is an elliptic curve,  $h$  is locally trivial and therefore  $\kappa(Y) = 0$ ; actually  $Y$  is a torus or hyperelliptic. However  $Y$  cannot be projective, otherwise  $X$  is projective, so  $Y$  is a torus. This contradicts  $q(X) = 1$ .  $\square$

Continuing the study of non-algebraic compact Kähler threefolds  $X$  with  $-K_X$  nef and  $K_X \not\equiv 0$ , we therefore either have  $q(X) = 0$  or  $q(X) = 2$ .

**4.16. Theorem.** *Let  $X$  be a compact Kähler 3-fold with  $-K_X$  nef,  $K_X \not\equiv 0$  and  $q(X) = 2$ . Let  $\alpha : X \rightarrow A$  be the Albanese map and assume  $a(A) > 0$ . Then  $X$  is a  $\mathbb{P}_1$ -bundle over  $A$ .*

*Proof.* By (4.13), it is clear that  $\dim A = 2$ . Note that by  $(C_{3,2})$  the general fiber of  $\alpha$  must be  $\mathbb{P}_1$ . Therefore  $a(A) = 2$  implies  $a(X) = 3$  and  $X$  is projective. We conclude by (4.2.3). So we may suppose  $a(A) = 1$ ; let  $\pi : A \rightarrow B$  be the algebraic reduction, an elliptic bundle. Now we can apply (4.14) to the composite map  $X \rightarrow B$  to obtain our claim.  $\square$

We now investigate the case  $\alpha(A) = 0$ .

**4.17. Theorem.** *Let  $X$  be a compact Kähler 3-fold with  $-K_X$  nef,  $K_X \not\equiv 0$  and  $q(X) = 2$ . Let  $\alpha : X \rightarrow A$  be the Albanese and assume  $a(A) = 0$ . Then  $\alpha$  is a  $\mathbb{P}_1$ -bundle.*

We already know in the situation of 4.17 that  $\alpha : X \rightarrow A$  is surjective with connected fibers and the general fiber is  $\mathbb{P}_1$ . Since  $A$  does not contain subvarieties of positive dimension,  $\alpha$  can have at most a finite number of singular fibers; therefore there is a finite set  $E \subset A$  such that  $\alpha$  is smooth over  $A_0 = A \setminus E$ . We let  $X_0 = \alpha^{-1}(A_0)$ .

We prepare the proof of (4.17) by the following

**4.18. Lemma.** *In the situation of (4.16) we have*

$$H^0(X, -K_X \otimes \alpha^*(L)) = 0$$

for all  $L \in \text{Pic}^0(A) = \text{Pic}(A)$ , unless  $X$  is a  $\mathbb{P}_1$ -bundle.

*Proof.* Suppose  $H^0(X, -K_X \otimes \alpha^*(L)) \neq 0$  and take  $D \in |-K_X \otimes \alpha^*(L)|$ . Let  $D_0$  be a component of  $D$  with  $\alpha(D_0) = A$ . Then  $\kappa(D_0) \geq 0$ . Let  $\lambda_0$  be the multiplicity of  $D_0$  in  $D$ . Then

$$K_{\lambda_0 D_0} = K_D|_{\lambda_0 D_0} - E$$

with  $E$  effective. By adjunction

$$\lambda_0 K_{D_0} = K_{\lambda_0 D_0} | D_0 + (\lambda_0 - 1) K_X | D_0.$$

Taking into account  $K_D | D_0 \equiv 0$  (again by adjunction), we obtain

$$K_{D_0} \equiv -B_1 - B_2$$

with  $B_1$  effective and  $B_2$  nef. Let  $\tau : \hat{D}_0 \rightarrow D_0$  be a desingularization of  $D_0$ ; namely a minimal desingularization after normalization. Then still

$$K_{\hat{D}_0} \equiv -\hat{B}_1 - \hat{B}_2$$

with  $\hat{B}_1$  effective,  $\hat{B}_2$  nef. But  $\kappa(\hat{D}_0) \geq 0$ . So  $K_{\hat{D}_0} \equiv 0$ , hence  $\hat{D}_0$  is a torus and  $\hat{D}_0 \rightarrow A$  is étale. From  $\hat{B}_1 = \hat{B}_2 = 0$  we conclude that  $B_1 = B_2 = 0$  and  $D_0$  is normal with at most rational double points. Since  $\hat{D}_0$  is a torus, it has no rational curves, hence  $D_0$  is smooth. Write

$$-K_X \equiv \sum_{i \geq 0} \lambda_i D_i + \sum \mu_j R_j$$

with  $\alpha(D_i) = A$ , and  $R_j \cdot F = 0$ ,  $F$  the general fiber of  $\alpha$ . Since  $a(A) = 0$ , we have

$$\dim \alpha(R_j) = 0$$

for all  $j$ . Moreover we know already  $D_i \cap R_j = \emptyset$  for all  $i, j$ . Hence  $\sum \mu_j R_j$  must be nef. In fact, first observe that

$$-K_X | \sum \mu_j R_j = \sum \mu_j R_j | \sum \mu_j R_j$$

is nef (i.e. nef on the reduction); then apply [Pet98, 4.9]). But  $\sum \mu_j R_j$  cannot be nef, unless all  $\mu_j = 0$ . Hence  $-K_X \equiv \sum \lambda_j D_j$ .

Since  $K_X \cdot F = -2$ , we observe by the way  $-K_X \equiv D_0 + D_1$  or  $-K_X \equiv 2D_0$ . We claim that  $\alpha$  is a submersion. Suppose that  $G \subset \alpha^{-1}(a)$  is a 2-dimensional fiber component. Then we deduce,  $\alpha|_{D_i}$  being étale, that  $D_i \cap G$  is at most finite, hence empty. From

$$-K_X \equiv \sum \lambda_i D_i$$

we deduce  $K_X \cdot G = 0$ . Hence there must be a 1-dimensional irreducible component  $C_0 \subset \alpha^{-1}(a)$  with  $D_0 \cdot C_0 \neq 0$ . In particular  $K_X \cdot C_0 < 0$ . In case that  $\dim \alpha^{-1}(a) = 1$ , this is clear anyway, so that  $C_0$  always exists. Consequently [Ko96]  $C_0$  moves in an at least 1-dimensional family, say  $(C_t)_{t \in T}$ . Obviously the general  $C_t$  is a fiber of  $\alpha$  and  $\dim \alpha(C_t) = 0$  for all  $t$ . So  $C_0$  actually moves in a 2-dimensional family and  $K_X \cdot C_0 = -2$ . Now consider the graph of  $(C_t)$  and it follows immediately that  $\alpha$  is a  $\mathbb{P}_1$ -bundle.  $\square$

*Proof of 4.17.* Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \alpha_*(-K_X) \rightarrow W \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$$

where  $W = \alpha_*(-K_X)^{**}$  (a rank 3 vector bundle) and  $Q$  is just the cokernel. Hence

$$(4.17.1) \quad \chi(W) = \chi(\alpha_*(-K_X)) + \chi(Q).$$

We claim that

$$(4.17.2) \quad c_1(W) = 0.$$

This is a consequence of the equality  $\text{Pic}(A) = \text{Pic}^0(A)$ . Another argument is as follows – we shall need the following construction anyway later. Since

$$H^2(A_0, \mathcal{O}_{A_0}^*) \simeq H^3(A_0, \mathbb{Z}),$$

we see easily that  $H^2(\mathcal{O}_{A_0}^*)$  is torsion free, therefore there is a vector bundle  $V_0$  on  $A_0$  such that

$$X_0 = \mathbb{P}(V_0).$$

Now

$$-K_{X_0} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V_0)}(2) \otimes \alpha^*(\det V_0^*),$$

hence

$$W_0 = W|_{A_0} = S^2 V_0 \otimes \det V_0^*.$$

Therefore we have  $c_1(W_0) = 0$ , hence  $c_1(W) = 0$ , and this proves (4.17.2).

By the Leray spectral sequence we get

$$(4.17.3) \quad \chi(-K_X) = \chi(\alpha_*(-K_X)) - \chi(R^1 \alpha_*(-K_X)) + \chi(R^2 \alpha_*(-K_X)).$$

We next claim that

$$(4.17.4) \quad R^2 \alpha_*(-K_X) = 0.$$

Of course,  $R^2 \alpha_*(-K_X) = 0$  generically. Now let  $a \in A$  and assume that  $\dim \alpha^{-1}(a) = 2$ . Let  $F = \alpha^{-1}(a)$ , equipped with its reduced structure. Then

$$R^2 \alpha_*(-K_X)_a = 0$$

if

$$(4.17.5) \quad H^2(F, -K_X|_F \otimes N_F^{*\mu}) = 0$$

for all  $\mu \geq 0$ . Let  $S \subset F$  be an irreducible 2-dimensional component. Then (4.17.5) comes down to show

$$(4.17.6) \quad H^2(S, -K_X|_S \otimes N_F^{*\mu}|_S) = 0.$$

By Serre duality, this means that

$$(4.17.7) \quad H^0(S, 2K_X|_S \otimes N_F^\mu|_S \otimes N_S) = 0.$$

If  $X_a = \varphi^{-1}(a)$  denotes the full complex-analytic fiber (with natural structure), then  $N_{X_a}^*$  is generated by global sections. It follows that  $N_F^{*\mu_1}|_S$  and  $N_S^{*\mu_2}$  have non-zero sections for suitable  $\mu_1, \mu_2 > 0$ . If therefore (4.17.7) does not hold, we conclude – having in mind that  $-K_X|_S$  is nef – that  $K_X|_S \equiv 0$ ,  $N_F|_S \equiv 0$  and  $N_S \equiv 0$ . Then however any section of  $N_F^{*\mu_1}|_S$  resp  $N_S^{*\mu_2}$  is free of zeroes which implies  $\alpha^{-1}(a) = S$  set-theoretically. Then  $N_S^*$  clearly cannot be numerically trivial, since  $N_{X_a}^*$  is generated by at least two sections and  $N_{X_a}^*|_S = N_S^{*\lambda}|_S$  generically suitable for  $\lambda$ . Hence (4.17.7) holds and (4.17.4) is proved.

In completely the same way we prove that

$$(4.17.8) \quad R^2\alpha_*(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0.$$

Since – as already seen –  $\alpha$  is generically a  $\mathbb{P}_1$ -bundle with at most finitely many singular fibers,  $R^1\alpha_*(\mathcal{O}_X)$  is a torsion sheaf. Together with (4.17.8) and the Leray spectral sequence we deduce

$$(4.17.9) \quad \dim H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 1.$$

Since  $\dim H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = q(X) = 2$ , we obtain from (4.17.9):

$$(4.17.10) \quad \chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0.$$

Since  $-K_X$  is nef, we have  $(-K_X)^3 \geq 0$ . If however  $(-K_X)^3 > 0$ , then the holomorphic Morse inequalities imply the projectivity of  $X$  so that  $(-K_X)^3 = 0$ . Riemann-Roch and (4.17.10) therefore yield

$$(4.17.11) \quad \chi(-K_X) = 0.$$

Then (4.17.3), (4.17.4) and (4.17.11) imply

$$(4.17.12) \quad \chi(\alpha_*(-K_X)) \geq 0$$

and  $\chi(\alpha_*(-K_X)) = 0$  if and only if  $R^1\alpha_*(-K_X) = 0$ .

In order to bring  $W$  into the game via (4.17.1), we first show:

$$(4.17.13) \quad \chi(W) \leq 0.$$

In fact, Riemann-Roch and (4.17.2) say

$$\chi(W) = -c_2(W)$$

Suppose  $\chi(W) > 0$ . Then  $c_2(W) < 0$ . Therefore the Bogomolov inequality

$$c_1^2(W) \leq 4c_2(W)$$

is violated and  $W$  is not semi-stable (with respect to any Kähler metric). Let  $\mathcal{F} \subset W$  be a destabilizing subsheaf; we may assume  $\mathcal{F}$  locally free of rank 1 or 2. So

$$c_1(\mathcal{F}) \cdot \omega > 0.$$



But  $\text{Pic}(A) = \text{Pic}^0(A)$  since  $a(A) = 0$ , contradiction, and (4.16.13) is verified. Observe now that (4.17.12),(4.17.13) and (4.16.1) imply

$$\chi(\alpha_*(-K_X)) = \chi(W) = 0$$

and  $Q = 0$ , i.e.  $\alpha_*(-K_X) = W$ . Thus  $c_2(W) = 0$ ,  $W$  is semi-stable but not stable and one can find  $\mathcal{F} \subset W$  as above with  $c_1(\mathcal{F}) = 0$ . If  $\text{rk } \mathcal{F} = 1$ , we have

$$H^0(\alpha_*(-K_X) \otimes \mathcal{F}^*) \neq 0$$

and conclude by (4.14).

In case  $\text{rk } \mathcal{F} = 2$  we consider the sequence

$$(4.17.14) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow W \rightarrow \mathcal{R} \rightarrow 0.$$

$\mathcal{R}$  is a torsion free coherent sheaf whose singular locus  $Z = \text{Sing } \mathcal{R}$  is at most finite (since  $A$  has no compact positive dimensional subvarieties). Consequently  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{J} \otimes L$  with an ideal sheaf  $\mathcal{J}$  such that  $\text{Supp}(\mathcal{O}_A/\mathcal{J}) = Z$ . With the same argument as for  $W$ , we have

$$c_2(\mathcal{F}) \geq 0.$$

(actually we have seen that every vector bundle on  $A$  is semi-stable). The exact sequence (4.17.14) yields

$$c_2(W) = c_2(\mathcal{R}) + c_2(\mathcal{F}).$$

Now  $c_2(\mathcal{R}) = \#Z$ , counted with multiplicities. Therefore  $Z = \emptyset, \mathcal{R} = L$  and  $c_2(\mathcal{F}) = 0$ . Let  $\zeta \in H^1(\mathcal{F} \otimes L^*)$  be the extension class of

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow W \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow 0.$$

If  $\zeta \neq 0$ , then  $H^1(\mathcal{F} \otimes L^*) \neq 0$ , hence  $H^0(\mathcal{F} \otimes L^*) \neq 0$  or  $H^0(\mathcal{F} \otimes \det \mathcal{F}^* \otimes L) \neq 0$  by Riemann-Roch and duality. Hence  $H^0(W \otimes G) \neq 0$  for some  $G$  and we conclude by Lemma 4.18. If  $\zeta = 0$ , then  $W = \mathcal{F} \oplus L$ , hence again  $H^0(W \otimes L^*) \neq 0$ .  $\square$

Combining all our results we can state:

**4.19. Theorem.** *Let  $X$  be a compact Kähler 3-fold with  $-K_X$  nef. Then the Albanese map is a surjective submersion. Moreover  $\pi_1(X)$  is almost abelian.*

**4.20. Remark.** It remains to investigate the structure of compact Kähler 3-folds  $X$  with  $-K_X$  nef and  $q(X) = 0$ . Since our theory is only up to finite étale covers we should assume

$$\tilde{q}(X) = \sup\{q(\tilde{X}) \mid \tilde{X} \rightarrow X \text{ finite étale}\} = 0.$$

In case  $-K_X$  is hermitian semi-positive, the structure of  $X$  is as follows ([DPS96b]):  
*Up to a finite étale cover,  $X$  is of one of the following types:*

- a) a Calabi-Yau manifold
- b)  $\mathbb{P}_1 \times S$ , where  $S$  is a K3 surface

c) *a rationally connected manifold.*

In class c) we have all Fano 3-folds, 3-folds with  $-K_X$  big and nef but also e.g.  $X = \mathbb{P}_1 \times Y$ , where  $Y$  is  $\mathbb{P}_2$  blown up in 9 general points in such a way that  $-K_X$  is hermitian semi-positive, in particular  $K_X^3 = 0$ . In case  $-K_X$  nef one should expect the same result. Especially, if  $X$  is not projective then we should have  $X = \mathbb{P}_1 \times S$  with  $S$  a non-projective K3 surface. Observe that rationally connected compact Kähler manifolds are automatically projective ([Cam81]).  $\square$

We finish this section by proving a weak “rational” version of our expectation in case  $-K_X$  is nef and  $X$  is projective.

**4.21. Proposition.** *Let  $X$  be a compact Kähler 3-fold with  $-K_X$  nef and  $K_X \neq 0$ . Assume  $\tilde{q}(X) = 0$ . Then either  $X$  is rationally connected (in particular  $\pi_1(X) = 0$ ) or there is a dominant meromorphic map*

$$f : X \dashrightarrow S$$

*to surface birational to a K3 or Enriques surface  $S$  with general fiber a rational curve (hence  $\pi_1(X) = 0$  or  $\pi_1(X) = \mathbb{Z}_2$ ).*

*Proof.* Assume  $X$  not to be rationally connected. Since  $-K_X$  is nef and  $K_X \neq 0$ , we have  $\kappa(X) = -\infty$ . Therefore  $X$  is uniruled. By [Cam92], [KoMM92] there is a meromorphic fibration

$$f : X \dashrightarrow S$$

contracting all rational curves of a given (and fixed) covering family  $(C_t)_{t \in T}$  of rational curves. Properly speaking, we have  $f(x) = f(y)$  for general points  $x, y \in X$ , if  $x$  and  $y$  can be joined by a chain of rational curves of type  $C_t$ . Since  $X$  is not rationally connected, we have  $\dim S > 0$ . Next notice that  $\dim S = 2$ . In fact, if  $\dim S = 1$ , then  $S \simeq \mathbb{P}_1$  by  $\tilde{q}(X) = 0$ . Since the fibers of  $f$  are rationally connected, [KoMM92] gives rational connectedness Hence  $\dim S = 2$ . Of course we may assume  $S$  smooth. We first verify that  $\kappa(S) \leq 0$ .

Assume to the contrary that  $\kappa(S) \geq 1$ .

We can obtain  $f$  – after possibly changing the family  $(C_t)$  and changing  $S$  birationally and admitting rational double points on  $S$  – by a composite of birational Mori contractions and flips, say  $X \dashrightarrow X'$ , and a Mori fibration

$$f' : X' \dashrightarrow S$$

(just perform the Mori program on  $X$ ). Now  $-K_{X'}$  has the following property (cf. [PS97]):

$$-K_{X'} \cdot C' \geq 0$$

for all curves  $C'$  but a finite number of rational curves. In particular  $-K_{X'}$  is almost nef with non-nef locus not projecting onto  $S$ , hence (4.7) applies and gives  $\kappa(S) \leq 0$ .

In total we know  $\kappa(S) \leq 0$ . Since  $\tilde{q}(S) = 0$ ,  $S$  is birationally a K3, Enriques or rational surface. In the last case  $X$  is clearly rationally connected.  $\square$

**4.22. Remark.** (1) It seems rather plausible that methods similar to those of [PS97] will prove that, in case  $X$  is a projective 3-fold with  $-K_X$  nef,  $\kappa(S) = -\infty$ ,  $\tilde{q}(X) = 0$  and  $X$  not rationally connected, the meromorphic map  $f : X \dashrightarrow S$  is actually a holomorphic map,  $S$  is a K3 or Enriques surface and actually  $f$  is a submersion, i.e. a  $\mathbb{P}_1$ -bundle. Then we see immediately that  $X \simeq \mathbb{P}_1 \times S$ . Of course one difficulty arises from the fact that  $S$  contains some rational curves. In the non-algebraic case one would further need a more complete “analytic Mori theory”.

(2) We discuss the Kähler analogue of (4.21). So let  $X$  be a compact Kähler threefold with  $-K_X$  nef and  $K_X \neq 0$ . This first difficulty is that  $X$  might be simple, i.e. there is a positive dimensional proper subvariety through the general point of  $X$ . Ruling out this potential case (which is expected not to exist), we conclude by [CP00] that  $X$  is uniruled. As in (4.21) we can form the rational quotient  $f : X \dashrightarrow S$ . Again  $\dim S \neq 1$ , because otherwise  $S = \mathbb{P}_1$  and clearly  $X$  is projective ( $X$  cannot carry a 2-form). So  $S$  is a non-projective surface and automatically  $\kappa(S) \leq 1$ . In fact, otherwise  $X$  is algebraically connected (any two points can be joined by a chain of compact curves) and therefore projective by [Cam81]. One would like to exclude the case  $\kappa(S) = 1$ . However we do not know how to do this at the moment. The method of 4.7 does not work because we do not have enough curves in  $S$ . What we can say is the following.  $S$  admits an elliptic fibration  $h : S \rightarrow C \simeq \mathbb{P}_1$ . Since  $S$  is not algebraic,  $h$  has no multi-section. Now  $f$  is almost holomorphic ([Cam92]), i.e. there are  $U \subset X$  and  $V \subset S$  Zariski open such that  $f : U \rightarrow V$  is holomorphic and proper. Let  $F$  be a general fiber of  $h$ . Let  $A = S \setminus V$ . Then  $A \cap F = \emptyset$  because  $h$  has no multi-sections, therefore  $f$  is holomorphic over  $F$  and the composition yields a holomorphic map  $X \rightarrow C$ . Let  $X_F = f^{-1}(F)$ . Then  $X_F$  is a ruled surface over an elliptic curve of the form  $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O} \oplus L)$  with  $L$  of degree 0 but not torsion.

## §5. Threefolds with pseudo-effective canonical classes

If  $X$  is a smooth projective threefold or more generally a normal projective threefold with at most terminal singularities such that  $K_X$  is pseudo-effective, then actually some multiple  $mK_X$  is effective, i.e.  $\kappa(X) \geq 0$ . This is one of the main results of Mori theory and is in fact a combination of Mori’s theorem that a projective threefold  $X$  has a model  $X'$  with either  $X'$  uniruled or with  $K_{X'}$  nef and of Miyaoka’s theorem that threefolds with  $K_X$  nef have  $\kappa(X) \geq 0$ . In particular reduction to char  $p$  is used and it is very much open whether the analogous result holds in the Kähler category. In this section we give a very partial result in this direction.

**5.1. Lemma.** *Let  $X$  be a normal compact Kähler space with at most isolated singularities and  $L$  a pseudo-effective line bundle on  $X$ . Let  $h$  be a singular metric on  $L$  with curvature  $\Theta_h(L) \geq 0$  (in the sense of currents). Let  $\varphi$  be the weight function of  $h$ . Assume that the Lelong numbers satisfy  $\nu(\varphi, x) = 0$  for all  $x \in X$  but a countable set. Then  $L$  is nef.*

*Proof.* See [Dem92], Corollary 6.4.  $\square$

Now consider a pseudo-effective line bundle  $L$  which is not nef. Choose a metric  $h_0$  with minimal singularities in the sense of Theorem 1.5 and denote by  $T_0$  its curvature

current. Let

$$E_c = \{x \in X \mid \nu(x, \varphi_0(x)) \geq c\}.$$

By [Siu74] (see also [Dem87]),  $E_c$  is a closed analytic set. Then Lemma 5.1 tells us that  $\dim E_c \geq 1$  for sufficiently small  $c > 0$ . Furthermore we notice

**5.2. Lemma.** *There exists  $c > 0$  such that  $L|_{E_c}$  is not nef. Moreover, given an irreducible codimension 1 subset  $D \subset E_c$ , the line bundle  $L \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-aD)|_D$  is pseudo-effective for a suitable  $a > 0$ .*

*Proof.* In fact, by [Pau98b, Théorème 2], a closed positive current  $T$  has a nef cohomology class  $\{T\}$  if and only if the restriction of  $\{T\}$  to all components  $Z$  of all sets  $E_c(T)$  is nef. Thus, as  $\{T_0\}$  is not nef, there must be some  $E_c$  such that  $\{T_0\}|_{E_c}$  is not nef. The second assertion follows from Siu's decomposition

$$T_0 = \sum a_j D_j + R,$$

where the  $D_j$  are irreducible divisors, say  $D_1 = D$ , and  $R$  is a closed positive current such that  $\text{codim } E_c(R) \geq 2$  for every  $c$ . Then  $R|_D$  is pseudoeffective (as one sees by applying the main regularization theorem for  $(1,1)$ -currents in [Dem92]), and  $D_j|_D$  is pseudo-effective for  $j \geq 2$ , thus  $\{T_0 - a_1 D_1\}|_D$  is pseudoeffective.  $\square$

**5.3. Theorem.** *Let  $X$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial normal 3-dimensional compact Kähler space with at most isolated singularities. Suppose that  $K_X$  is pseudo-effective but not nef. Then there exists an irreducible curve  $C \subset X$  with  $K_X \cdot C < 0$ .*

*If  $X$  is smooth, then  $C$  can be chosen to be rational, and there exists a surjective holomorphic map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  with connected fibers such that  $-K_X$  is  $f$ -ample.*

*Proof.* We only need to prove the existence of an irreducible curve  $C$  with  $K_X \cdot C < 0$ ; the rest in case  $X$  is smooth follows from [Pet98, Pet99].

By Lemma 5.2 a suitable set  $E_c$  contains a positive-dimensional component  $S$  with  $K_X|_S$  not nef. If  $\dim S = 1$ , we are done, so suppose that  $S$  is an irreducible surface. Let  $\mu : \tilde{S} \rightarrow S$  denotes normalisation followed by the minimal desingularisation. Let  $L = \mu^*(K_X|_S)$ . Then we need to show that there is a curve  $C \subset \tilde{S}$  such that

$$L \cdot C < 0.$$

Since  $L$  is not nef, this is clear if  $\tilde{S}$  is projective.

a) Denoting  $a(\tilde{S})$  the algebraic dimension, we first consider the case  $a(\tilde{S}) = 1$ . Let

$$h : \tilde{S} \rightarrow B$$

be the algebraic reduction which is an elliptic fiber space. Suppose that there is no curve  $C \subset \tilde{S}$  such that  $L \cdot C < 0$ . Then by [Pet98, 4.13],  $L \equiv h^*(A)$  with  $A^*$  ample. In particular  $L^*$  is nef. Now, using subadjunction, we have

$$\mu^*(S|_S) = K_{\tilde{S}} + D + L^*,$$

with  $D$  being effective, hence  $\mu^*(S|S)$  is pseudo-effective (noticing  $\kappa(\tilde{S}) \geq 0$ ) and non-zero! On the other hand, Lemma 5.2 provides a positive number  $a$  such that  $L - \mu^*(aS|S)$  is pseudo-effective. Hence  $\mu^*(-aS|S) = L^* + D'$  with  $D'$  pseudo-effective. This contradicts the pseudo-effectivity of  $\mu^*(S|S)$ .

b) Now let  $a(\tilde{S}) = 0$ . Suppose again  $L \cdot C \geq 0$  for all curves  $C \subset \tilde{S}$ . By Proposition 5.4 below, we conclude that  $L^*$  is effective up to numerical equivalence. Hence we can argue as in a) to deduce that  $\mu^*(S|S)$  is both effective and -(pseudo-effective), so that  $L \equiv 0$ , contradiction.  $\square$

**5.4. Proposition.** *Let  $S$  be a compact Kähler surface with  $a(S) = 0$ . Let  $L$  be a line bundle on  $S$  such that  $L \cdot C \geq 0$  for every curve  $C \subset S$ . Then  $L^*$  is effective.*

*Proof.* By the classification,  $S$  is bimeromorphically a torus or a K3-surface.

If  $S$  minimal, then in case  $S$  is a torus,  $L$  is a priori topologically trivial, hence the claim is clear. If  $S$  is K3, then  $L = \mathcal{O}(\sum a_i C_i)$ , where  $C_i$  are  $(-2)$ -curves. By our assumption and [BPV84], VIII.3.8,  $L$  is nef. Hence  $L^2 = 0$ . Consequently either  $L$  or  $L^*$  is effective (ibid., VIII.3.6), i.e. all  $a_i \geq 0$  or all  $a_i \leq 0$ .  $L$  being nef, we conclude easily that all  $a_i = 0$ , so  $L = \mathcal{O}_S$ . If  $S$  is not minimal, consider the map  $g : S \rightarrow T$  to a minimal model and argue by induction on the number of blow-ups.  $\square$

The reason why we can construct a contraction in Theorem 5.3 is as follows. If  $X$  is a smooth Kähler threefold with  $K_X \cdot C < 0$ , then  $C$  moves in a positive-dimensional family, and therefore one can pass to a non-splitting family. This family provides the contraction, see [CP97]. These arguments are likely to work also in the Gorenstein case but break down in the presence of non-Gorenstein singularities. Here it can happen that  $C$  does not deform and new arguments are needed.

## §6. Pseudo-effective vector bundles

In this section we discuss pseudo-effective vector bundles with special emphasis on the tangent bundle of a projective manifold.

**6.1. Definition.** *Let  $X$  be a projective manifold and  $E$  a holomorphic vector bundle on  $X$ . Then  $E$  is pseudo-effective, if  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(1)$  is pseudo-effective and the union of all curves  $C$  with  $\mathcal{O}(1) \cdot C < 0$  (i.e. the non-nef locus of the almost nef line bundle  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ ) is contained in a countable union of subvarieties which do not project onto  $X$ .*

**6.2. Remark.** *Notice that  $E$  is pseudo-effective if and only if  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(1)$  is pseudo-effective and additionally there is a countable union  $S$  of proper subvarieties of  $X$  such that  $E|_C$  is nef for every curve not contained in  $S$ .*

We have the following cohomological criterion for pseudo-effectivity.

**6.3. Proposition.** *Let  $X$  be a projective manifold and  $E$  a vector bundle on  $X$ . Then  $E$  is pseudo-effective if and only if there exists an ample line bundle  $A$  on  $X$  and a positive integer  $m_0$  such that  $H^0(X, S^n(S^{m_0} E \otimes A))$  generically generates  $S^n(S^{m_0} E \otimes A)$  for all  $m \geq m_0$  and  $n \geq n_0(m)$ .*

*Proof.* If such an  $A$  exists, then clearly  $E$  is pseudo-effective, using (1.2). Working in the other direction, we choose an ample line bundle  $H$  on  $\mathbb{P}(E)$  by  $H = \mathcal{O}(1) \otimes \pi^*(A)$  with  $A$  ample on  $X$ . Now let  $x \in X$  be a very general point and let  $F = \pi^{-1}(x)$  the fiber over  $\pi : \mathbb{P}(E) \rightarrow X$ . We must prove that

$$H^0(\mathbb{P}(E), (\mathcal{O}(m) \otimes H)^{\otimes k}) \rightarrow H^0(F, (\mathcal{O}(m) \otimes H)^{\otimes k}|_F)$$

is surjective for  $k \gg 0$ . Suppose first  $E$  nef. Then reduce inductively to  $\dim X = 1$ ; the necessary  $H^1$ -vanishing is provided by Kodaira's vanishing theorem for sufficiently large  $k$ . In case  $\dim X = 1$ , the ideal sheaf  $\mathcal{J}_F$  is locally free of rank 1 and

$$H^1(\mathbb{P}(E), \mathcal{J}_F \otimes (\mathcal{O}(m) \otimes H)^k) = 0$$

again holds by Kodaira for large  $k$ . In the general case one introduces multiplier ideal sheaves associated with singular metrics on  $\mathcal{O}(m)$  whose support do not meet  $F$  and substitutes Kodaira's vanishing theorem by Nadel's vanishing theorem. We leave the easy details to the reader.  $\square$

**6.4. Definition.** *Let  $X$  be a projective manifold and  $E$  a vector bundle on  $X$ . Then  $E$  is said to be almost nef, iff there is a countable family  $A_i$  of proper subvarieties of  $X$  such that  $E|_C$  is nef for all  $C \not\subset \bigcup_i A_i$ . The non-nef locus of  $E$  is the smallest countable union  $S$  of analytic subsets such that  $E|_C$  is nef for all  $C \not\subset S$ .*

It is immediately seen that  $E$  is almost nef if and only if  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(1)$  is almost nef with non-nef locus not projecting onto  $X$ . Hence we have in analogy to (3.3) the following

**6.5. Proposition.** *Let  $X$  be a projective manifold and  $E$  a vector bundle on  $X$ . If  $E$  is pseudo-effective, then  $E$  is almost nef.*

**6.6. Problem.** *Is every almost nef vector bundle pseudo-effective?*

Here are some basic properties of pseudo-effective and almost nef vector bundles.

**6.7. Theorem.** *Let  $X$  be a projective manifold and  $E$  a vector bundle on  $X$ .*

- a) *If  $E$  is pseudo-effective (almost nef) and  $\Gamma^a$  is any tensor representation, then  $\Gamma^a E$  is again pseudo-effective (almost nef). In particular  $S^m E$  and  $\Lambda^q E$  are pseudo-effective (almost nef).*
- b) *If  $E$  is almost nef and if  $s \in H^0(E^*)$  is a non-zero section, then  $s$  has no zeroes at all.*
- c) *If either  $E$  is pseudo-effective or almost nef with non-nef locus  $S$  having codimension at least 2, and if  $\det E^*$  is almost nef, then  $E$  is numerically flat i.e. both  $E$  and  $E^*$  are nef, and then  $E$  has a filtration by hermitian flat bundles.*

*Proof.* a) By standard representation theory it is sufficient to prove the statement for  $E^{\otimes m}$ . But in that case the claim is immediate.

b) Let  $S \subset X$  be the non-nef locus. Suppose  $s(x) = 0$ . Choose a curve  $C \not\subset S$  such that  $x \in C$ . Then  $E|_C$  is nef, on the other hand  $E^*|_C$  has a section with zeroes. So  $s|_C = 0$ . Varying  $C$  we conclude  $s = 0$ .

c) First notice that our claim is easy for line bundles  $L$ , even without assumption on the codimension: if both  $L$  and  $L^*$  are almost nef, then clearly  $L \cdot H_1 \cdots H_{n-1} = 0$  for all ample line bundles  $H_i$  on  $X$  ( $n = \dim X$ ). Thus  $L \equiv 0$ . In particular we conclude from (1) that  $\det E \equiv 0$ .

We now treat the case when  $E$  is almost nef with  $\text{codim } S \geq 2$ .

c.1) First we claim that  $E$  is  $H$ -semistable for all ample line bundles  $H$ . In fact, otherwise we find some  $H$  and a torsion free subsheaf  $\mathcal{S} \subset E$  such that  $c_1(\mathcal{S}) \cdot H^{n-1} > 0$ . Now, assuming  $H$  very ample, let  $C$  be a general complete intersection curve cut out by  $H$ . Then  $\mathcal{S}|_C$  is locally free and  $c_1(\mathcal{S}|_C) > 0$ . On the other hand  $E|_C$  is numerically flat (since it is nef and  $\det E^*|_C$  is nef, see [DPS94]). This is impossible. So  $E$  is  $H$ -semistable for all  $H$ .

c.2) As a consequence we obtain the inequality

$$0 = (r - 1)c_1^2(E) \cdot H^{n-2} \leq 2rc_2(E) \cdot H^{n-2}$$

for all  $H$  ample,  $r$  denoting the rank of  $E$ .

c.3) Next suppose that  $E$  is  $H$ -stable for some  $H$  (and still that  $\text{codim } S \geq 2$ ). Let  $Y$  be a general surface cut out by hyperplane sections in  $H$  (again assume  $H$  very ample). Then  $Y \cap S = \emptyset$  by our assumption on the codimension of  $S$ , hence  $E|_Y$  is nef, hence numerically flat and we conclude

$$(6.7.1) \quad c_2(E) \cdot H^{n-2} = 0.$$

Since  $E$  is  $H$ -stable,  $E$  is Hermite-Einstein and from (6.7.1) we deduce (see e.g. [Ko87, p.115]) that  $E$  is numerically flat. Hence we may assume that  $E$  is  $H$ -semistable for all  $H$  but never  $H$ -stable.

c.4) Fix some ample line bundle  $H$  and let  $\mathcal{S}$  the maximal  $H$ -destabilizing subsheaf of  $E$ , so that

$$(6.7.2) \quad c_1(\mathcal{S}) \cdot H^{n-1} = 0.$$

On the other hand the generically surjective map  $E^* \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^*$  proves that

$$c_1(\mathcal{S}^*) \cdot H_1 \cdots H_{n-1} \geq 0$$

for all ample divisors  $H_i$ . Together with (6.7.2) this yields

$$c_1(\mathcal{S}) = 0.$$

Now we follow the arguments of [DPS94]. Let  $p = \text{rk } \mathcal{S}$ . Then  $\det \mathcal{S}$  is a numerically flat line bundle, moreover it is a subsheaf of  $\Lambda^p E$ , hence by (6.7 a),  $\det \mathcal{S}$  is a subbundle of  $\Lambda^p E$ , and thus by [DPS94,1.20],  $\mathcal{S}$  is a subbundle of  $E$ . Now  $\mathcal{S}$  being almost nef with trivial determinant, an induction on the rank of  $E$  yields the numerical flatness of  $\mathcal{S}$ . For the same reason the quotient bundle  $E/\mathcal{S}$  is numerically flat, too, so that  $E$  is numerically flat.

c.5) Finally assume that  $E$  is pseudo-effective and suppose  $S \neq \emptyset$ . Choose a general smooth curve  $C$  meeting  $S$  in a finite set. Then  $E_C$  is nef with  $\det E_C \equiv 0$ . Therefore

$E_C$  is numerically flat. Let  $x \in C \cap S$  with maximal ideal sheaf  $\mathcal{I}_x \subset \mathcal{O}_C$  and let  $A$  be ample on  $X$ . Then, applying 6.3 and having in mind that  $S^m E|_{C'}$  is not nef (with  $C'$  a suitable curve in  $S$  passing through  $x$ ), the image of the restriction map

$$H^0(X, S^k(S^m E \otimes A)) \longrightarrow H^0(C, S^k(S^m(E_C \otimes A)))$$

has non-zero intersection with

$$H^0(C, S^k(S^m(E_C \otimes \mathcal{I}_x) \otimes A))$$

for  $m$  large and sufficiently divisible and for large  $k$ . This contradicts the numerical flatness of  $E_C$ .  $\square$

**6.8. Remark.** Of course we expect that every almost nef bundle  $E$  with  $\det E \equiv 0$  is numerically flat. The above considerations show that it is sufficient to prove this only on surfaces and for bundles which are stable (for all polarisations). We formulate the problem precisely as follows.

**6.9. Problem.** *Let  $Y$  be a smooth projective surface and  $E$  a vector bundle on  $Y$  of rank at least 2. Let  $E$  be an almost nef vector bundle on  $X$ . If  $\det E \equiv 0$ , show that  $E$  is nef, hence numerically flat.*

It would be sufficient to prove that  $c_2(E) = 0$  and also the proof of (6.7) shows that one may assume  $E$  to be  $H$ -stable for any ample polarisation  $H$  on  $Y$ . It is a priori clear that  $E$  is always  $H$ -semi-stable.

We now want to study projective manifolds with almost nef tangent bundles. A class of examples is provided by the almost homogeneous manifolds  $X$ , i.e. the automorphism group acts with an open orbit, or equivalently, the tangent bundle is generically generated. A question we have in mind is how far the converse is from being true.

**6.10. Proposition.** *Let  $X$  be a projective manifold with  $T_X$  almost nef. Then  $\kappa(X) \leq 0$ . If  $\kappa(X) = 0$ , then  $K_X \equiv 0$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $T_X$  is almost nef,  $-K_X$  is almost nef, too. If  $\kappa(X) \geq 0$ , then  $mK_X$  is effective, therefore  $K_X \cdot H_1 \cdots H_{n-1} = 0$  for all hyperplane sections  $H_i$ , hence  $K_X \equiv 0$ .  $\square$

**6.11. Proposition.** *Let  $X$  be a projective manifold with  $K_X \equiv 0$  and  $T_X$  pseudo-effective. Then  $X$  is abelian after finite étale cover.*

*Proof.* By (6.7)  $T_X$  is numerically flat (6.7) and the claim follows by Yau's theorem.  $\square$

**6.12. Corollary.** *Let  $X$  be a Calabi-Yau or projective symplectic manifold. Then neither  $T_X$  nor  $\Omega_X^1$  is not pseudo-effective. Moreover the union of curves  $C \subset X$  such that  $T_X|_C$  (resp.  $\Omega_X^1|_C$ ) is not nef is not contained in a countable union of analytic sets of codimension at least 2.*

Of course we expect (see Problem 6.6) that the tangent bundle of a Calabi-Yau or symplectic manifold is not almost nef, but this seems rather delicate already for K3



surfaces. Since both bundles are generically nef by Miyaoka's theorem, we get examples of generically nef vector bundles (of rank at least 2) which are not pseudo-effective.

**6.13. Example.** Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}_3$  be a general quartic (with  $\rho(X) = 1$ ). Then  $T_X$  is not pseudo-effective by (6.11). More precisely :

$$H^0(X, S^k(S^m T_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(1))) = 0 \quad \text{for all } m \geq 1 \text{ and all } k \geq 1.$$

Here  $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$  is the ample generator of  $Pic(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ . This is proved by direct calculation in [DPS96a] and actually shows that  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(T_X)}(1)$  is not pseudo-effective. The same argument works for smooth hypersurfaces  $X \subset \mathbb{P}_{n+1}$  of degree  $n + 2$  with  $\rho(X) = 1$ .

Continuing this example we are now able to exhibit a generically nef line bundle  $L$  which is not pseudo-effective. Namely, let

$$L = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(T_X)}(1).$$

As already noticed,  $L$  is not pseudo-effective. So it remains to verify that  $L$  is generically nef which is of course based on the generic nefness of  $T_X$ . Let  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  be ample divisors on  $\mathbb{P}(T_X)$ , then we must prove

$$L \cdot H_1 \cdot H_2 \geq 0.$$

Let  $m_i \gg 0$  and choose  $D_i \in |m_i H_i|$ . Let

$$\tilde{C} = D_1 \cap D_2$$

and let  $C = \pi(\tilde{C})$ , where  $\pi : \mathbb{P}(T_X) \rightarrow X$  is the projection. Then certainly  $\deg(\tilde{C}/C) \geq 2$ ; moreover

$$C \in |\mathcal{O}_X(k)|,$$

where  $k$  is so large that  $T_X|_{C_0}$  is nef for the general  $C_0 \in |\mathcal{O}_X(1)|$ . Thus  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(T_X|_{C_0})}(1)$  is nef. By semi-continuity (for  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(T_X|_{C_0})}(m) \otimes A$  with  $A$  ample on  $\mathbb{P}(T_X)$ ), we conclude that  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(T_X|_C)}(1)$  is at least pseudo-effective. Since by reasons of degree,  $\tilde{C}$  cannot be the exceptional section of  $\mathbb{P}(T_X|_C)$ , we conclude that

$$m_1 m_2 (L \cdot H_1 \cdot H_2) = c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(T_X|_C)}(1)|_{\tilde{C}}) \geq 0,$$

proving our claim.  $\square$

We now study projective manifolds  $X$  with  $T_X$  almost nef and  $\kappa(X) = -\infty$ .

**6.14. Proposition.** *Let  $X$  be a projective manifold with  $T_X$  almost nef and  $\kappa(X) = -\infty$ . Then  $X$  is uniruled.*

*Proof.* In fact, since  $T_X$  is almost nef we have

$$K_X \cdot H_1 \cdots H_{n-1} \leq 0$$

for all ample divisors  $H_i$  on  $X$ . We must have strict inequality for some choice of  $H_i$  because otherwise  $K_X \equiv 0$  and  $\kappa(X) = 0$ . Now [MM86] gives the conclusion.  $\square$

**6.15. Proposition.** *Let  $X$  be a projective manifold with  $T_X$  almost nef. Then*

- a) *the Albanese map is a surjective submersion.*
- b) *there is no surjective map onto a variety  $Y$  with  $\kappa(Y) > 0$ .*

*Proof.* In fact, all holomorphic 1-forms on  $X$  have no zeroes, and this proves a) (compare with [DPS94]). Point b) follows from the analogous fact that sections in  $S^m \Omega_X^p$  cannot have zeroes.  $\square$

For the following considerations we recall from (4.20) the following notation:

$$\tilde{q}(X) = \sup\{q(\tilde{X}) \mid \tilde{X} \rightarrow X \text{ finite étale}\}.$$

**6.16. Proposition.** *Let  $T_X$  be pseudo-effective and suppose that  $\pi_1(X)$  does not contain a non-abelian free subgroup. Then if  $H^0(\Omega_X^p) \neq 0$  for some  $p$ , we have  $q(\tilde{X}) > 0$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is contained in [DPS94, 3.10].  $\square$

Notice that  $\pi_1(X)$  does not contain a free non-abelian free subgroup if  $-K_X$  is nef [DPS93].

In order to make further progress we need informations on manifolds with  $T_X$  almost nef which do not have  $p$ -forms for all  $p$ , the same also being true for every finite étale cover.  $\square$

**6.17. Conjecture.** *Let  $T_X$  be almost nef. Assume that  $H^q(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}) = 0$  for all finite étale covers and all  $q \geq 1$ . Then  $\pi_1(X) = 0$ , in fact  $X$  should even be rationally connected.*

The evidence for the conjecture is that it holds if  $T_X$  is nef by [DPS94]; it is furthermore true if  $X$  is almost homogeneous and it is true in low dimensions, as we shall see below. With the same arguments as in [DPS94] we obtain

**6.18. Proposition.** *Let  $T_X$  be almost nef and suppose that  $\pi_1(X)$  does not contain a nonabelian free subgroup. If Conjecture 6.17 holds, then  $\pi_1(X)$  is almost abelian, i.e.  $\pi_1(X)$  contains a subgroup  $\mathbb{Z}^r$  of finite index.*

**6.19. Proposition.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth projective surface with  $T_X$  almost nef and  $\kappa(X) = -\infty$ .*

- a) *If  $q(X) > 0$ , then  $X$  is ruled surface over an elliptic curve and every ruled surface over an elliptic curve has  $T_X$  almost nef.*
- b) *The minimal model  $Y$  has again  $T_Y$  almost nef.*
- c) *Every rational ruled surface has an almost nef tangent bundle.*

*Proof.* a) The first statement is clear from (6.15), the second part left to the reader.

b) is obvious.

c) Such an  $X$  is actually almost homogeneous, see [Po69].  $\square$

We do not investigate the rather tedious problem of determining which rational blow-ups have almost nef tangent bundles, and instead turn ourselves to the case of 3-folds.

**6.20. Theorem.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth projective 3-fold with  $T_X$  almost nef. Then  $\pi_1(X)$  is almost abelian and if  $\tilde{q}(X) = 0$ , then  $X$  is rationally connected unless (possibly)  $T_X$  is not pseudo-effective and  $X$  is a  $\mathbb{P}_1$ -bundle over a K3- or an Enriques surface (this case should not exist). Suppose  $\kappa(X) = -\infty$ . Then the finer structure of  $X$  is as follows:*

- a) *if  $q(X) = 2$ , then  $X$  is a  $\mathbb{P}_1$ -bundle over an abelian surface.*
- b) *if  $q(X) = 1$ , then the Albanese map  $\alpha : X \rightarrow A$  is a fiber bundle over the elliptic curve with general fiber  $F$  having  $T_F$  almost nef and  $\kappa(F) = -\infty$ .*
  - $\alpha$ ) *If  $F$  is rational, then there is a factorisation  $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow A$  such that either  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is birational, in that case  $f$  is a succession of blow-ups of étale multisections over  $A$ . Or  $\dim Y < \dim X$ , in that case  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $g : Y \rightarrow A$  are both  $\mathbb{P}_1$ -bundles or  $\alpha = \phi$  is a  $\mathbb{P}_2$ - or a  $\mathbb{P}_1 \times \mathbb{P}_1$ -bundle.*
  - $\beta$ ) *If  $F$  is a ruled surface over an elliptic curve, then  $f$  is a  $\mathbb{P}_1$ -bundle and  $g : Y \rightarrow A$  is a hyperelliptic surface. Then there is an étale  $2 : 1$ -cover  $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  such that  $q(\tilde{X}) = 2$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\kappa(X) = 0$ , then we have  $K_X \equiv 0$  and  $\pi_1(X)$  is abelian by [Bea83]. So we will now suppose  $\kappa(X) = -\infty$ .

(I) First we treat the case  $\tilde{q}(X) = 0$ . By (6.14)  $X$  is uniruled, so we can form the rational quotient  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  with respect to some covering family of rational curves [Cam81, Cam92], [KoMM92]. Then  $Y$  is a projective manifold with  $\dim Y \leq 2$ . If  $\dim Y = 0$ , then  $X$  is rationally connected. If  $\dim Y = 1$ , then  $Y = \mathbb{P}_1$  by  $\tilde{q}(X) = 0$ , hence  $X$  is rationally connected by [KoMM92]. If finally  $\dim Y = 2$ , then from  $\tilde{q}(Y) = 0$  and  $\kappa(Y) \leq 0$  (6.15), we deduce that either  $Y$  is rational or a K3 resp. an Enriques surface. In the rational case it is easy to see and well-known that  $X$  is rationally connected. So suppose  $Y$  is not rational. Since an Enriques surface has a finite étale cover which is K3, we may assume that  $Y$  is actually K3. Then  $X$  carries a holomorphic 2-form  $\omega$ . Since  $T_X$  is almost nef,  $\omega$  cannot have zeroes (6.7)(b)). Therefore  $X$  is a  $\mathbb{P}_1$ -bundle over  $Y$  by [CP00]. Now suppose  $T_X$  pseudo-effective. Then  $f^*(T_Y)$  is pseudo-effective as quotient of  $T_X$ . Since  $c_1(f^*(T_Y)) = 0$ , the bundle  $f^*(T_Y)$  is numerically flat by (6.7), in particular  $f^*(c_2(Y)) = 0$  which is absurd.

(II) We will now assume  $q(X) > 0$  and shall examine the structure of the Albanese map  $\alpha : X \rightarrow A$ . Once we have proved the structure of  $\alpha$  as described in 1) and 2), it is clear that  $\pi_1(X)$  is almost abelian. We already know that  $\alpha$  is a surjective submersion.

- a) If  $\dim A = 2$ , then  $\alpha$  is a  $\mathbb{P}_1$ -bundle.
- b) Suppose now  $\dim A = 1$ . Let  $F$  be a general fiber of  $\alpha$ . Then  $\kappa(F) = -\infty$ , since  $X$  is uniruled (6.14), moreover  $T_F$  is almost nef, hence either  $F$  is rational or a ruled surface over an elliptic curve (6.19). We will examine both cases by studying a Mori contraction  $\phi : X \rightarrow Z$  which induces a factorisation  $\beta : Z \rightarrow A$  such that

$$\alpha = \beta \circ \phi.$$

Note that all possible  $\phi$  are classified in [Mor82].

- b.1) Assume that  $F$  is rational. If  $\dim Z = 2$ , then  $\phi$  is a  $\mathbb{P}_1$ -bundle and  $\beta$  is again a  $\mathbb{P}_1$ -bundle. If  $\dim Z = 1$ , then  $A = Z$  and  $\alpha = \phi$  is a  $\mathbb{P}_2$ - or a  $\mathbb{P}_1 \times \mathbb{P}_1$ -bundle. If finally

$\dim Z = 3$ , then  $\phi$  must be the blow-up of an étale multisection of  $\beta$  and  $T_Z$  is again almost nef so that we can argue by induction on  $b_2(X)$ .

b.2) If  $F$  is irrational, then  $\phi$  is necessarily a  $\mathbb{P}_1$ -bundle over  $Z$  and then  $\beta : Z \rightarrow A$  is an elliptic bundle with  $\kappa(Z) = 0$ , hence  $Z$  is hyperelliptic.  $\square$

## References

- [At57] Atiyah, M.F.: *Vector bundles over elliptic curves*; Proc. London Math. Soc. **27** (1957) 414–452.
- [BPV] Barth, W., Peters, C., Van de Ven, A.: *Compact Complex Surfaces*; Erg. der Math. 3. Folge, Band 4, Springer, Berlin (1984).
- [Bea83] Beauville, A.: *Variétés kähleriennes dont la première classe de Chern est nulle*; J. Diff. Geom. **18** (1983) 745–782.
- [Cam81] Campana, F.: *Coréduction algébrique d'un espace analytique faiblement kählérien compact*; Inv. Math. **63** (1981) 187–223.
- [Cam92] Campana, F.: *Connexité rationnelle des variétés de Fano*; Ann. Sci. ENS **25** (1992) 539–545.
- [CP97] Campana, F.; Peternell, Th.: *Towards a Mori theory on compact Kähler 3-folds, I*; Math. Nachr. **187** (1997) 29–59.
- [CP00] Campana, F.; Peternell, Th.: *Holomorphic 2-forms on complex 3-folds*; J. Alg. Geom. **9** (2000) 223–264.
- [CPZ98] Campana, F.; Peternell, Th.; Zhang, Q.: *On the Albanese maps of compact Kähler manifolds with nef anticanonical classes*; Preprint 1998.
- [De82] Demailly, J.-P.: *Estimations  $L^2$  pour l'opérateur  $\bar{\partial}$  d'un fibré vectoriel holomorphe semi-positif au-dessus d'une variété kählérienne complète*; Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. 4e Sér. **15** (1982) 457–511.
- [De87] Demailly, J.-P.: *Nombres de Lelong généralisés, théorèmes d'intégralité et d'analyticité*; Acta Math. **159** (1987) 153–169.
- [De90] Demailly, J.-P.: *Singular hermitian metrics on positive line bundles*; Proceedings Alg. Geom. Bayreuth 1990; Lecture Notes in Math. **1507**, Springer-Verlag (1992) 87–104.
- [De92] Demailly, J.-P.: *Regularization of closed positive currents and intersection theory*; J. Alg. Geom. **1** (1992), 361–409.
- [DPS93] Demailly, J.-P.; Peternell, Th.; Schneider, M.: *Kähler manifolds with numerically effective Ricci-class*; Comp. Math. **89** (1993) 217–240.
- [DPS94] Demailly, J.-P.; Peternell, Th.; Schneider, M.: *Compact complex manifolds with numerically effective tangent bundles*; J. Alg. Geom. **3** (1994) 295–345.
- [DPS96a] Demailly, J.-P.; Peternell, Th.; Schneider, M.: *Holomorphic line bundles with partially vanishing cohomology*; Israel Math. Conf. Proc. vol. **9** (1996) 165–198.
- [DPS96b] Demailly, J.-P.; Peternell, Th.; Schneider, M.: *Compact Kähler manifolds with hermitian semi-positive anticanonical bundle*; Compositio Math. **101** (1996) 217–224.
- [EV92] Esnault, H.; Viehweg, E.: *Lectures on Vanishing Theorems*; DMV Seminar, Band **20**, Birkhäuser Verlag (1992).
- [FiFo79] Fischer, G.; Forster, O.: *Ein Endlichkeitssatz für Hyperflächen auf kompakten komplexen Räumen*; J. für die reine und angew. Math. **306** (1979) 88–93.
- [Ha77] Hartshorne, R.: *Algebraic geometry*; Graduate Texts in Math. vol. 52, Springer-Verlag 1977.
- [Hör66] Hörmander, L.: *An introduction to Complex Analysis in several variables*; 1966, 3rd edition, North-Holland Math. Libr., vol.7, Amsterdam, London (1990).
- [Ko86] Kollár, J.: *Higher direct images of dualizing sheaves I*; Ann. Math. **123** (1986) 11–42.
- [Ko95] Kollár, J.: *Shafarevich maps and plurigenera of algebraic varieties*; Princeton Univ. Press, 1995.
- [Ko96] Kollár, J.: *Rational curves on algebraic varieties*; Springer, 1996.

- [KMM87] Kawamata, Y.; Matsuki, K.; Matsuda, K.: *Introduction to the minimal model program*; Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **10** (1987) 283–360.
- [KoMM92] Kollár, J.; Miyaoka, Y.; Mori, S.: *Rationally connected varieties*; J. Alg. Geom. **1** (1992) 429–448.
- [Lel69] Lelong, P.: *Plurisubharmonic functions and positive differential forms*; Gordon and Breach, New-York, and Dunod, Paris (1969).
- [Miy93] Miyaoka, Y.: *Relative deformations of morphisms and applications to fiber spaces*; Comm. Math. Univ. St. Pauli **42** (1993) 1–7.
- [Mor82] Mori, S.: *Threefolds whose canonical bundles are not numerically effective*; Ann. Math. **116** (1982) 133–176.
- [Mor88] Mori, S.: *Flip theorem and existence of minimal models of 3-folds*; J. Amer. Math. Soc. **1** (1988) 177–353.
- [MM86] Miyaoka, Y.; Mori, S.: *A numerical criteria for uniruledness*; Ann. Math. **124** (1986) 65–69.
- [Mou97] Mourougane, Ch.: *Images directes de fibrés en droites adjoints*; Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **33** (1997) 893–916.
- [Mou99] Mourougane, Ch.: *Théorèmes d’annulation génériques pour les fibrés vectoriels semi-négatifs*; Bull. Soc. Math. Fr. **127** (1999) 115–133.
- [Nad89] Nadel, A.M.: *Multiplier ideal sheaves and Kähler-Einstein metrics of positive scalar curvature*; Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **86** (1989) 7299–7300 and Annals of Math., **132** (1990) 549–596.
- [NS95] Namikawa, Y.; Steenbrink, J.H.M.: *Global smoothing of Calabi-Yau 3-folds*; Invent. Math. **122** (1995) 403–419.
- [OT87] Ohsawa, T.; Takegoshi, K.: *On the extension of  $L^2$  holomorphic functions*; Math. Zeitschrift **195** (1987) 197–204.
- [Pau97] Paun, M.: *Sur le groupe fondamental des variétés kählériennes compactes à classe de Ricci numériquement effective*; C. R. Acad. Sci. **324** (1997) 1249–1254.
- [Pau98a] Paun, M.: *Sur les variétés kählériennes compactes à classe de Ricci numériquement effective*; Bull. Sci. Math. **122** (1998) 83–92.
- [Pau98b] Paun, M.: *Sur l’effectivité numérique des images inverses de fibrés en droites*; Math. Ann. **310** (1998) 411–421.
- [Pau98c] Paun, M.: *On the Albanese map of compact Kähler manifolds with almost positive Ricci curvature*; Prépublication Institut Fourier Grenoble, 1998.
- [Pet93] Peternell, Th.: *Minimal varieties with trivial canonical classes, I*; Math. Zeitschrift **217** (1994) 377–407.
- [Pet98] Peternell, Th.: *Towards a Mori theory on compact Kähler 3-folds, II*; Math. Ann. **311** (1998) 729–764.
- [Pet99] Peternell, Th.: *Towards a Mori theory on compact Kähler 3-folds, III*; Preprint Bayreuth Universität 1999.
- [PS97] Peternell, Th.; Serrano, F.: *Threefolds with numerical effective anticanonical classes*; Collectanea Math. **49** (1998) 465–517.
- [Po69] Potters, J.: *On almost homogeneous compact complex surfaces*; Invent. Math. **8** (1969) 244–266.
- [Ri68] Richberg, R.: *Stetige streng pseudokonvexe Funktionen*; Math. Ann. **175** (1968) 257–286.
- [Siu74] Siu, Y.T.: *Analyticity of sets associated to Lelong numbers and the extension of closed positive currents*; Invent. Math. **27** (1974) 53–156.
- [Sk72] Skoda, H.: *Sous-ensembles analytiques d’ordre fini ou infini dans  $\mathbb{C}^n$* ; Bull. Soc. Math. France **100** (1972) 353–408.
- [Ta97] Takegoshi, K.: *On cohomology groups of nef line bundles tensorized with multiplier ideal sheaves on compact Kähler manifolds*; Osaka J. Math. **34** (1997) 783–802.
- [Ue75] Ueno, K.: *Classification theory of algebraic varieties and compact complex spaces*; Lecture Notes in Math. **439**, Springer-Verlag, 1975.

- [Ue87] Ueno, K.: *On compact analytic threefolds with non-trivial Albanese tori*; Math. Ann. **278** (1987) 41–70.
- [Zh96] Zhang, Q.: *On projective manifolds with nef anticanonical bundle*; J.f.d. reine u. angew. Math. **478** (1996) 57–60.

(version of June 20, 2000; printed on June 28, 2000, 15:27)