

A LOCAL VERSION OF LAUFER'S THEOREM FOR EMBEDDED CR MANIFOLDS

Judith BRINKSCHULTE

Prépublication de l'Institut Fourier n° 505 (2000)

<http://www-fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/prepublications.html>

Using Fredholm-operator theory or some L^2 -estimates, it is often more easy to obtain finiteness theorems for the natural cohomology groups associated to a complex manifold than to actually show the solvability of the $\bar{\partial}$ -equation. Therefore one would like to have a criterion which permits to pass from finiteness theorems to vanishing theorems.

It was proved by Laufer that for any open subset D of a Stein manifold, the Dolbeault cohomology groups $H^{p,q}(D)$ are either zero or infinite dimensional ([La]).

The purpose of this note is to give a local version of this theorem for embedded CR manifolds. We believe that this is of interest, since very little seems to be known about the solvability of the tangential Cauchy-Riemann equations if the Levi-form of the CR manifold does not have the right amount of positive or negative eigenvalues.

Let us denote by M a smooth generic CR manifold embedded into \mathbb{C}^n , of real codimension k , and by $H^{p,q}(M)$, $0 \leq p \leq n$, $0 \leq q \leq n-k$, the cohomology groups of the Cauchy-Riemann complexes obtained from the $\bar{\partial}_M$ -operator (see [Bo] for the definitions). We then obtain the following theorem.

THEOREM 0.1. — *Let M be a smooth generic CR manifold embedded into \mathbb{C}^n , of real codimension k , and let z be an arbitrary point of M . Then there exists an open neighborhood U_z of z in M such that for every open subset $D \subset U_z$ with $\dim H^{p,q}(D) < +\infty$, we have $H^{p,q}(D) = 0$, $0 \leq p \leq q$, $1 \leq q \leq n-k$.*

Our result holds only for sufficiently small open subsets of CR manifolds. We also provide an example for a CR manifold, globally embedded into some \mathbb{C}^n , for which the corresponding global result is false.

For later use, let us take a closer look at some local representation of M . We fix $p \in M$. We may, see [Bo] for the details, assume $p = 0$ and that M is (in a neighborhood of 0) of the form

$$M = \{(z, w = u + iv) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-k} \times \mathbb{C}^k \mid v = h(z, u)\} \quad (1)$$

where

$$h = (h_1, \dots, h_k) : \mathbb{C}^{n-k} \times \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$$

Math. classification: 32F40.

Keywords: CR manifold, tangential CR operator.

is a \mathcal{C}^∞ -mapping with $h(0,0) = 0$, $Dh(0,0) = 0$. Moreover, there is a basis of $T^{0,1}M$ on a neighborhood of 0 of the form

$$\bar{L}_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_j} + \sum_{\ell=1}^k a_{j\ell} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{w}_\ell}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n-k \quad (2)$$

We note (see [Ba/Tr]) that

$$[\bar{L}_i, \bar{L}_j] = 0, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n-k. \quad (3)$$

Let us define a vector field $M_1 \in \mathbb{C} \otimes TM$ by

$$M_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} + \sum_{\ell=1}^k b_\ell \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{w}_\ell} \quad (4)$$

M_1 will be tangent to M if and only if

$$\frac{\partial h_j}{\partial z_1} + \sum_{\ell=1}^k \frac{b_\ell}{2} \left(\frac{\partial h_j}{\partial u_\ell} - i\delta_{j\ell} \right) = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, k.$$

As $Dh(0,0) = 0$, we can certainly find (b_1, \dots, b_k) satisfying the above system on a neighborhood of 0.

We claim that we have

$$[M_1, \bar{L}_j] = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n-k. \quad (5)$$

This can be seen as follows (see also [Ba/Tr]).

We have

$$[M_1, \bar{L}_j]_{z_\ell} = [M_1, \bar{L}_j]_{w_m} = 0, \quad \ell = 1, \dots, n-k, \quad m = 1, \dots, k.$$

As the annihilator of the forms $dz_1, \dots, dz_{n-k}, dw_1, \dots, dw_k$ in $\mathbb{C} \otimes TM$ is spanned by $\bar{L}_1, \dots, \bar{L}_{n-k}$, this implies that $[M_1, \bar{L}_j]$ has to be a linear combination of the \bar{L}_α 's. But $[M_1, \bar{L}_j]$ does not involve differentiation in the direction of \bar{z}_α , hence $[M_1, \bar{L}_j] = 0$.

Let $\bar{\omega}_1, \dots, \bar{\omega}_{n-k}$ be smooth 1-forms in a neighborhood of 0 in M such that $\bar{\omega}_j(\bar{L}_\alpha) = \delta_{j,\alpha}$. We observe that

$$d\bar{\omega}_j|_{T^{0,1}M} = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n-k. \quad (6)$$

Indeed, by the classical formula for d we have

$$\begin{aligned} d\bar{\omega}_j(\bar{L}_\alpha, \bar{L}_\beta) &= \bar{L}_\alpha(\bar{\omega}_j(\bar{L}_\beta)) - \bar{L}_\beta(\bar{\omega}_j(\bar{L}_\alpha)) - \bar{\omega}_j([\bar{L}_\alpha, \bar{L}_\beta]) \\ &= \bar{L}_\alpha(\delta_{j\beta}) - \bar{L}_\beta(\delta_{j\alpha}) - \bar{\omega}_j(0) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

because of (3).

Proof of the theorem. — We fix a point $z \in M$ and choose a small neighborhood U_z of z such that all the computations (1)–(6) hold in U_z .

Let $D \subset U_z$ be an open subset with $\dim H^{p,q}(D) < +\infty$, $q \geq 1$. We assume $H^{p,q}(D) \neq 0$.

It is no loss of generality to take $p = n$ (see [Tr]). The (n, q) -forms in D can be uniquely written as

$$u = \sum'_{|I|=q} u_I dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_{n-k} \wedge dw_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dw_k \wedge \bar{\omega}^I, \quad u_I \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(D),$$

where the prime indicates summation over increasing multiindices, $I = (i_1, \dots, i_q)$ and $\bar{\omega}^I = \bar{\omega}_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{\omega}_{i_q}$.

Moreover, the $\bar{\partial}_M$ -operator is nothing else but the exterior differential d , *i.e.*

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\partial}_M u &= \sum'_{|I|=q} d(u_I) \wedge dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_{n-k} \wedge dw_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dw_k \wedge \bar{\omega}^I \\ &\quad + (-1)^n \sum'_{|I|=q} u_I \wedge dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_{n-k} \wedge dw_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dw_k \wedge d(\bar{\omega}^I) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n-k} \sum'_{|I|=q} \bar{L}_j(u_I) \bar{\omega}_j \wedge dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_{n-k} \wedge dw_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dw_k \wedge \bar{\omega}^I \end{aligned}$$

because of (6).

From the assumption $0 < H^{n,q}(D) < +\infty$, it follows that there exists a non constant polynomial P in one complex variable such that $P(z_1)u \in \text{Im}(\bar{\partial}_M)$ for every $\bar{\partial}_M$ -closed (n, q) -form u in D .

We take P to be of minimal degree N among all the polynomials having this property.

For each $\bar{\partial}_M$ -closed (n, q) -form u in D we can thus find an (n, q) -form α such that

$$P(z_1)u = \bar{\partial}_M \alpha.$$

Applying the vector field M_1 defined by (4) coefficientwise to this equation

$$(i.e. \quad M_1 u = \sum'_{|I|=q} (M_1 u_I) dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_{n-k} \wedge dw_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dw_k \wedge \bar{\omega}^I)$$

yields

$$P'(z_1)u + P(z_1)M_1 u = M_1 \bar{\partial}_M \alpha$$

where P' is a polynomial of degree $< N$.

In view of (5), the operators M_1 and $\bar{\partial}_M$ commute, hence the above equations yield

$$P'(z_1)u \in \text{Im}(\bar{\partial}_M)$$

for all $\bar{\partial}_M$ -closed (n, q) -forms in D . This contradicts the minimality of N and completes the proof. \square

Remark. — The preceding theorem does not hold globally, not even for CR manifolds globally embedded into \mathbb{C}^n . Indeed, it was proved in [Ca/Le] that there exist compact strictly pseudoconvex CR manifolds of hypersurface type and of any dimension, embedded into some \mathbb{C}^N that admit small deformations that are also embeddable but their embeddings cannot be chosen close to the original embedding. Moreover, it was shown by Tanaka [Ta] that if we are in a situation as above with $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} M \geq 5$ and $H^{0,1}(M) = 0$, then small deformations of M can be

embedded by mappings close to the original embedding, *i.e.* the above phenomenon does not arise. In addition, if M is compact and strictly pseudoconvex of dimension greater than five, we always have $\dim H^{0,1}(M) < +\infty$ (see [Ta]). This implies the existence of compact strictly pseudoconvex hypersurfaces of dimension greater than five in \mathbb{C}^n with

$$0 \neq \dim H^{0,1}(M) < +\infty .$$

References

- [Ba/Tr] BAOUENDI M.S., TRÈVES F., *A property of the functions and distributions annihilated by a locally integrable system of vector fields*, Ann. of Math. **113** (1981), 387–421.
- [Bo] BOGGESS A., *CR manifolds and the tangential Cauchy-Riemann complex*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida, 1991.
- [Ca/Le] CATLIN D., LEMPERS L., *A note on the instability of embeddings of Cauchy-Riemann manifolds*, J. Geom. Anal. **2** n° 2, (1992), 99–104.
- [La] LAUFER H.B., *On the infinite dimensionality of the Dolbeault cohomology groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **52** (1975), 293–296.
- [Ta] TANAKA N., *A differential geometric study on strongly pseudo-convex manifolds*, Lectures in Mathematics, Kyoto University, **9**, Tokyo Japan (1975).
- [Tr] TRÈVES F., *Homotopy formulas in the tangential Cauchy-Riemann complex*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., **87** (1990).

Judith BRINKSCHULTE
INSTITUT FOURIER
Laboratoire de Mathématiques
UMR5582 (UJF-CNRS)
BP 74
38402 St MARTIN D'HÈRES Cedex (France)