SUBVARIETIES OF SHIMURA VARIETES HAVE POSITIVE SIMPLICIAL VOLUME

by Alexander REZNIKOV

1. Gromov's category and algebraic geometry.

Twenty years ago, Gromov suggested to study a category Gr_n where objects were compact oriented *n*-dimensional manifolds and morphisms were nonzero degree maps. If $M, N \in Gr_n$ and $Mor(M, N) \neq \emptyset$, then one says that M dominates N. The image of the fundamental group of M in that of N has finite index, in particular a simply-connected manifold never dominates a $K(\pi, 1)$ -manifold. On the contrary, in Gr_3 any 3-manifold is dominated by a hyperbolic manifold⁽¹⁾. The simplicial volume (or Gromov's invariant) suits nicely this category, as it satisfies a Gromov-Thurston inequality: if $\varphi : M \to N$ a dominating map, then

 $\operatorname{Vol}_{s}(M) \geq |\deg \varphi| \operatorname{Vol}_{s} N$.

If *X*, *Y* are two smooth proper varieties over Spec \mathbb{C} of dimension *n*, and $\varphi : X \to Y$ a dominating — in the sense of algebraic geometry — morphism, then *X*, *Y* are also objects of Gr_{2n} and φ is dominating in the above sense. In particular, $\operatorname{Vol}_s(X) \ge \deg \varphi \cdot \operatorname{Vol}_s Y$. A fundamental question is:

1.1. MAIN PROBLEM. — Which algebraic varieties are dominated by ones with positive simplicial volume?

A theorem of Gromov-Thurston asserts that a smooth compact manifold M which admits a metric of negative sectional curvature, has positive simplicial volume. In the other direction, if M has positive simplicial volume, then it is essential, that is, the natural map $M \to K(\pi_1(M), 1)$ induces a nonzero homomorphism of $H_n(\cdot, \mathbb{R})$. Besides this, not much is known [Ber].

2. Locally symmetric spaces, Gromov conjecture and a theorem of Savage.

Let *G* be a semisimple Lie group, Γ a cocompact lattice in *G*, *K* a maximal compact group. The following problem has attracted a lot of attention:

Mots-clés : Shimura varieties, simplicial volume.

 $[\]begin{pmatrix} 1 \end{pmatrix}$ I would like to thank M. Boileau for this remark.

¹

2.1. PROBLEM. — Does $\Gamma \setminus G/K$ have a positive simplicial volume?

A conjecture of Gromov [Gr] says that the answer should be yes if G/K is a symmetric space.

Known cases.

1. If *G* is a product of the symmetry groups of rank one noncompact symmetric spaces ($\mathbb{R}\mathcal{H}^n$, $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{H}^n$, $\mathbb{H}\mathcal{H}^n$, $\mathbb{C}a\mathcal{H}^2$) then the answer is yes. This because these spaces carry a metric with negative sectional curvature.

2. If $G = SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ then the answer is yes $[Sav]^{(2)}$.

3. On the other hand, if *G* has infinite center (like $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$) then the answer is no. However, some corollaries of the Gromov-Thurston inequality survive [Re1].

3. Statement of the main results.

THEOREM A. — Let Γ be a cocompact lattice in Sp $(2n, \mathbb{R})$. Let $Y = \Gamma \setminus \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})/U(n)$ be a Shimura variety. Let $X \subset Y$ be a subvariety. Then $\text{Vol}_s(X) > 0$.

THEOREM B. — Let $Z \to X$ be a family of smooth projective curves of genus $g \ge 2$ over a smooth proper base, generic in the sense that the induced morphism $X \to \mathcal{M}_g$ has generically maximal rank. Then $\operatorname{Vol}_s(X) > 0$.

THEOREM C. — Gromov conjecture is true for all Hermitian locally symmetric spaces with a classical group of symmetry, that is, of type I, II, III, IV of Siegel's classification.

Remarks.

1. The definition of the simplicial volume can be carried over to all proper varieties over \mathbb{C} , not necessarily smooth, and the Gromov-Thurston inequality is still valid for dominating (in the sense of algebraic geometry) morphisms. In theorem A we don't suppose that *X* is smooth.

2. It is very temptating to conjecture that in theorem B, $\operatorname{Vol}_{s}(Z)$ is also positive. The following related conjecture⁽³⁾ has been made by Dieter Kotschisck: if $\Sigma \to M$ is a C^{∞} -

S

fibration of closed surfaces over a closed surface, both of genus ≥ 2 , then $Vol_s(M) > 0$.

⁽²⁾ The note of Leutzinger [L] is unfortunally found wrong.

 $[\]binom{3}{1}$ I thank M. Boileau for telling me about this conjecture.

²

4. Three cohomology classes in $H^2(\text{Sp}^{\delta}(2n, \mathbb{R}))$.

4.1. The EXTENSION CLASS. — The universal covering $\widetilde{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ has a center, isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} , so we get an extension $1 \to \mathbb{Z} \to \widetilde{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \to Sp(2n, \mathbb{R}) \to 1$. Correspondingly, we have an extension class (δ stands for discrete)

$$e \in H^2\left(\mathrm{Sp}^{\delta}(2n,\mathbb{R}),\mathbb{Z}
ight)$$
 .

4.2. THE REGULATOR. — Let $\mathcal{H} = \operatorname{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})/U(n)$ be the Siegel upper half-plane. This is a contractible manifold with a canonical $\operatorname{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ -invariant Kähler form ω . By a general theory ([Re 2], section 3) this defines a class $\operatorname{Bor}(\omega) \in H^2(\operatorname{Sp}^{\delta}(2n, \mathbb{R}), \mathbb{R})$. Moreover, this class can be represented by a continuous cocycle (a Dupont construction, see [Re 3]). So we may, and will, be thinking of $\operatorname{Bor}(\omega)$ as an element of $H^2_{\operatorname{cont}}(\operatorname{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}), \mathbb{R})$.

4.3. The MASLOV CLASS. — This class appears in many places, see [Ne], [BG] for example. Fix a Lagrangian plane *L* in \mathbb{R}^{2n} . For $g_1, g_2 \in \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ define

$$\mu(g_1, g_2) = i(L, g_1L, g_1g_2L)$$
 ,

where *i* is the Maslov index [GS]. This gives a class in the bounded cohomology group: $\mu \in H_b^2(\operatorname{Sp}^{\delta}(2n, \mathbb{R}), \mathbb{R}).$

4.4. COMPARISON THEOREM⁽⁴⁾. — The images of the classes *e*, Bor(ω), *m* in $H^2(\mathrm{Sp}^{\delta}(2n),\mathbb{R})$ coincide up to a nonzero multiplier.

5. Proof of the comparison theorem. — We first remark that $H^2_{\text{cont}}(\widetilde{\text{Sp}}(2n, \mathbb{R}), \mathbb{R}) = 0$. Indeed, it follows immediately from the Van Est spectral sequence if we account that $H_1(\widetilde{\text{Sp}}(2n, \mathbb{R})^{\text{top}}, \mathbb{R}) = 0$ and $H^2(\mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}), \mathbb{R}) = 0$. Let $\text{Bor}^{\delta}(\omega)$ be the image of $\text{Bor}(\omega)$ in $H^2(\text{Sp}^{\delta}(2n, \mathbb{R}), \mathbb{R})$. It follows that the pullback of $\text{Bor}^{\delta}(\omega)$ to $H^2(\widetilde{\text{Sp}}^{\delta}(2n, \mathbb{R}), \mathbb{R})$ is zero. It now follows from the Lyndon-Serre-Hochschild spectral sequence that $\text{Bor}^{\delta}(\omega)$ is proportional to *e*. Indeed, the spectral sequence reduces to a Gysin long exact sequence

$$H^{i}\left(\operatorname{Sp}^{\delta}(2n,\mathbb{R}),\mathbb{R}\right) \xrightarrow{\cup e} H^{i+2}\left(\operatorname{Sp}^{\delta}(2n,\mathbb{R}),\mathbb{R}\right) \longrightarrow H^{i+2}\left(\widetilde{\operatorname{Sp}}^{\delta}(2n,\mathbb{R}),\mathbb{R}\right) \longrightarrow H^{i+1}\left(\operatorname{Sp}^{\delta}(2n,\mathbb{R}),\mathbb{R}\right) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

so the kernel of the pullback map $H^2(\operatorname{Sp}^{\delta}(2n,\mathbb{R}),\mathbb{R}) \to H^2(\operatorname{\widetilde{Sp}}^{\delta}(2n,\mathbb{R}),\mathbb{R})$ is generated by *e*. Now, the image of *m* in $H^2(\operatorname{Sp}^{\delta}(2n,\mathbb{R}),\mathbb{R})$ is proportional to *e* by [BG]. The theorem will follow if we prove that $\operatorname{Bor}^{\delta}(\omega) \neq 0$. This is implied by existence of cocompact lattices and the fact that ω is a Kähler form, see the next section.

⁽⁴⁾ Inspired by a conversation with M.S. Narashimhan.

³

6. Proof of the main results.

Proof of Theorem A. — If ω' is a restriction of the Kähler form ω of $Y = \Gamma \setminus \operatorname{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})/U(n)$ on X then $\int_X {\omega'}^m > 0$, where $m = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} X$. On the other hand the inclusion $X \subset Y$ induces a class [X] in $H_{2m}(\operatorname{Sp}^{\delta}(2n, \mathbb{R}), \mathbb{Z})$ and by definition of Bor (ω) [Re 2] one has

$$\int_X {\omega'}^m = \left((\mathrm{Bor}^{\delta}(\omega))^m, [X] \right) \, .$$

It follows that $\text{Bor}^{\delta}(\omega) \neq 0$ (which finishes the proof of the Comparison Theorem). Moreover, by the Comparison Theorem, $\text{Bor}^{\delta}(\omega) = C \cdot \mu$ where $c \neq 0$. But μ is a bounded cohomology class, so $|(\mu^m, [X])| \leq ||\mu^m||_{\ell^0} \cdot ||[X]||_{\ell^1}$ by definition. So $||[X]||_{\ell^1} \neq 0$.

First proof of Theorem B. — Let Map_g be the mapping class group, $\varphi : \operatorname{Map}_g \to \operatorname{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z})$ the canonical homomorphism. It is well-known that $H^2(\operatorname{Map}_g, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}$ and φ^* is an isomorphism on H^2 level.

Let Ω be the Weil-Peterson Kähler form in the Teichmüller space T_g , invariant under Map_g . A fibration by smooth topological closed surfaces $Z \to X$ defines a flat bundle over \mathcal{F} , X with T_g as a fiber [Mor 1]. If this is a holomorphic family, then \mathcal{F} has a holomorphic section, say S.

Next, the general theory of regulators gives a class $Bor(\Omega) \in H^2(Map_g, \mathbb{R})$, defined by Ω . This class necessarily is proportional to a pullback of $\mu \in H^2(Sp(2g, \mathbb{R}), \mathbb{R})$ therefore is bounded. On the other hand, the value of $Bor^m(\Omega)$ on [X] is

$$(\operatorname{Bor}^{m}(\Omega), [X]) = \int_{X} (S^{*}\Omega)^{m} > 0,$$

since *S* is generic. It follows that $\operatorname{Vol}_{S}(X) = ||[X]||_{\ell^{1}} > 0$.

Second proof of Theorem B. — Let Homeo_s be a group of quasi-symmetric homeomorphisms of a circle. There is an Euler class $e \in H^2_{\text{cont}}(\text{Homeo}_s(S^1))$. It is bounded, in fact given by an explicit cocycle valued in $\{0, \pm 1\}$. There is a homomorphism $\text{Map}_g \rightarrow$ $\text{Homeo}_s(S^1)$ so that the pull-back of the Euler class is a generator of $H^2(\text{Map}_g, \mathbb{R})$ ([Mor 2]). Then the proof goes as above.

Remark. — If the fibers of $Z \rightarrow X$ are not generically hyperelliptic, then theorem B follows directly from theorem A.

Proof of Theorem C. — Let G/K be a classical hermitian symmetric space. By a theorem of Satake [Sa] there exists an equivariant holomorphic embedding $G/K \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Sp}(2N, \mathbb{R})/U(N)$ for some N, induced by a homomorphism $\psi : G \rightarrow \operatorname{Sp}(2N, \mathbb{R})$. The Kähler form of $\operatorname{Sp}(2N, \mathbb{R})/U(N)$ restricts to a G-invariant Kähler form ω on G/K and the latter gives a regulator $\operatorname{Bor}(\omega) \in H^2_{\operatorname{cont}}(G, \mathbb{R})$. On the other hand, the Maslov class of

Sp $(2N, \mathbb{R})$ restricts to a bounded class m in $H_b^2(G^{\delta}, \mathbb{R})$. By functoriality, Bor (ω) and μ are proportional as classes in $H^2(G^{\delta}, \mathbb{R})$. Then the proof goes exactly as above.

Remarks.

1. This argument cannot be applied for exceptional domains.

2. We prove in [Re4] that for any compact Kähler surface *X* whose fundamental group admits a homomorphism into a lattice in Sp(4) or SO(2, n), on *n* odd, such that the corresponding linear representation is rigid, the simplicial volume of *X* is positive.

3. Kodaira surfaces (families of smooth projective curves over a smooth projective curve) of fiber rank at least three are uniformized by a bounded (non-symmetric) domain in \mathbb{C}^2 . It is possibly true that the Bergman metric of the domain induces a bounded class in the second cohomology of their fundamental group.

5

References

[Ber] M. BERGER. — Private communication, Dec. 1997.

- [BG] J. BARGE, E. GHYS. Cocycles d'Euler et de Maslow, Math. Ann. 294 (1992), 235–265.
- [Gr] M. GROMOV. Volume and bounded cohomology, Publ. IHES 56 (1982), 5–100.
- [GS] V. GUILLEMIN, S. STERNBERG. Geometric Asymptotics,.
- [L] LEUTZINGER. ,.
- [N] J. NÉKOVAR. Maslov index and Clifford algebras, Funct. Anal. and Appl. 24 (1990), 36–44.
- [Mor 1] S. MORITA. Characteristic classes of surface bundles, Invent. Math..
- [Mor 2] S. MORITA. Characteristic classes of surface bundles and bounded cohomology in : A Fête of Topology, (Y. Matsumoto, T. Mirutani, S. Morita, eds), AP, 1988.
- [Re 1] A. REZNIKOV. Volumes of discrete groups and topological complexity of homology spheres, Math. Ann. 306 (1996), 547–554.
- [Re 2] A. REZNIKOV. Rationality of secondary classes, J. Differential Geom. 43 (1996), 674–692.
- [Re 3] A. REZNIKOV. Continuous cohomology of the groups of volume-preserving and symplectic diffeomorphisms, measurable transfer and higher asymptotic cycles, Selecta Math., to appear, 1998.
- [Re4] A. REZNIKOV. Structure of Kähler groups, I: second cohomology, preprint, 1998.
- [Sa] I. SATAKE. Algebraic structures of symmetric domains, Princeton UP, 1980.
- [Sav] R.P. SAVAGE. The space of positive definite matrices and Gromov's invariant, TAMS 274 (1982), 239– 263.

Department of Mathematical Sciences University of Durham Durham (UK) *Current address :* Université de Grenoble I **Institut Fourier** UMR 5582 UFR de Mathématiques B.P. 74 38402 St MARTIN D'HÈRES Cedex (France) reznikov@daphne.polytechnique.fr

reznikov@thetis.mpim-bonn.mpg.de