

# MALGRANGE'S VANISHING THEOREM IN 1-CONCAVE $CR$ MANIFOLDS

Christine LAURENT-THIÉBAUT \* and Jürgen LEITERER \*

## Abstract

We prove a vanishing theorem for the  $\bar{\partial}_b$ -cohomology in top degree on 1-concave  $CR$  generic manifolds.

The aim of this paper is an analogous in the  $CR$  setting of Malgrange's theorem [13] for the vanishing of the  $\bar{\partial}$ -cohomology in top degree in connected, non compact complex manifolds. We prove the following theorem

**Theorem 0.1** *If  $M$  is a connected,  $C^{2+\ell}$ -smooth,  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ , non compact, 1-concave,  $CR$  generic manifold of real codimension  $k$  in a complex manifold  $X$  of complex dimension  $n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , then for all  $p$ ,  $0 \leq p \leq n$ ,*

$$H_\ell^{p,n-k}(M) = 0,$$

where  $H_\ell^{p,n-k}(M)$ ,  $0 \leq p \leq n$ , denote the  $\bar{\partial}_M$ -cohomology groups of top degree on  $M$  with coefficients of class  $C^\ell$ .

If moreover  $M$  is assumed to be  $C^\infty$ -smooth, then

$$H_\infty^{p,n-k}(M) = 0 .$$

We point out that this theorem holds without any global condition on  $M$  (1-concavity is a local condition, cf. Sect. 1). If, additional, certain global convexity condition is fulfilled then the vanishing of  $H_\ell^{p,n-k}(M)$  is well-known. The first result of this type can be found in the paper [1] (th. 7.2.4) of Airapetjan and Henkin, where the vanishing of  $H_\infty^{p,n-k}(M)$

---

\*Partially supported by HCM Research Network CHRX - CT94 - 0468

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32F40-32F10

*Keywords and phrases.* CR manifold, tangential Cauchy-Riemann equation

is obtained under the hypothesis that  $M$  is a closed submanifold of a Stein manifold. Generalizations of this result can be found in [9] and [12].

Note that in view of the lack of the Dolbeault isomorphism in top degree on 1-concave,  $CR$ -generic manifolds, one cannot deduce the vanishing of the groups  $H_\ell^{p,n-k}(M)$ ,  $0 \leq \ell \leq \infty$ , from the vanishing of one of them.

The proof of the theorem is based on some local results on the solvability of the tangential Cauchy-Riemann equation in top degree and the approximation of  $\bar{\partial}_M$ -closed  $\mathcal{C}^\ell$ -forms of top degree minus one by  $\mathcal{C}^{\ell+1}$ -smooth,  $\bar{\partial}_M$ -closed forms in 1-concave,  $CR$  generic manifolds, on the unique continuation of  $CR$  functions and on the Grauert bumping method.

We may notice by looking precisely to the proof that the manifold  $M$  needs not to be a 1-concave  $CR$ -generic manifold embedded into a complex manifold but that Theorem 0.1 still holds under the following assumptions :

(i) The  $CR$ -manifold  $M$  is either locally embeddable and minimal in the sense of Tumanov [14] or abstract and 1-concave (this ensures in both cases the unique continuation of  $CR$  functions, see [14], [3]).

(ii) One can solve locally the tangential Cauchy-Riemann equation in top degree in the  $\mathcal{C}^\ell$ -class with an arbitrary small gain of regularity and approximate locally  $\bar{\partial}_M$ -closed  $\mathcal{C}^\ell$ -forms of top degree minus one by  $\mathcal{C}^{\ell+1}$ -smooth,  $\bar{\partial}_M$ -closed forms.

Note, moreover, that if  $E$  is a vector bundle over  $M$ , which locally extends as an holomorphic vector bundle, then Theorem 0.1 still holds for  $H_\ell^{p,n-k}(M, E)$ .

As a consequence of Theorem 0.1, we get a global approximation theorem.

**Theorem 0.2** *If  $M$  is a connected,  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -smooth, non compact, 1-concave,  $CR$ -generic manifold of real codimension  $k$  in a complex manifold  $X$  of complex dimension  $n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , and  $p$  an integer,  $0 \leq p \leq n$ , then each continuous,  $\bar{\partial}_M$ -closed,  $(p, n-k-1)$ -form in  $M$  can be approximated uniformly on compact subsets of  $M$  by  $\bar{\partial}_M$ -closed,  $(p, n-k-1)$ -forms of class  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  in  $M$ .*

Again this theorem holds without any global condition on  $M$ . In the case when  $M$  is a closed submanifold of a Stein manifold, it was proved by Airapetjan and Henkin (cf. [1], Th. 7.2.3).

## 1 Notations and definitions

Let  $X$  be a complex manifold of complex dimension  $n$ . If  $M$  is a  $\mathcal{C}^{2+\ell}$ -smooth real submanifold of real codimension  $k$  in  $X$ , we denote by  $T_\tau^{\mathbb{C}}(M)$  the complex tangent space to  $M$  at  $\tau \in M$ .

Such a manifold  $M$  can be represented locally in the form

$$M = \{z \in \Omega \mid \rho_1(z) = \cdots = \rho_k(z) = 0\} \quad (1.1)$$

where the  $\rho_\nu$ 's,  $1 \leq \nu \leq k$ , are real  $\mathcal{C}^{2+\ell}$  functions in an open subset  $\Omega$  of  $X$ . If  $M$  is  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  smooth the functions  $\rho_\nu$  may be chosen of class  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ .

In this representation we have

$$T_\tau^{\mathbb{C}}(M) = \left\{ \zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial \rho_\nu}{\partial z_j}(\tau) \zeta_j = 0, \quad \nu = 1, \dots, k \right\} \quad (1.2)$$

and  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} T_\tau^{\mathbb{C}}(M) \geq n - k$ , for  $\tau \in M \cap \Omega$ , where  $(z_1, \dots, z_n)$  are local holomorphic coordinates in a neighborhood of  $\tau$ .

**Definition 1.1** *The submanifold  $M$  is called CR if the number  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} T_\tau^{\mathbb{C}}(M)$  is independent of the point  $\tau \in M$ . If moreover  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} T_\tau^{\mathbb{C}}(M) = n - k$  for every  $\tau \in M$ ,  $M$  is then called CR generic.*

In the local representation,  $M$  is CR generic if and only if

$$\bar{\partial} \rho_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{\partial} \rho_k \neq 0 \text{ on } M.$$

**Definition 1.2** *Let  $M$  be a  $\mathcal{C}^{2+\ell}$ -smooth CR generic submanifold of  $X$ .  $M$  is 1-concave, if for each  $\tau \in M$ , each local representation of  $M$  of type (1.1) in a neighborhood of  $\tau$  in  $X$  and each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^k \setminus \{0\}$ , the quadratic form on  $T_\tau^{\mathbb{C}}(M)$  defined by  $\sum_{\alpha, \beta} \frac{\partial^2 \rho_x}{\partial z_\alpha \partial \bar{z}_\beta}(\tau) \zeta_\alpha \bar{\zeta}_\beta$ , where  $\rho_x = x_1 \rho_1 + \cdots + x_k \rho_k$  and  $\zeta \in T_\tau^{\mathbb{C}}(M)$ , has at least one negative eigenvalue.*

The bundle of  $(p, q)$ -forms on  $M$ , denoted by  $\Lambda^{p,q}|_M$ , is, by definition, the restriction of the bundle  $\Lambda^{p,q}$  of  $(p, q)$ -forms in  $X$  to the submanifold  $M$ . Thus a section  $f$  of  $\Lambda^{p,q}|_M$  is obtained locally from an ambient form by restriction of the coefficients of the  $(p, q)$ -form to  $M$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{C}_{p,q}^\ell(M)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{C}_{p,q}^\infty(M)$ , if  $M$  is  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -smooth) the  $\mathcal{C}^\ell$  (resp.  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ ) sections of the bundle  $\Lambda^{p,q}|_M$ .

Following Kohn and Rossi [10], two forms  $f, g \in \mathcal{C}_{p,q}^\ell(M)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{C}_{p,q}^\infty(M)$ ) are said to be equal if and only if  $\int_M f \wedge \varphi = \int_M g \wedge \varphi$  for every form  $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_{n-p, n-k-q}^\infty(X)$  with compact support.

We set on  $\mathcal{C}_{p,q}^\ell(M)$  the topology of uniform convergence of the coefficients and all their derivatives up to order  $\ell$  on compact subsets of  $M$ . This

topology will be called the  $\mathcal{C}^\ell$ -topology on  $M$ . The dual space of  $\mathcal{C}_{p,q}^\ell(M)$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{E}_{n-p,n-k-q}^{\ell}(M)$ , it is the space of  $(n-p, n-k-q)$ -currents of order  $\ell$  with compact support on  $M$ . If  $M$  is of class  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ , then the space  $\mathcal{C}_{p,q}^\infty(M)$  is provided with the topology of uniform convergence of the coefficients and all their derivatives on compact subsets of  $M$ . Its dual  $\mathcal{E}'_{n-p,n-k-q}(M)$  is the space of  $(n-p, n-k-q)$ -currents with compact support on  $M$ .

We denote by  $\mathcal{D}_{p,q}^{\ell}(M)$  the space of  $(p, q)$ -currents of order  $\ell$  on  $M$ , this space is the dual of the space  $\mathcal{D}_{n-p,n-k-q}^{\ell}(M)$  of  $\mathcal{C}^\ell$ -smooth  $(n-p, n-k-q)$ -forms with compact support on  $M$  provided with its usual inductive limit topology. If  $M$  is of class  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ ,  $\mathcal{D}'_{p,q}(M)$  denotes the space of  $(p, q)$ -currents on  $M$ , this space is the dual of the space  $\mathcal{D}_{n-p,n-k-q}(M)$  of  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -smooth  $(n-p, n-k-q)$ -forms with compact support on  $M$  provided with its usual inductive limit topology.

We denote by  $\bar{\partial}_M$  the tangential Cauchy-Riemann operator on  $M$ .

A current  $f \in \mathcal{D}_{p,q}^{\ell}(M)$  is called *CR* if and only if  $\bar{\partial}_M f = 0$ .

If  $U$  is an open subset of  $M$ , then for  $\ell \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ ,

$Z_{p,q}^{\ell}(U)$  is the Frechet space of *CR*  $(p, q)$ -forms of class  $\mathcal{C}^\ell$  on  $U$ ;

$E_{p,q}^{\ell}(U)$  is the subspace of  $Z_{p,q}^{\ell}(U)$  of the forms  $f$  such that  $f = \bar{\partial}_M g$  with  $g \in \mathcal{C}_{p,q-1}^{\ell}(U)$ ;

$H_{\ell}^{p,q}(U)$  denotes the quotient space  $Z_{p,q}^{\ell}(U)/E_{p,q}^{\ell}(U)$ ;

If  $\Omega$  is a relatively compact open subset in  $M$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{C}_{p,q-1}^{\ell}(\bar{\Omega})$  the Banach space of  $(p, q)$ -forms of class  $\mathcal{C}^\ell$  on  $\bar{\Omega}$  and by  $\mathcal{C}_{p,q-1}^{\ell+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$  the Banach space of  $(p, q)$ -forms whose coefficients are of class  $\mathcal{C}^{\ell+\alpha}$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , on  $\bar{\Omega}$ .

If  $D$  is a relatively compact open subset in  $M$ , we denote by germ  $\mathcal{C}_{p,q}^{\ell}(\bar{D})$  the space of germs of  $(p, q)$ -forms of class  $\mathcal{C}^\ell$  in neighborhoods of  $\bar{D}$ . Then germ  $Z_{p,q}^{\ell}(\bar{D})$  is the space of germs of *CR*  $(p, q)$ -forms of class  $\mathcal{C}^\ell$  in neighborhoods of  $\bar{D}$ , germ  $E_{p,q}^{\ell}(\bar{D}) = \text{germ } Z_{p,q}^{\ell}(\bar{D}) \cap \bar{\partial}_M \text{germ } \mathcal{C}_{p,q-1}^{\ell}(\bar{D})$  and germ  $H_{\ell}^{p,q}(\bar{D}) = \text{germ } Z_{p,q}^{\ell}(\bar{D}) / \text{germ } E_{p,q}^{\ell}(\bar{D})$ .

## 2 Proof of Malgrange's theorem in the $\mathcal{C}^\ell$ -case

Let  $X$  be a complex manifold of complex dimension  $n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ ,  $M$  a connected,  $\mathcal{C}^{2+\ell}$ -smooth,  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ , non compact, 1-concave, *CR* generic submanifold of real codimension  $k$  in  $X$ , and  $p$  an integer,  $0 \leq p \leq n$ .

**Local results** We need first a result on the local solvability of the tangential Cauchy-Riemann equation in top degree on  $M$ .

**Proposition 2.1** *For every point  $z_0$  in  $M$ , one can find a neighborhood  $M_0$  of  $z_0$  in  $M$  such that for each open subset  $\Omega \subset\subset M_0$ , there exists a continuous linear operator  $K_\Omega$  from  $\mathcal{C}_{p,n-k}^\ell(\overline{\Omega})$  into  $\mathcal{C}_{p,n-k-1}^{\ell+\frac{1}{2}}(\overline{\Omega})$  which satisfies  $\overline{\partial}_M K_\Omega f = f$  for all differential forms  $f$  in  $\mathcal{C}_{p,n-k}^\ell(\overline{\Omega})$ .*

*Proof.* — This result can be easily deduced from Theorem 0.1 in [2]. Under the hypothesis  $\ell > 0$ , a slightly weaker result, also sufficient for our application, is given in Theorem 7.1.2 of [1].  $\square$

We shall use also some approximation theorem for  $\overline{\partial}_M$ -closed  $(p, n-k-1)$ -differential forms.

**Definition 2.2** *Let  $U$  and  $V$  be two open subsets of  $M$  such that  $U \subset V$ . We shall say that  $U$  has no hole with respect to  $V$  if for each compact subset  $K$  of  $U$  there exists a compact subset  $\tilde{K}$  of  $U$  such that  $K \subset \tilde{K}$  and  $V \setminus \tilde{K}$  has no connected component which is relatively compact in  $V$ .*

**Proposition 2.3** *For every point  $z_0$  in  $M$ , there exists a neighborhood  $M_0$  of  $z_0$  in  $M$  such that for each open subset  $\Omega \subset\subset M_0$  without hole with respect to  $M_0$  the image of the restriction map*

$$Z_{p,n-k-1}^\ell(M_0) \longrightarrow Z_{p,n-k-1}^\ell(\Omega)$$

*is dense with respect to the uniform convergence of the coefficients and all their derivatives up to order  $\ell$  on compact subsets of  $\Omega$ .*

*Proof.* — Let  $z_0$  be a fixed point in  $M$ . By the Hahn-Banach theorem, it is sufficient to prove that there exists a neighborhood  $M_0$  of  $z_0$  in  $M$  such that for each open subset  $\Omega \subset\subset M_0$  without hole with respect to  $M_0$ , if  $L$  is a continuous linear form on  $\mathcal{C}_{p,n-k-1}^\ell(\Omega)$ , whose restriction to  $Z_{p,n-k-1}^\ell(M_0)$  vanishes, then the restriction of  $L$  to  $Z_{p,n-k-1}^\ell(\Omega)$  is identically equal to zero. Note that such a linear form  $L$  is a  $\overline{\partial}_M$ -closed  $(n-p, 1)$ -current of order  $\ell$  on  $M_0$ , with compact support in  $\Omega$ . By Theorem 1' in [7] (see also Theorem 2.4 in [11]) in the case  $\ell = 0$  and their direct generalization, using Proposition 2.1, to the case  $\ell > 0$ , we can find a neighborhood  $M_0$  of  $z_0$  in  $M$  on which we can solve the  $\overline{\partial}_M$ -equation with compact support in  $M_0$  in bidegree  $(n-p, 1)$  for currents of order  $\ell$ . We choose such an  $M_0$  and  $\Omega \subset\subset M_0$ , then for  $L \in \mathcal{E}_{p,n-k-1}^{\ell\ell}(\Omega)$  with  $L|_{Z_{p,n-k-1}^\ell(M_0)} \equiv 0$ , there exists

a  $(p, 0)$ -form  $T$  with compact support in  $M_0$  such that  $\bar{\partial}_M T = L$ . The  $(p, 0)$ -form  $T$  is  $CR$  on  $M_0 \setminus \text{supp } L$  and vanishes on an open subset of  $M_0 \setminus \text{supp } L$ . Since  $M$  is 1-concave, if  $\Omega$  has no hole with respect to  $M_0$ , then  $T$  vanishes on a neighborhood of  $M_0 \setminus \Omega$  by analytic extension (cf. [6]). Consequently the support of  $T$  is contained in  $\Omega$ . Let  $f \in Z_{p, n-k-1}^\ell(\Omega)$ , then by the Airapetjan-Henkin Theorem 7.2.1 in [1],  $f$  can be approximated locally by  $\mathcal{C}^{\ell+1}$ -smooth  $\bar{\partial}_M$ -closed  $(p, n-k-1)$ -differential forms. Let  $(U_i)_{i \in I}$  be a finite open covering of the support of  $T$  by open subsets satisfying the Airapetjan-Henkin approximation theorem and for each  $i \in I$ ,  $(f_\nu^i)_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$  a sequence of  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -smooth  $\bar{\partial}_M$ -closed  $(p, n-k-1)$ -differential forms in  $U_i$ , which converges to  $f$  on  $U_i$  in the  $\mathcal{C}^\ell$ -topology. If  $(\chi_i)_{i \in I}$  denotes a partition of unity subordinated to the covering  $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ , then setting  $f_\nu = \sum_{i \in I} \chi_i f_\nu^i$  we get a sequence  $(f_\nu)_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $\mathcal{C}^{\ell+1}$ -smooth  $(p, n-k-1)$ -differential forms which converges to  $f$  on  $\Omega$  in the  $\mathcal{C}^\ell$ -topology and such that the sequence  $(\bar{\partial}_M f_\nu)_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to zero on  $\Omega$  in the  $\mathcal{C}^\ell$ -topology. We obtain

$$L(f) = \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} L(f_\nu) = \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \langle \bar{\partial}_M T, f_\nu \rangle = \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \langle T, \bar{\partial}_M f_\nu \rangle = 0.$$

□

**A first global consequence of the local results** By standard arguments (see e.g. the proofs of Lemma 2.3.1 in [8] and Proposition 3 in Appendix 2 of [8]), it follows from Proposition 2.1 that, if  $D$  is a relatively compact open subset of  $M$ ,  $E_{p, n-k}^\ell(\bar{D})$  is closed and finite codimensional in  $Z_{p, n-k}^\ell(\bar{D})$ . Moreover we have

**Proposition 2.4** *Let  $D$  be a relatively compact open subset of  $M$ . There exists a continuous linear operator  $A : Z_{p, n-k}^\ell(\bar{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{p, n-k-1}^\ell(\bar{D})$  such that  $\bar{\partial}_M A f = f$  for all  $f \in E_{p, n-k}^\ell(\bar{D})$ .*

### The bumping method

**Definition 2.5** *A bump in  $M$  is an ordered collection  $[M_0, \Omega_1, \Omega_2]$ , where  $M_0$ ,  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$  are open subsets of  $M$  such that*

- (i)  $M_0$  is as in Propositions 2.1 and 2.3.
- (ii)  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$  have  $\mathcal{C}^2$ -smooth boundary and  $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega_2 \subset\subset M_0$ .
- (iii)  $\bar{\Omega}_1$  admits a basis of neighborhoods without hole with respect to  $M_0$ .

Note that  $\Omega_1 = \emptyset$  is allowed in this definition.

**Definition 2.6** An extension element in  $M$  is an ordered pair  $[D_1, D_2]$ , where  $D_1 \subset D_2$  are open subsets with  $\mathcal{C}^2$ -boundary in  $M$  such that there exists a bump  $[M_0, \Omega_1, \Omega_2]$  in  $M$  with the following properties:

$$D_2 = D_1 \cup \Omega_2, \quad \Omega_1 = D_1 \cap \Omega_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{(D_1 \setminus \Omega_2)} \cap \overline{(\Omega_2 \setminus \Omega_1)} = \emptyset.$$

**Proposition 2.7** Let  $[D_1, D_2]$  be an extension element in  $M$ , then the restriction map

$$\text{germ } H_\ell^{p, n-k}(\overline{D_2}) \longrightarrow \text{germ } H_\ell^{p, n-k}(\overline{D_1})$$

is injective.

*Proof.* — Let  $U_1 \subset U_2$  be open neighborhoods of  $\overline{D_1}$  and  $\overline{D_2}$  in  $M$  respectively and let  $f \in Z_{p, n-k}^\ell(U_2)$  and  $u_1 \in \mathcal{C}_{p, n-k-1}^\ell(U_1)$  be given such that  $\overline{\partial}_M u_1 = f$  on  $U_1$ . We have to prove the existence of a neighborhood  $W_2 \subset U_2$  of  $\overline{D_2}$  in  $M$  and of a differential form  $u_2 \in \mathcal{C}_{p, n-k-1}^\ell(W_2)$  with  $\overline{\partial}_M u_2 = f$  on  $W_2$ .

Let  $[M_0, \Omega_1, \Omega_2]$  be the bump associated to the extension element  $[D_1, D_2]$  and  $V_2 \subset\subset U_2 \cap M_0$  a neighborhood of  $\overline{\Omega_2}$  in  $M$ . By Proposition 2.1, there exists  $u \in \mathcal{C}_{p, n-k-1}^\ell(V_2)$  such that  $\overline{\partial}_M u = f$  on  $V_2$ . Hence we get  $\overline{\partial}_M(u_1 - u) = 0$  on  $U_1 \cap V_2$ . We choose a neighborhood  $W_1 \subset U_1 \cap V_2$  of  $\overline{\Omega_1}$  without hole with respect to  $M_0$ , then by Proposition 2.3, we can find a sequence  $(\omega_\nu)_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}} \subset Z_{p, n-k-1}^\ell(M_0)$  which converges to  $u_1 - u$  in the  $\mathcal{C}^\ell$ -topology on  $W_1$ . Let  $V$  be a neighborhood of  $\overline{\Omega_2 \setminus \Omega_1}$  such that  $V \subset V_2 \cap M_0$  and  $V \cap (\overline{D_1 \setminus \Omega_2}) = \emptyset$ , and  $\chi$  a  $\mathcal{C}^{\ell+1}$ -smooth function with compact support in  $V$  equal to 1 on a neighborhood  $\tilde{V}$  of  $\overline{\Omega_2 \setminus \Omega_1}$ . Setting  $v_\nu = (1 - \chi)u_1 + \chi(u + \omega_\nu)$ , we define a sequence  $(v_\nu)_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\mathcal{C}_{p, n-k-1}^\ell(U_1 \cup V)$  such that the sequence  $\overline{\partial}_M v_\nu = f - \overline{\partial}_M \chi \wedge (u_1 - u - \omega_\nu)$  converges to  $f$  in the  $\mathcal{C}^\ell$ -topology on the neighborhood  $\tilde{U}_1 \cup \tilde{V}$  of  $\overline{D_2}$  in  $M$ , where  $\tilde{U}_1$  is a neighborhood of  $\overline{D_1}$  such that  $\tilde{U}_1 \subset U_1$  and  $\tilde{U}_1 \cap V = W_1 \cap V$ . Let  $W_2 \subset\subset \tilde{U}_1 \cup \tilde{V}$  be a neighborhood of  $\overline{D_2}$ . Then, using Proposition 2.4, we get a  $(p, n-k-1)$ -differential form  $u_2$  of class  $\mathcal{C}^\ell$  on  $W_2$  such that  $\overline{\partial}_M u_2 = f$  on  $W_2$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.8** Let  $[D_1, D_2]$  be an extension element in  $M$  such that  $D_1 \subset \subset M$ , then the restriction map

$$\text{germ } Z_{p, n-k-1}^\ell(\overline{D_2}) \longrightarrow \text{germ } Z_{p, n-k-1}^\ell(\overline{D_1})$$

has dense image with respect to uniform convergence of the coefficients and their derivatives up to order  $\ell$  on  $\overline{D_1}$ .

*Proof.* — Let  $U_1$  be an open neighborhood of  $\overline{D}_1$  in  $M$  and  $[M_0, \Omega_1, \Omega_2]$  the bump associated to the extension element  $[D_1, D_2]$ . Let  $f \in Z_{p,n-k-1}^\ell(U_1)$  be given and  $W_1 \subset U_1$  a neighborhood of  $\overline{\Omega}_1$  without hole with respect to  $M_0$ . By Proposition 2.3, there exists a sequence  $(g_\nu)_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}} \subset Z_{p,n-k-1}^\ell(M_0)$  which converges to  $f$  in the  $\mathcal{C}^\ell$ -topology on  $W_1$ . Let  $V$  be a neighborhood of  $\overline{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1$  such that  $V \subset M_0$  and  $V \cap (\overline{D}_1 \setminus \Omega_2) = \emptyset$ , and  $\chi$  a  $\mathcal{C}^{\ell+1}$ -smooth function with compact support in  $V$  equal to 1 on a neighborhood  $\tilde{V}$  of  $\overline{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1$ . Setting  $\tilde{f}_\nu = (1 - \chi)f + \chi g_\nu$ , we define a sequence  $(\tilde{f}_\nu)_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$  of forms of class  $\mathcal{C}^\ell$  on the neighborhood  $U_1 \cup V$  of  $\overline{D}_2$ , which converges to  $f$  in the  $\mathcal{C}^\ell$ -topology on  $\overline{D}_1$ . Moreover, since  $\overline{\partial}_M \tilde{f}_\nu = \overline{\partial}_M \chi \wedge (f - g_\nu)$  the sequence  $(\overline{\partial}_M \tilde{f}_\nu)_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to zero in the  $\mathcal{C}^\ell$ -topology on  $U_2 = \tilde{U}_1 \cup \tilde{V}$ , where  $\tilde{U}_1$  is a neighborhood of  $\overline{D}_1$  such that  $\tilde{U}_1 \subset U_1$  and  $\tilde{U}_1 \cap V = W_1 \cap V$ . As  $D_1 \subset\subset M$ , we can choose a relatively compact neighborhood  $W_2$  of  $\overline{D}_2$  in  $M$  and apply Proposition 2.4. Therefore, there exists a sequence  $(u_\nu)_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{C}_{p,n-k-1}^\ell(\overline{W}_2)$  which converges to zero in the  $\mathcal{C}^\ell$ -topology on  $\overline{W}_2$  and satisfies  $\overline{\partial}_M u_\nu = \overline{\partial}_M \tilde{f}_\nu$ . If  $f_\nu = \tilde{f}_\nu - u_\nu$ , we get a sequence  $(f_\nu)_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}} \subset Z_{p,n-k}^\ell(W_2)$  which converges to  $f$  in the  $\mathcal{C}^\ell$ -topology on  $\overline{D}_1$ .  $\square$

We need now two technical lemmas about the existence of extension elements to jump from one level of an exhausting function on  $M$  to another level.

**Lemma 2.9** *Let  $\varphi$  be a function of class  $\mathcal{C}^2$  on  $M$  and  $z_0$  a non degenerate critical point for  $\varphi$ . Suppose  $\varphi(z_0) = 0$ ,  $\varphi^{-1}(0)$  is compact and  $z_0$  is the only critical point on  $\varphi^{-1}(0)$ . Then there exists a neighborhood  $V_0$  of  $z_0$  in  $M$  such that for all neighborhood  $V \subset\subset V_0$  of  $z_0$  in  $M$ , we can find an extension element  $[D_1, D_2]$  in  $M$  with the following properties:*

- (i)  $D_1 \supset \varphi^{-1}((-\infty, 0]) \setminus V$  ;
- (ii)  $z_0 \in D_2 \setminus \overline{D}_1 \subset V$ .

*Proof.* — If  $z_0$  is a point of local minimum, we choose  $V_0$  so small that  $V_0 \cap \varphi^{-1}((-\infty, 0]) = \emptyset$  and  $M_0 \subset V \subset V_0$  a neighborhood of  $z_0$  satisfying Propositions 2.1 and 2.3. Taking  $\Omega_1 = \emptyset$ ,  $\Omega_2 \subset\subset M_0$  a neighborhood of  $z_0$  and setting  $D_1 = \varphi^{-1}((-\infty, 0])$  and  $D_2 = D_1 \cup \Omega_2$ , we get the required extension element.

Assume now that  $z_0$  is not a point of local minimum. By the Morse lemma, there exist local real coordinates  $(x_1, \dots, x_{2n})$  around  $z_0$  in  $X$  such that  $\varphi = x_1^2 + \dots + x_s^2 - x_{s+1}^2 - \dots - x_{2n-k}^2$ . Let  $V_0$  be a neighborhood of  $z_0$  on which we are in the above situation and  $M_0 \subset\subset V \subset V_0$  the intersection



of  $M$  with a small ball centered in  $z_0$  in holomorphic coordinates around  $z_0$  as in Propositions 2.1 and 2.3. Let  $B$  be a ball centered in  $z_0$  with respect to the Morse coordinates  $(x_1, \dots, x_{2n-k})$  such that  $B \subset M_0$ , and  $U$  a small neighborhood of  $z_0$  relatively compact in  $B$ . Let  $\varepsilon$  be equal to  $\frac{1}{2} \min_{z \in \overline{U}} |\varphi(z)|$ . We choose  $\theta \in \mathcal{D}(U)$  such that  $0 < \theta(z) < \varepsilon$ , if  $z \in U$ , and we set  $\Omega_1 = \{z \in B \mid \varphi(z) + \theta(z) < 0\}$  and  $\Omega_2 = \{z \in B \mid \varphi(z) - \theta(z) < 0\}$ . Then it is clear that  $\Omega_1$  has no hole with respect to  $B$  (it is sufficient to look at the picture in the Morse coordinates) and as the boundary of  $B$  is connected and  $M_0$  has no compact connected component then  $\Omega_1$  has also no hole with respect to  $M_0$ . Smoothing the boundary of  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$  we get a bump  $[M_0, \Omega_1, \Omega_2]$  in  $M$  such that  $D_2 = \varphi^{-1}((-\infty, 0]) \cup \Omega_2$  and  $D_1 = D_2 \setminus (\overline{\Omega_2} \setminus \Omega_1)$  have the required properties.  $\square$

From Lemma 2.9, one easily obtains the following lemma (cp. the proof of Theorem 7.10 in [12]).

**Lemma 2.10** *Let  $\varphi$  be a function of class  $\mathcal{C}^2$  on  $M$  all critical points of which are non degenerate such that the following conditions are fulfilled:*

- (i) *no critical point of  $\varphi$  lies on  $\varphi^{-1}(\{0, 1\})$ ;*
- (ii)  *$\varphi^{-1}([0, 1])$  is compact;*
- (iii)  *$\varphi$  has no point of local maximum in  $\varphi^{-1}(]0, 1[)$ .*

*Then there exists a finite number of extension elements  $[D_j, D_{j+1}]$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, N$ , such that  $D_0 = \varphi^{-1}((-\infty, 0])$  and  $D_{N+1} = \varphi^{-1}((-\infty, 1])$ .*

As an easy consequence of Propositions 2.7 and 2.8 and Lemma 2.10, we obtain the following result:

**Proposition 2.11** *Let  $\varphi$  be a real exhausting function of class  $\mathcal{C}^2$  on  $M$  without local maximum and such that all critical points of  $\varphi$  are non degenerate. Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \varphi(M)$  with  $\alpha < \beta$  and such that no critical point of  $\varphi$  lies on  $\varphi^{-1}(\{\alpha, \beta\})$  and set  $D_\alpha = \varphi^{-1}((-\infty, \alpha])$  and  $D_\beta = \varphi^{-1}((-\infty, \beta])$ .*

- (i) *The restriction map*

$$\text{germ } H_\ell^{p, n-k}(\overline{D}_\beta) \longrightarrow \text{germ } H_\ell^{p, n-k}(\overline{D}_\alpha)$$

*is injective*

(ii) *The restriction map*

$$\text{germ } Z_{p,n-k-1}^\ell(\overline{D}_\beta) \longrightarrow \text{germ } Z_{p,n-k-1}^\ell(\overline{D}_\alpha)$$

*has dense image with respect to uniform convergence of the coefficients and their derivatives up to order  $\ell$  on  $\overline{D}_\alpha$ .*

**Proof of the first assertion of Theorem 0.1** We may now conclude the proof of our Malgrange type theorem in non compact, 1-concave  $CR$  manifolds in the  $\mathcal{C}^\ell$  case,  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Since  $M$  is connected and not compact, by a theorem of Green and Wu [4],  $M$  admits a real exhausting function  $\varphi$  of class  $\mathcal{C}^2$  without local maximum and we may assume that all critical points of  $\varphi$  are non degenerate (cp. e.g. [5]). Let  $z_0$  be a point where  $\varphi$  takes its minimum value. By Proposition 2.1, there exists a neighborhood  $\Omega_0$  of  $z_0$  such that  $H_\ell^{p,n-k}(D) = 0$  for all  $D \subset\subset \Omega_0$ . As  $\varphi$  is an exhausting function on  $M$ , it admits only a finite number of points where  $\varphi$  takes its minimum value. We denote by  $\Omega$  the union of the previous neighborhoods associated to these points and we choose  $\alpha_0 \in \varphi(M)$  such that  $\varphi^{-1}((-\infty, \alpha_0])$  is not empty and contained in  $\Omega$  and  $(\alpha_j)_{j \geq 1} \subset \varphi(M)$  such that no critical point of  $\varphi$  lies on  $\varphi^{-1}(\alpha_j)$ ,  $j \geq 0$ , and if  $D_j = \varphi^{-1}((-\infty, \alpha_j])$ ,  $D_j \subset D_{j+1}$  for  $j \geq 0$  and  $M = \bigcup_{j \geq 0} D_j$ . We deduce from Proposition 2.11 (i) and from the choice of  $D_0$  that, for all  $j \geq 0$ ,

$$\text{germ } H_\ell^{p,n-k}(\overline{D}_j) = 0.$$

Let  $f \in Z_{p,n-k}^\ell(M)$  be given. Then from Proposition 2.11 (ii) we obtain a sequence  $(u_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $u_j \in \text{germ } \mathcal{C}_{p,n-k}^\ell(\overline{D}_j)$ ,  $\bar{\partial}_M u_j = f$  on a neighborhood of  $\overline{D}_j$  and  $\|u_{j+1} - u_j\|_{\ell, \overline{D}_j} \leq \frac{1}{2^j}$ . Hence  $u = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} u_j$  exists, belongs to  $\mathcal{C}_{p,n-k-1}^\ell(M)$ , and solves the equation  $\bar{\partial}_M u = f$  on  $M$ .  $\square$

### 3 Malgrange's theorem in the $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ case

We shall first prove an approximation theorem in 1-concave  $CR$  manifolds, which is a direct consequence of Malgrange's theorem in the  $\mathcal{C}^\ell$ -case. Then we shall use this theorem to get Malgrange's theorem in the  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -case.

Let  $X$  be a complex manifold of complex dimension  $n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ ,  $M$  a connected,  $\mathcal{C}^{2+\ell+1}$ -smooth,  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ , non compact, 1-concave,  $CR$  generic submanifold of real codimension  $k$  in  $X$  and  $p$  an integer,  $0 \leq p \leq n$ .

**Theorem 3.1** *The space  $Z_{p,n-k-1}^{\ell+1}(M)$  is dense in the space  $Z_{p,n-k-1}^{\ell}(M)$  for the topology of uniform convergence of the coefficients and their derivatives up to order  $\ell$  on each compact subset of  $M$ .*

*Proof.* — By the Hahn-Banach theorem, it is sufficient to prove that for any  $T \in \mathcal{E}_{n-p,1}^{\ell}(M)$  such that  $\langle T, \varphi \rangle = 0$  for all  $\varphi \in Z_{p,n-k-1}^{\ell+1}(M)$  we have  $\langle T, \psi \rangle = 0$  for all  $\psi \in Z_{p,n-k-1}^{\ell}(M)$ . Note that the hypothesis on  $T$  implies that  $T$  is  $\bar{\partial}_M$ -closed. We shall prove that  $T$  is  $\bar{\partial}_M$ -exact on  $M$ .

We define a linear form  $L$  on  $\mathcal{C}_{p,n-k}^{\ell+1}(M)$  by setting  $L(\varphi) = \langle T, \psi \rangle$  for  $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_{p,n-k}^{\ell+1}(M)$ , where  $\bar{\partial}_M \psi = \varphi$ . The application  $L$  is well defined since first  $H_{\ell+1}^{p,n-k}(M) = 0$  and consequently all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_{p,n-k}^{\ell+1}(M)$  can be written in the form  $\varphi = \bar{\partial}_M \psi$  with  $\psi \in \mathcal{C}_{p,n-k-1}^{\ell+1}(M)$  and second  $\langle T, \psi \rangle$  is independent of the choice of  $\psi$  satisfying  $\bar{\partial}_M \psi = \varphi$  because  $T|_{Z_{p,n-k-1}^{\ell+1}(M)} = 0$ .

Moreover  $\bar{\partial}_M$  is a closed operator between  $\mathcal{C}_{p,n-k-1}^{\ell+1}(M)$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{p,n-k}^{\ell+1}(M)$  which is surjective since  $H_{\ell+1}^{p,n-k}(M) = 0$ , consequently by the open mapping theorem this implies the continuity of  $L$ . It follows that  $L$  can be represented by a current  $S \in \mathcal{E}_{n-p,0}^{\ell+1}$  which satisfies

$$\langle \bar{\partial}_M S, \varphi \rangle = \langle S, \bar{\partial}_M \varphi \rangle = \langle T, \varphi \rangle$$

for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_{p,n-k-1}^{\infty}(M)$ , i.e.  $\bar{\partial}_M S = T$ . By regularity of  $\bar{\partial}_M$  in bidegree  $(n-p, 1)$ , the  $(n-p, 0)$ -current  $S$  is of order  $\ell$  since  $T$  is of order  $\ell$ .

It remains to prove that  $\langle T, \psi \rangle = 0$  for all  $\psi \in Z_{p,n-k-1}^{\ell}(M)$ . Let  $\psi \in Z_{p,n-k-1}^{\ell}(M)$ . In the same way as at the end of the proof of Proposition 2.3, we can construct a sequence  $(\psi_{\nu})_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $\mathcal{C}^{\ell+1}$ -smooth  $(p, n-k-1)$ -differential forms which converges to  $\psi$  on  $M$  in the  $\mathcal{C}^{\ell}$ -topology and such that the sequence  $(\bar{\partial}_M \psi_{\nu})_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to zero on  $M$  in the  $\mathcal{C}^{\ell}$ -topology. It follows that

$$\langle T, \psi \rangle = \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \langle T, \psi_{\nu} \rangle = \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \langle \bar{\partial}_M S, \psi_{\nu} \rangle = \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \langle S, \bar{\partial}_M \psi_{\nu} \rangle = 0.$$

□

Assume now that  $M$  is  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$ -smooth, we shall prove that  $H_{\infty}^{p,n-k}(M) = 0$ .

*Proof of Malgrange's theorem in the  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$ -case.* — Since  $M$  is connected and not compact, by a theorem of Green and Wu [4],  $M$  admits a real exhausting function  $\varphi$  of class  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$  without local maximum and we may assume that all critical points of  $\varphi$  are non degenerate. Following the proof of the  $\mathcal{C}^{\ell}$ -case we can construct a sequence  $(D_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  of open subsets of  $M$  such that  $D_j \subset D_{j+1}$  and  $M = \bigcup_{j \geq 0} D_j$  and satisfying the following two conditions:

(i)  $\text{germ } H_j^{p,n-k}(\overline{D}_j) = 0$ .

(ii) The restriction map

$$\text{germ } Z_{p,n-k-1}^j(\overline{D}_{j+1}) \longrightarrow \text{germ } Z_{p,n-k-1}^j(\overline{D}_j)$$

has dense image with respect to the  $\mathcal{C}^j$ -topology.

Let  $f \in Z_{p,n-k}^\infty(M)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Then we can construct a sequence  $(u_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $u_j \in \text{germ } \mathcal{C}_{p,n-k-1}^j(\overline{D}_j)$ ,  $\overline{\partial}_M u_j = f$  on a neighborhood of  $\overline{D}_j$  and  $\|u_{j+1} - u_j\|_{\overline{D}_{j,j}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^j}$ . By (i) there exists  $u_0 \in \text{germ } \mathcal{C}_{p,n-k-1}^0(\overline{D}_0)$  such that  $\overline{\partial}_M u_0 = f$  on a neighborhood of  $\overline{D}_0$ . Assume now that we have already constructed  $(u_j)_{0 \leq j \leq j_0}$ . By (i) there exists  $\tilde{u}_{j_0+1} \in \text{germ } \mathcal{C}_{p,n-k-1}^{j_0+1}(\overline{D}_{j_0+1})$  such that  $\overline{\partial}_M \tilde{u}_{j_0+1} = f$  on a neighborhood of  $\overline{D}_{j_0+1}$ . Then  $\tilde{u}_{j_0+1} - u_{j_0} \in \text{germ } Z_{p,n-k-1}^{j_0}(\overline{D}_{j_0+1})$  and by (ii) we can find  $v_{j_0+1} \in \text{germ } Z_{p,n-k-1}^{j_0}(\overline{D}_{j_0+1})$  such that  $\|\tilde{u}_{j_0+1} - u_{j_0} - v_{j_0+1}\|_{\overline{D}_{j_0,j_0}} < \frac{1}{2} \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{j_0}}$ . Moreover by Theorem 3.1, we choose  $\tilde{v}_{j_0+1} \in \text{germ } Z_{p,n-k-1}^{j_0+1}(\overline{D}_{j_0+1})$  with  $\|\tilde{v}_{j_0+1} - v_{j_0+1}\|_{\overline{D}_{j_0+1,j_0}} < \frac{1}{2} \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{j_0}}$ . Setting  $u_{j_0+1} = \tilde{u}_{j_0+1} - \tilde{v}_{j_0+1}$ , then  $u_{j_0+1}$  has the required properties. It follows from the properties of the forms  $u_j$  that the sequence  $(u_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to a form  $u$  uniformly on each compact subset of  $M$  and moreover  $u \in \mathcal{C}_{p,n-k-1}^\infty(M)$  and  $\overline{\partial}_M u = f$  on  $M$ .  $\square$

As a consequence of Malgrange's theorem, we get an approximation theorem which generalizes Theorem 7.2.3 in [1].

**Theorem 3.2** *Let  $M$  be a connected,  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -smooth, non compact, 1 concave, CR generic submanifold of real codimension  $k$  in a complex manifold of complex dimension  $n$ , and  $p$  an integer,  $0 \leq p \leq n$ , then the space  $Z_{p,n-k-1}^\infty(M)$  is dense in the space  $Z_{p,n-k-1}^0(M)$  with respect to uniform convergence on compact subsets of  $M$ .*

*Proof.* — The proof is analogous to the proof of Theorem 3.1, we have only to use that  $H_\infty^{p,n-k}(M)$  vanishes instead of  $H_{\ell+1}^{p,n-k}(M)$  and replace  $\ell$  by zero and  $\ell + 1$  by  $\infty$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] AIRAPETJAN R.A., HENKIN G.M. — *Integral representations of differential forms on Cauchy-Riemann manifolds and the theory of CR-functions*, Russian Math. Survey, **39** (1984), 41–118.

- [2] BARKATOU M.Y. — *Optimal regularity for  $\bar{\partial}_b$  on CR manifolds*, Prépublication de l'Institut Fourier, **374**, 1997.
- [3] DE CARLI L., NACINOVICH M. — *Unique continuation in abstract pseudoconcave CR-manifolds*, Preprint Dipartimento di Matematica, Pisa 1. 177. 1028, April 1997.
- [4] GREEN R.E., WU H. — *Embedding of open Riemannian manifolds by harmonic functions*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) , **25** (1975), 215–235.
- [5] GUILLEMIN V., POLLACK A.. — *Differential Topology*, Prentice-Hall, 1974.
- [6] HENKIN G.M. — *Solution des équations de Cauchy-Riemann tangentielles sur des variétés de Cauchy-Riemann  $q$ -convexes*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.**292** (1981), 27–30.
- [7] HENKIN G.M. — *The Hartogs-Bochner effect on CR manifolds*, Soviet. Math. Dokl. **29** (1984), 78–82.
- [8] HENKIN G.M., LEITERER J. — *Theory of functions on complex manifolds*, Birkhäuser Verlag, 1984.
- [9] HILL C.D., NACINOVICH M. — *Pseudoconcave CR manifolds*, Complex Analysis and Geometry, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. **173**, Marcel Dekker, New York (1996), 275–297.
- [10] KOHN J.-J., ROSSI H. — *On the extension of holomorphic functions from the boundary of a complex manifold*, Ann. of Math. **81** (1965), 451–472.
- [11] LAURENT-THIÉBAUT CH. — *Résolution du  $\bar{\partial}_b$  à support compact et phénomène de Hartogs-Bochner dans les variétés CR*, Proc. of Symp. in Pure Math. **52** (1991), 239–249.
- [12] LAURENT-THIÉBAUT CH., LEITERER J. — *Andreotti-Grauert theory on real hypersurfaces*, Quaderni della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, 1995.
- [13] MALGRANGE B. — *Faisceaux sur des variétés analytiques réelles*, Bull. Soc. Math. de France **85** (1957), 231–237.
- [14] TUMANOV A.E. — *Extension of CR functions into a wedge from a manifold of finite type*, Math. USSR - Sb - **64** (1989), 129–140.

**Institut Fourier**

UMR 5582 CNRS-UJF  
 Laboratoire de Mathématiques  
 Université de Grenoble I  
 B.P. 74  
 F-38402 St-Martin d'Hères Cedex

**Institut für Mathematik**

Humboldt-Universität  
 Ziegelstrasse 13 A  
 D-10117 Berlin (Allemagne)