

# NON-ARCHIMEDEAN MELLIN TRANSFORM AND $p$ -ADIC $L$ -FUNCTIONS

by A.A. PANCHISHKIN

The purpose of this paper is to describe some new general constructions of  $p$ -adic  $L$ -functions attached to certain arithmetically defined complex  $L$ -functions. These constructions are based on the use of the  $p$ -adic Mellin transform. We explain that these constructions are equivalent to proving some generalized Kummer congruences for critical special values of these complex  $L$ -functions. The paper is based on a talk of the author in the French-Vietnamese Colloquium on Mathematics held in Ho Chi Minh City from March 3 to March 8, 1997. A part of the work was done in MSRI in 1995 [PaMSRI].

## 1. Kummer congruences and $p$ -adic integration

The starting point in the theory of complex and  $p$ -adic  $L$ -functions is the expansion of the Riemann zeta-function  $\zeta(s)$  into the Euler product:

$$\zeta(s) = \prod_p (1 - p^{-s})^{-1} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s} \quad (\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1).$$

The set of arguments  $s$  for which  $\zeta(s)$  is defined can be extended to all  $s \in \mathbf{C}$ ,  $s \neq 1$ , and we may regard  $\mathbf{C}$  as the group of all continuous quasicharacters

$$\mathbf{C} = \operatorname{Hom}(\mathbf{R}_+^\times, \mathbf{C}^\times), \quad y \mapsto y^s$$

of  $\mathbf{R}_+^\times$ . The special values  $\zeta(1 - k)$  at negative integers are rational numbers:

$$\zeta(1 - k) = -\frac{B_k}{k} \quad (k \geq 1)$$

where  $B_k$  are Bernoulli numbers.

The proof of these facts which is due to Riemann is based on the *Mellin transform*: the construction which associates to a function  $h(y)$  on  $\mathbf{R}_+^\times$  (with certain growth conditions for  $y \rightarrow \infty$  and  $y \rightarrow 0$ ) the integral

$$L_h(s) = \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^\times} h(y) y^s \frac{dy}{y}$$

(which probably converges not for all values of  $s$ ). For example, if  $\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s}$  is the Riemann zeta function, then the function  $\zeta(s)\Gamma(s)$  is the Mellin transform of the function  $h(y) = 1/(1 - e^{-y})$ :

$$\zeta(s)\Gamma(s) = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - e^{-y}} y^s \frac{dy}{y},$$

so that the integral and the series are absolutely convergent for  $\text{Re}(s) > 1$ . This identity is immediately deduced from the well known integral representation for the gamma-function

$$\Gamma(s) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-y} y^s \frac{dy}{y}, \quad n^{-s}\Gamma(s) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-ny} y^s \frac{dy}{y} \quad (\text{Re}(s) > 0)$$

where  $\frac{dy}{y}$  is a measure on the group  $\mathbf{R}_+^{\times}$  which is invariant under the group translations (Haar measure). The idea of Riemann was to replace the integral  $\int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - e^{-y}} y^s \frac{dy}{y}$  by a certain contour integral giving an analytic continuation to all  $s \in \mathbf{C}$ . The above formulas for  $\zeta(1 - k)$  are obtained using the Taylor expansion of the function

$$\frac{y e^y}{e^y - 1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_k y^k}{k!}$$

and an evaluation of such a contour integral in terms of residues.

For an arbitrary function of the type

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n) e^{2\pi i n z}$$

with  $z = x + iy \in \mathfrak{H}$  in the upper half plane  $\mathfrak{H}$  and with the growth condition  $a(n) = \mathcal{O}(n^c)$  ( $c > 0$ ) on its Fourier coefficients, we see that the zeta function

$$L(s, f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n) n^{-s},$$

essentially coincides with the Mellin transform of  $f(z)$ , that is

$$\frac{\Gamma(s)}{(2\pi)^s} L(s, f) = \int_0^{\infty} f(iy) y^s \frac{dy}{y}.$$

Both sides of this equality converge absolutely for  $\text{Re}(s) > 1 + c$ .

The numbers  $\zeta(1 - k)$  have remarkable integrality properties: by the classical Sylvester-Lipschitz theorem we know that

$$c \in \mathbf{Z} \text{ implies } c^k (c^k - 1) \frac{B_k}{k} \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

The proof [Mi-Sta] uses the function

$$f(y) = \frac{e^{cy} - 1}{e^y - 1} = e^{(c-1)y} + \dots + e^y + 1, \quad c \geq 1,$$

and the Taylor expansion of the function

$$\frac{d}{dy} \log \left( \frac{e^{cy} - 1}{e^y - 1} \right) = \frac{f'(y)}{f(y)} = c - 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (c^k - 1) \frac{B_k y^{k-1}}{k!}.$$

One sees on one hand that  $f(0) = c$  and  $f^{(k)}(0) \in \mathbf{Z}$ , and on the other hand

$$(c^k - 1) \frac{B_k}{k} = \frac{d^{k-1}}{dy^{k-1}} \frac{d}{dy} \log f(y) \Big|_{y=0}.$$

The result follows from the identity:

$$\frac{d^{k-1}}{dy^{k-1}} \frac{d}{dy} \log f(y) = \frac{d^{k-1}}{dy^{k-1}} \left( \frac{f'(y)}{f(y)} \right) = \frac{P(f, f', \dots, f^{(k-1)})}{f(y)^k} \quad (k \geq 1)$$

where  $P(X_1, \dots, X_k) \in \mathbf{Z}[X_1, \dots, X_k]$  a universal polynomial with integral coefficients (this is easily proved by induction).

The theory of non-Archimedean zeta-functions originates in the work of Kubota and Leopoldt containing  $p$ -adic interpolation of these special values. Their construction turns out to be equivalent to classical Kummer congruences for the Bernoulli numbers, which we recall here in the following form.

**THEOREM (Kummer).** — *Let  $p$  be a fixed prime number,  $c > 1$  an integer prime to  $p$ . Put*

$$\zeta_{(p)}^{(c)}(-k) = (1 - p^k)(1 - c^{k+1})\zeta(-k)$$

and let  $h(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i x^i \in \mathbf{Z}[x]$  be a polynomial over  $\mathbf{Z}$  such that

$$(n, p) = 1 \implies h(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^N}.$$

Then we have that

$$\sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i \zeta_{(p)}^{(c)}(-i) \in p^N \mathbf{Z}_p.$$

Note that  $\zeta_{(p)}^{(c)}(-k) \in \mathbf{Z}_p \cap \mathbf{Q}$  is  $p$ -integral due to the theorem of Sylvester-Lipschitz and the theorem of Kummer implies in particular that the numbers  $\zeta_{(p)}^{(c)}(-k)$  depend continuously on  $k$  in the  $p$ -adic sense: if we take  $h(x) = x^{k'} - x^k$  with  $k' \equiv k \pmod{(p-1)p^N}$  we have by Euler's theorem that  $h(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{(p-1)p^N}$ , and the theorem implies that

$$\zeta_{(p)}^{(c)}(-k') \equiv \zeta_{(p)}^{(c)}(-k) \pmod{(p-1)p^N}.$$

*Proof.* — *The proof of the theorem is deduced from the known formula for the sum of  $k$ -th powers:*

$$S_k(N) = \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} n^k = \frac{1}{k+1} [B_{k+1}(N) - B_{k+1}]$$

in which  $B_k(x) = (x + B)^k = \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} B_i x^{k-i}$  denotes the Bernoulli polynomial. Indeed, all summands in  $S_k(N)$  depend  $p$ -adically on  $k$ , if we restrict ourselves to numbers  $n$ , prime to  $p$ , so that the desired congruence follows if we express the numbers  $\zeta_{(p)}^{(c)}(-k)$  in terms of Bernoulli numbers. More precisely we express the Bernoulli numbers in terms of  $S_k(N)$ :

$$B_k = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{p^m} S_k(p^m),$$

(the  $p$ -adic limit) which follows directly from the above formula for  $S_k(N)$ . Consider now the sum  $S_k(p^m) = \sum_{n=1}^{p^m-1} n^k$ . For each  $n$  with  $(p, n) = 1$  we have the congruence  $h(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^N}$ . Let

$$S_k^*(p^m) = \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ (n,p)=1}}^{p^m-1} n^k = S_k(p^m) - p^k S_k(p^{m-1}).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{p^m} S_k^*(p^m) &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{p^m} [S_k(p^m) - p^k S_k(p^{m-1})] = \\ \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{p^m} S_k(p^m) - p^{k-1} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{p^m} S_k(p^{m-1}) &= (1 - p^{k-1}) B_k. \end{aligned}$$

In order to prove the congruence

$$\sum_i \alpha_i \zeta_{(p)}^{(c)}(-i) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^N}$$

where  $\zeta_{(p)}^{(c)}(-k) = (1 - p^k)(1 - c^{k+1})\zeta(-k)$ , we rewrite it as

$$\sum_i \alpha_i (1 - p^i)(1 - c^{i+1}) \frac{B_{i+1}}{i+1} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^N},$$

and we choose  $m > N$  such that

$$(1 - p^{k-1}) B_k \equiv \frac{1}{p^m} S_k^*(p^{m-1}) \pmod{p^N}.$$

then the left hand side transforms to

$$\sum_i \alpha_i (1 - c^{i+1}) \frac{1}{i+1} \frac{S_k^*(p^m)}{p^m} \equiv \sum_i \alpha_i \frac{1}{i+1} \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ (n,p)=1}}^{p^m-1} \frac{n^{i+1} - (nc)^{i+1}}{p^m} \pmod{p^N}$$

where  $n_c \in \{1, 2, \dots, p^m - 1\}$  with  $n_c \equiv nc \pmod{p^m}$ . Write  $t = \frac{n_c - (nc)}{p^m} \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,

$$n_c^{i+1} - (nc)^{i+1} = (nc + p^m t)^{i+1} - (nc)^{i+1} \equiv (i+1)p^m t (nc)^i \pmod{p^{2m}}.$$

We see that the left hand side becomes

$$\sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ (n,p)=1}}^{p^m-1} \frac{n_c - (nc)}{p^m} \sum_i \alpha_i (nc)^i \pmod{p^m}.$$

and it remains to notice that  $\sum_i \alpha_i(nc)^i \equiv 0 \pmod{p^N}$ ,  $m > N$  Q.E.D.

The domain of definition of  $p$ -adic zeta functions is the  $p$ -adic analytic Lie group

$$X_p = \text{Hom}_{\text{contin}}(\mathbf{Z}_p^\times, \mathbf{C}_p^\times)$$

of all continuous  $p$ -adic characters of the profinite group  $\mathbf{Z}_p^\times$ , where  $\mathbf{C}_p = \widehat{\mathbf{Q}}_p$  denotes the Tate field (completion of an algebraic closure of the  $p$ -adic field  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ ), so that all integers  $k$  can be regarded as the characters  $x_p^k : y \mapsto y^k$ . The construction of Kubota and Leopoldt is equivalent to existence a  $p$ -adic analytic function  $\zeta_p : X_p \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_p$  with a single pole at the point  $x = x_p^{-1}$ , which becomes a bounded holomorphic function on  $X_p$  after multiplication by the elementary factor  $(x_p x - 1)$  ( $x \in X_p$ ), and this function is *uniquely determined* by the condition

$$\zeta_p(x_p^k) = (1 - p^k)\zeta(-k) \quad (k \geq 1).$$

This result has a very natural interpretation in framework of the theory of non-Archimedean integration (due to Mazur). We recall that a  $p$ -adic measure  $\mu$  on a profinite group  $G = \varprojlim_i G_i$  ( $i \in I$ ) is a bounded  $\mathbf{C}_p$ -linear form on  $\mathcal{C}(G, \mathbf{C}_p)$ , notation:  $\mu \in \text{Meas}(G, \mathbf{C}_p)$ . Then the theorem of Kummer is equivalent to the fact that there exists a  $p$ -adic measure  $\mu^{(c)}$  on  $\mathbf{Z}_p^\times$  with values in  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  such that  $\int_{\mathbf{Z}_p^\times} x_p^k \mu^{(c)} = \zeta_{(p)}^{(c)}(-k)$ . Indeed, if we integrate  $h(x)$  over  $\mathbf{Z}_p^\times$  we exactly get the above congruence. On the other hand, in order to define a measure  $\mu^{(c)}$  satisfying the above condition it suffices for any continuous function  $\phi : \mathbf{Z}_p^\times \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_p$  to define its integral  $\int_{\mathbf{Z}_p^\times} \phi(x) \mu^{(c)}$ . For this purpose we approximate  $\phi(x)$  by a polynomial (for which the integral is already defined), and then pass to the limit. The Kummer congruences guarantee that the limit is well defined.

## 2. The non-Archimedean Mellin transform

Let  $\mu$  be a (bounded)  $\mathbf{C}_p$ -valued measure on  $\mathbf{Z}_p^\times$ . Then the *non-Archimedean Mellin transform* of a measure  $\mu$  is defined by

$$L_\mu(x) = \mu(x) = \int_{\mathbf{Z}_p^\times} x \, d\mu \quad (x \in X_p),$$

which represents a bounded  $\mathbf{C}_p$ -analytic function

$$L_\mu : X_p \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_p.$$

Indeed, the boundedness of the function  $L_\mu$  is obvious since all characters  $x \in X_p$  take values in  $\mathcal{O}_p$  and  $\mu$  is also bounded. The analyticity of this function expresses a general property of the integral, namely, that it depends analytically on the parameter  $x \in X_p$ .

However, there is a pure algebraic proof of this fact which is based on a description of the Iwasawa algebra. This description also implies that every bounded  $\mathbf{C}_p$ -analytic function on  $X_p$  is the Mellin transform of a certain measure  $\mu$ .

*The Iwasawa algebra.* Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be a closed subring in  $\mathcal{O}_p = \{z \in \mathbf{C}_p \mid |z|_p \leq 1\}$ , and let  $G = \varprojlim_i G_i$  ( $i \in I$ ) be a profinite group. Then the canonical homomorphism  $G_i \xleftarrow{\pi_{ij}} G_j$  induces a homomorphism of the corresponding group rings

$$\mathcal{O}[G_i] \longleftarrow \mathcal{O}[G_j].$$

Then *the completed group ring*  $\mathcal{O}[[G]]$  is defined as the projective limit

$$\mathcal{O}[[G]] = \varprojlim_i \mathcal{O}[[G_i]] \quad (i \in I).$$

Let us consider also the set  $\text{Distr}(G, \mathcal{O})$  of all  $\mathcal{O}$ -valued distributions on  $G$  (finite-additive functions on open-compact subsets of  $G$  with values in  $\mathcal{O}$ , which itself is an  $\mathcal{O}$ -module and a ring with respect to multiplication given by *the convolution of distributions*, which is defined in terms of families of functions

$$\mu_1^{(i)}, \mu_2^{(i)} : G_i \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$$

(see the previous section) as follows:

$$(\mu_1 * \mu_2)^{(i)}(y) = \sum_{y=y_1 y_2} \mu_1^{(i)}(y_1) \mu_2^{(i)}(y_2) \quad (y_1, y_2 \in G_i)$$

Then  $\text{Meas}(G, \mathbf{C}_p) = \text{Distr}(G, \mathcal{O}_p) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_p} \mathbf{C}_p$  and

$$\int_G \phi(y) (\mu_1 * \mu_2)(y) = \int_G \phi(y_1 y_2) \mu_1(y_1) \mu_2(y_2).$$

Now we describe an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebras  $\mathcal{O}[[G]]$  and  $\text{Distr}(G, \mathcal{O})$ . In the case when  $G = \mathbf{Z}_p$  the algebra  $\mathcal{O}[[G]]$  is called *the Iwasawa algebra*.

**THEOREM** (see [PaLNM], Ch.1).

(a) *There is the canonical isomorphism of  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebras*

$$\text{Distr}(G, \mathcal{O}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}[[G]];$$

(b) *If  $G = \mathbf{Z}_p$  then there is an isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{O}[[G]] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}[[X]],$$

where  $\mathcal{O}[[X]]$  is the ring of formal power series in  $X$  over  $\mathcal{O}$ . The isomorphism depends on a choice of the topological generator of the group  $G = \mathbf{Z}_p$ .

In order to prove this result one needs to construct a measure (an  $\mathcal{O}$ -valued distribution) attached to a power series in  $\mathcal{O}[[X]]$ . A convenient tool to construct  $p$ -adic measures is given by the following

**THEOREM** (abstract Kummer congruences, see [KaCM], p.258). — Let  $\{f_i\}$  be a system of continuous functions  $f_i \in \mathcal{C}(G, \mathcal{O}_p)$  in the ring  $\mathcal{C}(G, \mathcal{O}_p)$  of all continuous functions on a profinite group  $G$  with values in the ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}_p$  of  $\mathbb{C}_p$  such that  $\mathbb{C}_p$ -linear span of  $\{f_i\}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{C}(G, \mathbb{C}_p)$ . Let also  $\{a_i\}$  be any system of elements  $a_i \in \mathcal{O}_p$ . Then the existence of an  $\mathcal{O}_p$ -valued measure  $\mu$  on  $G$  with the property

$$\int_G f_i d\mu = a_i$$

is equivalent to the following congruences: for an arbitrary choice of elements  $b_i \in \mathbb{C}_p$  almost all of which vanish

$$\sum_i b_i f_i(y) \in p^n \mathcal{O}_p \text{ for all } y \in G \text{ implies } \sum_i b_i a_i \in p^n \mathcal{O}_p.$$

*Remark.* — Since  $\mathbb{C}_p$ -measures are characterized as bounded  $\mathbb{C}_p$ -valued distributions, every  $\mathbb{C}_p$ -measure on  $G$  becomes a  $\mathcal{O}_p$ -valued measure after multiplication by some non-zero constant.

*Proof.* — The necessity is obvious since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i b_i a_i &= \int_G (p^n \mathcal{O}_p\text{-valued function}) d\mu \\ &= p^n \int_G (\mathcal{O}_p\text{-valued function}) d\mu \in p^n \mathcal{O}_p. \end{aligned}$$

In order to prove the sufficiency we need to construct a measure  $\mu$  from the numbers  $a_i$ . For a function  $f \in \mathcal{C}(G, \mathcal{O}_p)$  and a positive integer  $n$  there exist elements  $b_i \in \mathbb{C}_p$  such that only a finite number of  $b_i$  does not vanish, and

$$f = \sum_i b_i f_i \in p^n \mathcal{C}(G, \mathcal{O}_p)$$

according to the density of the  $\mathbb{C}_p$ -span of  $\{f_i\}$  in  $\mathcal{C}(G, \mathbb{C}_p)$ . By the assumption the value  $\sum_i a_i b_i$  belongs to  $\mathcal{O}_p$  and is well defined modulo  $p^n$  (i.e. does not depend on the choice of  $b_i$ ). We denote this value by “ $\int_Y f d\mu \pmod{p^n}$ ”. Then we have that the limit procedure

$$\int_G f d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_G f d\mu \pmod{p^n} \right) \in \varprojlim_n \mathcal{O}_p / p^n \mathcal{O}_p = \mathcal{O}_p,$$

gives the measure  $\mu$ .

*Formulas for coefficients of power series.* We have noticed above that  $\mathbb{C}_p$ -analytic bounded functions on  $X_p$  can be described in terms of measures. Indeed, these functions are defined on analyticity components of the decomposition  $X_p$  as certain power series with  $p$ -adically bounded coefficients, that is, power series, whose coefficients belong to

$\mathcal{O}_p$  after multiplication by some non-zero constant from  $\mathbf{C}_p^\times$ . We give a direct computation of these coefficients in terms of the corresponding measures. Let us consider the decomposition  $\mathbf{Z}_p^\times \cong \Delta \times \Gamma$  where  $\Delta = (\mathbf{Z}/p^v\mathbf{Z})^\times$ ,  $\Gamma = (1 + p^v\mathbf{Z}_p)^\times$ , where  $v = 1$  for  $p > 2$  and  $v = 2$  for  $p = 2$ . Then the group  $\Gamma \cong \mathbf{Z}_p$  is topologically cyclic with a generator  $\gamma = 1 + p^v$ . Consider  $a \in \Delta$ , and let  $\mu_a(x) = \mu(ax)$  be the corresponding measure on  $\Gamma$  defined by restriction of  $\mu$  to the subset  $a\Gamma \subset \mathbf{Z}_p^\times$ . Consider the isomorphism  $a\Gamma \cong \mathbf{Z}_p$  given by

$$y = a\gamma^x \quad (x \in \mathbf{Z}_p, y \in \Gamma).$$

Let  $\mu'_a$  be the corresponding measure on  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ . Then this measure is uniquely determined by values of the integrals

$$\int_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \binom{x}{i} d\mu'_a(x) = a_i,$$

with the interpolation polynomials  $\binom{x}{i}$ , since the  $\mathbf{C}_p$ -span of the family

$$\left\{ \binom{x}{i} \right\} \quad (i \in \mathbf{Z}, i \geq 0)$$

is dense in  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{Z}_p, \mathcal{O}_p)$  according to the Mahler's interpolation theorem which says that any continuous function  $f : \mathbf{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}_p$  can be written in the form:

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \binom{x}{n},$$

with  $a_n \rightarrow 0$  ( $p$ -adically) for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . For a function  $f(x)$  defined for  $x \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $x \geq 0$  one can write formally

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \binom{x}{n},$$

where the coefficients can be found from the following system of linear equations

$$f(n) = \sum_{m=0}^n a_m \binom{n}{m},$$

that is

$$a_m = \sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^{m-i} \binom{m}{i} f(i).$$

This property of the interpolation polynomials implies that

$$\sum_i b_i \binom{x}{i} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^n} \quad (\text{for all } x \in \mathbf{Z}_p) \implies b_i \equiv 0 \pmod{p^n}.$$

We can now apply the abstract Kummer congruences, which imply that for arbitrary choice of numbers  $a_i \in \mathcal{O}_p$  there exists a measure with the desired property.



On the other hand we state that the Mellin transform  $L_{\mu_a}$  of the measure  $\mu_a$  is given by the power series  $F_a(t)$ , that is

$$F_a(t) = \int_{\Gamma} \chi_{(t)}(y) d\mu(ay) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left( \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \binom{x}{i} d\mu'_a(x) \right) (t-1)^i$$

for all characters of the form  $\chi_{(t)}$ ,  $\chi_{(t)}(y) = t$ ,  $|t-1|_p < 1$ . It suffices to show that this identity is valid for all characters of the type  $y \mapsto y^m$ , where  $m$  is a positive integer. In order to do this we use the binomial expansion

$$y^{mx} = (1 + (y^m - 1))^x = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{x}{i} (y^m - 1)^i,$$

which implies that

$$\int_{\Gamma} y^m d\mu(ay) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} y^{mx} d\mu'_a(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left( \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \binom{x}{i} d\mu'_a(x) \right) (y^m - 1)^i,$$

establishing the formulas for the coefficients of  $F_a(t)$ .

*Example.* — *The  $p$ -adic Mazur measure and the non-Archimedean Kubota-Leopoldt zeta function.* Consider again a positive integer  $c \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \cap \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $c > 1$  coprime to  $p$ . Then for each complex number  $s \in \mathbb{C}$  there exists a complex distribution  $\mu_p^c$  on  $G_p = \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$  which is uniquely determined by the following condition

$$\mu_p^c(\chi) = (1 - \chi^{-1}(c)c^{-1-s})(1 - \chi(p)p^s)L(-s, \chi).$$

The right hand side of is holomorphic for all  $s \in \mathbb{C}$  including  $s = -1$ . If  $s = k \geq 0$  is a natural number then the right hand side belongs to the field

$$\mathbf{Q}(\chi) \subset \mathbf{Q}^{\text{ab}} \subset \overline{\mathbf{Q}}$$

generated by values of the character  $\chi$ , and we get a distribution with values in  $\mathbf{Q}^{\text{ab}}$ . If we now apply the fixed embedding  $i_p : \overline{\mathbf{Q}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$  we get a  $\mathbb{C}_p$ -valued distribution  $\mu^{(c)} = i_p(\mu_0^c)$  which turns out to be an  $\mathcal{O}_p$ -measure, and the following equality holds

$$\mu^{(c)}(\chi x_p^r) = i_p(\mu_r^c(\chi)).$$

This identity is verified as above using the abstract Kummer congruences for characters  $\chi(y)y^k$ ; it relates the special values of the Dirichlet  $L$ -functions at different non-positive points. The function

$$\zeta_p(x) = (1 - c^{-1}x(c)^{-1})^{-1} L_{\mu^{(c)}}(x) \quad (x \in X_p)$$

is well defined and it is holomorphic on  $X_p$  with the exception of a simple pole at the point  $x = x_p \in X_p$ , and we have that

$$\zeta_p(\chi(y)y^k) = (1 - \chi(p)p^k)L(-k, \chi) \quad (k \geq 0, \chi \in X_p^{\text{tors}}).$$

The function  $\zeta_p$  is called the *non-Archimedean zeta function of Kubota-Leopoldt*. The corresponding measure  $\mu^{(c)}$  is called the *p-adic Mazur measure*.

The original construction of Kubota and Leopoldt was successfully used by Iwasawa for the description of the class groups of cyclotomic fields. According to a conjecture of Iwasawa proved by Mazur and Wiles in 1984 [Maz-Wi1], the power series representing  $\zeta_p$  describes the structure of  $p$ -ideal class groups in certain cyclotomic extensions of  $\mathbf{Q}$  as Galois modules over  $Z_p^\times = \text{Gal}(\mathbf{Q}^{\text{ab}}(p, \infty)/\mathbf{Q})$  where  $\mathbf{Q}^{\text{ab}}(p, \infty) = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt[p^\infty]{1})$  is the maximal abelian extension of  $\mathbf{Q}$  unramified outside of  $p$  and  $\infty$ . Since then the class of functions admitting  $p$ -adic analogues has gradually extended.

### 3. Admissible measures

Now we recall the notion of the  $h$ -admissible measures on  $G_p$  and properties of their Mellin transform. These Mellin transform are certain  $p$ -adic analytic functions on the  $\mathbf{C}_p$ -analytic Lie group  $X_p$ . Recall that a  $p$ -adic measure on  $G_p$  may be regarded as a bounded  $\mathbf{C}_p$ -linear form  $\mu$  on the space  $\mathcal{C}(G_p)$  of all continuous  $\mathbf{C}_p$ -valued functions

$$\varphi \rightarrow \mu(\varphi) = \int_{G_p} d\mu \in \mathbf{C}_p, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{C}(G_p),$$

which is uniquely determined by its restriction to the subspace  $\mathcal{C}^1(G_p)$  of locally constant functions. We denote by  $\mu(a + (Q))$  the value of  $\mu$  on the characteristic function of the set

$$a + (Q) = \{x \in G_p \mid x \equiv a \pmod{Q}\} \subset G_p.$$

The Mellin transform  $L_\mu$  of  $\mu$  is a bounded analytic function

$$L_\mu : X_p \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_p, \quad L_\mu(\chi) = \int_{G_p} \chi d\mu \in \mathbf{C}_p, \quad \chi \in X_p,$$

on  $X_p$ , which is uniquely determined by its values  $L_\mu(\chi)$  for the characters  $\chi \in \mathcal{X}_S^{\text{tors}}$ .

A more delicate notion of an  $h$ -admissible measure was introduced by Amice-Vélu and Višik (see [Am-V]). Let  $\mathcal{C}^h(G_p)$  denote the space of  $\mathbf{C}_p$ -valued functions which can be locally represented by polynomials of degree less than a natural number  $h$  of the variable  $x_p \in X_p$  introduced above.

DEFINITION. — A  $\mathbf{C}_p$ -linear form

$$\mu : \mathcal{C}^h(G_p) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_p$$

is called  $h$ -admissible measure if for all  $a \in G_p$  and for all  $r = 0, 1, \dots, h - 1$  the following growth condition is satisfied

$$\left| \sup_{a \in G_p} \int_{a+(Q)} (x_p - a_p)^r d\mu \right| = o(|Q|_p^{r-h})$$

It is known due to Amice-Vélu and Višik that each  $h$ -admissible measure can be uniquely extended to a linear form on the  $\mathbf{C}_p$ -space of all locally analytic functions so that one can associate to its Mellin transform

$$L_\mu : X_p \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_p, \quad L_\mu(\chi) = \int_{G_p} \chi d\mu \in \mathbf{C}_p, \quad \chi \in X_p,$$

which is a  $\mathbf{C}_p$ -analytic function on  $X_p$  of the type  $o(\log x_p^h)$ . Moreover, the measure  $\mu$  is uniquely determined by the special values of the type

$$L_\mu(\chi x_p^r) \quad (\chi \in X_p, r = 0, 1, \dots, h - 1).$$

## 4. Further generalizations

$L$ -functions (of complex variable) can be attached as certain Euler products to various objects such as diophantine equations, representations of Galois groups, modular forms etc., and they play a crucial role in modern number theory. Deep interrelations between these objects discovered in last decades are based on identities for the corresponding  $L$ -functions which presumably all fit into a general concept of the Langlands of  $L$ -functions associated with automorphic representations of a reductive group  $G$  over a number field  $K$ .

From this point of view the study of arithmetic properties of these zeta function is becoming especially important. The major sources of such  $L$ -functions are:

1) *Galois representations* of  $G_K = \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$  for algebraic number fields  $K$ ,  $r : G_K \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ ,  $V$  a finite dimensional vector space, and one can attach to  $r$  an Euler product due to Artin.

2) *Algebraic varieties*  $X$  defined over an algebraic number field  $K$ . In this case one can attach to  $X/K$  its Hasse-Weil zeta function.

3) *Automorphic forms and automorphic representations*. In the classical case one associates to a modular form  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \exp(2\pi inz)$  its Mellin transform  $L(s, f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s}$ . In general an automorphic form generates an automorphic representations in

the space of smooth functions over an adelic reductive group, and one can attach an Euler product to it using a decomposition of such a representation into a tensor product indexed by prime numbers  $p$  and  $\infty$ .

Conjecturally, all the three type of  $L$ -functions can be related to each other using a general theory of motives over  $\mathbf{Q}$  with coefficients in a number field  $T$ ,  $[T : \mathbf{Q}] < \infty$  (this field coincides with the field  $\mathbf{Q}(\{a_n\}_{n \geq 1})$  generated by the coefficients of the corresponding  $L$ -function  $L(M, s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s}$ .) For a fixed prime number  $p$  one can also attach in many cases to the above complex  $L$ -function a  $p$ -adic  $L$ -function. These  $p$ -adic  $L$ -functions are certain analytic functions in a  $p$ -adic domain obtained by an interpolation procedure of certain special values of the corresponding complex analytic  $L$ -functions. Their existence is equivalent to certain generalized Kummer congruences for the special values.

## 5. Non-archimedean $L$ -functions of Jacquet-Langlands

These  $L$ -functions correspond to certain automorphic representations on the group  $G = \mathrm{GL}_2$  and  $G = \mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathrm{GL}_2$  over a totally real field  $F$ , and they reduce to zeta functions of the form

$$L(s, \mathfrak{f}) = \sum_{\mathfrak{n}} C(\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{f}) \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{n})^{-s}, \quad L(s, \mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g}) = \sum_{\mathfrak{n}} C(\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{f}) C(\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{g}) \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{n})^{-s},$$

where  $\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g}$  are Hilbert automorphic forms of “holomorphic type” over  $F$ , where  $C(\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{f}), C(\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{g})$  are their normalized Fourier coefficients (indexed by integral ideals  $\mathfrak{n}$  of the maximal order  $\mathcal{O}_F \subset F$ ) which also coincide with the eigenvalues of Hecke operators  $T(\mathfrak{m})$ . One can regard  $\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g}$  as functions on the adelic group  $G_{\mathbf{A}} = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_F)$ , where  $\mathbf{A}_F$  is the ring of adèles of  $F$  and we suppose that  $\mathfrak{f}$  is a primitive cusp form of scalar weight  $k \geq 2$ , of conductor  $\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{f}) \subset \mathcal{O}_F$ , and the character  $\psi$  and  $\mathfrak{g}$  a primitive cusp form of weight  $l < k$ , the conductor  $\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{g})$ , and the character  $\omega$ , ( $\psi, \omega : \mathbf{A}_F^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{\times}$  are Hecke characters of finite order). The non-Archimedean construction is based on the algebraic properties of the special values of the function  $L(s, \mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g})$  at the points  $s = l, \dots, k-1$  up to some constant, which is expressed in terms of the Petersson inner product  $\langle \mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{f} \rangle$  of the automorphic form  $\mathfrak{f}$ . Our theorem on non-Archimedean interpolation is equivalent to certain generalized Kummer congruences for these special values.

We need some more notation for the precise formulation of the result (in a simplified form). Let  $\psi^*, \omega^*$  be the characters of the ideal group of  $F$  associated with  $\psi, \omega$  and

let

$$L_{\mathfrak{c}}(s, \psi\omega) = \sum_{\mathfrak{n}+\mathfrak{c}=\mathcal{O}_F} \psi^*(\mathfrak{n})\omega^*(\mathfrak{n})\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{n})^{-s} = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}+\mathfrak{c}=\mathcal{O}_F} (1 - \psi^*(\mathfrak{p})\omega^*(\mathfrak{p})\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{p})^{-s})^{-1}$$

be the corresponding Hecke  $L$ -function with  $\mathfrak{c} = \mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{f})\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{g})$ . We now define the normalized Rankin zeta function by setting

$$\Psi(s, \mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g}) = \gamma_n(s)L_{\mathfrak{c}}(2s + 2 - k - l, \psi\omega)L(s, \mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g}),$$

where  $n = [F : \mathbf{Q}]$  is the degree of  $F$ ,

$$\gamma_n(s) = (2\pi)^{-2ns}\Gamma(s)^n\Gamma(s + 1 - l)^n$$

is the gamma-factor. Then the function  $\Psi(s, \mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g})$  admits a holomorphic analytic continuation onto the entire complex plane and it satisfies a certain functional equation. Put  $\Omega(\mathfrak{f}) = \langle \mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{f} \rangle_{\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{f})}$ , then we know due to Shimura [Shi] that the number

$$\frac{\Psi(l + r, \mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g})}{(2\pi i)^{n(1-l)}\Omega(\mathfrak{f})}$$

is algebraic for all integers  $r$  with  $0 \leq r \leq k - l - 1$ .

For the non-Archimedean construction we introduce the  $p$ -adic completion

$$\mathcal{O}_{F,p} = (\mathcal{O}_F \otimes \mathbf{Z}_p) = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

of the ring  $\mathcal{O}_F$ . Put

$$S_F = \{\mathfrak{p} | \mathfrak{p} \text{ divides } p\}$$

and let  $G_{F,p} = \text{Gal}(F^{\text{ab}}(p, \infty)/F)$  be the Galois group of the maximal abelian extension  $F^{\text{ab}}(p, \infty)$  of  $F$  unramified outside places over  $p$  and  $\infty$ .

The domain of definition of our non-Archimedean  $L$ -functions is the  $p$ -adic analytic Lie group

$$\mathcal{X}_p = \text{Hom}_{\text{contin}}(G_{F,p}, \mathbf{C}_p^\times)$$

of all continuous  $p$ -adic characters of the Galois group  $G_{F,p}$  with  $\mathbf{C}_p$  being the Tate field. Elements of finite order  $\chi \in \mathcal{X}_p$  can be identified with those Hecke characters of finite order whose conductors are divisible only by prime divisors belonging to  $S_F$ , via the decomposition

$$\chi : \mathbf{A}_F^\times \xrightarrow{\text{class field theory}} G_{F,p} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}^\times \xrightarrow{i_p} \mathbf{C}_p^\times.$$

We shall use the same symbol  $\chi$  to denote both Hecke character and the corresponding element of  $\mathcal{X}_p$ . Since  $\mathbf{Q}^{\text{ab}}(p, \infty) \subset F^{\text{ab}}(p, \infty)$ , the restriction of Galois automorphisms to  $\mathbf{Q}^{\text{ab}}(p, \infty)$  determines a natural homomorphism

$$\mathcal{N} : G_{F,p} \rightarrow G_p \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Z}_p^\times.$$

We let  $\mathcal{N}x_p$  denote the composition of this homomorphism with the inclusion  $\mathbf{Z}_p^\times \subset \mathbf{C}_p^\times$ .

We note first that an analogue of the Kubota-Leopoldt zeta function in this case was constructed by Deligne and Ribet [De-Ri]. In 1976 Yu.I. Manin [Man] has constructed a  $p$ -adic analogue of the series  $L(s, \mathfrak{f}) = \sum_{\mathfrak{n}} C(\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{f}) \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{n})^{-s}$  under the assumption that the cusp form  $\mathfrak{f}$  is  $p$ -ordinary, i.e. that for the fixed embedding  $\overline{\mathbf{Q}} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}_p$  and for all  $\mathfrak{p} | p$  there exists a root  $\alpha(\mathfrak{p})$  of Hecke  $\mathfrak{p}$ -polynomial of  $\mathfrak{f}$  such that  $|i_p(\alpha(\mathfrak{p}))|_p = 1$ . This  $p$ -adic  $L$  functions satisfy a certain functional equation, similar to the complex analytic one. In the case  $F = \mathbf{Q}$  Ha Huy Khoai [HHKh] proved that the  $p$ -adic functional equation characterize  $\mathfrak{f}$ .

In order to construct  $p$ -adic  $L$  functions of two automorphic forms  $\mathfrak{f}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}$  we make again the assumption that the cusp form  $\mathfrak{f}$  is  $p$ -ordinary. We then fix roots  $\alpha(\mathfrak{p})$  with  $|i_p(\alpha(\mathfrak{p}))|_p = 1$  and extend the definition of  $\alpha(\mathfrak{m})$  to all integral ideals  $\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathcal{O}_F$  by multiplicativity.

**THEOREM.** — *(On the non-Archimedean convolutions of Hilbert modular forms)*

*Under the above notations and assumptions there exists a bounded  $\mathbf{C}_p$ -analytic function  $\Psi_p : \mathcal{X}_p \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_p$  which is uniquely determined by the condition: for each Hecke character of finite order  $\chi \in \mathcal{X}_p^{\text{tors}}$  the following equality holds*

$$\Psi_p(\chi) = i_p \left( D_F^{2l} \omega^*(\mathfrak{m}) \frac{\tau(\chi)^2 \mathcal{N}\mathfrak{m}^{l-1}}{\alpha(\mathfrak{m})^2} \frac{\Psi(l, \mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g}^\rho(\bar{\chi}))}{(-2\pi i)^{n(1-l)} \langle \mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{f} \rangle} \right),$$

where  $D_F$  is the discriminant of  $F$ ,  $\tau(\chi)$  the Gauss sum of  $\chi$ , and  $\mathfrak{g}^\rho(\chi)$  the cusp form obtained from  $\mathfrak{g}$  by complex conjugation of its Fourier coefficients and by twisting it then with the character  $\chi$ .

This result is also valid for the special values  $\Psi(l+r, \mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g}(\chi))$  with  $r = 1, \dots, k-l$ , if we replace  $\chi \in \mathcal{X}_p$  by  $\chi \mathcal{N}x_p^r \in \mathcal{X}_p$  (see [PaLNM], Ch. 4). Note that this result was established by H.Hida [Hi] in a much more general, but  $p$ -ordinary, situation. On the other hand, this construction was extended by My Vinh Quang [MyVQ] to the  $non$ - $p$ -ordinary, i.e. *supersingular* case, when  $|i_p(\alpha(\mathfrak{p}))|_p < 1$  for both roots  $\alpha(\mathfrak{p})$  and  $\mathfrak{p} | p$ . In this situation the functions  $\Psi_p$  are also uniquely determined by the above condition provided that they have a prescribed logarithmic growth.

## 6. $p$ -adic families of motives and their $L$ -functions

We consider in the rest of the paper a motive  $M$  over  $\mathbf{Q}$  with coefficients in a number field  $T$  (see Section 7 for definitions), and its  $L$ -functions  $L(M, s)$ . We describe a general conjecture on the existence of a  $p$ -adic family  $M_p$  of motives coming from  $M$ . The most

important known example of such a family is given by Hida's families of  $p$ -ordinary cusp forms

$$\left\{ f_k = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n(k) \exp(2\pi inz) \right\}$$

of weight  $k$ . In this case  $P = P_k$ ,  $k \geq 2$ , and motives of the family  $M_{P_k}$  are characterized by the condition  $L(M_{P_k}, s) = L(s, f_k)$  (the Mellin transform of  $f_k$ ). The functions  $k \mapsto a_n(k) \in \overline{\mathbf{Q}}$  admit an interpolation to certain Iwasawa functions of  $k$ . A famous application of Hida's families was given in the proof of Wiles of the Fermat Last theorem and the Shimura-Taniyama conjecture [Wi]: in order to associate to an elliptic curve  $E$  over  $\mathbf{Q}$  a modular form of weight  $k = 2$  one finds first a modular form  $g \pmod{p}$  attached to  $E$ , with  $p = 3$  or  $5$ . Then one lifts this form to characteristic 0, and includes it to a family of Hida  $f_k$ . By putting  $k = 2$  one gets the answer. Other interesting examples of  $p$ -adic families of automorphic forms were given recently by J.Tilouine and E.Urban, [Til-U]

In a more general situation this family is parametrised by some dense subset of algebraic characters  $P$  of a  $p$ -adic commutative algebraic group (which we call the group of Hida). This group can be regarded as a maximal torus of the  $p$ -adic part of the motivic Galois group  $G_M$  of  $M$  (the Tannakian group for the tensor category generated by  $M$ ). The important condition of motives  $M_P$  of the above family is that they have the same fixed  $p$ -invariant  $h = h_p$ , which is defined as the difference between the Newton polygon and the Hodge polygon of a motive at certain point  $d^+$  (the dimension of the subspace  $M^+$  of the Betti realization  $M_B$  of  $M$ ). The corresponding  $p$ -adic  $L$ -functions of this family can be unbounded (of Amice-Vélu type [Am-Ve]) but they form a family which is conjecturally bounded in the "weight direction", that is for  $P$  parametrized by algebraic characters of  $G_{M,p}$ .

More precisely, the values of the function  $P \mapsto L(M_P, 0)$  satisfy generalised Kummer congruences in the following sense: for any finite linear combination  $\sum_P b_P \cdot P$  with  $b_P \in \mathbf{C}_p$  which has the property  $\sum_P b_P \cdot P \equiv 0 \pmod{p^N}$  we have that for some constant  $C \neq 0$  the corresponding linear combination of the normalized  $L$ -values

$$C \sum_P b_P c_p(M_P) \cdot \frac{L_{(p,\infty)}(M_P, 0)}{c_\infty(M_P)} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^N}.$$

Here  $c_p(M_P)$  and  $c_\infty(M_P)$  denote a  $p$ -adic and a complex period of  $M_P$  so that the ratio " $\frac{c_p(M_P)}{c_\infty(M_P)}$ " is uniquely defined, and  $L_{(p,\infty)}(M_P, s)$  denotes the above  $L$ -function  $L(M_P, s)$  normalized by multiplying by a certain canonically defined Deligne's  $p$ -factor corresponding to a choice of inverse roots  $\alpha^{(1)}(p), \dots, \alpha^{(d^+)}(p) \in \mathbf{C}_p$  of  $p$ -local polynomial of  $M$  such that

$$\text{ord}_p(\alpha^{(1)}(p)) \leq \text{ord}_p(\alpha^{(2)}(p)) \leq \dots \leq \text{ord}_p(\alpha^{(d)}(p)),$$

$d$  being the common rank of the family  $M_p$ ,  $d^+$  the  $T$ -dimension of the Deligne's subspace  $M^+$  of  $M_B$  (the fixed subspaces of the canonical involution  $\rho$  of  $M$  over  $T$ ).

Recent examples of such families related to modular forms were constructed by R. Coleman [Col] who proved the following

**THEOREM (R. Coleman).** — *Suppose  $\alpha \in \mathbf{Q}$  and  $\varepsilon : (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^\times \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_p^\times$  is a character. Then there exists a number  $n_0$  which depends on  $p$ ,  $N$  and  $\varepsilon$ , and  $\alpha$  with the following property: If  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $k > \alpha + 1$  and there is a unique normalized cusp form  $F$  on  $X_1(Np)$  of weight  $k$ , character  $\varepsilon\omega^{-k}$  and slope  $\alpha$  and if  $k' > \alpha + 1$  is an integer congruent to  $k$  modulo  $p^{n+n_0}$ , for any positive integer  $n$ , then there exists a unique normalized cusp form  $F'$  on  $X_1(Np)$  of weight  $k'$ , character  $\varepsilon\omega^{-k'}$  and slope  $\alpha$  ( $\omega$  denotes the Teichmüller character). Moreover his form satisfies the congruence*

$$F'(q) \equiv F(q) \pmod{p^{n+1}}.$$

This result can be regarded as a generalization of the work of Hida [HiGal] who considered the case  $\alpha = 0$  and constructed interesting families of Galois representations of the type

$$\rho_p : G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p[[T]]), \quad G_{\mathbf{Q}} = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q}),$$

which are non ramified outside  $p$ . These representations have the following property: if we consider the homomorphisms

$$\mathbf{Z}_p[[T]] \xrightarrow{s_k} \mathbf{Z}_p, \quad 1 + T \mapsto (1 + p)^{k-1},$$

then we obtain a family of Galois representations

$$\rho_p^{(k)} : G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p),$$

which is parametrized by  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ , and for  $k = 2, 3, \dots$ , these representations are equivalent over  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  to the  $p$ -adic representations of Deligne, attached to modular forms of weight  $k$ . This means that the representations of Hida are obtained by the  $p$ -adic interpolation of Deligne's representations. A geometric interpretation of Hida's representations was given by Mazur and Wiles [Maz-W2], cf. [Maz]. For example, for the modular form  $\Delta$  of weight 12 Hida has constructed a representation

$$\rho_{p,\Delta} : G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p[[T]]),$$

as an example of his general theory, where the prime number  $p$  have the property  $\tau(p) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  (e.g.  $p < 2041$ ,  $p \neq 2, 3, 5$  and  $7$ ). The boundedness property is the subject of a recent research by G.Stevens, B.Mazur and F.Q.Gouvêa. Note that other examples may include Rankin products, Garrett triple products of elliptic and Hilbert modular forms and standard  $L$ -functions of Siegel modular forms.



To describe this conjecture more precisely, let  $M$  is a motive over  $\mathbf{Q}$  of with coefficients in  $T$  i.e.

$$M_B, M_{DR}, M_\lambda, I_\infty, I_\lambda,$$

where  $M_B$  is the Betti realization of  $M$  which is a vector space over  $T$  of dimension  $d$  endowed with a  $T$ -rational involution  $\rho$ ;  $M_B = M^+ \oplus M^-$  denotes the corresponding decomposition into the sum of  $+1$  and  $-1$ -eigenspaces of  $\rho$ .

$M_{DR}$  is the de Rham realization of  $M$ , a free  $T$ -module of rank  $d$ , endowed with a decreasing filtration  $\{F_{DR}^i(M) \subset M_{DR} \mid i \in \mathbf{Z}\}$  of  $T$ -modules;

$M_\lambda$  is the  $\lambda$ -adic realization of  $M$  at a finite place  $\lambda$  of the coefficient field  $T$  (a  $T_\lambda$ -vector space of degree  $d$  over  $T_\lambda$ , a completion of  $T$  at  $\lambda$ ) which is a Galois module over  $G_{\mathbf{Q}} = \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q})$  so that we have a compatible system of  $\lambda$ -adic representations denoted by

$$r_{M,\lambda} = r_\lambda : G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow GL(M_\lambda).$$

Also,

$$I_\infty : M_B \otimes_T \mathbf{C} \rightarrow M_{DR} \otimes_T \mathbf{C}$$

is the complex comparison isomorphism of complex vector spaces

$$I_\lambda : M_B \otimes_T T_\lambda \rightarrow M_\lambda$$

is the  $\lambda$ -adic comparison isomorphism of  $T_\lambda$ -vector spaces. It is assumed in the notation that the complex vector space  $M_B \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{C}$  is decomposed in the Hodge bigraduation

$$M_B \otimes_T \mathbf{C} = \bigoplus_{i,j} M^{i,j}$$

in which  $\rho(M^{i,j}) \subset M^{j,i}$  and

$$h(i, j) = h(i, j, M) = \dim_{\mathbf{C}} M^{i,j}$$

are the Hodge numbers. Moreover,

$$I_\infty(\bigoplus_{i' \geq i} M^{i',j}) = F_{DR}^i(M) \otimes \mathbf{C}.$$

Also,  $I_\lambda$  takes  $\rho$  to the  $r_\lambda$ -image of the Galois automorphism which corresponds to the complex conjugation of  $\mathbf{C}$ . We assume that  $M$  is pure of weight  $w$  (i.e.  $i + j = w$ ).

The  $L$ -function  $L(M, s)$  of  $M$  is defined as the following Euler product:

$$L(M, s) = \prod_p L_p(M, p^{-s}),$$

extended over all primes  $p$  and where

$$\begin{aligned} L_p(M, X)^{-1} &= \det(1 - X \cdot r_\lambda(Fr_p^{-1}) \mid M_\lambda^{I_p}) \\ &= (1 - \alpha^{(1)}(p)X) \cdot (1 - \alpha^{(2)}(p)X) \cdot \dots \cdot (1 - \alpha^{(d)}(p)X) \\ &= 1 + A_1(p)X + \dots + A_d(p)X^d; \end{aligned}$$

here  $Fr_p \in G_{\mathbf{Q}}$  is the Frobenius element at  $p$ , defined modulo conjugation and modulo the inertia subgroup  $I_p \subset G_p \subset G_{\mathbf{Q}}$  of the decomposition group  $G_p$  (of any extension of  $p$  to  $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ ). We make the standard hypothesis that the coefficients of  $L_p(M, X)^{-1}$  belong to  $T$ , and that they are independent of  $\lambda$  coprime to  $p$ . Therefore we can and we shall regard this polynomial both over  $\mathbf{C}$  and over  $\mathbf{C}_p$ . We shall need the following twist operation: for an arbitrary motive  $M$  over  $\mathbf{Q}$  with coefficients in  $T$  an integer  $m$  and a Hecke character  $\chi$  of finite order one can define the twist  $N = M(m)(\chi)$  which is again a motive over  $\mathbf{Q}$  with the coefficient field  $T(\chi)$  of the same rank  $d$  and weight  $w$  so that we have

$$L(N, s) = \prod_p L_p(M, \chi(p)p^{-s-n}).$$

## 7. The group of Hida and the algebra of Iwasawa-Hida

Now let us fix a motive  $M$  with coefficients in  $T = \mathbf{Q}(\langle a(n)_n \rangle)$  of rang  $d$  and of weight  $w$ , and let  $\text{End}_T M$  denote the endomorphism algebra of  $M$  (i.e. the algebra of  $T$ -linear endomorphisms of any  $M_B$ , which commute with the Galois action under the comparison isomorphisms). Let

$$G_p = \text{Gal}(\mathbf{Q}_{p,\infty}^{ab}/\mathbf{Q}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Z}_p^\times$$

denotes the Galois group of the maximal abelian extension  $\mathbf{Q}_{p,\infty}^{ab}$  of  $\mathbf{Q}$  unramified outside  $p$  and  $\infty$ . Define  $\mathcal{O}_{T,p} = \mathcal{O}_T \otimes \mathbf{Z}_p$ .

DEFINITION. — *The group of Hida  $GH_M = GH_{M,p}$  is the following product*

$$GH_M = G_{M,p} \times G_p,$$

where  $G_{M,p} = (\text{End}_T M)^\times(\mathcal{O}_{T,p})$  denotes the  $p$ -adic group of  $\mathcal{O}_{T,p}$ -points of a maximal torus of the algebraic  $T$ -group  $(\text{End}_T M)^\times$  of invertible elements of  $\text{End}_T M$  (it is implicitly supposed that the group  $\text{End}_T M^\times$  possesses an  $\mathcal{O}_T$ -integral structure given by an appropriate choice of an  $\mathcal{O}_T$ -lattice).

Consider next the  $\mathbf{C}_p$ -analytic Lie group

$$\mathcal{X}_{M,p} = \text{Hom}_{\text{contin}}(GH_M, \mathbf{C}_p^\times)$$

consisting of all continuous characters of the Hida group  $GH_M$ , which contains the  $\mathbf{C}_p$ -analytic Lie group

$$\mathcal{X}_p = \text{Hom}_{\text{contin}}(G_p, \mathbf{C}_p^\times)$$

consisting of all continuous characters of the Galois group  $G_p$  (via the projection of  $GH_M$  onto  $G_p$ ).

The group  $\mathcal{X}_{M,p}$  contains the discrete subgroup  $\mathcal{A}$  of arithmetical characters of the type

$$\chi \cdot \eta \cdot x_p^m = (\chi, \eta, m),$$

where

$$\chi \in \mathcal{X}_{M,p}^{\text{tors}}$$

is a character of finite order of  $GH_M$ ,  $\eta$  is a  $T$ -algebraic character of  $G_{M,p}$ ,  $m \in \mathbf{Z}$ , and  $x_p$  denotes the following natural homomorphism

$$x_p : G_p = \text{Gal}(\mathbf{Q}_{p,\infty}^{ab}/\mathbf{Q}) \cong \mathbf{Z}_p^\times \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_p^\times, \quad x_p \in \mathcal{X}_p.$$

**DEFINITION.** — *The algebra of Iwasawa-Hida  $I_M = I_{M,p}$  of  $M$  at  $p$  is the completed group ring  $\mathcal{O}_p[[GH_M]]$ , where  $\mathcal{O}_p$  denotes the ring of integers of the Tate field  $\mathbf{C}_p$ .*

Note that this definition is completely analogous to the usual definition of the Iwasawa algebra  $\Lambda$  as the completed group ring  $\mathbf{Z}_p[[\mathbf{Z}_p]]$  if we take into account that  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  coincides with the factor group of  $\mathbf{Z}_p^\times$  modulo its torsion subgroup.

Now for each arithmetic point  $P = (\chi, \eta, m) \in \mathcal{A}$  we have a homomorphism

$$\nu_P : I_{M,p} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_p$$

which is defined by the corresponding group homomorphism

$$P : GH_M \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_p^\times \subset \mathbf{C}_p^\times.$$

For a  $I_M$ -module  $N$  and  $P \in \mathcal{A}$  we put

$$N_P = N \otimes_{I_{M,\nu_P}} \mathcal{O}_p$$

("reduction of  $N$  modulo  $P$ ", or a fiber of  $N$  at  $P$ ). Therefore, for a Galois representation

$$r_N : G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}(N)$$

of the above type its reduction  $r_{N_P} = r \bmod P$  is defined as the natural composition:

$$G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}(N) \rightarrow \text{GL}(N_P).$$

*Remark.* — In his very recent work [HiGen] Hida gives another version of the above definition, but he starts from a Galois representation  $\varphi : \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F) \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\mathbf{I})$ , where  $\mathbf{I} = \mathcal{O}_K[[T_n(\mathbf{Z}_p)]]$  and  $T_n$  the maximal split torus of  $\text{Res}_{\mathcal{O}_F/\mathbf{Z}} \text{GL}(n)$  for the integer ring  $\mathcal{O}_F$  of  $F$ , and for the integer ring  $\mathcal{O}_K$  of a sufficiently large finite extension  $K$  of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ . He is interested in representations  $\varphi$  satisfying the following condition:

*There are arithmetic points  $P$  "densely populated" in  $\text{Spec}(\mathbf{I}(K))$  such that the Galois representation  $\varphi_P = P \circ \varphi$  is the  $p$ -adic étale realization of a rank  $n$  pure motive  $M_P$  of weight  $w$  defined over  $F$  with coefficients in a number field  $E_P$  in  $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ .*

We are trying to resolve an inverse problem and to include a given motive  $M$  in a maximal possible  $p$ -adic family  $M_P$  parametrized by arithmetic characters of a certain group which we suppose to consist of an "algebraic part"  $G_{M,p}$  and of a "Galois part"  $G_p$ .

## 8. A conjecture on the existence of $p$ -adic families of Galois representations attached to motives

Note first that the fixed embeddings  $T \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$i_\infty : \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad i_p : \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$$

define a place  $\lambda(p)$  of  $T$  attached to the corresponding composition

$$T \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{i_p} \mathbb{C}_p.$$

CONJECTURE I. — For every  $M$  of rang  $d$  with coefficients in  $T$  there exists a free  $I_M$ -module  $M_I$  of the same rang  $d$ , a Galois representation

$$r_I : G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(M_I),$$

a dense subset  $\mathcal{A}' \subset \mathcal{A}$  of characters, and a distinguished point  $P_0 \in \mathcal{A}$  such that

(a) the reduced Galois representation

$$r_{I,P_0} : G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(M_{I,P_0})$$

is equivalent over  $\mathbb{C}_p$  to the  $\lambda(p)$ -adic representation  $r_{M,\lambda(p)}$  of  $M$  at the distinguished place  $\lambda(p)$ ;

(b) for every  $P \in \mathcal{A}'$  there exists a motive  $M_P$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  of the same rang  $d$  such that its  $\lambda(p)$ -adic Galois representation is equivalent over  $\mathbb{C}_p$  to the reduction

$$r_{I,P} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(M_{I,P}).$$

We call the module  $M_I$  the *realization of Iwasawa* of  $M$ .

**A generalization of the Hasse invariant for a motive.** — We define the generalized *Hasse invariant* of a motive in terms of the *Newton polygons* and the *Hodge polygons* of a motive. Properties of these polygons are closely related to the notions of a  $p$ -ordinary and a  $p$ -admissible motive.

Now we are going to define the Newton polygon  $P_{Newton}(u) = P_{Newton}(u, M)$  and the Hodge polygon  $P_{Hodge}(u) = P_{Hodge}(u, M)$  attached to  $M$ . First we consider (using  $i_\infty$ ) the local  $p$ -polynomial

$$\begin{aligned} L_p(M, X)^{-1} &= 1 + A_1(p)X + \cdots + A_d(p)X^d \\ &= (1 - \alpha^{(1)}(p)X) \cdot (1 - \alpha^{(2)}(p)X) \cdot \cdots \cdot (1 - \alpha^{(d)}(p)X), \end{aligned}$$

and we assume that its inverse roots are indexed in such a way that

$$\mathrm{ord}_p \alpha^{(1)}(p) \leq \mathrm{ord}_p \alpha^{(2)}(p) \leq \cdots \leq \mathrm{ord}_p \alpha^{(d)}(p)$$

DEFINITION. — The Newton polygon  $P_{Newton}(u)$  ( $0 \leq u \leq d$ ) of  $M$  at  $p$  is the convex hull of the points  $(i, \text{ord}_p A_i(p))$  ( $i = 0, 1, \dots, d$ ).

The important property of the Newton polygon is that the length the horizontal segment of slope  $i \in \mathbf{Q}$  is equal to the number of the inverse roots  $\alpha^{(j)}(p)$  such that  $\text{ord}_p \alpha^{(j)}(p) = i$  (note that  $i$  may not necessarily be integer but this will be the case for the  $p$ -ordinary motives below).

The Hodge polygon  $P_{Hodge}(u)$  ( $0 \leq u \leq d$ ) of  $M$  is defined using the Hodge decomposition of the  $d$ -dimensional  $\mathbf{C}$ -vector space

$$M_B = M_B \otimes_T \mathbf{C} = \bigoplus_{i,j} M^{i,j}$$

where  $M^{i,j}$  as a  $\mathbf{C}$ -subspace.

DEFINITION. — The Hodge polygon  $P_{Hodge}(u)$  is a function  $[0, d] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  whose graph consists of segments passing through the points

$$(0, 0), \dots, \left( \sum_{i' \leq i} h(i', j), \sum_{i' \leq i} i' h(i', j) \right),$$

so that the length of the horizontal segment of the slope  $i \in \mathbf{Z}$  is equal to the dimension  $h(i, j)$ .

Now we recall the definition of a  $p$ -ordinary motive (see [Co], [Co-PeRi]). We assume that  $M$  is pure of weight  $w$  and of rank  $d$ . Then  $M$  is called  $p$  ordinary at  $p$  if the the Hodge polygon and the Newton polygon of  $M$  coincide:

$$P_{Newton}(u) = P_{Hodge}(u).$$

If furthermore  $M$  is critical at  $s = 0$  then it is easy to verify that the number  $d_p$  of the inverse roots  $\alpha^{(j)}(p)$  with

$$\text{ord}_p \alpha^{(j)}(p) < 0 \text{ is equal to } d^+ = d^+(M) \text{ of } M_B^+.$$

However, it turns out that the notion of a  $p$ -ordinary motive is too restrictive, and we have introduced the following weaker version of it.

DEFINITION. — The motive  $M$  over  $F$  with coefficients in  $T$  is called admissible at  $p$  if

$$P_{Newton}(d^+) = P_{Hodge}(d^+)$$

here  $d^+ = d^+(M)$  is the dimension of the subspace  $M^+ \subset M_B$ .

In the general case we use the following quantity (a "generalized slope")  $h = h_p$  which is defined as the difference between the Newton polygon and the Hodge polygon of  $M$ :

$$h_p = P_{Newton}(d^+) - P_{Hodge}(d^+).$$

of  $M$  at  $p$ . Note the following important properties of  $h$ :

- (i)  $h = h(M)$  does not change if we replace  $M$  by its Tate twist.
- (ii)  $h = h(M)$  does not change if we replace  $M$  by its twist  $M = M(\chi)$  with a Dirichlet character  $\chi$  of finite order whose conductor is prime to  $p$ .
- (iii)  $h = h(M)$  does not change if we replace  $M$  by its dual  $M^\vee$ .

In the next section we state in terms of this quantity a general conjecture on  $p$ -adic  $L$ -functions.

**A conjecture on the existence of certain families of  $p$ -adic  $L$ -functions.** — We are going to describe families of  $p$ -adic  $L$ -functions as certain analytic functions on the total analytic space, the  $\mathbf{C}_p$ -analytic Lie group

$$\mathcal{X}_{M,p} = \text{Hom}_{\text{contin}}(GH_M, \mathbf{C}_p^\times),$$

which contain the  $\mathbf{C}_p$ -analytic Lie subgroup (the cyclotomic line)  $\mathcal{X}_p \subset \mathcal{X}_{M,p}$ :

$$\mathcal{X}_p = \text{Hom}_{\text{contin}}(G_p, \mathbf{C}_p^\times).$$

In order to do this we need a modified  $L$ -function of a motive. Following J.Coates this modified  $L$ -function has a form appropriate for further use in the  $p$ -adic construction. First we multiply  $L(M, s)$  by an appropriate factor at infinity and define

$$\Lambda_{(\infty)}(M, s) = E_\infty(M, s)L(M, s)$$

where  $E_\infty(M, s) = E_\infty(\tau, R_{F/\mathbf{Q}}M, \rho, s)$  is the modified  $\Gamma$ -factor at infinity which actually does not depend on the fixed embedding  $\tau$  of  $T$  into  $\mathbf{C}$ . Also we put

$$c^\vee(M) = (c^\vee(M)^{(\tau)}) = c^\vee(RM)(2\pi i)^{r(RM)} \in (T \otimes \mathbf{C})^\times$$

where

$$v = (-1)^m, r(M) = \sum_{j < 0} jh(i, j) = \sum_{j < 0} jh(i, j),$$

$c^\vee(M)$  is the period of  $M$ . Note that the quantity  $r(M)$  has a natural geometric interpretation as the minimum of the Hodge polygon  $P_{\text{Hodge}}(M)$ .

We define

$$\Lambda_{(p,\infty)}(M(m)(\chi), s) = G(\chi)^{-d^{e_0}(M(m)(\chi))} \prod_{p|p} A_p(M(m)(\chi), s) \cdot \Lambda_{(\infty)}(M(m)(\chi), s),$$

where

$$A_p(M(\chi), s) = \begin{cases} \prod_{i=d^++1}^d (1 - \chi(p)\alpha^{(i)}(p)p^{-s}) \prod_{i=1}^{d^+} (1 - \chi^{-1}(p)\alpha^{(i)}(p)^{-1}p^{s-1}) & \text{for } p \nmid \mathbf{c}(\chi) \\ \prod_{i=1}^{d^+} \left( \frac{p^s}{\alpha^{(i)}(p)} \right)^{\text{ord}_p \mathbf{c}(\chi)}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the discrete subgroup  $\mathcal{A}$  of arithmetical characters,

$$\chi \cdot \eta \cdot x_p^m = (\chi, \eta, m) \in \mathcal{A},$$

$\mathcal{A}' \subset \mathcal{A}$  a certain “dense” subset of characters,  $P_0 \in \mathcal{A}$  a distinguished point of conjecture I. Let  $\mathcal{A}'' \subset \mathcal{A}'$  be the subset of critical elements, which consists of those  $P$ , for which the corresponding motives  $M_P$  are critical (at  $s = 0$ ). Now we are ready to formulate the following

CONJECTURE II. — *There exists a certain choice of complex periods  $\Omega_\infty(P) \in \mathbf{C}^\times$  and  $p$ -adic periods  $\Omega_p(P) \in \mathbf{C}_p^\times$  for all  $P \in \mathcal{A}''$  such that “the ratio”  $\Omega_p(P)/\Omega_\infty(P)$  is canonically defined, and there exists a  $\mathbf{C}_p$ -meromorphic function*

$$\mathbb{L}_M : \mathcal{X}_{M,p} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_p$$

with the properties:

(i)

$$\mathbb{L}_M(P) = \Omega_p(P) \frac{\Lambda_{p,(\infty)}(M(m)(\chi), 0)}{\Omega_\infty(P)}$$

for almost all  $P \in \mathcal{A}''$ ;

(ii) For arithmetic points of type

$$P = (\chi, \eta, m) \in \mathcal{A}''$$

with  $\eta$  fixed there exists a finite set  $\Xi \subset \mathcal{X}_{M,p}$  of  $p$ -adic characters and positive integers  $n(\xi)$  (for  $\xi \in \Xi$ ) such that for any  $g_0 \in G_p$  we have that the function

$$\prod_{\xi \in \Xi} (x(g_0) - \xi(g_0))^{n(\xi)} \mathbb{L}_M(x \cdot P)$$

is holomorphic on  $\mathcal{X}_p$ ;

(iii) For arithmetic points of type

$$P = (\chi, \eta, m) \in \mathcal{A}''$$

with  $\eta$  fixed the function in (ii) is bounded if and only if the invariant  $h(P) = h(M_P)$  vanishes;

(iv) In the general case the function  $\mathbb{L}_M(P \cdot x)$  of  $x \in \mathcal{X}_p$  is of logarithmic growth type  $o(\log(\cdot)^{h_0})$  with

$$h_0 = [h] + 1.$$

(v) For arithmetic points of type

$$P = (\chi, \eta, m) \in \mathcal{A}''$$

with  $\chi$  and  $m$  fixed the function in (ii) is always bounded if the Hasse invariant  $h(P) = h(M_p)$  does not depend on  $\eta$ .

Note that the assertion (v) means in particular that the values of the function

$$P \mapsto \Omega_p(P) \frac{\Lambda_{(p,\infty)}(M_p, 0)}{\Omega_\infty(P)}$$

satisfy generalised Kummer congruences in the following sense: for any finite linear combination  $\sum_P b_P \cdot P$  with  $b_P \in \mathbb{C}_p$  which has the property  $\sum_P b_P \cdot P \equiv 0 \pmod{p^N}$  we have that for some constant  $C \neq 0$  the corresponding linear combination of the normalized  $L$ -values

$$C \sum_P b_P \Omega_p(P) \cdot \frac{\Lambda_{(p,\infty)}(M_p, 0)}{\Omega_\infty(P)} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^N}.$$

In the case of families of supersingular modular forms studied by R. Coleman [Col] the invariant  $h(P)$  reduces to the slope of a modular form in such a family.

## References

- [Am-V] AMICE Y., VÉLU J. — *Distributions  $p$ -adiques associées aux séries de Hecke*, Journées Arithmétiques de Bordeaux (Conf. Univ. Bordeaux, 1974), Astérisque 24/25, Soc. Math. France, Paris (1975), 119–131.
- [Co] COATES J. — *On  $p$ -adic  $L$ -functions*, Sem. Bourbaki, 40ème année, 1987-88 701, Astérisque (1989), 177–178.
- [Co-PeRi] COATES J., PERRIN-RIOU B. — *On  $p$ -adic  $L$ -functions attached to motives over  $\mathbf{Q}$* , Advanced Studies in Pure Math. 17 (1989), 23–54.
- [Col] COLEMAN R. —  *$P$ -adic Banach Spaces and Families of Modular forms*, Manuscript of January 7, 1995.
- [De-R] DELIGNE P., RIBET K.A. — *Values of Abelian  $L$ -functions at negative integers over totally real fields*, Invent. Math. 59 (1980), 227–286.
- [GouMa] GOUVÉA F.Q. AND MAZUR B. — *On the characteristic power series of the  $U$ -operator*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble 43(2) (1993), 301–312.
- [HHKh] HA HUY KHOAI. — *Sur les séries  $L$  associées aux formes modulaires*, Bull. Soc. Math. Fr. 120 (1) (1992), 1–13.
- [HiGal] HIDA H. — *Galois representations into  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p[[X]])$  attached to ordinary cusp forms*, Invent. Math. 85 (1986), 545–613.
- [Hi] HIDA H. — *On  $p$ -adic  $L$ -functions of  $GL(2) \times GL(2)$  over totally real fields*, Ann. l'Inst. Fourier 40 (2) (1991), 311–391.
- [HiGen] HIDA H. — *On the search of genuine  $p$ -adic modular  $L$ -functions for  $GL(2)$* , Manuscript, December 12, 1994.
- [KapCM] KATZ N.M. —  *$p$ -adic  $L$ -functions for  $CM$ -fields*, Invent. Math. 48 (1978), 199–297.
- [Man] MANIN YU.I. — *Non-archimedean integration and Jacquet-Langlands  $p$ -adic  $L$ -functions*, Russ. Math. Surveys 31 (1) (1976), 5–57.



- [Maz] MAZUR B. — *Deforming Galois representations. Galois Groups over  $\mathbf{Q}$* , Ed. Y.Ihara, K.Ribet, J.-P. Serre, 1989, Springer-Verlag.
- [Maz-W1] MAZUR B., WILES A. — *Class fields of Abelian extensions of  $\mathbf{Q}$* , *Invent. math.* **76** (1984), 179–330.
- [Maz-W2] MAZUR B., WILES A. — *On  $p$ -adic analytic families of Galois representations*, *Compos. Math.* **59** (1986), 231–264.
- [Mi-Sta] MILNOR J., STASHEFF J. — *Characteristic classes*, *Ann. of Math. Studies* **76** Princeton Univ. Press (1974), 330 p..
- [MyVQ] MY VINH QUANG. — *Non-Archimedean Rankin 0 convolutions of unbounded growth*, *Math. USSR Sbornik* **72** 1 (1992), 151–161 (translation from Russian).
- [PaLNM] PANCHISHKIN A.A. — *Non-Archimedean  $L$ -functions of Siegel and Hilbert modular forms*, *Lecture Notes in Math.* **1471**, Springer-Verlag (1991), 166p..
- [PaAdm] PANCHISHKIN A.A. — *Admissible Non-Archimedean standard zeta functions of Siegel modular forms, Proceedings of the Joint AMS Summer Conference on Motives*, Seattle, July 20-August 2 1991, Seattle, Providence, R.I. **2** (1993), 251–292.
- [PaIF] PANCHISHKIN A.A. — *Motives over totally real fields and  $p$ -adic  $L$ -functions*, *Annales de l'Institut Fourier, Grenoble* **44** (4) (1994), .
- [PaMSRI] PANCHISHKIN A.A. — *Generalized Kummer congruences and  $p$ -adic families of motives*, Preprint, MSRI (Berkeley) **030-95** (1995), 1–13.
- [Shi] SHIMURA G. — *The special values of zeta functions associated with Hilbert modular forms*, *Duke Math. J.* **45** (1978), 637–679.
- [Til-U] TILOUINE J., URBAN E. — *Several variable  $p$ -adic families of Siegel-Hilbert cusp eigenforms and their Galois representations*, Preprint **97** (4) (March 1997), Université Paris-Nord.
- [Wi] WILES A. — *Modular elliptic curves and Fermat's Last Theorem*, *Ann. Math., II.* **141** (3) (1995), 443–551.

Alexis PANCHISHKIN  
 Université de Grenoble I  
**Institut Fourier**  
 UMR 5582 CNRS-UJF  
 UFR de Mathématiques  
 B.P. 74  
 38402 ST MARTIN D'HÈRES Cedex (France)

(29 avril 1997)