

HYPERBOLICITY PROBLEMS ON THE DOUADY SPACE AND ITS VARIANTS

by *Mohamed Anouar MAAROUF*

Introduction

Given an analytic space X , the Douady space $D(X)$ parametrizes all compact analytic subspaces of X . In his 1965's thesis, Douady constructed on it a natural complex structure. The relative version of the Douady space is due to Pourcin. When X is projective, Douady space coincides with Hilbert scheme and this construction is due to Grothendieck. We can also define Douady spaces variants which parametrize some special subspaces, e.g. the space $D'(X)$ (resp. $D'_m(X)$) of all connected and compact submanifolds of X (resp. of the same dimension m). We can also embed the morphism spaces in some suitable Douady spaces.

On the other hand, Kobayashi introduced in 1967 an intrinsic pseudo-distance d_X on every analytic space X . If d_X is a distance, X is called hyperbolic. It is called Brody-hyperbolic if it doesn't contain any non-constant holomorphic entire curve $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$. Brody-hyperbolicity is weaker than Kobayashi hyperbolicity. The two notions coincide on compact analytic spaces. Moreover, Eisenman introduced on every analytic space X of dimension n a k -dimensional measure ($1 \leq k \leq n$) generalizing the Kobayashi metric. X is said to be k -measure hyperbolic if the Eisenman k -measure has positive volume over all k -dimensional analytic subspaces of X . It is strongly k -measure hyperbolic if the Eisenman k -measure has locally a lower bound.

In this context, the hyperbolicity of Douady spaces looks as a natural problem. The solution to this problem is negative in general even if X itself is hyperbolic. We will give two counter-examples for this. However, some interesting subspaces of the Douady space seem to be hyperbolic (at least for Brody-hyperbolicity). The first main result of this paper is the Brody-hyperbolicity of the Douady subspace $D'_1(X)$ parametrizing all subspaces of X which are algebraic curves. Explicitly, we have

Theorem 3.4. — *Let X be a 2-measure hyperbolic analytic space, then $D'_1(X)$ is Brody-hyperbolic.*

The proof of this theorem is based on the hyperbolicity of the Teichmüller space. In fact, we will construct for every $g \geq 1$, a holomorphic mapping $\Psi_g : \tilde{D}'_{1,g}(X) \rightarrow T'_g$ from the universal covering space of the Douady space of genus g algebraic curves embedded in X into the Torelli space T'_g (which admits the Teichmüller space T_g as universal covering space). When X is 2-measure hyperbolic, the fibres of Ψ_g are all Brody-hyperbolic. So we can lift the property of Brody-hyperbolicity from T'_g to $\tilde{D}'_{1,g}(X)$ and we conclude for $D'_{1,g}(X)$ by covering. Note that for the special cases $g = 0$ and $g = 1$, $D'_{1,g}(X)$ is discreet. It should be also noted that the Brody-hyperbolicity of the fibres of Ψ_g is deduced from the next general result on locally trivial analytic families which might have other applications:

Theorem 2.3. — *Let $\mathfrak{X} = (\mathcal{Y}, f, S)$ a locally trivial analytic family of k -dimensional analytic subspaces of an analytic space X . Suppose X $(k+1)$ -measure hyperbolic, then S is Brody-hyperbolic.*

The techniques used to prove the theorem 3.4 (more precisely the case of the genus $g = 1$) can be generalized to study the subspace $D_d^T(X)$ of the Douady space $D(X)$ of a projective analytic space X , which parametrizes all d -dimensional connected compact submanifolds of X being complex tori (so abelian varieties). Here we use the Siegel moduli space in place of Torelli space in the case of curves. We obtain the following theorem:

Theorem 4.2. — *Let X be a projective analytic space. Suppose that X is $(d+1)$ -measure hyperbolic, then $D_d^T(X)$ is discreet.*

We also prove the hyperbolicity of certain special subspaces of $D'_1(X)$ and $D_d^T(X)$ when X is non singular but without any condition of hyperbolicity on it.

The moduli space of holomorphic mappings.

Let X and Y be analytic spaces. X is supposed to be compact. Then the set $\text{Hol}(X, Y)$ of all holomorphic mappings $f : X \rightarrow Y$ carries a natural complex structure induced by the one on Douady space $D(X \times Y)$. In fact, $\text{Hol}(X, Y)$ can be realized as a Zariski open subspace of $D(X \times Y)$ by identifying every mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ with its graph Γ_f which is an analytic subspace of $X \times Y$ isomorphic to X and so it is compact.

When Y is (compact) hyperbolic, then $\text{Hol}(X, Y)$ is (compact) hyperbolic. This is a theorem of Kobayashi. More generally, if we assume Y strongly k -measure hyperbolic, then we obtain the same property on $\text{Hol}(X, Y)$ for strongly measure hyperbolic:

Theorem 5.3. — *Let X be a strongly measure hyperbolic compact analytic space and Y a strongly k -measure hyperbolic analytic space. Then $\text{Hol}(X, Y)$ is also strongly k -measure hyperbolic.*

This theorem is a corollary of a more general result on locally trivial analytic families. Namely:

Theorem 2.4. — *Let X an analytic space and $\mathfrak{X} = (\mathcal{Y}, \pi, S)$ a locally trivial analytic family of k -dimensional compact complex subspaces of X . Suppose X strongly $(k+p)$ -measure hyperbolic and the fibres of the family are measure hyperbolic. Then S is strongly p -measure hyperbolic.*

In particular, when $p = 1$, S is then hyperbolic. The proof of this theorem is based on an estimation on the Eisenman p -measure of S , the Eisenman-Kobayashi measure of one fibre and the Eisenman $(k+p)$ -measure of X .

Next we consider the open subspace $\text{Hol}_k(X, Y) \subset \text{Hol}(X, Y)$ of holomorphic mappings $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\text{rank } f \geq k$. We obtain the following:

Theorem 5.2. — *Let X a compact analytic space and Y an analytic space. Suppose Y $(k+1)$ -measure hyperbolic, then $\text{Hol}_k(X, Y)$ is Brody-hyperbolic.*

In particular, when Y is compact and of dimension $k-1$, we conclude that $\text{Aut}(Y)$ is Brody-hyperbolic and then discreet because it is a complex Lie group. So, we find again a theorem of Kobayashi (see [K2], theorem 9.7).

I am grateful to express my sincere gratitude to Professor S. Kosarew for suggestions and useful discussions.

1. Preliminaries and examples

1.1. — We understand every analytic space to be complex, Hausdorff and of finite dimension. The existence of Douady spaces can be formulated as follows:

Theorem 1.1 (Douady [D]). — *Let X an analytic space. Then there exists an analytic space $D(X)$ and a subspace $R \hookrightarrow D(X) \times X$ such that:*

(a) *R is flat over $D(X)$ and $\text{pr}_1|_R$ is proper.*

(b) *If S is an analytic space, $Z \hookrightarrow S \times X$ a subspace having the properties stated in (a), then there exists a unique map $f : S \rightarrow D(X)$ such that $Z \simeq S \times_{D(X)} R$.*

The analytic space $D(X)$ parametrizes compact subspaces of X and is called the *Douady space* of X . R is called the *universal family* over $D(X)$.

We have to consider some variants of $D(X)$ realized as open subspaces of it (see Grothendieck [Gr], IX, Corollaire 1.2). Namely, we define:

1) the subspace $D'(X)$ parametrizing compact connected complex submanifolds of X ;

2) the subspace $D'_m(X)$ of $D'(X)$ parametrizing submanifolds of the same dimension m .

In particular, $D'_1(X)$ parametrizes submanifolds of X which are algebraic curves. It is called Douady space of embedded curves in X and can be written as follows:

$$D'_1(X) = \coprod_{g \geq 0} D'_{1,g}(X)$$

where $D'_{1,g}(X)$ is the subspace of $D'_1(X)$ parametrizing genus g curves in X .

1.2. — We remind the definition of the Eisenman measures. First, let X be an analytic space of dimension n , p a regular point of X , $T_p X$ the holomorphic tangent space of X at p ; $\bigwedge^k T_p X$ is the k -th exterior power of $T_p X$; $D_p^k X$ is the set of decomposable elements in $\bigwedge^k T_p X$. If $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a Hermitian metric on $T_p X$, then it can be extended to a Hermitian metric on $\bigwedge^k T_p X$ by putting

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \equiv \det\{\langle v_i, w_j \rangle\}$$

where $\alpha = v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k$ and $\beta = w_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge w_k$ from $D_p^k X$ and extending this definition by linearity to arbitrary elements of $\bigwedge^k T_p X$. Let $\|\gamma\|$ be the Hermitian metric on $\bigwedge^k TB^k$ (where B^k is the unit ball in \mathbb{C}^k) generated by the Bergman metric, and let 0 be the origin in B^k . Then (cf. Graham-Wu [G-W]):

Definition 1.2. — For every $\alpha \in D_p^k X$ ($1 \leq k \leq n$) the intrinsic Eisenman k -measure of α is $E_X^k(p, \alpha) = \inf \{ \|\gamma\|^2 / \gamma \in D_0^k B^k \text{ and there exists a holomorphic mapping } f : B^k \rightarrow X \text{ with } f(0) = p \text{ and } f_*(\gamma) = \alpha \}$.

These measures can be also defined in the following way: $E_X^k(p, \alpha) = \inf \{ R^{-2k} / \text{there exists a holomorphic mapping } f : B^k \rightarrow X \text{ with } f(0) = p \text{ and } f_* \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k} (0) \right) = R^{2k} \alpha \}$.

Observe that E_X^1 is just the square of the Kobayashi-Royden infinitesimal metric (cf. Royden [R1]). In the following, we make a trivial but important observation; it is a consequence of the triviality of $E_{\mathbb{C}}^k$:

Proposition 1.2. — *Let X be a n -dimensional analytic space and Y a k -measure hyperbolic analytic space where $k \leq n+1$, is an integer. Then, every holomorphic map $F : \mathbb{C} \times X \rightarrow Y$ has rank less than k i.e. $\text{rank } F \leq k-1$.*

EXAMPLE 1.3. — Let X and Y analytic spaces of dimensions n and m respectively. Suppose that X is hyperbolic and Y is strongly measure hyperbolic but not hyperbolic. Then $X \times Y$ is strongly k -measure hyperbolic for every $k \geq m+1$ (see [G-W]). In this way, we can construct analytic spaces which are k -measure hyperbolic for k neither 1 nor the top dimension. For instance, $B^m \times F(d)$ is strongly $(n+1)$ -measure hyperbolic of dimension $m+n$, where B^m is the unit ball in \mathbb{C}^m , $m > 1$, and $F(d)$ is the Fermat variety of degree $d > n+2$ in $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$, $n \geq 2$.

1.3. — We now give two examples showing that the Douady space $D(X)$ of an analytic space X is not in general hyperbolic even if X itself is hyperbolic.

EXAMPLE 1.4. — Let C be a compact Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 2$, and let $j : C \rightarrow \mathcal{J}(C)$ be a fixed Abel-Jacobi embedding of C into its Jacobian variety $\mathcal{J}(C) \cong \text{Pic}(C)$. Let k be a positive integer. The k -th symmetric power $\text{Sym}^k(C)$ is a connected complex manifold and can be identified with the space of effective divisors of degree k on C . So it can be considered as a connected component of the Douady space $D(C)$ of C . Let

$$\varphi_k : \text{Sym}^k(C) \longrightarrow \text{Jac}(C)$$

be the k -th Abel-Jacobi map defined by $\varphi_k(D) = j(p_1) + \cdots + j(p_k)$ for $D = p_1 + \cdots + p_k \in \text{Sym}^k(C)$. Then (see [G-H], p. 228):

- i) φ_k is holomorphic.
- ii) $\varphi_k^{-1}(\varphi_k(D)) = |D| = \mathbb{P}(H^0(C, \mathcal{O}([D]))) \cong \mathbb{P}^{\dim |D|}$ where D is an effective divisor of degree k of C and $|D|$ the set of effective divisors of C , which are linearly equivalent to D .
- iii) If $k > 2g-2$, then φ_k is an algebraic projective bundle (see [Ma]).

From *iii*), we conclude that $\text{Sym}^k(C)$ can't be hyperbolic for $k > 2g-2$ because of the non-hyperbolicity of $\text{Jac}(C)$ and of the φ_k fibres.

However, the subspace of $\text{Sym}^k(C)$ parametrizing the 0-folds of C is hyperbolic. Indeed, consider the natural projection

$$\pi_k : C^k := \overbrace{C \times \cdots \times C}^{k \text{ fois}} \longrightarrow \text{Sym}^k(C),$$

then π_k is a branched covering and the branch locus is the set $D := \bigcup_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq k} D_{ij}$ where $D_{ij} := \{(p_1, \dots, p_k) \in C^k / p_i = p_j\} \subset C^k$. Moreover, $\pi_k(D)$ is the set of elements of $\text{Sym}^k(C)$ corresponding to 0-dimensional analytic subspaces of C which are singular. Now, as $C^k \setminus D$ is hyperbolic and $\pi_k|_{C^k \setminus D}$ is an unbranched covering, then $\text{Sym}^k(C) \setminus \pi_k(D)$ is hyperbolic.

EXAMPLE 1.5. — Let X be an analytic space. We recall that a Cartier divisor on X is an analytic subspace of X whose sheaf of ideals is locally generated by a single element which is not a zero divisor.

Let $\text{Div}(X) = \{d \in D(X) / Y_d \text{ is a Cartier divisor on } X\}$, where Y_d is the subspace of X corresponding to d . Then, $\text{Div}(X)$ is Zariski open in $D(X)$, and is union of $D(X)$ connected components when X is non singular (see Fujiki [Fu]). Let's define the map:

$$\begin{aligned} F : \text{Div}(X) &\longrightarrow \text{Pic}(X) \\ d &\longmapsto \text{associated line bundle.} \end{aligned}$$

Then F is projective and the fibre over $L \in \text{Pic}(X)$ is identified with the projective space $\mathbb{P}(\Gamma(X, L))$, where $\Gamma(X, L)$ is the set of global sections of the sheaf associated to L . Consequently, $\text{Div}(X)$ can't be hyperbolic even if X is so.

2. Locally trivial analytic families

2.1. — We will first recall a sufficient condition for a family to be locally trivial. It is a generalization to Grauert-Fischer theorem [G-F] (see Bingener [Bin]):

Theorem 2.1. — *Let $\mathfrak{X} := (\mathcal{Y}, f, S)$ an analytic family of compact analytic spaces. Suppose that S is reduced and that the fibres of f are all isomorphic to a fixed analytic space Y_0 . Then the family \mathfrak{X} is locally trivial.*

Moreover, if the base S is a Stein space and is contractible, then the local triviality of any family over S is equivalent to global triviality by the next consequence of the Grauert-Oka principle:

Proposition 2.2. — *Let $\mathfrak{X} = (\mathcal{Y}, f, S)$ a locally trivial analytic family of compact analytic spaces. Suppose that S is a contractible Stein space. Then \mathfrak{X} is trivial.*

Proof. — Let Y_0 the fibre of f over a point $0 \in S$. Then the space $\text{Isom}_S(\mathcal{Y}, S \times Y_0)$ of S -isomorphisms from \mathcal{Y} onto $S \times Y_0$ is defined as a relative space over S (in fact it

is a subspace of the Douady space $D_S(\mathcal{Y} \times S \times Y_0)$. In our case $\text{Isom}_S(\mathcal{Y}, S \times Y_0)$ is a principal holomorphic fibre bundle over S . The structure group is the complex Lie group $\text{Aut}(Y_0)$ of automorphisms of Y_0 . But S is Stein, so by Grauert theorem (see for instance Cartan [C1], theorems A and B), $\text{Isom}_S(\mathcal{Y}, S \times Y_0)$ is holomorphically trivial if and only if it is topologically trivial. But the last property is verified because of the contractibility of S (see Steenrod [S], corollary 11.6, p. 53). Then we conclude that $\text{Isom}_S(\mathcal{Y}, S \times Y_0)$ is holomorphically trivial. This implies that the morphism $\text{Isom}_S(\mathcal{Y}, S \times Y_0) \rightarrow S$ has a holomorphic section, which means that there exists an S -isomorphism $\mathcal{Y} \simeq S \times Y_0$. In other words, \mathcal{Y} is trivial over S , as desired. ■

2.2. — Consider locally trivial analytic families of compact analytic subspaces of an analytic space X . Our goal is to study the hyperbolicity of the basis. We obtain the following theorem for Brody-hyperbolicity:

Theorem 2.3. — *Let $\mathfrak{X} = (\mathcal{Y}, f, S)$ a locally trivial analytic family of k -dimensional compact analytic subspaces of an analytic space X . Suppose X $(k+1)$ -measure hyperbolic. Then S is Brody hyperbolic.*

Proof. — If S is not Brody-hyperbolic, then it exists a non-constant holomorphic map

$$g = \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow S.$$

If we make the base-change corresponding to $g : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow S$ to the family \mathfrak{X} . We obtain a new family $\mathfrak{X}' = (\mathcal{Y}', p, \mathbb{C})$ where $\mathcal{Y}' = \mathbb{C} \times_S \mathcal{Y}$ and $p : \mathcal{Y}' \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ the projection. \mathfrak{X}' is also locally trivial and because \mathbb{C} is Stein and contractible then \mathfrak{X}' is trivial by proposition 2.2. Let Y be any fibre of p , then it exists an isomorphism $\mathcal{Y}' \simeq \mathbb{C} \times Y$ and we obtain the following cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{C} \times Y & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Y} & \hookrightarrow & S \times X \\ p \downarrow & \square & f \downarrow & \circlearrowleft & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{C} & \xrightarrow{g} & S & & X \end{array}$$

Let's note $F : \mathbb{C} \times Y \rightarrow X$ the mapping induced by this diagram. As g is non-constant, F must be of a rank greater than k ($\text{rank } F \geq k+1$). But X is $(k+1)$ -measure hyperbolic, so by the proposition 1.2. This leads to a contradiction. Consequently, g is constant and S is Brody-hyperbolic.

2.3. — In this section, We will prove the following theorem:

Theorem 2.4. — *Let X be an analytic space and $\mathfrak{X} = (\mathcal{Y}, \pi, S)$ a locally trivial analytic family of k -dimensional compact analytic subspaces of X . Suppose X is strongly $(k+p)$ -measure hyperbolic and the fibres of π are measure hyperbolic. Then S is strongly p -measure hyperbolic.*

In particular, when $p = 1$, S is hyperbolic.

The proof is based on an estimation on Eisenman p -measure of S . To show this, let first the following commutative diagram defined by \mathfrak{X} :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Y} & \xrightarrow{i} & S \times X \\ \pi \downarrow & \circlearrowleft \swarrow \text{proj}_1 & \downarrow \text{proj}_2 \\ S & & X \end{array}$$

where i is an embedding and $\text{proj}_1, \text{proj}_2$ are the projections. Let $h : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow X$ be the composition $\text{proj}_1 \circ i$, s a regular point of S and Y a fibre of π over s . Finally, let $y \in Y$ be a regular point, $v \in \bigwedge^k T_y Y$ and $\xi \in \bigwedge^p T_s S$. Then:

Lemma 2.5.

$$E_X^{k+p} \left(h(y); \bigwedge^{k+p} dh(y; v \otimes \xi) \right) \leq E_Y^k(y; v) \cdot E_S^p(s; \xi).$$

Proof. — Let $\varepsilon > 0$. By definition of E_S^p and E_Y^k , it exists:

- a holomorphic map $f : B^p \rightarrow S$ such that $f(0) = s$ and $\bigwedge^p df(0; \bigwedge^p e_p) = r^0 \cdot \xi$, where $e_p = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} |_0; \dots; \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k} |_0 \right) \in T_0 B^p$ and r a positive real number verifying:

$$r^{-p} \leq E_S^p(s; \xi) + \varepsilon.$$

- a holomorphic map $g : B^k \rightarrow Y$ such that $g(0) = y$ and $\bigwedge^k dg(0; \bigwedge^k e_k) = R^k \cdot v$, where $e_k = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} |_0; \dots; \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} |_0 \right) \in T_0 B^p$ and r a positive real number verifying:

$$r^{-p} \leq E_S^p(y; v) + \varepsilon.$$

B^p and B^k are respectively the p -dimensional and the k -dimensional unit balls.

Making the base change $f : B^p \rightarrow S$ to the family $\mathfrak{X} = (\mathcal{Y}, \pi, S)$, we obtain the following cartesian diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} B^p \times_S \mathcal{Y} & \xrightarrow{p} & \mathcal{Y} & \xrightarrow{i} & S \times X \\ \pi_1 \downarrow & \square & \pi \downarrow & \circlearrowleft \swarrow \text{proj}_1 & \downarrow \text{proj}_2 \\ B^p & \xrightarrow{f} & S & & X \end{array}$$

where p, π_1 are the projections. If we put $\mathcal{Y}_1 = B^p \times_S \mathcal{Y}$, then the family $\mathfrak{X}_1 = (\mathcal{Y}_1, \pi_1, B^p)$ is locally trivial (because \mathfrak{X} is so). But B^p is Stein and contractible, thus by proposition 2.2, \mathfrak{X}_1 is (globally) trivial. So we have an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{Y}_1 \cong Y \times B^p.$$

Consequently, we obtain the following exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow T_y Y \longrightarrow T_y \mathcal{Y}_1 \longrightarrow T_0 B^p \longrightarrow 0. \quad (1)$$

Immediately we have

$$\dim T_y \mathcal{Y}_1 = \dim T_y Y + \dim T_0 B^p = k+p.$$

Let $h_1 = \mathcal{Y}_1 \rightarrow X$ be the composition $p \circ h$ and $dh_1(y, \cdot) : T_y \mathcal{Y}_1 \rightarrow T_{h(y)} X$ its jacobian map at the point y (here $h_1(y)$ is identified with $h(y)$). From the exact sequence (1) we obtain an isomorphism

$$\psi : \bigwedge^{k+p} T_y \mathcal{Y}_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigwedge^k T_y Y \otimes \bigwedge^p T_0 B^p.$$

We define a linear map

$$\varphi : \bigwedge^k T_y Y \otimes \bigwedge^p T_0 B^p \longrightarrow \bigwedge^{k+p} T_{h(y)} X$$

by putting $\varphi = \bigwedge^{k+p} dh_1(y, \cdot) \circ \psi$, then φ is injective and we have

$$\varphi \left(v \otimes \bigwedge^p e_p \right) = r^p \cdot \bigwedge^{k+p} dh(y; v \otimes \xi).$$

In particular, $\varphi \left(v \otimes \bigwedge^p e_p \right)$ is independent of f .

On the other hand, because \mathfrak{X}_1 is trivial, we can find a holomorphic map $G : B^{k+p} \rightarrow X$ such that $G(0) = h(y)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \bigwedge^{k+p} dG \left(0; \bigwedge^{k+p} e_{k+p} \right) &= R^k \cdot \varphi \left(v \otimes \bigwedge^p e_p \right) \\ &= R^k \cdot r^p \cdot \bigwedge^{k+p} dh(y; v \otimes \xi) \end{aligned}$$

(we can take $G = G_1|_{B^{k+p}}$ where $G_1 : B^k \times B^p$ defined by $g(a, b) = (g(a), b)$). Thus

$$E_X^{k+p} \left(h(y); \bigwedge^{k+p} dh(y; v \otimes \xi) \right) \leq E_Y^k(y; v) \cdot E_S^p(s; \xi) + \varepsilon'$$

where $\varepsilon' = \varepsilon(\varepsilon + E_Y^k(y; v) + E_S^p(s; \xi))$. Finally, when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we obtain the lemma. \blacksquare

Proof of theorem 2.4. — Let $o \in S$ be a regular point and Y the fibre of π over o . Because \mathfrak{X} is locally trivial, we can find a smooth neighbourhood \mathcal{U} of o in S such that Y is the fibre of π over every point of \mathcal{U} . Let $y \in Y$ be a regular point and ν a decomposable element of $\bigwedge^k T_y Y$ such that $E_Y^k(y; \nu) > 0$. According to lemma 2.5, we have for every $s \in \mathcal{U}$ and $\xi \in T_s S$:

$$E_X^{k+p}(h(y); \bigwedge^{k+p} dh(y; \nu \otimes \xi)) \leq E_Y^k(y; \nu) \cdot E_S^p(s; \xi).$$

As X is strongly $(k+p)$ -measure hyperbolic and $\bigwedge^{k+p} dh(y; \nu \otimes \xi) \neq 0$, we can easily find a lower bound of $E_S^p(s; \xi)$ on a small neighbourhood $\mathcal{U}' \subset \mathcal{U}$ of o in S . The theorem is then proved. \blacksquare

3. Brody-hyperbolicity of embedded curves Douady spaces

3.1. — In all this section, X is an analytic space of dimension n . Our goal is to prove that if X is 2-measure hyperbolic, then $D'_1(X)$ is Brody-hyperbolic. To do this, we will first construct for every $g \geq 1$ a holomorphic mapping defined on the universal covering space $\tilde{D}'_{1,g}(X)$ of $D'_{1,g}(X)$ into the Torelli space T'_g .

Theorem 3.1. — *The universality of T'_g furnishes a natural holomorphic map*

$$\psi_g : \tilde{D}'_{1,g}(X) \longrightarrow T'_g$$

for every $g \geq 1$, defined by: $\psi_g(d) =$ the isomorphism class of the curve parametrized by d .

Proof. — Let

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Y} & \hookrightarrow & D'_{1,g}(X) \times X \\ \pi \downarrow & \circlearrowleft & \swarrow \\ D'_{1,g}(X) & & \end{array}$$

be the universal family over $D'_{1,g}(X)$. It's locally a genus g Torelli curve. The local Torelli structures make the sheaf $R^1 \pi_* (\mathbb{C})$ a local system over $D'_{1,g}(X)$. If we make the base change, corresponding to the universal covering $\tilde{D}'_{1,g}(X) \rightarrow D'_{1,g}(X)$, to the universal family over $D'_{1,g}(X)$, then we obtain a new family over $\tilde{D}'_{1,g}(X)$ according to the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathcal{Y}' & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Y} & \hookrightarrow & D'_{1,g}(X) \\
\pi' \downarrow & & \pi \downarrow & \circlearrowleft & \downarrow \\
\tilde{D}'_{1,g}(X) & \longrightarrow & D'_{1,g}(X) & & X
\end{array}$$

The $R^1\pi_*(\mathbb{C})$ pre-image is isomorphic to the sheaf $R^1\pi'_*(\mathbb{C})$ over $\tilde{D}'_{1,g}(X)$ (see Grothendieck [Gr], VIII, corollaire 1.4). So, $R^1\pi'_*(\mathbb{C})$ is also a local system over $\tilde{D}'_{1,g}(X)$. According to Deligne ([De], chap. 1, corollaire 1.4), such sheaf is given by a complex representation of finite dimension of the fundamental group $\pi_1(\tilde{D}'_{1,g}(X))$. But $\tilde{D}'_{1,g}(X)$ is simply connected; thus $\pi_1(\tilde{D}'_{1,g}(X))$ is trivial and the local system $R^1\pi'_*(\mathbb{C})$ is constant. By the universal coefficient theorem, we deduce that the sheaf $R^1\pi'_*(\mathbb{Z})$ over $\tilde{D}'_{1,g}(X)$ is isomorphic to the sheaf \mathbb{Z}^{2g} . The choice of such an isomorphism defines a Torelli structure on \mathcal{Y}' over $\tilde{D}'_{1,g}(X)$ (except for the special case of the genus $g = 1$). By the universality property of the Torelli space T'_g , it exists a unique (up to isomorphism) holomorphic map

$$\psi_g : \tilde{D}'_{1,g}(X) \longrightarrow T'_g$$

defined by $\psi_g(d) =$ the isomorphism class of the fibre $\pi'^{-1}(d) \in T'_g$ of π' over d .

Returning now to the special case when the genus $g = 1$, and considering a covering $(\tilde{U}_i)_{i \in I}$ of $\tilde{D}'_{1,1}(X)$ such that $\pi'^{-1}(\tilde{U}_i)$ carries a Torelli structure over \tilde{U}_i for every $i \in I$, we can construct for every $i \in I$ a holomorphic map

$$\psi_1^i : \tilde{U}_i \longrightarrow T'_1$$

by the universality of the Torelli space T'_1 . Thus, to obtain a global holomorphic map on $\tilde{D}'_{1,1}(X)$ into T'_1 it suffices to prove that for every $i, j \in I$ we have

$$\psi_1^i = \psi_1^j \text{ on } \tilde{U}_i \cap \tilde{U}_j.$$

Let's put $\tilde{U}_{ij} = \tilde{U}_i \cap \tilde{U}_j$, $\tilde{V}_i = \pi'^{-1}(\tilde{U}_i)$, $\tilde{V}_j = \pi'^{-1}(\tilde{U}_j)$ and $\tilde{V}_{ij} = \tilde{V}_i \cap \tilde{V}_j$. Let $\varepsilon_i : \tilde{V}_i \rightarrow \tilde{U}_i$ (resp. $\varepsilon_j : \tilde{V}_j \rightarrow \tilde{U}_j$) be the section defined by the genus 1 curve \tilde{V}_i (resp. \tilde{V}_j) over \tilde{U}_i (resp. \tilde{U}_j). Then \tilde{V}_{ij} is in two ways (corresponding to ε_i and ε_j) a genus 1 Torelli curve over \tilde{U}_{ij} . These two structures are equivalent. To show this, let's define the holomorphic map

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\tau_{ij} : \tilde{V}_{ij} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{V}_{ij} \\
b & \longmapsto & "b - \varepsilon_i \circ \pi_{ij}(b) + \varepsilon_j \circ \pi_{ij}(b)"
\end{array}$$

where $\pi_{ij} : \tilde{V}_{ij} \rightarrow \tilde{U}_{ij}$ is the restriction of π' to \tilde{V}_{ij} . We see that τ_{ij} is an \tilde{U}_{ij} -automorphism of \tilde{V}_{ij} and that on every fibre of π_{ij} , τ_{ij} is a translation. In particular, it induces the identity on the first cohomology groups of the fibres. Thus τ_{ij} is an automorphism of genus 1 Torelli curves over \tilde{U}_{ij} , which implies that $\psi_i = \psi_j$ on \tilde{U}_{ij} . We then obtain a holomorphic mapping

$$\psi_1 : \tilde{D}'_{1,1}(X) \longrightarrow T'_1$$

defined by $\psi_1 = \psi_1^i$ on \tilde{U}_i for every $i \in I$. This concludes the proof. \blacksquare

Proposition 3.2. — *Suppose that X is 2-measure hyperbolic. Then the fibres of ψ_g are Brody-hyperbolic for every $g \geq 1$.*

Proof. — Let H be any fibre of ψ_g ($H = \psi_g^{-1}(d)$ for $d \in T'_g$) and let C be a genus g algebraic curve representing the isomorphism class d . Making the base change, corresponding to the natural embedding $H \hookrightarrow \tilde{D}'_{1,g}(X)$, to the family \mathcal{Y}' over $\tilde{D}'_{1,g}(X)$, we obtain a genus g curve \mathcal{Y}_H over H . The fibres of this curve are all isomorphic to C so \mathcal{Y}_H constitutes a locally trivial family over H by theorem 2.1. Now, we apply the theorem 2.3 to conclude. ■

Furthermore, if X is strongly 2-measure hyperbolic and $g \geq 2$ then \mathcal{Y}_H (see the proof of proposition 3.2) is a locally trivial family with hyperbolic fibres (so, they are in particular measure-hyperbolic). Applying the theorem 2.4, we obtain:

Proposition 3.3. — *Suppose that $g \geq 2$ and X strongly 2-measure hyperbolic. Then the fibres of ψ_g are hyperbolic.*

3.2. — We now prove the following main theorem:

Theorem 3.4. — *Let X be a 2-measure hyperbolic analytic space. Then $D'_1(X)$ is Brody-hyperbolic*

Proof. — We have

$$D'_1(X) = \coprod_{g \geq 0} D'_{1,g}(X).$$

So we only have to prove that for every $g \geq 0$, $D'_{1,g}(X)$ is Brody-hyperbolic. Let

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Y} & \hookrightarrow & D'_{1,g}(X) \times X \\ \pi_g \downarrow & \circlearrowleft & \downarrow \text{proj}_2 \\ D'_{1,g}(X) & \xleftarrow{\text{proj}_1} & X \end{array} \quad (1)$$

be the universal family over $D'_{1,g}(X)$. It is easy to see that the map $F : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow X$ induced by the diagram (1) is of rank at least 2.

Case 1 : $g = 0$

We will prove that $D'_{1,0}(X)$ is discreet. The map π_0 is a proper flat morphism with all its fibres isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$. Then by theorem 2.1, $(\mathcal{Y}, \pi_0, D'_{1,0}(X))$ is a locally trivial family. Assume that $D'_{1,0}(X)$ is not discreet, then there exists a non-constant holomorphic map $f : \Delta \rightarrow D'_{1,0}(X)$ from the unit disc Δ of \mathbb{C} to $D'_{1,0}(X)$. Without loss of generality, we can suppose that the pull-back $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}' = (\mathcal{Y}', \pi'_0, \Delta)$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{X}} = (\mathcal{Y}, \pi_0, D'_{1,0}(X))$ by the base change

f , is trivial, i.e. \mathcal{Y} is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \times \Delta$. Let $G : \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \times \Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be the map induced by the base change f . Then $F \circ G$ is of rank 2. By Proposition 1.2, this cannot hold because X is 2-measure hyperbolic. Consequently, $D'_{1,0}(X)$ is discreet.

Case 2 : $g = 1$

$D'_{1,1}(X)$ is also discreet. Indeed, suppose the opposite, then there exists a non-constant holomorphic map $f : \Delta \rightarrow D'_{1,1}(X)$. Since $\mathfrak{X} = (\mathcal{Y}, \pi_1, D'_{1,1}(X))$ is locally a Torelli curve of genus 1, then we can choose f such that the pull-back $\mathfrak{X}' = (\mathcal{Y}', \pi_1, \Delta)$ of \mathfrak{X} by the base change f , is a Torelli curve of genus 1. By the construction of the universal family over the Torelli space T'_1 , there exists a surjective holomorphic map $g : \mathbb{C} \times \Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}'$. Furthermore, the map $f^*F : \mathcal{Y}' \rightarrow X$, induced from F by the base change f , has the rank at least 2. Thus $f^*F \circ g : \mathbb{C} \times \Delta \rightarrow X$ is of rank 2, which is impossible by proposition 2.1 since X is 2-measure hyperbolic. Then $D'_{1,1}(X)$ is discreet.

Case 3 : $g \geq 2$

Suppose that there exists a holomorphic map of $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \tilde{D}'_{1,g}(X)$. Then the composition $\psi_g \circ f$ is constant because T'_g is hyperbolic. So the image of f belongs to one fibre of ψ_g . But according to proposition 3.2, the ψ_g fibres are Brody-hyperbolic. Then f must be constant. Consequently, $\tilde{D}'_{1,g}(X)$ is Brody-hyperbolic and we conclude for $D'_{1,g}(X)$ by covering. ■

EXAMPLE 3.5. — Let S be a complex surface of general type. It's well known that S is measure hyperbolic (see Lang [L] or Kobayashi [K2]). So theorem 3.4 proves that $D'_1(S)$ is Brody-hyperbolic.

3.3. — In this section, we suppose that X is a complex manifold. Let Y be a compact complex submanifold of X . $\mathcal{T}_X, \mathcal{T}_Y$ and $\mathcal{N}_{Y/X}$ are respectively the sheaves of holomorphic sections germs of the tangent bundles TX , TY and of the normal bundle $N_{Y/X}$. The exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow TY \longrightarrow TX|_Y \longrightarrow N_{Y/X} \longrightarrow 0$$

induces the following cohomology exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(Y, \mathcal{T}_Y) \longrightarrow H^0(Y, \mathcal{T}_{X/Y}) \longrightarrow H^0(Y, \mathcal{N}_{Y/X}) \xrightarrow{\delta_Y} H^1(Y, \mathcal{T}_Y) \longrightarrow \dots$$

On the other hand, $H^0(Y, \mathcal{N}_{Y/X})$ coincides with the tangent space of the Douady space $D(X)$ at the point $[Y]$, corresponding to Y in $D(X)$. $H^1(Y, \mathcal{T}_Y)$ is canonically isomorphic to the tangent space at 0 of the local moduli variety $(M, 0)$ of Y (cf. [Gr], IX, Proposition 2.2).

Definition 3.6. — We say that $[Y] \in D(X)$ verifies the condition (P) if:

1. the map δ_Y is injective.
2. the semi-universal deformation of Y is universal.

Lemme 3.7. — (P) is an open condition in $D(X)$ i.e. the subset of $D(X)$ corresponding to submanifolds Y of X verifying the condition (P), is open in $D(X)$.

Proof. — The second part of (P) is open by [Bin], Satz 7.1. Let

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Y} & \hookrightarrow & D(X) \times X \\ p \downarrow & \swarrow & \\ D(X) & & \end{array}$$

be the universal family over $D(X)$. Let Y be a compact complex submanifold of X and note $0 := [Y] \in D(X)$ (we use the same notation as for the point corresponding to Y in the local moduli variety $(M,0)$ of Y). The universality of $(M,0)$ provides us with a holomorphic map of germs $\varphi_0 : (D(X),0) \rightarrow (M,0)$ from the germ $(D(X),0)$ of $D(X)$ at 0 into $(M,0)$. Then the differential $T_0\varphi_0$ at 0 is nothing other than the map δ_Y defined above. Since δ_Y is injective, φ_0 is an embedding. Thus there exists an open neighbourhood U of 0 in $D(X)$ such that for every $a \in U$, the map $\varphi_a : (D(X),a) \rightarrow (M,a)$ is an embedding. Now by [Bin], Satz 7.1, the universality property is open, so the differential $T_a\varphi_a$ of φ_a at a coincides with δ_{Y_a} , where Y_a is the fibre of p over a . Consequently, $\delta_{Y_a} : H^0(Y_a, \mathcal{N}_{Y_a/X}) \rightarrow H^1(Y_a, \mathcal{T}_{Y_a})$ is injective. This proves the lemma. ■

Let now R_g be the subset of $D'_{1,g}(X)$ which parametrizes algebraic curves Y of genus g embedded in X such that δ_Y is injective. Since semi-universal deformation of such curves are universal, then every element of R_g verifies the condition (P) and consequently, R_g is open in $D'_{1,g}(X)$. Furthermore, we have:

Theorem 3.8. — Let X be a complex manifold and $g \geq 1$ an integer. Then R_g is hyperbolic.

Proof. — Let $\psi_g : \tilde{D}'_{1,g}(X) \rightarrow T'_g$ be the holomorphic map defined in theorem 3.1. Since δ_Y is injective for all Y in R , the restriction $\psi_g|_{\tilde{R}} : \tilde{R} \rightarrow T'_g$ is a local embedding where \tilde{R} is the pre-image of R by the universal covering $\tilde{D}'_{1,g}(X) \rightarrow D'_{1,g}(X)$. But T'_g is hyperbolic, then by [K2], Theorem 3.4, 1) \tilde{R} (and consequently R) is hyperbolic. ■

4. Brody-hyperbolicity of embedded abelian varieties Douady spaces

4.1. — The techniques used to prove theorem 3.4 are valid to be used also in the case of Douady space of a projective analytic space X submanifolds which are abelian varieties of the same dimension d (let's call this Douady space of d -dimensional abelian subvarieties of X and note it $D_d^T(X)$). By Grothendieck [Gr], IV, proposition 5.9, and using a result of Mumford ([M1], proposition 6.16), $D_d^T(X)$ is an open analytic subspace of the Douady space $D(X)$ which is identified with the Hilbert scheme $\text{Hilb}(X)$.

4.2. — As for the theorem 3.4, we first construct a holomorphic mapping with Brody hyperbolic fibres from the universal covering space $\tilde{D}_d^T(X)$ of $D_d^T(X)$ into the Siegel moduli space S_d . By definition $S_d = \{Z \in M_d(\mathbb{C})/Z = Z \text{ and } \text{Im } Z > 0\}$ where $M_d(\mathbb{C})$ is the vector space of complex (d,d) -matrices. S_d is a fine moduli space of d -dimensional polarized abelian varieties with a fixed type D and symplectic basis and there is a universal family over it (see [L.B] for definitions and details).

Proposition 4.1. — *The universality of S_d furnishes a natural holomorphic mapping*

$$\varphi_d : \tilde{D}_d^T(X) \longrightarrow S_d.$$

defined by $\varphi_d(Z) =$ the isomorphism class of the abelian variety parametrized by Z .

Proof. — Let

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Y} & \hookrightarrow & D_d^T(X) \times X \\ \pi \downarrow & \circlearrowleft & \swarrow \\ D_d^T(X) & & \end{array}$$

be the universal family over $D_d^T(X)$. Making the base change corresponding to the universal covering $\tilde{D}_d^T(X) \rightarrow D_d^T(X)$ to this family, we obtain a new family $\mathcal{X}' = (\mathcal{Y}', \pi', \tilde{D}_d^T(X))$. \mathcal{X}' is locally a family of polarized abelian varieties with fixed type and symplectic basis. This is a consequence of theorem 6.14 of Mumford [M1] and because $R^1 \pi'_*(\mathbb{Z})$ is a local system.

In the same way as in the proof of theorem 3.4, we can show that $R^1 \pi'_*(\mathbb{Z})$ is constant. So the types and the symplectic bases of over local families are the same. Consequently, there exist, locally on $\tilde{D}_d^T(X)$, holomorphic maps into the Siegel space S_d being a moduli space of

polarized d -dimensional abelian varieties with the same type and symplectic basis. Glueing them by the same method as in the proof of theorem 3.4 (case $g = 1$), we obtain a global holomorphic map

$$\varphi_d : \tilde{D}_d^T(X) \longrightarrow S_d. \quad \blacksquare$$

4.2. — Let X a projective complex manifold and R_d the subset of $D_d^T(X)$ parametrizing abelian varieties Y embedded in X such that the map δ_Y defined in 3.3 is injective. Since semi-universal deformation for complex tori are universal, then every element of R_d verifies the condition (P) defined in 3.3. Consequently, R_d is open in $D_d^T(X)$ by lemma 3.7. The following theorem is an immediate application of proposition 4.1.

Theorem 4.2. — *Let X be a projective complex manifold. Then R_d is hyperbolic.*

Proof. — Let $\varphi_d : \tilde{D}_d^T(X) \rightarrow S_d$ the holomorphic map defined by proposition 4.2 and \tilde{R}_d the pre-image of R_d by the universal covering $\tilde{D}_d^T(X) \rightarrow D_d^T(X)$. By the injectivity of δ_Y for all Y in R_d , the map $\varphi_d|_{\tilde{R}_d} : \tilde{R}_d \rightarrow S_d$ is a local embedding. But S_d is hyperbolic, then \tilde{R} (and consequently R) is hyperbolic by [K2], theorem 3.4, 1). \blacksquare

4.3. — We now prove that $D_d^T(X)$ is discreet:

Theorem 4.3. — *Let X be a projective analytic space. Suppose that X is $(d+1)$ -measure hyperbolic, then $D_d^T(X)$ is discreet.*

Proof. — Let

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Y} & \hookrightarrow & D_d^T(X) \times X \\ \pi_d \downarrow & \circlearrowleft & \downarrow \text{proj}_2 \\ D_d^T(X) & \xleftarrow{\text{proj}_1} & X \end{array} \quad (1)$$

the universal family over $D_d^T(X)$. The map $F : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow X$ induced by the diagram (1) is of rank at least $k + 1$.

Suppose that $D_d^T(X)$ is not discreet, then there exists a non-constant holomorphic map $f : \Delta \rightarrow D_d^T(X)$ from the unit disc Δ in \mathbb{C} into $D_d^T(X)$. Since the universal family $\mathfrak{X} = (\mathcal{Y}, \pi_d, D_d^T(X))$ over $D_d^T(X)$ is locally a family of polarized abelian varieties of a certain type D with symplectic base (because X is projective), then we can choose f such that the pull-back $\mathfrak{X}' = (\mathcal{Y}', \pi'_d, \Delta)$ of \mathfrak{X} by the base change f is a family of polarized abelian varieties of type D with symplectic base. By the construction of the universal family over the siegel space S_d , we can construct a surjective holomorphic map $G : \mathbb{C}^d \times \Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}'$.

Furthermore, the pull-back $f^*F : \mathcal{Y}' \rightarrow X$ of F by the base change f is of rank at least $k + 1$, thus $f^*F \circ G : \Delta \times \mathbb{C}^d \rightarrow X$ is of rank $d + 1$, which is impossible by the proposition 2.1 since X is $(d + 1)$ -measure hyperbolic. Consequently, $D_d^T(X)$ is discrete. ■

Remark 4.3. — If we take $d = 1$ in the last theorem, we find again the part of the theorem 3.4 concerning the special case of genus 1. In fact, the condition for X to be projective is not necessary (see Grothendieck [Gr], VIII, corollaire 2.2).

5. Moduli spaces of holomorphic mappings

5.1. — Let X be a compact analytic space and Y an analytic space. According to Douady [D], the space $\text{Hol}(X, Y)$ of holomorphic mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ carries a structure of an open analytic subspace of the Douady space $D(X \times Y)$ and has the following two universal properties:

– the canonical mapping $\Phi : X \times \text{Hol}(X, Y) \rightarrow Y$ is holomorphic.
 $(x, f) \mapsto f(x)$

– if $\varphi : X \times T \rightarrow Y$ is holomorphic for analytic space T , then the map $\tilde{\varphi} : T \rightarrow \text{Hol}(X, Y)$ defined by $\tilde{\varphi}(t) = \varphi(\cdot, t) \in \text{Hol}(X, Y)$ is holomorphic.

Y hyperbolicity gives us some informations about the space $\text{Hol}(X, Y)$. We recall the following Kobayashi theorem [K2]:

Theorem 5.1.

1) If Y is (complete) hyperbolic, then each connected component of $\text{Hol}(X, Y)$ is (complete) hyperbolic.

2) If Y is compact hyperbolic, then $\text{Hol}(X, Y)$ is compact.

5.2. — More generally, suppose that Y is $(k+1)$ -measure hyperbolic for an integer k and consider the open subspace of $\text{Hol}(X, Y)$ of holomorphic mappings $f : X \rightarrow Y$ with rank at least k , which we note $\text{Hol}_k(X, Y)$. Then we have:

Theorem 5.2. — If X is a compact analytic space and Y a $(k+1)$ -measure hyperbolic analytic space, then $\text{Hol}_k(X, Y)$ is Brody-hyperbolic.

Proof. — Suppose that there exists a non-constant holomorphic mapping

$$f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \text{Hol}_k(X, Y).$$

Let $F : \mathbb{C} \times X \rightarrow Y$ be the induced mapping defined by $F(t, x) = f(t)(x)$. According to 5.1, F is holomorphic. Let's fix a regular point $(t_0, x_0) \in \mathbb{C} \times X$ such that $\text{rank}_{(t_0, x_0)} F = \text{rank } F$ and $\text{rank}_{x_0} f(t_0) = \text{rank } f(t_0)$. Choosing connected smooth neighbourhoods $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ of t_0 and $V \subset X$ of x_0 and noting $G := F|_{U \times V}$, then G is of constant rank on $U \times V$. So by the constant rank theorem, $F(U \times V) = G(U \times V)$ is a connected complex manifold. As f is non-constant, $f(t_0)(V)$ is properly contained in $F(U \times V)$. Thus

$$\dim_{F(t_0, x_0)} f(t_0)(V) < \dim_{F(t_0, x_0)} F(U \times V),$$

which implies that

$$k \leq \text{rank } f(t_0) < \text{rank } F$$

But this is impossible because Y is $(k+1)$ -measure hyperbolic ($F : \mathbb{C} \times X \rightarrow Y$ must have rank less than $(k+1)$). So f must be constant and consequently, $\text{Hol}_k(X, Y)$ is Brody-hyperbolic. ■

In particular, when Y is compact measure hyperbolic of dimension k , $\text{Hol}_k(Y, Y)$ coincides with the group $\text{Aut}(Y)$ of Y automorphisms. Theorem 5.2 implies that $\text{Hol}_{k-1}(Y, Y)$ (and so $\text{Hol}_k(Y, Y)$) is Brody-hyperbolic. But $\text{Aut}(Y)$ is a complex Lie group. Thus $\text{Aut}(Y)$ is discrete. So we find again theorem 9.7 in [K2] and theorem 1 in [Wo].

5.3. — In this section, we assume that Y is strongly k -measure hyperbolic. Then we obtain the same property on $\text{Hol}(X, Y)$ for X strongly measure hyperbolic. Namely we have:

Theorem 5.3. — *Let X be a strongly measure hyperbolic compact analytic space of dimension n and Y a strongly k -measure hyperbolic analytic space. Then $\text{Hol}(X, Y)$ is strongly k -measure hyperbolic.*

Proof. — The embedding of $\text{Hol}(X, Y)$ in the Douady space $D(X \times Y)$ induces an analytic family of n -dimensional compact analytic subspaces of $X \times Y$ over $\text{Hol}(X, Y)$. The fibres of this family are all isomorphic to X , thus it is a locally trivial family. Moreover, $X \times Y$ is strongly $(n + k)$ -measure hyperbolic. Then by theorem 2.4, $\text{Hol}(X, Y)$ is strongly k -measure hyperbolic, as desired. ■

Bibliography

- [B] BARLET D. — *Espace analytique réduit des cycles analytiques complexes de dimension finie*, Séminaire F. Norguet, Lecture Notes in Math. Springer-Verlag **482** (1975), 1–158.
- [Bin] BINGENER J. — *Offenheit der Versalität in der analytischen Geometrie*, Math. Z **173** (1980), 241–281.
- [Be] BERS L. — *Spaces of Riemann surfaces*, Proc. Int. Cong. 1958, Cambridge, **1960**.
- [Br] BRODY R. — *Compact manifolds and hyperbolicity*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **235** (1978), 213–217.
- [C1] CARTAN H. — *Espaces fibrés analytiques*, Symp. Int. Topol. Algebraica Mexico (1958), 97–121.
- [C2] CARTAN H. — *Quotients of complex analytic spaces*, Contributions to function theory (Internat. Coloq. Bombay, 1960), Tata institute of fundamental research Bombay (1960), 1–15.
- [De] DELIGNE P. — *Équations différentielles à points singuliers réguliers*, Lecture Notes in Math. Springer-Verlag **163** (1970), .
- [D] DOUADY A. — *Le problème des modules pour les sous-espaces analytiques compacts d'un espace analytique donné*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **16** (1) (1966), 1–95.
- [E] EISENMAN D. — *Intrinsic measures on complex manifolds and holomorphic mappings*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **96** (1970), .
- [Fi] FISCHER G. — *Complex Analytic Geometry*, Lecture Notes in Math. Springer-Verlag **538** (1976), .
- [Fo] FOGARTI J. — *Algebraic families on an algebraic surface*, Amer. J. Math. **90** (1968), 511–521.
- [Fu] FUJIKI A. — *Projectivity of the space of divisors on a normal compact-complex space*, Pub. RIMS Kyoto Univ. **18** (1992), 1163–1173.
- [G-W] GRAHAM I., WU H. — *Some remarks on the intrinsic measures of Eisenman*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **288** (1985), 625–660.
- [G-F] GRAUERT H., FISCHER G. — *Lokale-triviale familien kompakter komplexer mannigfaltigkeiten*, Nach. Akad. Wiss. Götting. Math.-Phys. Kl, II (1965), 89–94.
- [G-H] GRIFFITHS P., HARRIS J. — *Principles of algebraic geometry*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, **1978**.
- [Gr] GROTHENDIECK A. — *Techniques de construction en géométrie analytique*, Séminaire Cartan, 13ème année, **1960/61**.
- [Hu] HUBBARD J. — *Sur les sections analytiques de la courbe universelle de Teichmüller*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **166** (1976), .
- [K1] KOBAYASHI S. — *Hyperbolic manifolds and holomorphic mapping*, Pure and appl. Math., 2, Dekker, New York, **1970**.
- [K2] KOBAYASHI S. — *Intrinsic distances, measures and geometric function theory*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **82** (1976), 357–416.
- [K-O] KOBAYASHI S., OCHIAI T. — *Mappings into compact complex manifolds with negative first Chern class*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **23** (1) (1971), 137–148.
- [K-S] KODAIRA K., SPENCER C. — *On deformations of complex analytic structures*, Ann. Math. **67** (1958), 328–460.
- [L] LANG S. — *Introduction to complex hyperbolic spaces*, Springer-Verlag, New York, **1987**.
- [L-B] LANGE H., BIRKENKAKE CH. — *Complex abelian varieties*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, **1992**.
- [Ma] MATTUCK A. — *Picard bundles*, Illinois J. Math. **5** (1961), 550–564.

- [M1] MUMFORD D. — *Geometric invariant theory*, Springer Verlag, 1965.
- [M2] MUMFORD D., SUOMINEN K. — *Introduction to the theory of moduli*, Proceeding of the 5th nordic summer-school in Math. Oslo (1970), 171–222.
- [N] NAG S. — *The complex analytic theory of Teichmüller spaces*, John Wiley & sons, 1988.
- [Na] NARASIMHAN R. — *Introduction to the theory of analytic spaces*, Lecture Notes in Math. Springer-Verlag 25 (1966), .
- [P] POURCIN G. — *Théorème de Douady au-dessus de S*, Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Sup. Pisa, Sci. Fis. Mat., III 23 (1969), 451–459.
- [R1] ROYDEN H. — *Remarks on the Kobayashi metric*, Several complex variables, II (Proc. int. conf. univ. of Mariland, College Park, Md, 1970), Lecture Notes in Math. Springer-Verlag 185 (1971), 125–137.
- [R2] ROYDEN H. — *Automorphisms and isometries on Teichmüller spaces*, Advances in the theory of Riemann surfaces (Proc. Conf. Stony Brook, N.Y. 1969), Ann. of Math. Studies, Princeton Univ. Press. Princeton N.J. 66 (1971), 369–383.
- [S] STEENROD N. — *The topology of fibre bundles*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1951.
- [U] URATA T. — *The hyperbolicity of complex analytic spaces*, Bull. Aich. Univ. of Education (Natural Science) 31 (1982), 65–75.
- [W] WEIL A. — *Modules des surfaces de Riemann*, Séminaire Bourbaki 168 (1958), .
- [Wh] WHITENEY H. — *Complex analytic varieties*, Addison-Wesley Publishing company, 1972.
- [Wo] WONG B. — *On the automorphism group of compact measure hyperbolic manifolds and complex analytic bundles with compact measure hyperbolic fibres*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 62 (1) (1977), 54–56.
- [Y] YAU S. — *Intrinsic measures of compact complex manifolds*, Math. Ann. 212 (1975), 351–358.

– \diamond –

Université de Grenoble I
Institut Fourier
 UMR 5582
 UFR de Mathématiques
 B.P. 74
 38402 ST MARTIN D'HÈRES Cedex (France)
 e-mail: maarouf@fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr

(25 avril 1996)