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## Stably stratified fluids near shear flows

(E) $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\partial_{t} \rho+\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \rho=0 \\ \rho\left(\partial_{t} \mathbf{u}+\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}\right)+\nabla P=-\rho\binom{0}{\mathfrak{g}}\end{array} \begin{array}{rl}\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}=0 \quad \text { for } \quad(x, y) \in \mathbb{T} \times[0,1]\end{array}\right.$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Rightarrow \text { Stationary solutions of the form } \\
& \left(\bar{\rho}_{e q}(y), U(y) \mathbf{e}_{1}, \bar{P}_{e q}(y)\right) \quad \text { where } \quad \bar{P}_{e q}^{\prime}(y)=-\mathfrak{g} \bar{\rho}_{e q}(y) \quad \text { [hydrostatic balance] }
\end{aligned}
$$

Hydrodynamic stability from the end of the 19th century: Rayleigh, Kelvin, Taylor, Goldstein...

## Questions

- Are these solutions "stable"?
- What is the asymptotic behavior of the perturbations in time, with or without dissipation?

Stably stratified fluids near shear flows
$\Rightarrow$ perturbed solutions: $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\rho(t, x, y)=\bar{\rho}_{e q}(y)+\widetilde{\rho}(t, x, y) \\ \mathbf{u}(t, x, y)=U(y) \mathbf{e}_{1}+\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}(t, x, y) \\ p(t, x, y)=\bar{P}_{e q}(y)+\widetilde{p}(t, x, y)\end{array}\right.$
$\Rightarrow$ linearized system $\partial_{t}\binom{\widetilde{\rho}}{\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}}=\mathbf{L}(t, x, y)\binom{\widetilde{\rho}}{\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}}$
$\Rightarrow$ eigenvalues of $\mathbf{L}(t, x, y)$ ?

$$
\text { normal mode analysis, take }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\widetilde{\rho}(t, x, y)=\rho(y) e^{s t+i k x} \\
\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}(t, x, y)=\mathbf{u}(y) e^{s t+i k x} \\
\widetilde{p}(y)=p(y) e^{s t+i k x}
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Taylor-Goldstein Equation and Miles-Howard criterion

The triple $(\rho(y), \mathbf{u}(y), p(y))$ satisfies, for $\gamma(y)=s+i k U(y)$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
\gamma(y) \rho+\bar{\rho}_{e q}^{\prime}(y) u^{y}=0 & \quad \text { Taylor-Goldstein Equation } \\
\bar{\rho}_{e q}(y)\left(\gamma(y) u^{x}+U^{\prime}(y) u^{y}\right)=-i k \rho \\
\bar{\rho}_{e q}(y) \gamma(y) u^{y}=-p^{\prime}-\mathfrak{g} \rho & \quad \Rightarrow-\left(\bar{\rho}_{e q}(y) u^{y^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}+k^{2} \bar{\rho}_{e q}(y) u^{y}+\frac{i k}{\gamma(y)}\left(\bar{\rho}_{e q}(y) U^{\prime}(y)\right)^{\prime} u^{y}-\frac{k^{2} \mathfrak{g}}{\gamma^{2}(y)} \bar{\rho}_{e q}^{\prime}(y) u^{y}=0 \\
i k u^{x}+u^{y^{\prime}}=0 &
\end{array}\right.
$$

$\Rightarrow$ introducing the variable $v(y)$ such that $u^{y}=v(y) \sqrt{\gamma(y)}$ and multiplying by $\bar{v}(y)$ (complex conj) gives

$$
\operatorname{Re}(s) \int_{0}^{1} \bar{\rho}_{e q}(y)\left(\left|v^{\prime}\right|^{2}+k^{2}|v|^{2}\right)+\frac{k^{2} \bar{\rho}_{e q}(y)\left(U^{\prime}(y)\right)^{2}}{|\gamma(y)|^{2}}\left(\operatorname{Ri}(y)-\frac{1}{4}\right)|v|^{2} d y=0
$$

$\operatorname{Ri}(y)=\left(\frac{\beta(y)}{U^{\prime}(y)}\right)^{2}$ 'Richardson number' and $\beta^{2}(y)=\frac{-\bar{\rho}_{e q}^{\prime}(y) \mathfrak{g}}{\bar{\rho}_{e q}(y)} \quad$ 'Brunt-Väisälä frequency' if $\quad$| stably stratifi |
| :--- |
| $\bar{\rho}_{e q}^{\prime}(y)<0$ |

$$
\text { Miles-Howard criterion: if } \operatorname{Ri}(y) \geq 1 / 4 \Rightarrow \operatorname{Re}(s)=0 \text { [ } \mathrm{NO} \text { any unstable mode] }
$$

## 'Rigidity' of the Miles-Howard condition

The Miles-Howard condition

- Is sharp in the sense that the value $1 / 4$ is sharp
- But it is only a sufficient condition (ex. Homogeneous case)

However, it persists under
The Boussinesq approximation
The hydrostatic approximation

- Taylor-Goldstein Equation under the Boussinesq approximation $\bar{\rho}_{e q}(y)=\bar{\rho}_{c}-b y, \quad b>0$

$$
-\left(u^{y}\right)^{\prime \prime}+k^{2} u^{y}+\frac{i k}{\gamma(y)} U^{\prime \prime}(y) u^{y}+\frac{k^{2}}{\gamma^{2}(y)} \underbrace{\frac{\mathfrak{g} b}{\bar{\rho}_{c}}}_{\beta^{2}} u^{y}=0
$$

- Taylor-Goldstein Equation under the hydrostatic (and Boussinesq) approximation $x=\frac{\tilde{x}}{\varepsilon}$

$$
-\left(u^{y}\right)^{\prime \prime}+\varepsilon^{2} k^{2} u^{y}+\frac{i k}{\gamma(y)} U^{\prime \prime}(y) u^{y}+\frac{k^{2}}{\gamma^{2}(y)} \underbrace{\frac{\mathfrak{g} b}{\bar{\rho}_{c}}}_{\beta^{2}} u^{y}=0
$$

## homogeneous Vs non-homogeneous (Boussinesq)

$$
\text { Let } \gamma(y)=s+i k U(y)=i k\left(U(y)-\frac{i s}{k}\right)=i k(U-c) \quad \text { where } \quad c=i s / k
$$

Homogeneous density: Rayleigh Equation NONhomogeneous density: Taylor-Goldstein

$$
-\left(u^{y}\right)^{\prime \prime}+k^{2} u^{y}+\frac{U^{\prime \prime}}{(U-c)} u^{y}=0
$$

$$
-\left(u^{y}\right)^{\prime \prime}+k^{2} u^{y}+\frac{U^{\prime \prime}(y)}{(U-c)} u^{y}-\frac{\beta^{2}}{(U-c)^{2}} u^{y}=0
$$

*** Rayleigh Equation has a singularity of order 1 in $(U-c)$ while TG has a singularity of order $2^{* * *}$

- This does not change under the Boussinesq approximation
- The different orders of singularity determine a different time decay of the perturbation

Let us consider the simplest shear flow, namely the Couette flow $U(y)=y$

## The 2D Boussinesq equations around the Couette flow

* The inviscid Euler-Boussinesq equations in $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}$ read

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} \rho+\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \rho=0 \quad(x, y) \in \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R} \\
\partial_{t} \mathbf{u}+\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}+\nabla p=-\rho \mathbf{g}, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}=0
\end{array} \quad\left(\rho=\frac{\tilde{\rho}}{\bar{\rho}_{c}}, P=\frac{\tilde{P}}{\bar{\rho}_{c}}\right)\right.
$$

* Stationary solutions $\left(\bar{\rho}_{e q}(y), \overline{\mathbf{u}}_{e q}(y), \bar{p}_{e q}(y)\right)$ stratified Couette flow

$$
\bar{\rho}_{e q}(y)=\bar{\rho}_{c}-b y, \quad b>0 \text { [stable]; } \quad \overline{\mathbf{u}}_{e q}=(y, 0) \text { [Couette flow]; } \quad \partial_{y} \bar{p}_{e q}=-\mathfrak{g} \bar{\rho}_{e q}
$$

For $\theta=\mathfrak{g} \rho / \bar{\rho}_{c}$ [buoyancy forcing] the linearized system in vorticity

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} \omega+y \partial_{x} \omega=-\partial_{x} \theta-(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \omega \\
\partial_{t} \theta+y \partial_{x} \theta=\beta^{2} \partial_{x} \psi-\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \theta
\end{array} \quad(x, y) \in \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}\right.
$$

$$
\beta=\sqrt{b \mathbf{g} / \bar{\rho}_{c}} \text { Brunt-Väisälä frequency }
$$

'Spectral stability is not enough' and a steady state is stable if, given two spaces $X$, $Y$, perturbations decay

$$
\forall \varepsilon>0 \quad \exists \delta>0 \quad\left\|\left(\rho^{i n}, \mathbf{u}^{i n}\right)\right\|_{X}<\delta \Rightarrow\|(\rho(t), \mathbf{u}(t))\|_{Y} \leq \varepsilon
$$

- Asymptotic stability of the 2D Boussinesq system near the Couette flow, inviscid (our results in the 2D infinite strip with Coti Zelati, Dolce and Bedrossian; linear results in the finite channel by Nualart '23)
- Asymptotic stability of the 2D Boussinesq system near the Couette flow with viscosity, no diffusivity [Masmoudi et al '20]
- Construction of echo chains for the 2D Boussinesq system near the Couette flow with viscosity [Zillinger '21]
Enhanced dissipation with viscosity and diffusivity [Del Zotto '23] and transition threshold in Sobolev [Masmoudi et al '22]
Etability threshold for the 3D equations with viscosity and diffusivity in Sobolev [Del Zotto, in preparation]
- Spectral instability and ill-posedness of the hydrostatic-Boussinesq equations near a shear flow violating the Miles-Howard criterion [with Lucas Ertzbischoff and Coti Zelati, in preparation]

2D Euler equations linearized

- [Bedrossian-Masmoudi 2015] nonlinear, Couette flow
- [Ionescu-Jia 2020] nonlinear, monotone shear flows
- [Wei, Zheng, Zhao 2020, after Bouchet-Morita 2010] linear, near the Kolmogorov flow (sin y,0)


## A step back: the Euler equations in 2D

Let's focus on vorticity mixing : consider the Euler equations near the 2D Couette flow $\overline{\mathbf{u}}_{\text {couette }}=(y, 0)$ Like any shear flow, Couette is a steady state of 2D Euler. Q: "Is it stable to perturbation?"
$\Rightarrow$ It depends pretty much on the regularity of the perturbation:
look at the linearized 2D Euler equations in vorticity form near Couette

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} \omega+y \partial_{x} \omega=0 \\
\omega(0, x, y)=\omega_{i n}(x, y)
\end{array} \quad \text { in the domain } \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}\right.
$$

- Spectrally stable in $L^{2}$ [continuous spectrum, the imaginary axis]
- Lyapunov stable in $L^{2}$
- Lyapunov unstable from $H^{s} \rightarrow H^{s}, s>0$
- Lyapunov stable from $H_{\star}^{1} \rightarrow H^{-1} \quad$ ( $\star=$ zero average in $x$ )
"Lypunov stability - time decay - requires loss of regularity"


## Mixing by shear flows in the Euler equations

## Physical space

- Consider $\theta=1$ and the Euler equations $\partial_{t} \omega+(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \omega=0$
- Linearized around Couette $\mathbf{u}=(y, 0)$ i.e. $\partial_{t} \omega+y \partial_{x} \omega=0$
- Explicit solution $\omega(t, x, y)=\omega_{\mathrm{in}}(x-y t)$



## Fourier Dynamics

- $\partial_{t} \widehat{\omega}+k \partial_{\eta} \widehat{\omega}=0$
- Explicit solution $\widehat{\omega}(t, k, \eta)=\widehat{\omega_{\text {in }}}(\eta+k t)$


$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} \omega+y \partial_{x} \omega=0 \\
\left.\omega\right|_{t=0}=\omega_{\text {in }}
\end{array}\right.
$$

- $\widehat{\omega}(t, k, \eta)=\widehat{\omega}_{\text {in }}(k, \eta+k t)$
- $\widehat{\omega}(t, k, \eta-k t)=\widehat{\omega}_{\text {in }}(k, \eta)$


$$
\widehat{\Delta \psi}(t, k, \eta-k t)=\widehat{\omega}_{\text {in }}(k, \eta-k t)
$$

$$
\Rightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\widehat{u^{y}} \sim k \widehat{\psi}=\frac{k \widehat{\omega}_{\text {in }}}{k^{2}+(\eta-k t)^{2}}=\frac{k\left(k^{2}+\eta^{2}\right) \widehat{\omega}_{\text {in }}}{\left(k^{2}+(\eta-k t)^{2}\right)\left(k^{2}+\eta^{2}\right)} \lesssim O\left(t^{-2}\right) \\
\widehat{u^{x}} \lesssim O\left(t^{-1}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Linear enhanced dissipation with $\nu \Delta$

Mixing by shear flows transports energy at high frequencies where the Laplacian is stronger

- Navier-Stokes at Couette $\partial_{t} \omega+y \partial_{x} \omega=\nu \Delta \omega$

Explicitly solvable $\quad \partial_{t} \widehat{\omega}+k \partial_{\eta} \widehat{\omega}=-\nu\left(k^{2}+\eta^{2}\right) \widehat{\omega}$

$$
\widehat{\omega}(t, k, \eta-k t) \lesssim \mathrm{e}^{\int_{0}^{t}-\nu\left(k^{2}+(\eta-k \tau)^{2}\right)} d \tau \lesssim \mathrm{e}^{-c \nu t^{3}}
$$

For more general shear flows it is more complicated, enhanced dissipation rates obtained through suitable modified energy functionals (hypocoercivity method)

Back to Boussinesq and linear dynamics in the infinite strip $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}$
Theorem [RB, Coti Zelati, Dolce '20] Let $\beta>1 / 2$. Define

$$
C_{\beta}:=\left[\frac{2 \beta+1}{2 \beta-1} \exp \left(\frac{1}{2 \beta-1}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}
$$

Then there hold the linear inviscid damping estimates

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\theta_{\neq}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|u_{\neq}^{x}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}} \lesssim C_{\beta}\langle t\rangle^{-1 / 2}\left[\left\|\omega_{\neq}^{i n}\right\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|\theta_{\neq}^{i n}\right\|_{H^{1}}\right] \\
\left\|u^{y}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}} \lesssim C_{\beta}\langle t\rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}}\left[\left\|\omega_{\neq}^{i n}\right\|_{H^{1}}+\left\|\theta_{\neq}^{i n}\right\|_{H^{2}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and the shear-buoyancy instability estimate

$$
\left\|\omega_{\neq}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|\nabla \theta_{\neq}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}} \gtrsim \frac{1}{C_{\beta}}\langle t\rangle^{1 / 2}\left[\left\|\omega_{\neq}^{i n}\right\|_{H^{-1}}+\left\|\theta_{\neq}^{i n}\right\|_{L^{2}}\right]
$$

for every $t \geq 0$.
** density induces creation of vorticity and hence an $\mathbf{L}^{2}$ growth in time **

Initial vorticity


Initial buoyancy


Shearing effect at later times



Growth of the vorticity

## Linear enhanced dissipation

THEOREM 2 (Linear enhanced dissipation). Let $\beta>1 / 2$, assume that $\nu, \kappa>0$ satisfy

$$
\frac{\max \{\nu, \kappa\}}{\min \{\nu, \kappa\}}<4 \beta-1
$$

and define the strictly positive number

$$
\lambda_{\nu, \kappa}:=\min \{\nu, \kappa\}\left(1-\frac{1}{4 \beta}-\frac{1}{4 \beta} \frac{\max \{\nu, \kappa\}}{\min \{\nu, \kappa\}}\right)
$$

Then

$$
\left\|\omega_{\neq}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}}+\langle t\rangle\left\|\theta_{\neq}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}} \lesssim C_{\beta}\langle t\rangle^{1 / 2} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{24} \lambda_{\nu, \kappa} k^{2} t^{3}}\left[\left\|\omega_{\neq}^{i n}\right\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|\theta_{\neq}^{i n}\right\|_{H^{1}}\right]
$$

$\Rightarrow$ Transition threshold $\nu^{1 / 2}$ in Sobolev spaces $H^{s}$ [Zhai \& Zhao '22] while for the homogeneous case $\nu^{1 / 3}$ This is related to the asymptotic $t \sim \nu^{-1 / 3} \Rightarrow\left\|f_{\neq \|}\right\| \lesssim \sqrt{\langle t\rangle} e^{-c \nu t^{3}} \lesssim \nu^{-1 / 6}$ and $\nu^{1 / 3+1 / 6}=\nu^{1 / 2}$


## Symmetrization and energy method

The transport $y \partial_{x}$ suggests changing coordinate $z=x-y t$ and variables $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\Omega(t, z, y)=\omega(t, x, y) \\ \Theta(t, z, y)=\theta(t, x, y) \\ \Psi(t, z, y)=\psi(t, x, y)\end{array}\right.$

In this moving frame $\Delta_{L} \Psi=\Omega$ where $\Delta_{L}=\partial_{z z}+\left(\partial_{y}-t \partial_{z}\right)^{2}$ [in Fourier $\mathbf{p}=\mathbf{k}^{2}+(\eta-\mathbf{k} t)^{2}$ ] and

$$
\underbrace{\partial_{t}\binom{\Omega}{\Theta}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -i k \beta^{2} \\
-i k p^{-1} & 0
\end{array}\right)\binom{\Omega}{\Theta}}_{\nu=\kappa=0} \quad \underbrace{\partial_{t}\binom{\Omega}{\Theta}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-p_{\nu} & -i k \beta^{2} \\
-i k p^{-1} & -p \kappa
\end{array}\right)\binom{\Omega}{\Theta}}_{\nu, \kappa>0}
$$

$$
\text { symmetric variables: }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Z=\left(\mathbf{p} / k^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4} \Omega \\
Q=i k \beta\left(\mathbf{p} / k^{2}\right)^{1 / 4} \Theta
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Energy in the moving frame - inviscid

In terms of the symmetric variables

$$
\partial_{t}\binom{Z}{Q}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial_{t} p}{p} & -\beta|k| p^{-1 / 2} \\
\beta|k| p^{-1 / 2} & \frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial_{t} p}{p}
\end{array}\right)\binom{Z}{Q}
$$

we can define the energy functional

$$
\mathrm{E}(t, k, \eta)=\frac{1}{2}\left[|Z|^{2}+|Q|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \beta} \frac{\partial_{t} p}{|k| p^{1 / 2}} \operatorname{Re}(Z \bar{Q})\right]
$$

$$
-\frac{\mathrm{E}}{2(1-2 \beta)}\left|\partial_{t}\left(\frac{\partial_{t} p}{|k| p^{1 / 2}}\right)\right| \leq \frac{d}{d t} \mathrm{E} \leq \frac{\mathrm{E}}{2(1-2 \beta)}\left|\partial_{t}\left(\frac{\partial_{t} p}{|k| p^{1 / 2}}\right)\right|
$$

$\Downarrow$

Upper and lower bounds, point wise in ( $k, \eta$ )

$$
\mathrm{E}(t) \approx_{\beta} \mathrm{E}(0)
$$

## Linear inviscid damping by the energy method

$$
\mathrm{E}(t) \approx_{\beta} \mathrm{E}(0) \text { reads, more explicitely }
$$

$$
\left|p^{-1 / 4} \Omega(t, k, \eta)\right|^{2}+\left|p^{1 / 4} \Theta(t, k, \eta)\right|^{2} \approx_{\beta}\left|\left(k^{2}+\eta^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4} \Omega(0, k, \eta)\right|^{2}+\left|\left(k^{2}+\eta^{2}\right)^{1 / 4} \Theta(0, k, \eta)\right|^{2}
$$

$\Downarrow$
[damping] $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\left\|\theta(t)-\langle\theta\rangle_{x}\right\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|u^{x}(t)-\left\langle u^{x}\right\rangle_{x}\right\|_{L^{2}}+\langle t\rangle\left\|u^{y}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}} \lesssim\langle\mathbf{t}\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\left\|\omega_{i n}-\left\langle\omega_{i n}\right\rangle_{x}\right\|_{H^{1}}+\left\|\theta_{i n}-\left\langle\theta_{i n}\right\rangle_{x}\right\|_{H^{2}}\right. \\ \left\|\omega-\langle\omega\rangle_{x}\right\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|\nabla \theta-\langle\nabla \theta\rangle_{x}\right\|_{L^{2}} \approx C_{i n}\langle\mathbf{t}\rangle^{1 / 2}\end{array}\right.$

The energy method applies to the case of exponentially stratified fluids $\bar{\rho}_{e q}(y)=e^{-b y}$ without the Boussinesq approximation and to shear flows close to Couette $U_{e q}^{\prime}(y) \sim 1, U_{e q}^{\prime \prime}(y) \sim 0$ such that

$$
\left\|U_{e q}^{\prime}(y)-1\right\|_{H^{s}}=O(\varepsilon), \quad\left\|U_{e q}^{\prime \prime}(y)\right\|_{H^{s-1}}=O(\varepsilon)
$$

## Enhanced dissipation via the energy method for $\nu, \kappa>0$

The same energy functional $\mathrm{E}(t, k, \eta)=\frac{1}{2}\left[|Z|^{2}+|Q|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \beta} \frac{\partial_{t} p}{|k| p^{1 / 2}} \operatorname{Re}(Z \bar{Q})\right]$ applied to the dissipative case

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Downarrow \\
\frac{d}{d t} \mathrm{E} \leq \frac{1}{2(1-2 \beta)} \partial_{t}\left(\frac{\partial_{t} p}{|k| p^{1 / 2}}\right)-\frac{4 \beta}{2 \beta+1} \lambda_{\nu, k} p \mathrm{E} \\
\text { where } \lambda_{\nu, k}=\min \left\{\kappa-\frac{\nu+\kappa}{4 \beta}, \nu-\frac{\nu+\kappa}{4 \beta}\right\} \\
\Downarrow \\
\mathrm{E}(t) \leq \exp \left(\frac{1}{2 \beta-1}\right) \exp \left(-\frac{\beta}{3(2 \beta+1)} \lambda_{\nu, k} k^{2} t^{3}\right) \mathrm{E}(0)
\end{gathered}
$$

Partial dissipation $\kappa=0, \quad \nu>0$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} \Omega=-i \beta k \Theta-\nu p \Omega \\
\partial_{t} \Theta=-\frac{i k}{p} \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

[Masmoudi et al '20]
THEOREM 3 (Stability in the non-diffusive case, [19]). Let $\beta, \nu>0$ and $\kappa=0$ in (1.11)-(1.12). Then there hold the asymptotic stability estimates

$$
\left\|\omega_{\neq}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}}+\langle t\rangle\left\|u_{\neq}^{x}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}}+\langle t\rangle^{2}\left\|u^{y}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}} \lesssim\langle t\rangle^{-2}\left[\left\|\omega_{\neq}^{i n}\right\|_{H^{4}}+\left\|\theta_{\neq}^{i n}\right\|_{H^{5}}\right],
$$

and

$$
\left\|\theta_{\neq}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}} \lesssim\left\|\omega_{\neq i n}^{i n}\right\|_{H^{2}}+\left\|\theta_{\neq}^{i n}\right\|_{H^{1}},
$$

- $\theta$ does not decay at all
- The velocity decays faster
- No any enhanced dissipation since $\lesssim$ depends very badly $\nu$

$$
\text { Good unknown } \Sigma=-i k \beta \Theta-\nu p \Omega
$$

Performing an energy estimate $|\Sigma(t)|^{2}+|\Theta(t)|^{2} \lesssim_{\nu, \beta}|\Sigma(0)|^{2}+|\Theta(0)|^{2} \Rightarrow|\Omega(t)| \lesssim_{\beta, \nu}\left(k^{2}+(\eta-k t)^{2}\right)^{-1}$

In "more general" domains, another approach
"Limiting Absorption Principle"

- Doing resolvent estimates by hands [Jia 2019, Zhao...]
- Using the conjugate operator method [Grenier at al 2020]


## Sketch of the approach via the LAP

The LAP [Limiting Absorption Principle] tells that the resolvent $\mathbf{R}(c)$ of an operator $\mathbf{L}$ is uniformly bounded in terms of the spectral parameter $c$ [and it actually decays in time] if it acts on a suitable weighted space

Linear inviscid damping of the 2D Boussinesq equations near the Couette flow in the periodic channel $\mathbb{T} \times[0,1]$ [Marc Nualart '23]

Mode decomposition in $x \quad \Rightarrow \partial_{t}\binom{\psi_{k}}{\rho_{k}}+i \mathbf{L}_{k}\binom{\psi_{k}}{\rho_{k}}=0 \quad \Rightarrow\binom{\psi_{k}(t)}{\rho_{k}(t)}=e^{i \mathbf{L}_{k} t}\binom{\psi_{k}^{0}}{\rho_{k}^{0}}$
Write down $e^{i \mathbf{L}_{k} t}$ using Dunford [Cauchy integral] formula for operators

$$
\begin{gathered}
\binom{\psi_{k}}{\rho_{k}}=\mathrm{e}^{i \mathbf{L}_{k} t}\binom{\psi_{k}^{0}}{\rho_{k}^{0}}=\int_{\partial D} e^{-i k c t} \underbrace{\left(c-\mathbf{L}_{k}\right)^{-1}\binom{\psi_{k}^{0}}{\rho_{k}^{0}}}_{\text {solutions to TG }} d c \sim \int \frac{\ldots}{(y-c)^{\mu}} d c \\
\text { [singular integral, OK only in the sense of the Principal Value] }
\end{gathered}
$$

## Sketch of the approach via the LAP

- The decay estimates are obtained by a non stationary phase method (integration by parts in the spectral parameter $c$ ) that applies to this problem since the spectrum is continuous for $\beta>1 / 2$


## RMK



- Rates of decay in time depend on the order of singularity of the ODE equation TG (Taylor-Goldstein). The singularity of TG is worse than the Rayleigh equation (homogeneous case), then the decay is slower
- The TG equation has the same order of singularity with and without the Boussinesq approximation, then the Boussinesq approximation should not affect the time behavior of the perturbations


## Stability in 3D

[Del Zotto' 23] $u=\left(u^{1}, u^{2}, u^{3}\right)$ and $\beta$ is the Brunt-Väisalal frequency. The 3D Couette flow is $(y, 0,0)$ $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\partial_{t} u+y \partial_{x} u+u^{2} \hat{x}+2 \nabla(-\Delta)^{-1} \partial_{x} u^{2}+\beta \nabla(-\Delta)^{-1} \partial_{y} \theta=\nu \Delta u-\beta \theta \hat{y}, \\ \partial_{t} \theta+y \partial_{x} \theta-\beta u^{2}=\kappa \Delta \theta,\end{array}\right.$

## Linear enhanced dissipation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Assume } \beta>1 / 2 \text { and } \nu=\kappa>0 \text {. Define } C_{\beta}^{2}=\frac{2 \beta+1}{2 \beta-1} \exp \left(\frac{1}{2 \beta-1}\right) \text { and } \lambda_{\nu}:=\nu\left(1-\frac{1}{2 \beta}\right) \\
& \text { Then }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left\|\left(u^{1}, u^{3}\right)_{\neq}\right\|_{L^{2}}+\langle t\rangle^{3 / 2}\left\|u_{\neq}^{2}\right\|_{L^{2}}+\langle t\rangle^{1 / 2}\left\|\theta_{\neq}\right\|_{L^{2}} \lesssim e^{-\lambda_{\iota} t^{3}}\left(\left\|u_{\neq}(0)\right\|_{H^{3}}+\left\|\theta_{\neq}(0)\right\|_{L^{2}}\right) \\
\left\|\left(u_{0}, \theta_{0}\right)(t)\right\|_{L^{2}} \lesssim_{\beta} e^{-\nu t}\left\|\left(u_{0}^{\text {in }}, \theta_{0}^{\text {in }}\right)\right\|_{H^{4}}
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

RMK The last estimate implies suppression of the lift-up effect

## Stability in 3D in the homogeneous setting

In the homogeneous setting, the $x$-average of the Navier-Stokes equations satisfies

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} u_{0}^{1}+u_{0}^{2}=\nu \Delta_{y, z} u_{0}^{1} \\
\partial_{t} u_{0}^{i}=\nu \Delta_{y, z} u_{0}^{i}, \quad i=1,2
\end{array} \quad u_{0}(t)=e^{\nu \Delta_{y, z} t}\left(u_{0}^{1}(0)-t u_{0}^{2}(0), u_{0}^{2}(0), u_{0}^{3}(0)\right)\right.
$$

Thus the $x$-average displays a linear growth in time as $\nu \rightarrow 0$

In contrast, in the nonhomogeneous setting all the components decay at the rate of the heat equation as soon as $\beta>0$

$$
\|(u, \theta)(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^{2}} \lesssim \nu^{-8 / 9}\|(u, \theta)(0)\|_{H^{4}} \leq C \quad \text { if } \quad\|(u, \theta)(0)\|_{H^{4}} \lesssim \nu^{8 / 9}
$$

While in the homogeneous case $\nu$ replaces $\nu^{8 / 9}$

The nonlinear 2D inviscid problem in $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}$

Since $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}=0 \Rightarrow \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla=u_{0}^{x} \partial_{x}+\mathbf{u}_{\neq} \cdot \nabla$

Homogeneous 2D Euler around Couette

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} \omega+\left(y+u_{0}^{x}(t, y)\right) \partial_{x} \omega=-\mathbf{u}_{\neq} \cdot \nabla \omega \\
\mathbf{u}=\nabla^{\perp} \Delta^{-1} \omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

- The 0-th mode does not decay
- It's time average $+y$ is the final shear flow
- The nonlinear term is important: toy model
- Paraproduct decomposition
$(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \omega=(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \omega_{\text {High-Low }}+(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \omega_{\mathrm{LH}}+(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \omega_{\mathrm{HH}}$

$\mathrm{t}=120$

$t=1000$


An echo: Shinrelmann 2013

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { Toy model: X=x-yt; Y=y } \\
\nabla^{\perp} \Delta^{-1} \omega \cdot \nabla \omega \rightarrow \nabla^{\perp} \Delta_{L}^{-1} \Omega \cdot \nabla \Omega \\
\partial_{t} \widehat{\Omega}_{k} \approx \mathscr{F}\left(\partial_{v} \Delta_{L}^{-1} \Omega \partial_{z} \Omega\right)_{k} \\
\mathscr{F}\left(\partial_{v} \Delta_{L}^{-1} \Omega\right)_{k}=\frac{\eta}{k^{2}} \frac{\widehat{\Omega}_{k}}{1+|t-\eta / k|^{2}}
\end{array}
$$

## Echo cascade heuristic

- Initial perturbation by a single mode $\delta \exp (k x+i \eta y)$
$\partial_{t} f_{k-1} \sim \frac{\eta}{k^{2}} \frac{f_{k}}{1+(t-\eta / k)^{2}}$
$\partial_{t} f_{k-2} \sim \frac{\eta}{(k-1)^{2}} \frac{f_{k-1}}{1+(t-\eta /(k-1))^{2}}$ excites $(k-1, \eta)$ at the resonant time $t_{k}=\eta / k$ excites $(k-2, \eta)$ at the resonant time $t_{k-1}=\eta /(k-1)$

```
For }t~\eta/k\mathrm{ and }\eta/\mp@subsup{k}{}{2}>>
High-to-low frequency cascade may happen
    k->k-1 -> \cdots1
    (\eta/\mp@subsup{k}{}{2})(\eta/(k-1\mp@subsup{)}{}{2})\cdots(\eta/\mp@subsup{1}{}{2})~}~\mp@subsup{e}{}{\sqrt{}{\eta}
    Gevrey 2 regularity
```


## Notion of damping

The velocity converges strongly in $\mathbf{L}^{2}$ as $t \rightarrow+\infty$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u^{x}(t, x, y) \rightarrow_{\mathbf{L}^{2}} u_{0}^{x}=\int_{\mathbb{T}} u^{x}(\cdot, x) d x \text { at rate } t^{-1} \\
& u^{y}(t, x, y) \rightarrow_{\mathbf{L}^{2}} 0 \text { at rate } t^{-2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The vorticity converges only weakly

$$
\omega(t, x, y) \rightharpoonup \omega_{\infty}\left(t, x-t u_{\infty}(y), y\right)
$$

Where $\omega_{\infty}\left(t, x-t u_{\infty}(y), y\right)$ [scattering profile] solves a linear problem

$$
\begin{cases}\partial_{t} \omega+\left(y+u_{0}^{x}\right) \partial_{x} \omega=-\beta^{2} \partial_{x} \theta-\mathbf{u}_{\neq} \cdot \nabla \omega \\ \partial_{t} \theta+\left(y+u_{0}^{x}\right) \partial_{x} \theta=\partial_{x} \psi-\mathbf{u}_{\neq} \cdot \nabla \theta & \\ \mathbf{u}=\nabla^{\perp} \psi \quad \Delta \psi=\omega & \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}\end{cases}
$$

1) Pro/contro shared with the Euler

Decay of $\mathbf{u}_{\neq}$due to inviscid damping

- $u_{0}^{x}$ does not decay $\longrightarrow$ nonlinear change of coordinates to go beyond the linear time-scale $O(\varepsilon)$
- $\mathbf{u}_{\neq}$may create echoes at resonant times

Guess: linear behavior persists for data of Gevrey norm $O(\varepsilon)$ and $t \sim \varepsilon^{-2}$
2) Peculiarities of linear Boussinesq

Slower damping rates

- $\|\omega\|+\|\nabla \theta\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R})} \sim \varepsilon t^{1 / 2}$ in $L^{2}(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R})$ and $\sim O(1)$ when $t \sim \varepsilon^{-2}$
- $\partial_{t}\left(t\left(v^{\prime}-1\right)\right)=\omega_{0} \sim \varepsilon t^{1 / 2}<\delta$
if $\quad t=O\left(\delta \varepsilon^{-2}\right)$


## NONLINEAR INVISCID DAMPING

Denote $\quad\|f\|_{\mathscr{G}^{\lambda}}^{2}=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int e^{2 \lambda(|k|+|\eta|)^{s}}\left|\hat{f}_{k}(\eta)\right|^{2} d \eta \quad$ and $\quad \mathrm{f}_{0}(y)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(x, y) d x, \quad f_{\neq}=f-f_{0}$
Theorem [J. Bedrossian, R. Bianchini, M. Coti Zelati, M. Dolce]
Let $\beta>1 / 2$. For all $1 / 2<s \leq 1, \lambda_{0}>\lambda^{\prime}>0$, there exist $\varepsilon_{0} \ll \delta<1 \quad\left[\delta^{2} \sim\left(\beta^{2}-1 / 4\right)\right]$ such that for $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{0}$ and $\omega^{\text {in }}$, $\theta^{\text {in }}$ mean-free initial data with

$$
\left\|\mathbf{u}^{i n}\right\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|\omega^{i n}\right\|_{\mathscr{G}^{\lambda_{0}}}+\left\|\theta^{i n}\right\|_{\mathscr{G}^{\lambda_{0}}} \leq \varepsilon .
$$

Define the phase shift $\quad \Phi(t, y)=\int_{0}^{t} u_{0}^{x}(\tau, y) d \tau$. Then, for all $\quad 0 \leq \mathbf{t} \leq \delta^{2} \varepsilon^{-2}$

$$
\|\omega(t, x+t y+\Phi(t, y), y)\|_{\mathscr{G} \lambda^{\prime}}+\langle t\rangle\left\|\theta_{\neq}(t, x+t y+\Phi(t, y), y)\right\|_{\mathscr{G} \lambda^{\prime}} \lesssim \varepsilon\langle t\rangle^{1 / 2}(t)\left\|_{\mathscr{G} \lambda^{\prime}}+\right\| \theta_{0}(t) \|_{\mathscr{G} \lambda^{\prime}} \lesssim \varepsilon
$$

Therefore

$$
\left\|u_{\neq}^{x}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|\theta_{\neq}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}}+\langle\mathbf{t}\rangle\left\|u_{\neq}^{y}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}} \lesssim \varepsilon\langle\mathfrak{t}\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}}
$$

## Shear-Bouyancy Instability

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Theorem [J. Bedrossian, R. Bianchini, M. Coti Zelati, M. Dolce 2021] } \\
& \text { Same hypotheses. There exists } K>0 \text { such that, if } \\
& \qquad\left\|\omega_{\neq}^{i n}\right\|_{H^{-1}}+\left\|\theta_{\neq}^{\text {in }}\right\|_{L^{2}} \geq K \varepsilon \delta \\
& \text { Then } \\
& \left\|\omega_{\neq}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|\nabla \theta_{\neq}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}} \approx \varepsilon\langle\mathbf{t}\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text { for all } \quad 0 \leq \mathfrak{t} \leq \delta^{2} \varepsilon^{-2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Nonlinear dynamics: the change of coordinates

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} \omega+y \partial_{x} \omega=-\boldsymbol{\beta}^{2} \partial_{x} \theta-\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \omega \\
\partial_{t} \theta+y \partial_{x} \theta=\partial_{x} \psi-\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \theta \\
\mathbf{u}=\nabla^{\perp} \psi \quad \Delta \psi=\omega
\end{array}\right. \\
& \text { in } \quad \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}
\end{aligned}
$$

3 main ingredients:

1) nonlinear change of coordinates
2) change of variables ["symmetrization"] to handle the linear dynamics
3) dynamical weight inside the norm to control echo chains

$$
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}=0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla=u_{0}^{x} \partial_{x}+\mathbf{u}_{\neq} \cdot \nabla
$$

$$
\text { where } \mathbf{u}_{\neq} \text {should decay }
$$

$$
v=y+\frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{t} u_{0}^{x}(s, y) d s \quad z=x-v t
$$

RMK: to be invertible, the zero mode needs to stay small, thus we need a coordinate system control

1) change of coordinates

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Omega(t, z, v)=\omega(t, x, y) \\
\Theta(t, z, v)=\theta(t, x, y) \\
\Psi(t, z, v)=\psi(t, x, y)
\end{array}\right.
$$

The system in the new coordinates

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} \Omega=-\boldsymbol{\beta}^{2} \partial_{z} \Theta-\mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla \Omega \\
\partial_{t} \Theta=\partial_{z} \Psi-\mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla \Theta \\
\mathbf{U}=(0, \dot{v})+v^{\prime} \nabla^{\perp} \Psi_{\neq} \quad \Delta_{t} \Psi=\Omega
\end{array}\right. \\
& \dot{v}=\partial_{t} v ; \quad \nabla=\nabla_{z, v^{\prime}} ; \quad \Delta_{t}:=\partial_{z z}+\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{2}\left(\partial_{v}-t \partial_{z}\right)^{2}+v^{\prime \prime}\left(\partial_{v}-t \partial_{z}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

high-low term $\left(\partial_{v} \Delta_{L}^{-1} \Omega\right)_{H i} \cdot\left(\partial_{z} \Omega\right)_{l o}$ [as $\partial_{z} \Omega$ is low $\Rightarrow \eta \sim 0$ ]
nearest interaction $k \Rightarrow k-1$
near critical times "resonant interval" $|t-\eta / k| \leq \eta / k^{2}$
For any $t>0$ there is at most a critical $k$ such that $t \approx \frac{\eta}{k} \Rightarrow\{k, k-1\}$. The toy model reduces to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{t} Z_{k} & \approx \varepsilon t^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{k^{2}}{\eta}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{Z_{k-1}(\eta)}{\left(1+|t-\eta / k|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}} \\
\partial_{t} Z_{k-1} & \approx \varepsilon t^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{\eta}{k^{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{Z_{k}(\eta)}{\left(1+|t-\eta / k|^{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{4}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Construct a weight $\mathbf{w}_{k}(t, \eta)$ encoding the maximal growth predicted by the toy model

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{R}=Z_{k} ; \quad w_{N R}=Z_{k-1} \quad t \in\left[-\eta / k^{2}, \eta / k^{2}\right] \\
& \partial_{t} w_{R}=\left(\frac{k^{2}}{\eta}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{w_{N R}}{\left(1+|t-\eta / k|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}} \\
& \partial_{t} w_{N R}=\left(\frac{\eta}{k^{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{w_{R}}{\left(1+|t-\eta / k|^{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{4}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition. The maximal growth of $\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{t}, \eta)$
$\frac{w_{k}\left(t_{k-1, \eta}, \eta\right)}{w_{k}\left(t_{k, \eta}, \eta\right)} \approx \frac{w_{N R}}{w_{R}} \lesssim\left(\frac{\eta}{k^{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$

The dynamical weight


## The strategy of the proof

The proof is based on a bootstrap argument with several ingredients.
The most important are:

- "Linear" energy functional [with the dynamical weight inside]

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\text {lin }}(t)=\frac{1}{2}\left[\|\mathbf{A} Z\|^{2}+\|\mathbf{A} Q\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \beta}\left\langle\frac{\partial_{t} p}{|k| p^{\frac{1}{2}}} \mathbf{A} Z, \mathbf{A} Q\right\rangle\right] \text { where } \mathbf{A} \sim \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1} e^{\sqrt{\eta}}
$$

- "nonlinear" energy functional [with the dynamical weight inside]

$$
\mathbf{E}_{\text {nonlin }}(t)=\frac{1}{2}\left[\|\mathbf{A} \Omega\|^{2}+\beta^{2}\left\|\mathbf{A} \nabla_{L} \Theta\right\|^{2}\right]
$$

Energy functional to control the change of coordinates

The nonlinear terms are treated by using a para-product decomposition

## The main weight $A$

* For the variable $Q$ we have the same bounds $\longrightarrow$ we can use the same multiplier w
* In [BM15], the amplification factor is $\left(\frac{\eta}{k^{2}}\right)$ rather than $\left(\frac{\eta}{k^{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \longrightarrow$ the regularity gap among resonant \& non-resonant modes is different

We define the main weight:
$A_{k}(t, \eta)=\langle k, \eta\rangle^{\sigma} e^{\lambda(t)|k, \eta|^{s}}\left(m^{-1} J\right)_{k}(t, \eta) \quad$ where $\quad J_{k}(t, \eta)=\frac{e^{\mu|\eta|^{\frac{1}{2}}}}{w_{k}(t, \eta)}+e^{\mu|k| \frac{1}{2}}$ and $m$ is bounded


Control the echo chain

$$
\partial_{t} \lambda=-\langle t\rangle^{-\delta-1}
$$

(in $\tilde{A} J_{k}$ is replaced with $\tilde{J}_{k}=e^{\mu|\eta| \frac{1}{2}} w_{k}^{-1}$ )

Artificial dissipation that absorbs the integrable remainders of the linear dynamics

$$
\frac{\partial_{t} m}{m}=\frac{C_{\beta}}{1+|t-\eta / k|^{2}}
$$

## The "linear" energy functional

Symmetrized variables to handle the linear dynamics $Z:=\left(p / k^{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \widehat{\Omega} \quad Q:=\left(p / k^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} i k \beta \widehat{\Theta}$
$E_{L}(t)=\frac{1}{2}\left[\|A Z\|^{2}+\|A Q\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \beta}\left\langle\frac{\partial_{t} p}{|k| p^{\frac{1}{2}}} A Z, A Q\right\rangle\right] \quad$ where $A$ is a weight encoding Gevrey regularity
$\frac{d}{d t} E_{L}+\left(1-\frac{1}{2 \beta}\right) \sum_{j \in\{\lambda, w, m\}}\left(G_{j}[Z]+G_{j}[Q]\right) \leq L^{Z, Q}+N L^{Z, Q}+\mathscr{E}^{d i v}+\mathscr{E}^{\Delta_{t}}$
$N L^{Z, Q}=\left|\left\langle\mathscr{F}\left(\left[A\left(\frac{p}{k^{2}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{4}}, \mathbf{U}\right] \cdot \nabla \Omega\right), A Z+\frac{1}{4 \beta} \frac{\partial_{t} p}{|k| p^{\frac{1}{2}}} A Q\right\rangle\right|+\frac{1}{4 \beta}\left|\left\langle\left[\frac{\partial_{t} p}{|k| p^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \mathbf{U}\right] \cdot \nabla A Z, A Q\right\rangle\right|=N L_{\text {High-Low }}^{Z, Q}+N L_{\text {Low-High }}^{Z, Q}+N L_{\text {High-High }}^{Z, Q}$

## Several open questions

Instability $\sim \sqrt{t}$ in $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}$ but in $\mathbb{T} \times[0,1]$ ?

- for $\beta \leq 1 / 4$ nonlinear?

After $t \sim O\left(\varepsilon^{-2}\right)$ ? Gevrey losses?

- More general shears? (Maybe monotone for now)
- No Boussinesq approximation?


## Instability and ill-posedness near a shear with $\operatorname{Ri}(y)<1 / 4$

Choose a density profile of the form $-\bar{\rho}^{\prime}(y)=\alpha(1-\alpha)\left(U^{\prime}(y)\right)^{2}, \alpha \in(0,1), \alpha \neq \frac{1}{2}$
$\Rightarrow$ this choice forces the violation of the Miles-Howard criterion $\operatorname{Ri}(y)<1 / 4$

Choose $\psi=(U-c)^{\alpha} \phi$
$\Rightarrow$ the Taylor-Goldstein equation reads

$$
(U-c)\left(\partial_{y}^{2}-k^{2}\right) \phi+2 \alpha U^{\prime} \partial_{y} \phi+(\alpha-1) U^{\prime \prime} \phi=0
$$

* If $k=0$ and $\alpha=1$, it gives the hydrostatic Rayleigh equation ( $k=\varepsilon \tilde{k}$ )

$$
(U-c) \partial_{y}^{2} \phi+2 \alpha U^{\prime} \partial_{y} \phi=0
$$

$\Rightarrow$ the Taylor-Goldstein equation reads

$$
(U-c)\left(\partial_{y}^{2}-k^{2}\right) \phi+2 \alpha U^{\prime} \partial_{y} \phi+(\alpha-1) U^{\prime \prime} \phi=0
$$

* If $k=0$ and $\alpha=1$, it gives the hydrostatic Rayleigh equation $(k=\varepsilon \tilde{k})$

$$
(U-c) \partial_{y}^{2} \phi+2 \alpha U^{\prime} \partial_{y} \phi=0
$$

for which we know at least one shear flow $U(y)=\tanh (y / d), \quad 0<d \ll 1$ having inflection point and providing an unstable eigenvalue [Renardy]
$\Rightarrow$ the perturbations are both order 0 while the main operator is order 2. Apply a perturbation approach to deduce the existence of an unstable eigenvalue for the hydrostatic Boussinesq equations and for the nonhydrostatic Boussinesq equations at small horizontal frequencies
[it should give ill-posedness in $H^{s}, s>0$ of the hydrostatic equations and a proof of invalidity of the hydrostatic limit in this setting]
[ongoing project with Lucas ERTZBISCHOFF and Michele COTI ZELATI]

