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## Chapter I

## Generalities on Modules

All rings are associative and unital.

## 1 Modules and algebras

### 1.1 Module over a ring

Definition 1.1 Let $R$ a ring. A left $R$-module $M$ is an abelian group together with a map $R \times M \rightarrow M$ sending $(a, m)$ to $a$. $m$ satisfying for any $a, a^{\prime} \in A, m \in M$

- $\left(a+a^{\prime}\right) \cdot m=a \cdot m+a^{\prime} \cdot m ;$
- $a .\left(m+m^{\prime}\right)=a . m+a . m^{\prime}$;
- $\left(a a^{\prime}\right) \cdot m=a \cdot\left(a^{\prime} \cdot m\right)$;
- $1_{A} \cdot m=m$.

Example 1.2 $R=k$ field then $k$-vector space. $R=\mathbb{Z}$ then abelian group.
$R$ is an $R$-module over itself.
If $M$ is an abelian group, then $M$ is a left $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M)$-module.

Representation point of view.

## Proposition 1.3

Let $M$ be an abelian group. Then $M$ is a left $R$-module if and only if there exists a ring homomorphism $\rho: R \rightarrow \operatorname{End}(M)$.

Difference between left and right modules.
If $R$ is commutative, then a left $R$-module is a right $R$-module.
A left $R$-module is a right $R^{\text {op }}$-module.
Definition 1.4 Let $R$ and $R^{\prime}$ be rings. A $R$ - $R^{\prime}$-bimodule $M$ is an abelian group $M$ which is a left $R$-module, a right $R^{\prime}$-module, and such that (a.m). $b=$ $a .(m . b)$ for any $a \in R, m \in M$ and $b \in R^{\prime}$.

If $R$ is commutative, then a $R$-module is automatically a $R$-bimodule.
Definition 1.5 Let $M$ and $N$ be $R$-modules. A morphism of $R$-modules (or a $R$-linear application) is a morphism of abelian groups such that $f: M \rightarrow N$ such that $f(x m)=x f(m)$

### 1.2 Algebras

In all what follows, $k$ will be a commutative ring.
Definition 1.6 A $k$-algebra is a unital ring with a structure of $k$-module such that $\lambda(a b)=(\lambda a) b=a(\lambda b)$ for $\lambda \in k$ and $a, b \in A$.

Example 1.7 Typical examples in this course: $k=\mathbb{Z}$ or $k$ is a field. The algebra $A$ could be $\mathcal{M}_{n}(k), k[X], k[X, Y], \mathcal{T}_{n}^{+}(k) \subset \mathcal{M}_{n}(k)$ upper triangular matrices. $A=k G$ where $G$ is a group.

A morphism of $k$-algebras is a map $f: A \rightarrow B$ which is a ring morphism and a $k$-module morphism.

Notions of subalgebras and ideals (left, right and two-sided).
Remark 1.8 A ring is always a $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra.
The map $k \rightarrow A$ sending $\lambda$ to $\lambda 1_{A}$ is a $k$-algebra morphism whose image is in the center of $A$.

### 1.3 Modules over algebras

Definition 1.9 A left $A$-module $M$ is a left $A$-module thinking of $A$ as a ring.

Because of the map $k \rightarrow A$, a $A$-module is automoatically a $k$-module. And we have $(\lambda a) m=\lambda(a m)=a(\lambda m)$. (Scalars commute with everything).

So in other words, any ring map $A \rightarrow \operatorname{End}(M)$ factors through a $k$-algebra map $A \rightarrow \operatorname{End}_{k}(M)$.

## Proposition 1.10

Let $G$ be a group, and $k$ be a field. Let $M$ be a $k$-vector space. It has a structure of $k G$-modules if and only if there exists a group morphism $\rho: G \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(M) .(\rho, M)$ is called a representation of the group $G$.

### 1.4 Submodules, quotients and direct sums

## Submodules

Definition 1.11 Let $M$ be a left $A$-module. A submodule $N \subset M$ is a subgroup which is stable under $A$-multiplication.

For example, the submodules of $A$ seen as a left $A$-module are the left ideals of $A$.

## Quotient

## Proposition 1.12

Let $M$ be a $A$-module, and $N \subset M$ a submodule, then $M / N$ has a natural structure of $A$-module, and the projection $M \rightarrow M / N$ is $A$-linear.

If $N, N^{\prime}$ are submodules of $M$, so are $N+N^{\prime}$ and $N \cap N^{\prime}$.

## Submodules and morphisms

## Proposition 1.13

Let $f: M \rightarrow N$ ba a morphism of $A$-modules, then $\operatorname{Ker} f$ and $\operatorname{Im} f$ are $A$-modules.

If $M^{\prime} \subset M$ is a submodule. Then there exists a unique $\bar{f}: M / M^{\prime} \rightarrow N$ such that $\bar{f} \circ p=f$ if and only if $M^{\prime} \subset \operatorname{Ker} f$.

In particular $f$ induces an isomorphism $M / \operatorname{Ker} f \simeq \operatorname{Im} f$.

Definition 1.14 For $f: M \rightarrow N$ a morphism, we define $\operatorname{Coker} f:=N / \operatorname{Im} f$ the cokernel of $f$. It is a $A$-module.

## Direct sum

## Proposition 1.15

Let $M$ and $N$ be $A$-modules. Then $M \times N$ has naturally a structure of $A$-module.

We denote it as $M \oplus N$ (external direct sum).

Note that if $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are submodules of $M$, such that $M_{1} \cap M_{2}=\{0\}$, then $M_{1}+M_{2} \simeq M_{1} \oplus M_{2}$. (so internal direct sums coincide with external ones).

If $M$ and $N$ are modules, $M$ is naturally isomorphic to a submodule of $M \oplus N$ and its quotient is isomorphic to $N$. However, if $N \subset M$ is a submodule, $M$ is not isomorphic in general to $N \oplus M / N$.

## Proposition 1.16

Let $X$ be a $A$-module.
If there exist $p_{1}, p_{2} \in \operatorname{End}_{A}(X)$ such that

$$
p_{1} \circ p_{2}=p_{2} \circ p_{1}=0 p_{i}^{2}=p_{i} \text { and } p_{1}+p_{2}=\operatorname{Id}_{X}
$$

then $X$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Im} p_{1} \oplus \operatorname{Im} p_{2}$.

Example 1.17 Assume $1_{A}=e_{1}+e_{2}$ with $e_{i}^{2}=e_{i}$ (idempotent), $e_{1} e_{2}=$ $e_{2} e_{1}=0$ (orthogonal), then $A \simeq A e_{1} \oplus A e_{2}$ as a left $A$-module.

For example if $A=\mathcal{M}_{n}(k)$, then one can prove that $A \simeq\left(k^{n}\right)^{n}$ as a left $A$-module.

## 2 Tensor products and Hom

### 2.1 Homomorphism module

Let $M$ and $N$ be $A$-modules. Then $\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(M, N)$ has a structure of $\operatorname{End}(N)$ -$\operatorname{End}(M)$-bimodule (in particular it is a $k$-bimodule) given by right and left
composition.
As a consequence, if $M$ is a $A$ - $B$-bimodule and $N$ a $A$ - $C$-bimodule. Then $\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(M, N)$ has a structure of $B$ - $C$-bimodule, given by
b.f.c(m) $:=f(m b) c$, for $m \in M, b \in B, c \in C$ and $f \in \operatorname{Hom}(M, N)$.

Example 2.1 If $M$ is a left $A$-module, then $\operatorname{Hom}_{k}(M, k)=M^{*}$ and $M^{\vee}:=$ $\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(M, A)$ are right $A$-modules. $A^{*}=\operatorname{Hom}_{k}(A, k)$ is a left $A$-module (in fact it is a $A$-bimodule.)

If $A=k G$, since $g \mapsto g^{-1}$ is an isomrophism $k G \rightarrow k G^{\mathrm{op}}$, then is $V$ is a $G$-representation, then $V^{*}$ is naturally a $k G^{\mathrm{op}}$-module, hence a $k G$-module.

If $B$ is a sublagebra of $A$, then $A$ is naturally a $B$-module. Then for a $A$-module $M$, we have

$$
{ }_{B} M \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{A}\left(A_{B}, M\right) \text { as } B \text {-modules }
$$

## Proposition 2.2

1. For each $A$-module $M$, there is an isomorphism of $A$-module $\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(A, M) \simeq M$.
2. There is an algebra isomorphism $\operatorname{End}_{A}(A) \simeq A^{\text {op }}$.

$$
\operatorname{Hom}\left(M \oplus M^{\prime}, N \oplus N^{\prime}\right) \simeq\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\operatorname{Hom}(M, N) & \operatorname{Hom}\left(M^{\prime}, N\right) \\
\operatorname{Hom}\left(M, N^{\prime}\right) & \operatorname{Hom}\left(M^{\prime}, N^{\prime}\right)
\end{array}\right] \text { as } k \text {-module. }
$$

## Proposition 2.3

Let $M$ and $N$ be modules. Then we have an isomrophism $\operatorname{End}(M \oplus N) \simeq$ $\left[\begin{array}{cc}\operatorname{End}(M) & \operatorname{Hom}(N, M) \\ \operatorname{Hom}(M, N) & \operatorname{End}(N)\end{array}\right]$ as a $k$-algebra.

### 2.2 Tensor product

Let $M$ be a right $A$-module and $N$ be a left $A$-module. We define the space $M \otimes_{A} N$ as the $k$-free module generated by the $m \otimes n \bmod$ out by the submodule generated by

- $\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right) \otimes n-m_{1} \otimes n-m_{2} \otimes n$
- $m \otimes\left(n_{1}+n_{2}\right)-m \otimes n_{1}-m \otimes n_{2}$
- $(m a) \otimes n-m \otimes(a n), a \in A, m \in M, n \in N$;

If $M$ is a $B$ - $A$-bimodule, and if $N$ is a $A$ - $C$-bimodule, then $M \otimes_{A} N$ is a $B$ - $C$-bimodule.

## Proposition 2.4

1. there is a canonical isomorphism $A \otimes_{A} X \simeq X$.
2. there is a unique isomorphism $(X \otimes Y) \otimes Z \simeq X \otimes(Y \otimes Z)$ sending $(x \otimes y) \otimes z$ to $x \otimes(y \otimes z)$
3. If $A$ is commutative then there is a unique isomorphism $X \otimes Y \simeq$ $Y \otimes X$ sending $x \otimes y$ to $y \otimes x$.
4. There is a canonical isomorphism

$$
\left(M \oplus M^{\prime}\right) \otimes_{A} N \simeq\left(M \otimes_{A} N\right) \oplus\left(M \otimes_{A} N\right)
$$

5. If $f: X_{1} \rightarrow X_{2}$ and $g: Y_{1} \rightarrow Y_{2}$ are module morphisms, then there exists a unique module morphism $f \otimes g: X_{1} \otimes Y_{1} \rightarrow X_{2} \otimes Y_{2}$ sending $x \otimes y$ on $f(x) \otimes g(y)$.

## Extension of scalars

If $A \rightarrow B$ is a morphism of algebras, it makes $B$ a $A$-module, hence we can define $B \otimes_{A} M$ for any $A$-module $M$.

Example 2.5 $B \otimes_{A} A[X] \simeq B[X]$.
If $G$ is a group and $H$ is a subgroup. We have an injection $k H \rightarrow h G$.
So for any $k H$-module $M$, there is a $K G$-module defined by $\operatorname{Ind}_{G}^{H}(M):=$ $k G \otimes_{k H} M$.

## Tensor product of algebras

## Theorem 2.6

$A \otimes_{K} B$ is an algebra.

The data of a $A$ - $B$-bimodule is the same of a $A \otimes B^{\text {op }}$-module. And same for the morphisms.

### 2.3 Adjunction formula

## Theorem 2.7

Let $A$ and $B$ be algebras, let $X$ be a $A$-module, let $Y$ be a $B$ - $A$-bimodule and let $Z$ be a $B$-module. Then there is a canonical isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{A}\left({ }_{A} X, \operatorname{Hom}_{B}\left({ }_{B} Y_{A}, B Z\right)\right) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{B}\left({ }_{B} Y \otimes_{A} X,_{B} Z\right) .
$$

Example 2.8 Let $H$ be a subgroup of $G$. Let $M$ be a representation of $H$ and $N$ bea representation of $N$ Then we have

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{k G}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{G}^{H}(M), N\right) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{k H}\left(M, \operatorname{Res}_{H}^{G}(N)\right) .
$$

## 3 Finite and infinite modules

### 3.1 Product and sums

Let $I$ be a set and $\left(M_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ be a collection of $A$-modules. Then

$$
\prod_{i \in I} M_{i}:=\left\{\left(m_{i}, i \in I\right), m_{i} \in M_{i}\right\}
$$

is naturally a $A$-module.
We define $\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_{i}$ the subset of $\prod_{i} M_{i}$ consisting of finitely supported $I$-uples. It is a $A$-submodule.

## Proposition 3.1

For any sets $I$ and $J$, and modules $\left(M_{i}\right),\left(N_{j}\right)$, there is an isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{A}\left(\bigoplus_{i} M_{i}, \prod_{j} N_{j}\right) \simeq \prod_{(i, j)} \operatorname{Hom}\left(M_{i}, N_{j}\right) .
$$

### 3.2 Free modules

If $I$ a set, define $A^{I}:=\{f: I \rightarrow A\}$ and $A^{(I)}:=\{f: I \rightarrow A$ with finite support $\}$.

Definition 3.2 A $A$-module is called free if it admits a basis, that is a family of elements $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ that is linerarily independant (every finite linear combination...) that generates it.

## Theorem 3.3

Any free $A$-module is of the form $A^{(I)}$.

## Theorem 3.4

Every $A$-module is a quotient of a free $A$-module.

As a corollary, any $A$-module $M$ is the cokernel of a $A$-module morphism between free modules.

### 3.3 Finite modules

Definition 3.5 A finitely generated $A$-module (or module of finite type) $M$ is a module of the form $\langle X\rangle$ for $X$ a finite subset of $M$.

A module $M$ is of finite type if and only if there exists a map $A^{n} \rightarrow M$. However, in general it could happen that the kernel of this map is not finitely generated. If it is, $M$ is called finitely presented and there exists a map $A^{m} \rightarrow A^{n}$ such that $M$ is isomorphic to the cokernel.

## Particular cases:

If $A$ is a finite dimensional $k$-algebra, then
module of finite type $=$ module of finite dimension $=$ module of finite presentation
In this case, it is clearly closed under kernel and cokernel.
The same is true if $A=\mathbb{Z}$. Any subgroup of a finitely generated abelian group is finitely generated.

Moreover any subgroup of a finitely generated free abelian group is a free abelian group.

We know well the structure of finitely generated abelian groups (built from $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}$ ) but non finitely generated abelian groups are much more complicated: $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Q}, \ldots$

## Chapter II

## Categories of modules

## 1 Linear categories and functors

### 1.1 Definition

Definition 1.1 A $k$-linear category $\mathcal{C}$ is a collection of objects (also denoted by $\mathcal{C}$ ) and for each $X, Y$ a $k$-module $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$ together with a $k$-bilinear map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) \times \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, Z) & \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Z) \\
(f, g) & \mapsto g \circ f
\end{aligned}
$$

satisfying $h \circ(g \circ f)=(h \circ g) \circ f$ and with the following properties

- for each $X \in \mathcal{C}$, there is $1_{X} \in \operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ such that $f \circ 1_{X}=f$ and $1_{X} \circ g=1_{X}$ for any $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$ and $g \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, X)$;
- there is an object $0 \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, 0)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(0, X)=0$ for all $X$;
- for each $X, Y$ in $\mathcal{C}$ there is an object $X \oplus Y$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Hom}(X \oplus Y, Z) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Z) \oplus \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, Z) \text { and } \\
\operatorname{Hom}(Z, X \oplus Y) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Z, X) \oplus \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Z, Y)(\text { as } k \text {-modules }) .
\end{gathered}
$$

The category of $A$-modules $\operatorname{Mod} A$ is such a category. The category of finitely generated $A$-modules $\bmod A$ is also such a category.

Note that in $\operatorname{Mod} A$, the ismorphisms above are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(X \oplus Y, Z) & \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{A}(X, Z) \oplus \operatorname{Hom}_{A}(Y, Z) \\
f & \mapsto\left(f \circ i_{X}, f \circ i_{Y}\right) \\
f \circ p_{X}+g \circ p_{Y} & \leftarrow(f, g)
\end{aligned}
$$

### 1.2 Linear functors

Definition 1.2 A $k$-linear covariant (resp. contravariant) functor $F$ between two $k$-linear categories $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ is the data of an object $F X \in \mathcal{C}_{2}$ for each object $X \in \mathcal{C}_{1}$, and a $k$-linear map

$$
F_{X, Y}: \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{1}}(X, Y) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{2}}(F X, F Y)
$$

such that

- $F(f \circ g)=F(f) \circ F(g)($ resp. $F(f \circ g)=F(g) \circ F(f))$;
- $F\left(1_{X}\right)=1_{F X}$ for each $X \in \mathcal{C}_{1}$;
- $F(0)=0$;
- $F(X \oplus Y) \simeq F X \oplus F Y$ and these maps are compatible with the isomorphisms for the Hom, i.e. the following commutes


A composition of linear functors is clearly a linear functor.
Definition 1.3 Let $F: \mathcal{C}_{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{2}$ be a $k$-linear functor. If for any $Y \in \mathcal{C}_{2}$ there exists $X \in \mathcal{C}_{1}$ such that $F X$ is isomorphic to $Y$, we say that $F$ is dense. If for any $X, Y$, the map $F_{X, Y}$ is an isomorphism, we way that $F$ is fully faithful. A functor which is dense and fully faithful is called an equivalence, and the categories $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ are said to be equivalent $k$-linear categories.

A natural transformation $\eta: F \rightarrow G$ between two functors $F: \mathcal{C}_{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{2}$ and $G: \mathcal{C}_{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{2}$ assigns $\eta_{X} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{2}}(F X, G X)$ for each $X \in \mathcal{C}_{1}$ such that $\eta_{Y} \circ F_{X, Y}(f)=G_{X, Y}(f) \circ \eta_{X}$ for any $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{1}}(X, Y)$.

If moreover each $\eta_{X}$ is invertible, we say that there is a functorial isomorphism between $F$ and $G$.

For example if $B \rightarrow A$ is a morphism of algebras, then ${ }_{A} M \mapsto_{B} M$ from $\operatorname{Mod} A \rightarrow \operatorname{Mod} B$ is a functor. For example, $\operatorname{Mod} A \rightarrow \operatorname{Mod} k$, or $\operatorname{Mod} A \rightarrow \operatorname{Mod} \mathbb{Z}$ are functors called forgetful functors .

### 1.3 Functors Hom and

$\otimes$

Hom and $\otimes$ are the main examples of functors in representation theory.

## Theorem 1.4

Let ${ }_{A} M_{B}$ be a $A$ - $B$-bimodule, then

- $\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(M,-)$ is a covariant functor $\operatorname{Mod} A \rightarrow \operatorname{Mod} B ;$
- $\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(-, M)$ is a contravariant functor $\operatorname{Mod} A \rightarrow \operatorname{Mod} B$;
- $-\otimes_{A} M$ is a covariant functor $\operatorname{Mod} A^{\mathrm{op}}$ to $\operatorname{Mod} B^{\mathrm{op}}$
- $M \otimes_{B}$ - is a covariant functor $\operatorname{Mod} B \rightarrow \operatorname{Mod} A$.


## Proposition 1.5

All the isomorphisms described in the previous chapter subsections 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3 are functorial isomorphisms.

For example the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(A,-)$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Id}: \operatorname{Mod} A \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Mod} A$.

The contravariant functors $($ from $\operatorname{Mod} A$ to $\operatorname{Mod} k) \operatorname{Hom}_{A}\left(-, \operatorname{Hom}_{B}\left({ }_{B} Y_{A},{ }_{B} Z\right)\right)$ and $\operatorname{Hom}_{B}\left({ }_{B} Y \otimes_{A}-{ }_{B} Z\right)$ are isomorphic.

## 2 Short exact sequences

### 2.1 Abelian category

Definition 2.1 Let $X, Y$ and $Z$ be $A$-modules. A sequence $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$ of morphisms is called exact if $\operatorname{Ker} g=\operatorname{Im} f$.

A short exact sequence is an exact sequence of the form

$$
0 \longrightarrow X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \longrightarrow 0
$$

so equivalently, $f$ is injective, $\operatorname{Ker} g=\operatorname{Im} f$ and $g$ is surjective.

For example if $N \subset M$ is a submodule, there is a natural short exact sequence of the form

$$
0 \longrightarrow N \xrightarrow{i} M \xrightarrow{p} M / N \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

Here is the fundamental property of the module category:

## Proposition 2.2

Let $f: M \rightarrow N$, then there exist two short exact sequences:

$$
0 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{p} I \longrightarrow 0 \quad 0 \xrightarrow{i} I \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow 0
$$

such that $i \circ p=f$.

This is the property that makes the category $\operatorname{Mod} A$ an abelian category.
NB: if $k$ is a field, and $A$ is a finite dimensional $k$-algebra, then $\bmod A$ is also an abelian category. Indeed, if $M$ and $N$ are finitely generated so are $K, I$ and $C$. The same is true for finitely generated abelian groups.

### 2.2 Monomorphisms and epimorphisms

Definition 2.3 A morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is called a monomorphism if $f \circ g=$ $f \circ h \Rightarrow g=h$ (or equivalently $f \circ g=0 \Rightarrow g=0$ ).

A morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is called an epimoprhism if $g \circ f=h \circ f \Rightarrow g=h$ (or equivalently $g \circ f=0 \Rightarrow g=0$ ).

## Proposition 2.4

1. A $A$-linear map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a monomorphism if and only if $f$ is injective.
2. A $A$-linear map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is an epimorphism if and only if $f$ is surjective.

## Proposition 2.5

Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be morphism in $\operatorname{Mod} A$. Then

1. for any morphism $g: U \rightarrow X$ such that $f \circ g=0$ there exists a unique morphism $h: U \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker} f$ such that $g=i \circ h$ where $i: \operatorname{Ker} f \rightarrow X$.
2. for any morphism $g: Y \rightarrow Z$ such that $g \circ f=0$ there exists a unique morphism $h: \operatorname{Coker} f \rightarrow Z$ such that $g=f \circ p$ where $p: Y \rightarrow \operatorname{Coker} f$.

## Corollary 2.6

Let $X \xrightarrow{f_{f}} Y$ be a commutative square (that is $\psi \circ f=f^{\prime} \circ \varphi$ ), then

it can be completed into a commutative diagram


### 2.3 Split short exact sequences

Definition 2.7 A short exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \longrightarrow 0$ is a split short exact sequence if there exists an isomorphism $h: Y \rightarrow X \oplus Z$ such that there is a commutative diagram


A morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be a section if there exists $f^{\prime}: Y \rightarrow X$ with $f^{\prime} \circ f=1_{X}$.

A morphism $g: Y \rightarrow Z$ is said to be a retraction if there exists $g^{\prime}: Z \rightarrow$ $Y$ such that $g \circ g^{\prime}=1_{Z}$.

## Proposition 2.8

A short exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \longrightarrow 0$ if and only if one of the following occurs:

- $f$ is a section;
- $g$ is a retraction.

Example 2.9 In the category $\operatorname{Mod} k$, every short exact sequence splits.
As we will see later, it is also the case in $\operatorname{Mod} \mathbb{C} G$ where $G$ is a finite group.

It is not the case in $\operatorname{Mod} \mathbb{Z}$, for instance $0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{4} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{2} \longrightarrow 0$ does not split.

### 2.4 Push forward and pull back

## Pull back

Definition 2.10 Let $g_{1}: Y_{1} \rightarrow Y$ and $g_{2}: Y_{2} \rightarrow Y$ be morphisms. Then a pull back of $g_{1}$ anf $g_{2}$ is a commutative square

such that for any commutative square

there exists a unique $h: Z \rightarrow X$ such that $h_{1}=f_{1} \circ h$ and $h_{2}=f_{2} \circ h$.

Definition 2.11 Let $f_{1}: X \rightarrow X_{1}$ and $f_{2}: X \rightarrow X_{2}$ be morphisms. Then a push forward of $f_{1}$ anf $f_{2}$ is a commutative square

such that for any commutative square

there exists a unique $h: Y \rightarrow Z$ such that $h_{1}=h \circ g_{1}$ and $h_{2}=h \circ g_{2}$.
Example 2.12 Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism. The commutative square $\operatorname{Ker} f \longrightarrow 0$ is a pull back.


The commutative square $X_{1} \times X_{2} \xrightarrow{p_{1}} X_{1}$ is a pull back.


## Proposition 2.13

There exist pull backs and push outs in the category $\operatorname{Mod} A$.

Proof: The pull back of $\left(g_{1}: Y_{1} \rightarrow Y, g_{2}: Y_{2} \rightarrow Y\right)$ is given by

$$
X:=\operatorname{Ker}\left(g_{1}-g_{2}: Y_{1} \oplus Y_{2} \rightarrow Y\right)
$$

The push-out of $\left(f_{1}: X \rightarrow X_{1}, f_{2}: X \rightarrow X_{2}\right)$ is given by

$$
Y=\operatorname{Coker}\left(\left(f_{1},-f_{2}\right): X \rightarrow X_{1} \oplus X_{2}\right) .
$$

## Theorem 2.14

Let $0 \longrightarrow X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} 0$ be a short exact sequence.

1. For any $z: Z^{\prime} \rightarrow Z$, there exists a commutative diagram where the horizontal lines are exact

2. For any $x: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$, there exists a commutative diagram where horizontal lines are exact


## 3 Short exact sequences and functors

### 3.1 Exact functors

Definition 3.1 1. A covariant functor $F$ is left exact if for any $0 \rightarrow$ $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z$ the sequence $0 \rightarrow F X \rightarrow F Y \rightarrow F Z$ is exact
2. A covariant functor is called right exact if for any exact sequence $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow 0$, the sequence $F X \rightarrow F Y \rightarrow F Z \rightarrow 0$ is exact.
3. A contravariant functor $F$ is left exact if for any $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow 0$, the sequence $0 \rightarrow F Z \rightarrow F Y \rightarrow F X$ is exact.
4. A contravariant functor $F$ is right exact if for any $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z$, the sequence $F Z \rightarrow F Y \rightarrow F X \rightarrow 0$ is exact.
5. A functor is called exact if it is both left and right exact. So it sends any short exact sequence to a short exact sequence.

## Theorem 3.2

Let $M$ be a $A$ - $B$-bimodule. We have the following:

1. the functors $\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(M,-)$ and $\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(-, M)$ are left exact;
2. the functors $M \otimes_{B}-$ and $-\otimes_{A} M$ are right exact.

Proof: Here we need to show a statement a bit more precise. We will show that a sequence $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z$ is exact if and only if for all $M \in \operatorname{Mod} A$ the sequence $0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(M, X) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(M, Y) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(M, Z)$ is exact, and the similar statement for the other functors.

### 3.2 Projective, injective and flat modules

Definition 3.3 An $A$-module $P$ is said to be projective if the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(P,-)$ is exact.

An $A$-module $I$ is said to be injective if $\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(-, I)$ is exact.
An $A$-module $F$ is said to be flat if $F \otimes_{A}$ - is exact.
The following is clear from the definition.

## Proposition 3.4

1. A $A$-module $P$ is projective if and only if for any epimorphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and morphism $u: P \rightarrow Y$, there exists a morphism $v: P \rightarrow X$ such that $f \circ v=u$.
2. A $A$-module $I$ is injective if and only if for any monomorphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$, and any morphism $u: X \rightarrow I$, there exists a morphism $v: Y \rightarrow I$ such that $v \circ f=u$.

## Lemma 3.5

1. Let $\left(M_{i}\right)_{i \in E}$ be a family of $A$-modules. Then $\bigoplus_{i \in E} M_{i}$ is projective if and only if $M_{i}$ is projective for any $i \in E$.
2. Let $\left(M_{i}\right)_{i \in E}$ be a family of $A$-modules. Then $\prod_{i \in E} M_{i}$ is injective if and only if $M_{i}$ is injective for any $i \in E$.
3. Let $\left(M_{i}\right)_{i \in E}$ be a family of $A$-modules. Then $\bigoplus_{i \in E} M_{i}$ is flat if and only if $M_{i}$ is flat for any $i \in E$.

### 3.3 Existence of projective and flat modules

## Theorem 3.6

A module $M$ is projective if and only if it is a direct summand of a free module.

Proof: The proof here comes from the fact that $\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(A, M) \simeq M$, it is then clear that $A$ is projective. Then by the previous lemma we clearly have that any free module is projective and so is any direct summand of a free module.

Now given a projective module $P$, we can take a free cover $F \rightarrow P \rightarrow 0$ of $P$. Then since $P$ is projective, the map $F \rightarrow P$ is a retraction therefore $P$ is isomrophic to a direct summand of $F$.

## Theorem 3.7

Free $\Rightarrow$ projective $\Rightarrow$ flat.

Proof: This is an easy consequence of the previous lemma, and of the fact that $A$ is flat.

We will wee later that for certain nice rings (Noetherian) finitely generated projective modules coincide with finitely generated flat modules.

### 3.4 Existence of injective modules

## Case where $k$ is a field

## Lemma 3.8

If $k$ is a field, then $k$ is injective in $\operatorname{Mod} k$.

Proof: This comes from the fact that all short exact sequences splits in $\operatorname{Mod} k$.

As a consequence, and using the natural embedding $M \rightarrow M^{* *}$ we obtain the following.

## Theorem 3.9

Let $k$ be a field and $A$ be a $k$-algebra. Then we have
$M \in \operatorname{Mod} A$ is projective $\Rightarrow M^{*}=\operatorname{Hom}_{k}(M, k) \in \operatorname{Mod} A^{\mathrm{op}}$ is injective.

As an immediate corollary, we obtain that $A^{*}$ is naturally a left $A$-module injective.

Note that in the case of where $A$ is finite dimensional, the $k$-duality induces a bijection between projective and injective objects in $\bmod A$ (finite dimensional $A$-modules).

## Case of abelian groups

The general case is much more complicated. Already for $A=\mathbb{Z}$ it is difficult to exhibit injective $\mathbb{Z}$-modules. For example, using the embedding $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$, one can see that $\mathbb{Z}$ is not an injective object.

However, the aim here is to prove that $\mathbb{Q}$ is injective. To prove this, we will use the following criterion.

## Theorem 3.10 (Baer's criterion)

Let $A$ be a $k$-algebra. Then a $A$-module $M$ is injective if and only if for any submodule $J \subset A$, the map $\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(A, M) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{A}(J, M)$ is surjective.

Necessity is clear. The converse direction is more involved and uses Zorn lemma, we refer to Assem (Theorem 3.4 in Chapter IV) for a complete proof.

But this lemma implies easily the following:

## Proposition 3.11

$\mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z}$ are injective as $\mathbb{Z}$-modules.

## General case

This leads us to introduce an other notion of dual.
Definition 3.12 Let $M \in \operatorname{Mod} A$, we define $M^{\wedge}:=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z}) \in$ $\operatorname{Mod} A^{\text {op }}$ the Pontryagin dual of $M$.

We have then the same kind of properties that for the $k$-dual.

## Lemma 3.13

A map $X \rightarrow Y$ in $\operatorname{Mod} A$ is injective if and only if the corresponding map $Y^{\wedge} \rightarrow X^{\wedge}$ in $\operatorname{Mod} A^{\text {op }}$ is surjective.

## Theorem 3.14

A right $A$-module $X$ is flat if and only if the $A$-module $X^{\wedge}$ is injective.

As a corollary, we then obtain that $A^{\wedge}$ is an injective left $A$-module. It is unfortunately in general not finitely generated.

## Chapter III

## Decomposition theorems

## 1 Noetherian and Artinian

### 1.1 Noetherian and Artinian modules

Definition 1.1 1. A $A$-module $M$ is said to be Artinian if for any decraesing sequence $M_{0} \supseteq M_{1} \supseteq \cdots$ of submodules there exists $n$ such that $M_{j}=M_{n} \forall j \geqslant n$.
2. A $A$-module $M$ is said to be Noetherian if for any increasing sequence $M_{0} \subseteq M_{1} \subseteq \cdots$ of submodules there exists $n$ such that $M_{j}=M_{n}$ $\forall j \geqslant n$.

Example 1.2 If $k$ is a field, then any finite dimensional $A$-module is both Artinian and Noetherian.
$\mathbb{Z}$ or more generally any principal ring is Noethrian. But $\mathbb{Z}$ is not Artinian. The ring $\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}$ is Artinian and Noetherian.

## Proposition 1.3

Let $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow 0$ be a short exact sequence of $A$-modules. Then we have

1. $X$ and $Z$ are Artinian if and only if so is $Y$.
2. $X$ and $Z$ are Noetherian if and only if so is $Y$.

Proof: If $Y$ is Artinian, then so is $X$ since it is a submodule of $Y$. If $Z_{0} \supseteq$ $Z_{1} \supseteq \cdots$ is a dcreasing chain of submodules of $Z$, then $p^{-1}\left(Z_{0}\right) \supseteq p^{-1}\left(Z_{1}\right) \supseteq$
$\cdots$ is a decreasing chain of submodules of $Y$. So $p^{-1}\left(Z_{\ell}\right)=p^{-1}\left(Z_{\ell+1}\right)$ which implies $Z_{\ell}=Z_{\ell+1}$.

Conversely, let $Y_{0} \supseteq Y_{1} \supseteq \cdots$ be a decreasing chain of submodules of $Y$. Then we obtain the following commutative diagram


If both left and right maps are equalities, then so is the middle one.

## Theorem 1.4

Let $M$ be a $A$-module. Then $M$ is Noetherian if and only if every submodule of $M$ is finitely generated.

Proof:Let $N$ be a submodule of $M$, and consider the set $\mathcal{E}$ of submodules of $N$ which are finitely generated. It is non empty since $\{0\}$ is finitely generated. Since $M$ is Noetherian, any incraesing chain has an upper bound. So by Zorn's lemma, it has a maximal element $L$. If $L \neq N$, then there exists $x \in$ $N \backslash L$, and $\langle L, x\rangle$ is a finitely generated submodule of $N$, which contradicts maximality. So $N$ is maximal, and so $N$ is finitely generated.

Conversely, let $M_{0} \subseteq M_{1} \ldots$ be a increasing chain of submodules in $M$. Then the union of the $M_{i}$ is a submodule of $M$. It has a finite set of generators, so there exists $n$ such that every generator is in $M_{n}$, and so the union of the $M_{i}$ equals $M_{n}$.

## Corollary 1.5

If $A$ Noetherian as left module, then any finitely generated $A$-module is finitely presented.

### 1.2 Noetherian and Artinian algebras

Definition 1.6 1. An algebra $A$ is called left Artinian if the module ${ }_{A} A$ is Artinian.
2. An algebra $A$ is called left Noetherian if the module ${ }_{A} A$ is Noetherian.

## Theorem 1.7

Let $A$ be a $k$-algebra.

1. If $A$ is left Artinian, then any $A$-module of finite type is Artinian.
2. If $A$ is left Noetherian, then any $A$-module of finite type is Noetherian.

Proof: It follows directly from Proposition 1.3 .

## Corollary 1.8

If $A$ is Artinian or Noetherian, then the category $\bmod A$ of finitely generated $A$-modules is an Abelian category.

## 2 Indecomposable modules and algebras

### 2.1 Idempotents

Definition 2.1 An algebra $A$ is said to be connected if it is not isomorphic to the product of two non trivial algebras.

A $A$-module $M$ is said to be indecomposable if it is not isomorphic to the direct sum of two proper submodules.

The key notion here is the notion of idempotents. Indeed, if $A=\prod_{i} A_{i}$, then denoting by $e_{i}:=\left(0, \ldots, 1_{A_{i}}, 0, \ldots\right)$ we have the following relations

$$
e_{i}^{2}=e_{i}, e_{i} e_{j}=0 \text { for } i \neq j, 1_{A}=\sum_{i} e_{i} \text { and } e_{i} \in \mathrm{Z}(A) .
$$

Moreover $A_{i} \simeq e_{i} A e_{i}$.
Similarly, let $M=\bigoplus_{i} M_{i}$ be decomposable. Denote by $p_{j}$ and $i_{j}$ the projections and injections, and sete $e_{j}:=p_{j} \circ i_{j} \in \operatorname{End}_{A}(M)$. Then we have

$$
e_{i}^{2}=e_{i}, e_{i} e_{j}=0 \text { for } i \neq j, \operatorname{Id}_{M}=\sum_{i} e_{i}
$$

So roughly speaking, an algebra will be connected if it has very few idempotent, and a module $M$ will be indecomposable if its endomorphism algebra has also very few idempotents.

### 2.2 Algebras and bloc decomposition

The first result show that the conditions above on the idempotents is sufficiant to decompose an algebra.

## Theorem 2.2

Let $A$ be a $k$ algebra. Assume that $1_{A}=\sum_{i=1}^{s} e_{i}$ with the properties

$$
e_{i}^{2}=e_{i}, e_{i} e_{j}=0 \text { for } i \neq j, \text { and } e_{i} \in \mathrm{Z}(A),
$$

then $A$ is isomorphic to $\prod_{i=1}^{s} A_{i}$ with $A_{i}=A e_{i}$.

Proof: First note that $A_{i}=A e_{i}=e_{i} A e_{i}$ is an algebra, and a tw-sided ideal of $A$.

The isomorphisms $A \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{s} A_{i}$ and $\prod_{i=1}^{s} A_{i} \rightarrow A$ are given by

$$
a \mapsto\left(a e_{1}, \ldots, a e_{s}\right) \quad \text { and }\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{s}\right) \mapsto \sum_{i} b_{i} .
$$

One easily checks that these are isomorphisms of algebras and inverse one of each other.

## Theorem 2.3

Let $A$ be a $k$-algebra which is Noetherian or Artinian. Then $A$ is isomorphic to a finite product of connected algebras which are uniquely determined.

Proof: The existence comes from Noetherianity ar Artinianity.
Unicity is quite easy, using the fact that the product of two two-sided ideal is a two-sided ideal. So if we have

$$
A_{1} \times \cdots \times A_{s}=B_{1} \times \cdots \times B_{t},
$$

then we have $A_{i}=A A_{i}=\prod_{j}\left(B_{j} A_{i}\right)$ and since $A_{i}$ is connected we obtain $A_{i}=B_{j} A_{i}$. Using then $B_{j}=B_{j} A$, we obtain $A_{i}=B_{j}$. Finally we use the fact that

$$
\prod_{\ell \neq i} A_{\ell}=A / A_{i}=A / B_{j}=\prod_{k \neq j} B_{k}
$$

and conclude by induction.

## Theorem 2.4

Let $A=A_{1} \times A_{2}$ be the direct product of two $k$ algebras. Then there is an equivalence of categories

$$
\operatorname{Mod} A \simeq \operatorname{Mod} A_{1} \times \operatorname{Mod} A_{2}
$$

Proof: The functor is given by $M \mapsto\left(e_{1} M, e_{2} M\right)$ where the $e_{i}$ are the idempotents defined above. One then needs to show that for $M, N \in \operatorname{Mod} A$, then

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{A}\left(e_{1} M, e_{2} N\right)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{Hom}_{A}\left(e_{1} M, e_{1} N\right)=\operatorname{Hom}_{A_{1}}\left(e_{1} M, e_{1} N\right) .
$$

### 2.3 Indecomposable modules and local rings

Definition 2.5 A $k$-algebra is said to be local if it has a unique maximal left ideal.

The link between these two notions is given by the Theorem below.

## Theorem 2.6

A module $M$ which is Artinian and Noetherian is indecomposable if and only if $\operatorname{End}_{A}(M)$ is local.

In order to prove this result, we need two lemmas.

## Lemma 2.7

Let $A$ be a $k$-algebra. Then $A$ is local if and only if for any $x \in A, x$ or $1-x$ is invertible.

Proof: One direction is clear, since if both $x$ and $1-x$ are non invertible, then they are both in the maximal ideal $J$, which implies $J=A$.

For the other direction, we prove that the set $J$ of non left invertible elements of $A$ is an ideal. It statisfies clearly $A J \subset J$. Now let $x$ and $y$ be in $J$ such that $x-y$ has a left inverse $a$. Since $a x$ is in $J$, then $1-a x=a y$ is invertible which is a contradiction. Finally $J$ clearly contains all proper ideals of $A$, so $A$ is local.

## Lemma 2.8 (Fitting's lemma)

Let $f \in \operatorname{End}_{A}(M)$ where $M$ is Noetherian and Artinian, then there exists $n \geqslant 1$ such that

$$
M=\operatorname{Ker} f^{n} \oplus \operatorname{Im} f^{n}
$$

Proof: By Artinianity and Noetherianity, there exists $n$ suxh that $\operatorname{Ker} f^{n+1}=$ $\operatorname{Ker} f^{n}$ and $\operatorname{Im} f^{n+1}=\operatorname{Im} f^{n}$. One easily checks that $\operatorname{Ker} f^{n} \cap \operatorname{Im} f^{n}=\{0\}$. And if $y \in M$, taking $x$ such that $f^{n}(x)=f^{2 n}(y)$ we obtain

$$
y=\left(x-f^{n}(y)\right)+f^{n}(y) \in \operatorname{Ker} f^{n} \oplus \operatorname{Im} f^{n} .
$$

### 2.4 Krull-Schmidt decomposition

## Theorem 2.9 (Azumaya-Krull-Remak-Schmidt)

Let $A$ be a $k$-algebra and let $M \in \operatorname{Mod} A$. If $M$ is Noetherian or Artinian, then $M$ is isomorphic to a finite direct sum of indecomposable modules.

If $M$ is both Artinian and Noetherian, then the decomposition is essentially unique.

Proof: The proof of exsitence is the same as for the bloc decomposition of algebras.

Assume that $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} M_{i}=\bigoplus_{j=1}^{n} N_{j}$. We proceed on induction on $m$. Using that $\operatorname{End}\left(M_{1}\right)$ is local, we obtain a $j$ such that $p_{M_{1}} \circ i_{N_{j}} \circ p_{N_{j}} \circ i_{M_{1}}$ is invertible. Then using the fact that $\operatorname{End}\left(N_{j}\right)$ is local, we prove that both $p_{M_{1}} \circ i_{N_{j}}$ and $p_{N_{j}} \circ i_{M_{1}}$ are invertible. So $N_{j}$ is isomorphic to $M_{1}$. Finally we need to construct an isomorphism $\varphi: M \rightarrow M$ such that there exists a commutative diagram


And we apply the induction hypothesis.

## Corollary 2.10

If $k$ is a field and if $A$ is a $k$-algebra, then any finite dimensional $A$-module can be decomposed into a unique finite direct sum of indecomposable modules.

## 3 Simple and Semi-simple

### 3.1 Simple modules

Definition 3.1 A $A$-module $S \neq 0$ is called simple if it has no non zero proper submodule.

Example 3.2 If $k$ is a field, then any 1 -dimensional $A$-module is simple. In $\bmod k$, there is only one simple module up to isomorphism $k$.

The $\mathcal{M}_{n}(k)$-module $k^{n}$ is simple.
Any division $k$-algebra $D$ over $k$ is a simple $D$-module. So there might be infinite dimensional simple module (e.g. $D=\mathbb{C}(X)$ ).
$\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ is simple if and only if $n$ is prime.
For $G=\mathbb{D}_{4}$ the dihedral group, consider the 2-dimensional representation given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho: G & \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{C}) \\
r & \longmapsto\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
s & \longmapsto\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

is simple.
We have the following characterization for simple modules.

## Lemma 3.3

For a $A$-module $S$ the following are equivalent:

1. $S$ is simple;
2. $\forall x \neq 0 \in S, A x=S$;
3. $\forall x \neq 0 \in S, S \simeq A / \operatorname{Ann}(x)$;

## Lemma 3.4 (Schur's lemma)

Let $S$ be a simple $A$-module.

1. then the $k$-algebra $\operatorname{End}_{A}(S)$ is a division algebra.
2. if moreover $k=\bar{k}$ is an algebraically closed field and $S$ is finite dimensional, then $\operatorname{End}_{A}(S) \simeq k$.

## Proposition 3.5

Let $k=\bar{k}$ be an algebraically closed field, and $A$ be a commutative $k$ algebra. Then any finite dimensional simple $A$-module is 1 -dimensional.

### 3.2 Composition series

Definition 3.6 Let $M$ be a non zero $A$-module. A sequence of submodules

$$
0=M_{0} \subset M_{1} \subset \cdots M_{m}=M
$$

is called a composition series for $M$ if $M_{i+1} / M_{i}$ is simple for any $i$. These quotients are called composition factors .

Example 3.7 $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{m}}$ has a unique composition series:

$$
p^{m} \mathbb{Z}_{p^{m}} \subset p^{m-1} \mathbb{Z}_{p^{m}} \subset \cdots \subset \mathbb{Z}_{p^{m}}
$$

$\mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ has three composition series.
Let $M_{\ell}=k^{\ell}$ be the $\mathcal{T}_{n}(k)$-module given as in the exercises. Then $M_{n}$ has a unique composition series

$$
M_{0} \subset M_{1} \subset \ldots M_{n}
$$

## Proposition 3.8

A $A$-module has a composition series if and only if it is Artinian and Noetherian.

Proof: We use Artinianity to prove that any module has a simple submodule. We then construct a composition series inductively, that stops by Noetherianity.

The converse can be easily shown by induction on the length of the composition series using Proposition 1.3.

## Theorem 3.9 (Jordan Hölder)

If $A$-module $M$ admits two composition series

$$
0=M_{0} \subset M_{1} \subset \cdots M_{m}=M, \quad 0=N_{0} \subset M_{1} \subset \cdots N_{n}=M,
$$

then $m=n$, and there exists a permutation $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{m}$ such that $M_{\sigma(i)+1} / M_{\sigma(i)} \simeq N_{i+1} / N_{i}$.

Proof: The proof is is done by induction on $m$. The idea is to extract from

$$
0 \subseteq M_{m-1} \cap N_{1} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq M_{m-1} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq M_{m-1}+N_{n-1} \subseteq M
$$

a composition series of $M$ of the form

$$
0=M_{0}^{\prime} \subset M_{1}^{\prime} \subset \cdots M_{n-2}^{\prime} \subseteq M_{m-1} \subset M,
$$

such that each quotient is of the form $N_{i+1} / N_{i}$ for some $i$, and then apply induction.

### 3.3 Semi-simple algebras

Definition 3.10 A $A$-module is called semi-simple if it is the direct sum (possibly infinite) of simple modules.

A $k$-algebra is called semi-simple if it is semi-simple as a left module over itself.

## Theorem 3.11 (Mashke's theorem)

Let $G$ be a finite group and $k$ be a field such that $|G|$ is invertible in $k$. Then any finite dimensional $k G$-module is semi-simple. In particular $k G$ is semi-simple.

Proof: If $W \subset V$ is a submodule, the idea is first to construct a $k$-linear retraction $V \rightarrow W$, and to modify it, using the fact that $|G|$ is invertible to obtain a $k G$-linear retraction.

## Theorem 3.12 (Artin Wedderburn)

A $k$-algebra $A$ is semi-simple if and only if it is isomorphic to

$$
\mathcal{M}_{n_{1}}\left(D_{1}\right) \times \ldots \mathcal{M}_{n_{s}}\left(D_{s}\right)
$$

where $D_{s}$ are division $k$-algebras.

Proof: It is not hard to see that $\mathcal{M}_{n}(D)$ is semi-simple since $D^{n}$ is a simple $\mathcal{M}_{n}(D)$-module.

Conversely, decomposing $A$ into a sum of simple, we first show that the sum is finite, since $1_{A}$ is a finite sum of elements in this decomposition. Then we conclude using Schur's lemma 3.4, the fact that $\operatorname{End}_{A}(A)=A^{\text {op }}$, and that

$$
\operatorname{End}_{A}\left(S^{n}\right) \simeq \mathcal{M}_{n}(\operatorname{End}(S)) \text { and } \operatorname{Hom}_{A}\left(S, S^{\prime}\right)=0 \text { if } S \neq S^{\prime}
$$

## Corollary 3.13

Let $k=\bar{k}$ be an algebraically closed field. A finite dimensional $k$-algebra $A$ is semi-simple if and only if it is isomorphic to

$$
\mathcal{M}_{n_{1}}(k) \times \ldots \mathcal{M}_{n_{s}}(k)
$$

Moreover, there exist exactly $s$ isomorphism classes of simple modules, of dimension $n_{1}, \ldots, n_{s}$ respectively.

### 3.4 The module category for a semi-simple algebra

## Proposition 3.14

A module $M$ is semi-simple if and only if every submodule of $M$ is a direct summand of $M$ (in other words, any inclusion $N \subset M$ is a section).

Proof: Assume first that $M=\bigoplus_{i \in I} S_{i}$ a semi-simple module, and $N \subset M$ be a submodule. Define

$$
\mathcal{E}:=\left\{J \subset I \text { s.t. } N \oplus \bigoplus_{i \in J} S_{j} \text { is in direct sum }\right\}
$$

We have $\emptyset \in \mathcal{E}$, so $\mathcal{E} \neq \emptyset$. We now show that $\mathcal{E}$ is an inductive set. Assume we have a increasing chain $J_{\lambda}$ of subsets in $\mathcal{E}$. Then if $x$ is an element in $N+\sum_{i \in \cup J_{\lambda}} S_{i}$, then there exists $\lambda$ such that $x \in N+\sum_{i \in J_{\lambda}} S_{j}$. But then the sum is direct, so the decomposition of $x$ is unique. So we have

$$
N+\sum_{i \in \cup J_{\lambda}} S_{i}=N \oplus \bigoplus_{i \in \cup J_{\lambda}} S_{i} .
$$

Therefore the set $\mathcal{E} \mathrm{s}$ an inductive set. By Zorn's lemma, this set has a maximal element $I_{0}$. We would like to show that $N_{0}:=N \oplus \bigoplus_{j \in I_{0}} S_{i}=M$. Let $i \in I$, then $S_{i} \cap N_{0}$ is either 0 or $S_{i}$ since $S_{i}$ is simple. If it is zero, then $S_{i}$ is in direct sum with $N_{0}$ which is a contradiction with maximality of $I_{0}$. Thus $S_{i} \cap N_{0}=S_{i}$, meaning that $M=\sum_{i \in I} S_{i}=N_{0}$. Hence we have $N \oplus L=M$ as required.

Let $M$ be such that any submodule is a direct summand. First note that any submodule of $M$ satisfies also this property. Indeed if $L \subset N \subset M$, then there exists a map $p: M \rightarrow L$ such that the composition $L \subset M \rightarrow L$ is $1_{L}$ Now, define $p^{\prime}$ to be the composition

$$
p^{\prime}: N \subset M \rightarrow L
$$

We have then $L \subset N \subset M \rightarrow L=1_{L}$, thus $L \subset N$ is a section.
Now, we would like to show that $M$ admits a submodule which is simple. Let $x \in M$ with $x \neq 0$. Then define $N=\langle x\rangle$, and $\mathcal{E}=\{L \subset N$ s.t. $L \neq N\}$. This set contains the zero module. If $\left(N_{\lambda}\right)$ is a ascending chain of submodules in $\mathcal{E}$, then if $N=\bigcup_{\lambda} N_{\lambda}$, then there exists $\lambda$ such that $x \in N_{\lambda}$ and then $\langle x\rangle=N=N_{\lambda}$ which is not true. So the submodule $\bigcup_{\lambda} N_{\lambda}$ is a strict submodule of $N$, so is in $\mathcal{E}$. Hence the set $\mathcal{E}$ is an inductive set, and by Zorn's lemma, it has a maximal element $N_{0}$. Then by hypothesis (and the first remark), we can write $N=N_{0} \oplus S$. We want to check that $S$ is simple. If not, then $S=T \oplus T^{\prime}$ (again by the first remark), and then $N_{0} \oplus T^{\prime}$ is a strict submodule of $N$ containing strictly $N_{0}$, which contradicts the maximality of $N_{0}$. Therefore, we have that $N$ (hence $M$ ) has a submodule which is simple.

Now, we denote by $I$ the set of simple submodules of $M$, and denote by $M^{\prime}=\sum_{S \in I} S$ which is a submodule of $M$. If $M^{\prime} \neq M$, then we can write $M=M^{\prime} \oplus M^{\prime \prime}$, but since $M^{\prime \prime}$ is a submodule of $M$, it admits a submodule
which is simple, which is a contradiction. Hence, we have $M=M^{\prime}$. The last thing to show is the fact that there exists $J_{0} \subset I$ such that

$$
\sum_{S \in J_{0}} S=\bigoplus_{S \in J_{0}} S=\sum_{S \in I} S=M
$$

We denote by $\mathcal{E}:=\left\{J \subset I \mid \sum_{S \in J}=\bigoplus_{S \in J} S\right\}$. It is clearly non empty, and it is inductive (see the argument above for the other direction). Denote by $J_{0}$ its maximal element, and by $M_{0}:=\bigoplus_{S \in J_{0}} S$. Let $S^{\prime} \in I$, then $S^{\prime} \cap M_{0}$ is either zero or $S^{\prime}$ since $S^{\prime}$ is simple. If it is zero, then $S^{\prime}+M_{0}=S^{\prime} \oplus M_{0}$ which contradicts the maximality of $M_{0}$. So $S^{\prime} \subset M_{0}$, and then $M_{0}=M$, and $M$ can be written as a direct sum of simple submodules.

## Theorem 3.15

For an algebra $A$, the following are equivalent:

1. $A$ is semi-simple;
2. every $A$-module is semi-simple;
3. every short exact sequence in $\operatorname{Mod} A$ splits;
4. every $A$-module is projective;
5. every $A$-module is injective.
