

Khovanov homology in terms of immersed curves

Exercises for Lecture 1

1. Consider the second mutant pair of knots given in the lecture. Show that both the given knot and its mutant have the same Seifert genus. **Hint:** Use the fact that the knots are alternating, and apply high-powered tools.
2. Show that $\langle K \rangle$ is unchanged under Reidemeister moves RII and RIII, and using this prove that $\langle K \rangle$ is a well defined invariant up to multiplication by units.
3. Given a diagram for K with a choice of orientation, use the writhe of the knot (that is, a sum of the signs of the crossings using a right-hand rule) to remove the *up to units* part of the invariance of $\langle K \rangle$. **Note:** By using $\langle K \rangle$ and the writhe together in this way, you effectively recover the Jones polynomial $V_K(t)$. See [10].
4. Prove that $\langle T \rangle$ and $\langle T^\mu \rangle$ agree as elements of the skein module \mathcal{S} . **Further reflection:** You might ponder why the pair of crossingless tangles provides a basis. One approach is to consider the Temperley-Lieb algebra, which is an interesting object in its own right, and its relationship to \mathcal{S} . See [11]
5. Consulting the figure drawn in the lecture, prove that in $\text{Cob}_{/\ell}$ the torus has value $2 \in \mathbf{k}$.
6. This final exercise completes the example constructing a homotopy equivalence between chain complexes associated with tangles that differ by a RI move.
 - (a) Verify that $G \circ F = \text{id}$.
 - (b) Complete the proof that $F \circ G \simeq \text{id}$ by establishing the case $i = 1$. **Note:** We did the case $i = 0$ at the end of lecture.

As I prepare these exercises, I am aware there is a strong possibility I will spend less time on the example associated with this exercise during lecture than I would like. As such, it may be worthwhile to work through the entire homotopy equivalence for this exercise. For consultation, the solution is on page 1457–1458 of [12].

This first lecture, being introductory, took us on a somewhat meandering tour. I collected the references mentioned, listed in the order they appeared in lecture, below.

- [1] S. Kinoshita and H. Terasaka, “On unions of knots,” Osaka Math. J., vol. 9, pp. 131–153, 1957.
- [2] J. H. Conway, “An enumeration of knots and links, and some of their algebraic properties,” in Computational Problems in Abstract Algebra (Proc. Conf., Oxford, 1967). Pergamon, Oxford-New York-Toronto, Ont., 1970, pp. 329–358.
- [3] R. Riley, “Homomorphisms of knot groups on finite groups,” Math. Comp., vol. 25, 1971. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.2307/2005224>
- [4] D. Gabai, “Genera of the arborescent links,” Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 59, no. 339, pp. i–viii and 1–98, 1986. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1090/memo/0339>
- [5] L. Watson, “Symmetry & Mutation,” Math. Res. Postc., vol. 1, no. 1, 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://secure.math.ubc.ca/Links/mrp/>
- [6] D. Ruberman, “Mutation and volumes of knots in S^3 ,” Invent. Math., vol. 90, no. 1, pp. 189–215, 1987. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01389038>
- [7] C. Zibrowius, “On symmetries of peculiar modules, or δ -graded link Floer homology is mutation invariant,” J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS), vol. 25, no. 8, pp. 2949–3006, 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.4171/jems/1201>
- [8] L. H. Kauffman, “State models and the Jones polynomial,” Topology, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 395–407, 1987. [Online]. Available: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-9383\(87\)90009-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-9383(87)90009-7)
- [9] V. F. R. Jones, “A polynomial invariant for knots via von Neumann algebras,” Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.), vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 103–111, 1985. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0273-0979-1985-15304-2>
- [10] C. C. Adams, The knot book. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004, an elementary introduction to the mathematical theory of knots, Revised reprint of the 1994 original.
- [11] D. Rolfsen, “The quest for a knot with trivial Jones polynomial: diagram surgery and the Temperley-Lieb algebra,” in Topics in knot theory (Erzurum, 1992), ser. NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C: Math. Phys. Sci. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1993, vol. 399, pp. 195–210. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1985.0055>
- [12] D. Bar-Natan, “Khovanov’s homology for tangles and cobordisms,” Geom. Topol., vol. 9, pp. 1443–1499, 2005. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.2140/gt.2005.9.1443>
- [13] S. Wehrli, “Khovanov homology and Conway mutation,” 2003, preprint, arXiv:math/0301312. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0301312>