

PRODUCTS OF BIJECTIONS

by Louis FUNAR and Dan CALISTRATE

1. Introduction and statement of results

The aim of this paper is to give some necessary or sufficient conditions for a map from a group  $(B, *)$  in itself being the product of two bijections. The product of the mappings  $f, g: B \rightarrow B$  is defined by  $(f * g)(x) = f(x) * g(x)$ . The case when the group is  $(R, +)$  is assigned to M. Rădulescu and S. Rădulescu (see [1]) and treated by J. Ceder ([1]); also appeared formulated by L. Funar [2] as an open problem.

The main results of Ceder are concerned in :

THEOREM 1 Every map  $f: R \rightarrow R$  can be written as a sum of three bijections of  $R$  in itself.

THEOREM 2 If the map  $f: R \rightarrow R$  is constant or has an uncountable range, then  $f$  can be written as the sum of two bijections.

It has been not settled the case when  $f$  is not constant and its range is at most countable. Miller [4] extends theorem 2, by proving the following:

THEOREM 3 For every map  $f: R \rightarrow R$  there exist a finite set  $A \subseteq R$  and the bijections  $g, h: R \rightarrow R$  such that  $f(x) = g(x) + h(x), \forall x \in R \setminus A$ . Closely related are the problems when the bijections are constrained to be isomorphisms for some additional structures, by example homeomorphisms of  $R$ . We mention here the result of Ceder [1] and Ho [3]:

THEOREM 4 The continuous mapping  $f: R \rightarrow R$  is the sum of two homeomorphisms of  $R$  iff  $f$  has finite total variation on each finite interval.

Our main result is an improvement of theorems 1,2,3 above stated. Below  $(B,*)$  is a fixed group.

**THEOREM 5.** (i) If  $B$  is infinite and  $f : B \rightarrow B$  has infinite range then  $f$  is the product of two bijections.

(ii) If  $B$  is not finitely generated,  $f(B) = \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$  and  $f^{-1}(a_j)$  are infinite  $\forall j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  then  $f$  is the product of bijections

(iii) If  $B$  is a torsion free abelian group,  $f(B) = \{a_1, a_2\}$  and  $f$  is the product of two bijections, then  $f^{-1}(a_i)$  are infinite  $\forall i \in \{1, 2\}$ .

(iv) If  $B$  is an abelian group  $f(B) = \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_k\}$  card  $f^{-1}(a_i) = y_i$  for  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ , then  $f$  is the product of two bijections iff  $a_1^{y_1} a_2^{y_2} \dots a_k^{y_k} = a_0^{y_1 + \dots + y_k}$

As a corollary we shall obtain :

**THEOREM 6** If  $B$  is infinite,  $f : B \rightarrow B$ , then  $f$  is the sum of three bijections.

We denote below card  $A$  or  $|A|$  the cardinal of  $A$ ,  $rg f$  the range and  $dom f$  the definition set of the map  $f$ . Our proof follows the lines developed in [4].

2. Preliminary lemmas

For the proof of the above theorems we need some prerequisites.

**Lemma 1** If  $G$  is a subgroup of  $B$ ,  $f : B \rightarrow B$  is a map such that  $rg f \subseteq G$ ,  $card\ rg\ f = card\ G$ , and for every  $x \in rg\ f$ ,  $f^{-1}(x) \cap G \neq \emptyset$  then there exist two bijections  $h, g : G \rightarrow G$  such that  $f|_G = h \circ g$ .

**Proof.** Let  $k = card\ rg\ f$ ,  $\gamma$  be the least ordinal of cardinal  $k$  and consider a well ordering of  $G = \{y_\alpha, \alpha < \gamma\}$ . Set  $\Gamma_\varphi$  for the graph of the map  $\varphi$ . Then we have an order relation defined by  $f_0 \subseteq f_1$  iff  $\Gamma_{f_0} \subseteq \Gamma_{f_1}$ . For  $X \subseteq G$  set  $\varphi(X)$  for the least ordinal  $\alpha$  with  $y_\alpha \notin X$ .

Denote by  $\mathfrak{F}$  the family of maps  $(h, g)$  having the following properties :

1.  $\text{dom } h = \text{dom } g \subseteq G, \text{rg } f, \text{rg } g \subseteq G$
2.  $f|_{\text{dom } h} = h * g$
3.  $|\Gamma_h| = |\Gamma_g| \leq |\varphi(\text{dom } h)|$
4.  $\varphi(\text{dom } h) \leq \varphi(\text{rg } h), \varphi(\text{rg } g)$
5.  $h, g$  are injective

We start with  $\Gamma_{h_0} = \Gamma_{g_0} = \phi$ . The family  $\mathfrak{F}$  has an obvious induced ordering. If  $K = \{(h_\lambda, g_\lambda)\}$  is a totally ordered sequence included in  $\mathfrak{F}$  then  $\Gamma_h = \bigcup_\lambda \Gamma_{h_\lambda}, \Gamma_g = \bigcup_\lambda \Gamma_{g_\lambda}$  define a majorant for  $K$  which lies in  $\mathfrak{F}$ . By Zorn's lemma  $\mathfrak{F}$  has a maximal element say  $(h, g)$ . Let  $\alpha = \varphi(\text{dom } h)$ . If  $\alpha = \gamma$  then  $(h, g)$  will answer our question, else

$\alpha < \gamma$ . Then there exists  $z \in G \setminus \text{rg } h$  such that  $z^{-1} * f(y_\alpha) \notin \text{rg } g$ .

In fact  $A = \{z^{-1} * f(y_\alpha) ; z \in G \setminus \text{rg } h\}$  has all elements distinct,  $|A| = |G \setminus \text{rg } h|$  but  $|\text{rg } h| \leq |\varphi(\text{dom } h)| = |\alpha| < |\gamma|$  hence we cannot have  $A \subseteq \text{rg } g$  because  $|A| > |\text{rg } g|$ . Consider the extensions  $\tilde{h}, \tilde{g}$  of

$h, g$  by  $\Gamma_{\tilde{h}} = \Gamma_h \cup (y_\alpha, z), \Gamma_{\tilde{g}} = \Gamma_g \cup (y_\alpha, z^{-1} * f(y_\alpha))$ . Now if  $y_\alpha \notin \text{rg } \tilde{h}$  we can choose  $t \in G \setminus \text{dom } \tilde{h}$  such that  $y_\alpha^{-1} * f(t) \notin \text{rg } \tilde{g}$ .

Indeed set  $B = \{y_\alpha^{-1} * f(t) ; t \in G \setminus \text{dom } h\}$  then  $|B| = |\{f(t) ; t \in G \setminus \text{dom } h\}|$ . We have  $|\{f(t) ; t \in G\}| = |\text{rg } f| = |G|$  because

$f^{-1}(x) \cap G \neq \phi \quad \forall x \in \text{rg } f$ . It follows that  $|B| = |G \setminus \text{dom } h| > |\text{rg } \tilde{g}|$

hence the desired element  $t$  could be chosen in  $B \setminus \text{rg } \tilde{g}$ .

Now put  $\Gamma_{h^*} = \Gamma_{\tilde{h}} \cup (t, y_\alpha), \Gamma_{g^*} = \Gamma_{\tilde{g}} \cup (t, y_\alpha^{-1} * f(t))$ . The same arguments hold in the case  $y_\alpha \notin \text{rg } g^*$  and a pair  $(h^{**}, g^{**})$  is obtained. Now  $(h^{**}, g^{**}) \not\supseteq (h, g)$  and lies in  $\mathfrak{F}$  contradicting our assumption of maximality of  $(h, g)$  in  $\mathfrak{F}$ .

Lemma 2. Let  $G$  be an infinite subgroup of  $B, f : B \rightarrow B$  with  $\text{rg } f \subseteq G$  such that :  $\text{card } f^{-1}(a) \leq \text{card } G$  implies  $f^{-1}(a) \subseteq G$ . Then there exist the bijections  $h, g : B \setminus G \rightarrow B \setminus G$  for which  $f|_{B \setminus G} = h * g$ .

Proof. Define the equivalence relation  $x \sim y$  iff  $x * y^{-1} \in G$  and set  $F_0 = \{a \in B \mid f^{-1}(a) \not\subseteq G\}, H_a = f^{-1}(a) \setminus G$  for  $a \in F_0$ .

If  $F_0 = \emptyset$  then  $B = G$  and the result is trivially valid. For  $F_0 \neq \emptyset$  it follows from hypothesis that  $\{H_a\}_{a \in F_0}$  is a partition of  $B \setminus G$  and  $\text{card } H_a > \text{card } G$ .

Let  $\{K_a\}_{a \in F_0}$  a partition of  $B \setminus G$  in reunions of cosets with respect to  $G$  (i.e. sets  $\sim$ -invariant), and  $\text{card } K_a = \text{card } H_a$ . This is possible because  $\text{card } H_a > \text{card } G$ . Now consider  $\pi: B \setminus G \rightarrow B \setminus G$  a bijection with the property that  $\pi(K_a) = H_a, \forall a \in F_0$ . Then it is sufficient to prove the lemma for  $f_1 = f|_{B \setminus G} \circ \pi$ . Observe that  $f_1^{-1}(a) = K_a$  so  $\text{rg } f_1 \subseteq B \setminus G$ . Set  $h(x) = a * x$  for  $x \in K_a, g(x) = x^{-1}$ . Then  $f_1 = h * g$  and  $h|_{K_a}$  is a bijection onto  $K_a$ , so the lemma is proved.

LEMMA 3 Let  $f: B \rightarrow B, F = \text{rg } f$ . There exists an subgroup  $G \subseteq B$  which satisfies:

1.  $F \subseteq G$  and  $\text{card } G \leq \text{card } F + \aleph_0$
2.  $\forall x \in F, f^{-1}(x) \cap G \neq \emptyset$
3.  $\forall x \in F$  with  $\text{card } f^{-1}(x) < \text{card } G$  we have  $f^{-1}(x) \subseteq G$ .

Proof. Let  $G_0$  be the group generated by  $F \cup \{x_a, a \in F\}$  where  $x_a \in f^{-1}(a)$ . Then set  $X = \bigcup_{\substack{a \in F \\ \text{card } f^{-1}(a) < \text{card } G_0}} f^{-1}(a)$  and  $G$  be the group

generated by  $X \cup G_0$ . Then  $\text{card } X \leq \text{card } G_0 + \aleph_0 \leq \text{card } F + \aleph_0$  so  $\text{card } G \leq \text{card } F + \aleph_0$ . Also for  $a \in F, f^{-1}(a) \cap G \ni x_a$  and the group  $G$  satisfies the requirements of lemma 3.

### 3. Proof of theorems and comments

If  $\text{card } F \geq \aleph_0$  and  $G$  is the group given by lemma 3 then according to lemmas 1 and 2  $f$  is the product of two bijections. If  $F$  is finite,  $\text{card } B \geq \aleph_0$  then  $f^*$  defined by  $f^*(x) = f(x) * x$  has an infinite range, so  $f$  is the product of these bijections, which proves theorem 6 and theorem 5 (i). Let now  $G$  be the countable subgroup of  $B$  given by lemma 3 and  $H$  another subgroup of  $B$  such

that  $G \subseteq H$ ,  $\text{card } H/G \geq \text{card } F$ . (this is possible since  $B$  is not finitely generated). We consider the partition  $\{K_a\}_{a \in F}$  of  $H$  in  $G$ -cosets, and a bijection  $\pi : H \rightarrow H$  such that  $\pi(K_a) = f^{-1}(a) \cap H$ . Then set  $h(x) = a * x$  for  $x \in K_a$ ,  $g(x) = x^{-1}$ , which satisfies  $f|_H = h * g$ . From lemma 2 applied to the subgroup  $H$ , theorem 5 (ii) follows. In the case (iii) we suppose  $f = h * g$ ,  $f^{-1}(a_2) = \{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$ ,  $g(z_i) = t_i^{-1}$ . Then  $h(z_i) = a_2 * t_i$  and  $h(x) = a_1 * g(x)^{-1}$  for  $x \notin \{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$ . If we set  $T = \{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$  then it follows that  $a_1^{-1} * a_2 * T = T$  so  $\prod_{x \in T} x = \prod_{x \in a_1^{-1} * a_2 * T} x$ . This gives  $(a_1^{-1} * a_2)^n = e$ ,

$e$  being the null element of  $B$  and since  $B$  is torsion free  $a_1 = a_2$ , a contradiction.

Therefore the assertion of theorem 5 (iii) is valid. In the last case we put  $A_i = f^{-1}(a_i)$ ,  $C_i = g(A_i)$ . Observe that the family of subsets  $\{a_i^{-1} * C_i\}_{i \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}}$  is a partition of  $B$ , hence we can define a map  $\mathcal{C} : B \setminus C_0$  setting  $\mathcal{C}(x) = a_0 * a_j^{-1} * x$  for  $x \in C_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq k$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}$  is injective, so it is a bijection since  $C_j$  are finite for  $1 \leq j \leq k$ . Therefore  $\prod_{x \in B \setminus C_0} x = \prod_{x \in B \setminus C_0} \mathcal{C}(x)$ , equivalent to

$a_0^{y_1 + \dots + y_k} = a_1^{y_1} \dots a_k^{y_k}$ . Conversely let consider the sets  $C_j = \{x \mid x = a_0^{i-1} a_j^{1-i} \prod_{1 \leq s \leq k} a_s^{y_s} a_s^{-y_s}, \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq y_j\}$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq k$  and

$C_0 = B \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^k C_j$ . Then we can give the bijections  $h_i, g_i : A_i \rightarrow C_i$

because  $\text{card } A_i = \text{card } C_i$ , such that  $g_i(x) = h_i(x)^{-1} * a_i$

The maps  $g(x) = g_i(x)$  for  $x \in A_i$ ,  $h(x) = h_i(x)$  for  $x \in A_i$  satisfy the requirements of the theorem 5 (iv).

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1.] CEDER, I. Sums of permutations, Rev. Roum. Math. Pures et Appliques, 9(1985)
- [2.] FUNAR, L. Problem proposed In Amer. Math. Monthly, 4, 9(1986)
- [3.] HC, C-w. Personal communication, 1986
- [4.] MILLER, A. Personal communication, 1986

Department of Mathematics, Univ. of Bucharest, Academiei 14,  
R- 70109, ROMANIA