

MORE ON DUALITY

1. INTRODUCTION

Let E be a vector space of dimension n . Recall that the dual space of E is the vector space $E^* := L(E, \mathbb{R})$.

Let's take a closer look to the elements of E^* . We start with a lemma which will motivate the sequel.

Lemma 1.1. *Let E be a vector space of dimension n .*

1) *If $u \in E^*$ is non zero, then $\ker(u)$ is a subspace of E of dimension $n - 1$.*

2) *Two non zero elements $u, u' \in E^*$ have same kernel if and only if they are proportional.*

3) *Let H be any subspace of E of dimension $n - 1$. Then there exists a non zero element $u \in E^*$ such that $H = \ker(u)$.*

In particular, we have a one-to-one correspondence between the lines of E^ and the subspaces of dimension $n - 1$ of E .*

Proof. 1) Since u is non zero, there exists $w \in E$ such that $u(w) \neq 0$. Now pick any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, and set $x = \frac{\lambda}{u(w)}w$. Then we have

$$u(x) = u\left(\frac{\lambda}{u(w)}w\right) = \frac{\lambda}{u(w)}u(w) = \lambda.$$

Hence the map $u : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is surjective, and by the Rank Theorem we have the conclusion.

2) If $u' = \lambda u$ (with $\lambda \neq 0$), then clearly $\ker(u') = \ker(u)$. Conversely, if u, u' have same kernel, let v_1, \dots, v_n be a basis of E such that $u(v_1)$ is a basis of $\text{Im}(u) = \mathbb{R}$ and v_2, \dots, v_n is a basis of $\ker(u)$.

By choice of v_1 , we have $u(v_1) \neq 0$, and so $u'(v_1) \neq 0$ by assumption.

Now set $\lambda := \frac{u'(v_1)}{u(v_1)}$.

Let $x \in E$, and write $x = x_1v_1 + \cdots + x_nv_n$. We then have $u(x) = x_1u(v_1)$ and $u'(x) = x_1u'(v_1)$ (for the second equality we use the fact that $\ker(u') = \ker(u)$, hence $u'(x) = \lambda u(x)$ for any $x \in E$, so $u' = \lambda u$).

3) Assume that H is a subspace of E of dimension $n - 1$. Let v_2, \dots, v_n be a basis of H and extend it into a basis v_1, \dots, v_n of E .

Now set $u(v_i) = 0$ for $i = 2, \dots, n$ and $u(v_1) = 1$. This defines a non zero element $u \in E^*$. We claim that $\ker(u) = H$. To prove this, let $x \in E$, and write $x = x_1v_1 + \cdots + x_nv_n$. Then we have $u(x) = x_1$, so $x \in \ker(u) \iff x \in \text{Span}(v_2, \dots, v_n) = H$.

The last point of the lemma follows directly from 1), 2) and 3).

□

This lemma says that we have a one-to-one correspondence between the lines of E^* and the subspaces of dimension $n - 1$ of E the subspaces of dimension 1 of E^* . The aim of what follows is to extend this correspondence to higher dimensions. We will show that there exists a one-to-one correspondence between subspaces of dimension $n - k$ of E and subspaces of dimension k of E^* , for any $1 \leq k \leq n$.

If E is a vector space of dimension n , we will denote by $\mathcal{V}_k(E)$ the set of subspaces of dimension k of E , for any $0 \leq k \leq n$.

Let \mathbf{v} be a basis of E . Recall that $v_i^* \in E^*$ is defined by $v_i^*(v_i) = 1$ and $v_i^*(v_j) = 0$ if $j \neq i$, and that \mathbf{v}^* is a basis of E^* , called the dual basis of \mathbf{v} .

For sake of simplicity, we will denote $(E^*)^*$ by E^{**} .

2. BIDUALITY

Recall that E and E^* have same dimension, so they are isomorphic. An isomorphism can be produced as follows: pick a basis v_1, \dots, v_n of E , and consider the corresponding dual basis v_1^*, \dots, v_n^* of E^* .

Then $\varphi_{\mathbf{v}} : v_i \in E \mapsto v_i^* \in E^*$ is an isomorphism of vector spaces.

This isomorphism is not canonical, since we have to choose a basis of E to construct it. However, there exists a canonical isomorphism between E and E^{**} , as the following theorem shows:

Theorem 2.1. *Let E be a vector space of dimension n .*

Then the map

$$\chi : x \in E \mapsto (u \in E^* \mapsto u(x) \in \mathbb{R}) \in E^{**}$$

is an isomorphism of vector spaces.

Proof. The fact that $\chi(x) : u \in E^* \mapsto u(x)$ is a linear transformation, as well as χ , is left to the reader. Now since E and E^{**} have same dimension, it suffices to prove that χ is injective for example.

Let $x \in E$ such that $\chi(x) = 0$. Let \mathbf{v} a basis of E , and write $x = x_1v_1 + \cdots + x_nv_n$. If \mathbf{v}^* is the corresponding dual basis, recall that $v_i^*(x) = x_i$.

We then have $\chi(x)(v_i^*) = 0 = v_i^*(x) = x_i$ for any i , so $x = 0$ and the map χ is injective. □

Corollary 2.1. *Let E be a vector space of dimension n , and let $\mathbf{u} := (u_1, \dots, u_n)$ be a basis of E^* . Then there exists a basis \mathbf{w} of E such that $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{w}^*$.*

Before proving this result, let's point out that this is not as trivial as it appears. It is not sufficient to take the inverse images of u_1, \dots, u_n under the map φ_v , since the map does NOT send every basis of E to its dual basis (even if it sends \mathbf{v} to \mathbf{v}^*).

Indeed, we have $u_i = u_i(v_1)v_1^* + \cdots + u_i(v_n)v_n^*$. If we set $w_i = \varphi_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1}(u_i)$, we get $w_i = u_i(v_1)v_1 + \cdots + u_i(v_n)v_n$. Then $u_i(w_j) = u_j(v_1)u_i(v_1) + \cdots + u_j(v_n)u_i(v_n)$, which has no reason to be 0 if $i \neq j$ and 1 if $i = j$.

To prove the result, we use Theorem 2.1.

Proof. Let \mathbf{u} a basis of E^* , and consider the dual basis \mathbf{u}^* of E^{**} , so $u_i^*(u_j) = 0$ if $i \neq j$ and 1 if $i = j$.

Set $w_i = \chi^{-1}(u_i^*) \in E$.

Since χ^{-1} is an isomorphism, it sends any basis of E^{**} to a basis of E ; in particular \mathbf{w} is a basis of E .

Now we have $u_i(w_j) = \chi(w_j)(u_i) = u_j^*(u_i)$, so $u_i(w_j) = 0$ if $i \neq j$ and 1 if $i = j$. This is equivalent to say that $u_i = w_i^*$. □

3. THE CORRESPONDENCE

The theorem that we want to prove is the following.

Theorem 3.1. *Let E be a vector space of dimension n , and let k be an integer, $1 \leq k \leq n$. Then:*

1) *Let $u_1, \dots, u_k \in E^*$ be linearly independent. Then we have:*

$$\dim \bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq k} \ker(u_i) = n - k.$$

2) *Let (u_1, \dots, u_k) and (u'_1, \dots, u'_k) be two families of k linearly independent vectors of E^* . Then we have:*

$$\bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq k} \ker(u_i) = \bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq k} \ker(u'_i) \iff \text{Span}(u_1, \dots, u_k) = \text{Span}(u'_1, \dots, u'_k).$$

3) *Any subspace F of E of dimension $n - k$ can be written as*

$$F = \bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq k} \ker(u_i),$$

where $u_1, \dots, u_k \in E^$ are linearly independent.*

In particular, the map

$$\Theta : \text{Span}(u_1, \dots, u_k) \in \mathcal{V}_k(E^*) \mapsto \bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq k} \ker(u_i) \in \mathcal{V}_{n-k}(E)$$

gives a one-to-one correspondence between the subspaces of dimension k of E^ and the subspaces of dimension $n - k$ of E .*

Proof. 1) Let u_1, \dots, u_k be linearly independent elements of E . Extend this family into a basis \mathbf{u} of E^* . By Corollary 2.1, there exists a basis \mathbf{w} of E such that $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{w}^*$.

Let $x \in E$, and write $x = x_1 w_1 + \dots + x_n w_n$. We have $u_i(x) = w_i^*(x) = x_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$, so we get

$$x \in \bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq k} \ker(u_i) \iff x \in \text{Span}(w_{k+1}^*, \dots, w_n^*).$$

We then get $\bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq k} \ker(u_i) = \text{Span}(u_{k+1}, \dots, u_n)$, which has dimension $n - k$, since the u_i 's are linearly independent.

2) Let (u_1, \dots, u_k) and (u'_1, \dots, u'_k) be two families of k linearly independent vectors of E^* .

Assume first $\text{Span}(u_1, \dots, u_k) = \text{Span}(u'_1, \dots, u'_k)$.

Then each u'_i is a linear combination of the u_j 's, so if some $x \in E$ satisfies $u_j(x) = 0$ for any j , it also satisfies $u'_i(x) = 0$. Since this is true for any i , we get that $\bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq k} \ker(u_i) \subseteq \bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq k} \ker(u'_i)$. Since these two spaces have same dimension, the inclusion shows they are equal (alternatively, the reverse inclusion can be obtained by switching the role of the u_i 's and the u'_i 's).

Now assume that $\bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq k} \ker(u_i) = \bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq k} \ker(u'_i)$. As in the previous point, extend u_1, \dots, u_k into a basis u_1, \dots, u_n of E^* , and let \mathbf{w} be a basis of E such that $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{w}^*$.

Since \mathbf{w}^* is a basis of E^* , we can write $u'_i = c_{i1}w_1^* + \dots + c_{in}w_n^*$. We already saw in the proof of the previous point that $\bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq k} \ker(u_i) = \text{Span}(w_{k+1}, \dots, w_n)$. Since by assumption, we have $\bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq k} \ker(u_i) = \bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq k} \ker(u'_i)$, we get $u'_i(w_j) = c_{ij} = 0$ for $j = k+1, \dots, n$. Hence $u'_i \in \text{Span}(w_1^*, \dots, w_k^*) = \text{Span}(u_1, \dots, u_k)$, for any i . Thus $\text{Span}(u'_1, \dots, u'_k) \subseteq \text{Span}(u_1, \dots, u_k)$, and since these spaces have same dimension, they are equal.

3) Let F be a subspace of E of dimension $n - k$. Let w_{k+1}, \dots, w_n be a basis of F and extend it into a basis w_1, \dots, w_n of E . Now we have $\bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq k} \ker(w_i^*) = \text{Span}(w_{k+1}, \dots, w_n) = F$.

The last part of the theorem comes directly from 1), 2) and 3). \square

Notice that this theorem implies that any subspace of dimension $n - k$ of E is the kernel of a surjective map $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$, so in particular any subspace of \mathbb{R}^n of dimension $n - k$ is the nullspace of some $k \times n$ matrix A of rank k .

Indeed, let F be a subspace of dimension $n - k$ of E , and write it as $F = \bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq k} \ker(u_i)$, where $u_1, \dots, u_k \in E^*$ are linearly independent.

Then set $f : x \in E \mapsto (u_1(x), \dots, u_k(x)) \in \mathbb{R}^k$.

Clearly this is a linear transformation, and $\ker(u) = \bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq k} \ker(u_i) = F$. Since $\dim F = n - k$, the Rank Theorem says that $\text{rank}(f) = k$, so f is surjective (since $\dim \mathbb{R}^k = k$).

Notice that the correspondence is apparently not canonical: we have to choose a basis of the subspace of E^* to define the corresponding subspace of E . In fact, it is, and even better: the inverse map is also canonical, as shows the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2. *Let E be a vector space of dimension n , and let $1 \leq k \leq n$. Then we have:*

- 1) *If $F' \in \mathcal{V}_k(E^*)$, then $\bigcap_{u \in F'} \ker(u) \in \mathcal{V}_{n-k}(E)$.*
- 2) *If $F \in \mathcal{V}_{n-k}(E)$, then $\{u \in E^* | u|_F = 0\} \in \mathcal{V}_k(E^*)$.*
- 3) *The map*

$$\varphi : F' \in \mathcal{V}_k(E^*) \mapsto \bigcap_{u \in F'} \ker(u) \in \mathcal{V}_{n-k}(E)$$

is bijective, and the inverse map is given by

$$\varphi^{-1} : F \in \mathcal{V}_{n-k}(E) \mapsto \{u \in E^* | u|_F = 0\} \in \mathcal{V}_k(E^*).$$

Proof. 1) Let F' be a subspace of E^* of dimension k , and let u_1, \dots, u_k be a basis of F' . We claim that $\bigcap_{u \in F'} \ker(u) = \bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq k} \ker(u_i)$.

The inclusion \subseteq is clear. Now assume that $x \in \bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq k} \ker(u_i)$. Since any $u \in F'$ is a linear combination of the u_i 's, we also have $u(x) = 0$, and this proves the other inclusion. Now apply Theorem 3.1 to conclude.

2) Let F be a subspace of E of dimension $n - k$. Recall that the linear transformation $\psi : u \in E^* \mapsto u|_F \in F^*$ is surjective. Indeed, let v_{k+1}, \dots, v_n be a basis of F , and extend it into a basis of v_1, \dots, v_n of E . Now for any $u' \in F^*$, set $u(v_i) = 0$ if $i = 1, \dots, k$ and $u(v_i) = u'(v_i)$ for $i = k + 1, \dots, n$. Then $u|_F = u'$.

The Rank Theorem then gives $\dim \ker(\psi) = \dim E^* - \dim F^* = n - (n - k) = k$. This is exactly what we wanted to prove.

3) Denote by ψ' the second map. We have to prove $\psi \circ \psi'(F') = F'$ for any $F' \in \mathcal{V}_k(E^*)$ and $\psi' \circ \psi(F) = F$ for any $F \in \mathcal{V}_{n-k}(E)$.

If $F' \in \mathcal{V}_{n-k}(E)$, then we have $\psi(\psi'(F')) = \bigcap_{u \in \psi'(F')} \ker(u)$, so this subspace contains F' , since by definition $u(x) = 0$ for any $x \in F'$ and any $u \in \psi'(F')$. Since these two spaces have same dimension, they are equal. Thus $\psi(\psi'(F')) = F'$.

Now for any $F \in \mathcal{V}_k(E^*)$, $\psi'(\psi(F)) = \{u \in E^* | u|_{\psi(F)} = 0\}$, so this subspace contains F , since by definition $u(x) = 0$ if $u \in F$ and $x \in \psi(F)$. Since these two spaces have same dimension, they are equal. Thus $\psi'(\psi(F)) = F$.

□

Remark: As usual, you can replace \mathbb{R} by any field k (e.g. $\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{F}_p \dots$), all the results here and their proofs remain valid.

4. AN APPLICATION

We end these considerations by given an application of this correspondence with $k = 1$.

Let's try to solve the following question: Let p be a prime number, and let $E = \mathbb{F}_p^n$. This is a vector space over \mathbb{F}_p . How many subspaces of E of dimension $n - 1$ do we have (this number is finite because E is finite; it has p^n elements) ?

If $p = 2$, the problem can be solved by hand directly, but if p is arbitrary, it is quite difficult. By Theorem 3.1, this number is equal to the number of lines of E^* , that is the number of lines of E , since $E^* \simeq E$. So we just have to count the number of lines of $E = \mathbb{F}_p^n$. A line is defined by a non zero vector, and two lines are distinct if and only if the corresponding vectors are not proportional. There is $p^n - 1$ non zero vectors of E , and there are $\frac{p^n - 1}{p - 1}$ non proportional non zero vectors (remember that we work with vector spaces over \mathbb{F}_p , so the constants are elements of \mathbb{F}_p , not real numbers anymore). Hence the number of subspaces of dimension $n - 1$ of E is $\frac{p^n - 1}{p - 1}$.

The general correspondence is very useful in geometry. For example, it allows to transform a problem involving a configuration of planes into a problem involving a configuration of lines, which is easier. It also gives for free new theorems by applying duality. Unfortunately, I don't have concrete references or examples for the moment, but believe me...this correspondence is very useful.