

LECTURES ON R -EQUIVALENCE ON LINEAR ALGEBRAIC GROUPS

P. GILLE

1. INTRODUCTION

As usual¹, the ground field is assumed for simplicity to be of characteristic zero. Given a k -variety X, Y . Manin defined the R -equivalence on the set of k -points $X(k)$ as the equivalence relation generated by the following elementary relation. Denote by \mathcal{O} the semi-local ring of \mathbf{A}_k^1 at 0 and 1.

1.1. Definition. Two points $x_0, x_1 \in X(k)$ are elementary R -equivalent if there exists $x(t) \in X(\mathcal{O})$, such that $x(0) = x_0$ and $x(1) = x_1$.

We denote then by $X(k)/R$ the set of R -equivalence classes. This invariant measures somehow the defect for parametrizing rationally the k -points of X . The following properties follow readily from the definition.

- (1) additivity : $(X \times_k Y)(k)/R \cong X(k)/R \times Y(k)/R$;
- (2) “homotopy invariance” : $X(k)/R \xrightarrow{\sim} X(k(v))/R$.

The plan is to investigate R -equivalence for linear algebraic groups. We focus on the case of tori worked out Colliot-Thélène-Sansuc [CTS1] [CTS2], on the case of isotropic simply connected groups [G5] and of the case of number fields [G1] [C2] and two dimensional geometric fields [CGP] [Pa].

Let G/k be a connected linear algebraic group. First the R -equivalence on $G(k)$ is compatible with the group structure. More precisely, denote by $R(k, G) \subset G(k)$ the R -equivalence class of e . Then $R(k, G)$ is a normal subgroup and $G(k)/R(k, G) \cong G(k)/R$. Therefore $G(k)/R$ has a natural group structure. We can already ask the following optimistic open question based on known examples.

1.2. Question. Is $G(k)/R$ an abelian group ?

Notice first the following fact.

1.3. Lemma. [G1, II.1.1] *Two points of $G(k)$ which are R -equivalent are elementary equivalent.*

Thus the elementary relation is an equivalence relation.

1.4. Proposition. *Let $U \subset G$ be an open subset. Then $U(k)/R \xrightarrow{\sim} G(k)/R$.*

¹Version of June 16, 2010.

Proof. By Grothendieck's theorem, G is an unirational k -variety. It means that there exists a (non-empty) subset V of an affine space and a dominant map $h : V \rightarrow G$. We can assume that $e \in h(V(k))$. Then $h(V(k))$ is Zariski dense in G and consists of elements R -equivalent to e . In particular $R(k, G)$ is Zariski dense in G , so $R(k, G).U = G$. Hence $U(k)/R \rightarrow G(k)/R$ is surjective. In the way around, we are given two elements $u, u' \in U(k)$ which are R -equivalent in G . By Lemma 1.3, there exists $g \in G(\mathcal{O})$ such that $g(0) = u$ and $g(1) = u'$. But we see that g belongs actually to $U(\mathcal{O})$, so we conclude that u and u' are R -equivalent in U . \square

Recall that X is k -rational if X is birationally isomorphic to an affine space.

1.5. Corollary. *Let G_1 and G_2 be linear algebraic groups which are rationally equivalent. Then there is a bijection $G_1(k)/R \cong G_2(k)/R$. In particular, if G is k -rational, then $G(k)/R = 1$.*

We say that X a k -variety is stably k -rational if there exists $n \geq 0$ such that $X \times_k \mathbf{A}_k^n$ is k -rational. By the additivity property, we have

1.6. Corollary. *If G is stably k -rational, then $G(k)/R = 1$.*

2. EXAMPLES

2.1. Normic torus. Let L/k be a finite Galois algebra of group Γ . We consider the Weil restriction torus (called also induced or quasitrivial)

$$R_{L/k}(\mathbb{G}_m)$$

which is defined by $R_{L/k}(\mathbb{G}_m)(A) = (A \otimes_k L)^\times$ for each k -algebra A . Each element of Γ induces a homomorphism $\sigma_* : R_{L/k}(\mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow R_{L/k}(\mathbb{G}_m)$. The product $\prod \sigma_*$ gives rise to a norm map

$$N_{L/k} : R_{L/k}(\mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$$

whose kernel $T = R_{L/k}^1(\mathbb{G}_m)$ is called the normic torus attached to K/k . The group $T(k)$ contains the image of $(\sigma - 1).L^\times$ for each $\sigma \in \Gamma$. It generates the subgroup $I_\Gamma.L^\times$ where $I_\Gamma \subset \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]$ stands for augmentation ideal. We have (see §3.3.2 below)

$$T(k)/R \cong T(k)/I_\Gamma.L^\times.$$

If L/k is a field, the following are equivalent :

- (1) T is R -trivial, i.e. $T(F)/R = 1$ for any extension F/k ;
- (2) Γ is metacyclic, i.e. his Sylow subgroups are cyclic.

If Γ is cyclic, note that (1) is nothing but the theorem 90 of Hilbert.

2.2. Common norm torus. Let l be a prime number and let k_1, \dots, k_n be étale extensions of k of degree l . We consider the common norm torus T

$$N_{k_1/k}(y_1) = \cdots N_{k_n/k}(y_n)$$

inside the quasitrivial torus $R_{k_1/k}(\mathbb{G}_m) \times \cdots R_{k_n/k}(\mathbb{G}_m)$. Put $M = k_1 \otimes_k \cdots \otimes_k k_n$. We have a natural map

$$\mathbb{G}_m \times N_{M/k}(\mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow R_{k_1/k}(\mathbb{G}_m) \times \cdots R_{k_n/k}(\mathbb{G}_m), \quad (x, y) \mapsto (x N_{M/k_1}(y), \dots, x N_{M/k_n}(y))$$

whose image for k -rational points consists of R -trivial elements. We have indeed [G2, §3]

$$T(k)/R = \bigcap_i N_{k_i/k}(k_i^\times) / (k^\times)^l \cdot N_{M/k}(M^\times).$$

If $l = 2$ and $n = 2$, this group is trivial since T is a quadric which is a k -rational variety.

If l is 2 (resp. odd) and M is a field, Merkurjev has shown that T is R -trivial if and only if $n \leq 2$ (resp. $n \leq 3$) [Me3].

2.3. Special linear groups. Let A/k be a central simple algebra and put $G = \mathrm{SL}_n(A)$ for $n \geq 1$. This is the kernel of the reduced norm map

$$\mathrm{GL}_n(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$$

which is the twisted version of the determinant. The commutator subgroup $[A^\times, A^\times]$ consists of R -trivial elements of $G(k)$ and we have indeed

$$G(k)/R = G(k)/[A^\times, A^\times] = \mathrm{SK}_1(A)$$

i.e. this group is independent of $n \geq 1$.

By Wedderburn's theorem $A \cong M_r(D)$ where D is a division algebra and the degree of A is by definition the square root of $\dim_k(D)$. Wang has shown that if $\deg(A)$ is squarefree, then G is R -trivial. Suslin conjectured the converse is true [Su]. The main evidence for Suslin's conjecture is the degree 4 case proven by Merkurjev [Me2][Me7]. If A/\mathbb{Q} is a cyclic division algebra of degree 4, we know that $\mathrm{SK}_1(A) = 0$ by a result of Wang but Merkurjev showed that the generic point of G does not belong to $[A_{k(G)}^\times, A_{k(G)}^\times]$.

Suslin's conjecture is an explanation to Platonov's examples [P] of division algebras D of index l^2 with non-trivial SK_1 . Using those examples, Wouters showed recently that Suslin's conjecture is true for generic central simple algebras of index l^2 [W].

2.4. Projective special linear groups. Let q be a regular quadratic form over a finite even dimensional k -vector space V . By Cayley parametrisation, the special orthogonal group is a k -rational variety, so $\mathrm{SO}(q)$ is R -trivial. The center of $\mathrm{SO}(q)$ is μ_2 and its adjoint quotient $\mathrm{PSO}(q) = \mathrm{SO}(q)/\mu_2$ occurs as a quotient of $\mathrm{GO}^+(q)$ [KMRT], that is the neutral component of the similarity group of q where

$$\mathrm{GO}^+(q)(R) = \left\{ (f, a) \in \mathrm{GL}(V)(R) \times R^\times \mid q \circ f = q \text{ and } \det(f) = a^{\frac{\dim(V)}{2}} \right\}.$$

We have a commutative exact diagram of reductive groups

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & 1 & & 1 & & \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
1 & \longrightarrow & \mu_2 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{SO}(q) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{PSO}(q) \longrightarrow 1 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}_m & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{GO}^+(q) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{PSO}(q) \longrightarrow 1 \\
& & \times 2 \downarrow & & \mu \downarrow =_{p_1} & & \\
& & \mathbb{G}_m & = & \mathbb{G}_m & & \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
& & 1 & & 1 & &
\end{array}$$

By the theorem 90 of Hilbert 90, the fibration $\mathrm{GO}^+(q) \rightarrow \mathrm{PSO}(q)$ is generically trivial, hence Corollary 1.5 yields a bijection $\mathrm{GO}^+(q)(k)/R \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{PSO}(q)(k)/R$. The multiplier induces an isomorphism [Me5]

$$\mathrm{PSO}(q)(k)/R \xrightarrow{\sim} G(q)/N_X(k).(k^\times)^2$$

where $G(q)$ stands for the image of μ_k namely the similarity factors of the quadratic form q and $N_X(k)$ is the norm group of the projective quadric $X = \{q = 0\}$. More precisely, $N_X(k)$ is the subgroup of k^\times generated by the $N_{L/k}(L^\times)$ for L/k running over the finite field extensions of k such that q_L is isotropic.

Another very interesting example is the case of $\mathrm{Spin}(q)$ worked out by Chernousov, Merkurjev and Rost [CM], see also [G5]. The vanishing of $\mathrm{Spin}(q)(k)/R$ for certain q is a key ingredient in Voevodsky's proof of the Milnor conjecture [Vo].

2.5. Specialization methods. The examples are not independent of each other. Let l be a prime and assume that the base field k admits a primitive l -root of unity ζ_l .

For a field $k((x))((y))$ of iterated Laurent series power, one can show that the special linear group of the tensor product of symbol algebras

$$A/k((x))((y)) := (a, x)_{\zeta_l} \otimes (b, y)_{\zeta_l}$$

degenerates to the normic torus T attached to the bicyclic field extension $k(\sqrt[l]{a}, \sqrt[l]{b})$; it gives rise to a surjective induced map $SK_1(A) \rightarrow T(k)/R$. Platonov's examples are constructed in such a way [P].

Similarly, there is a relation between R -equivalence for certain quadratic forms over iterated Laurent series fields and common norm tori of quadratic extensions [G2].

3. R-EQUIVALENCE ON TORI

We shall use that the category of k -tori is anti-equivalent to the category of Γ_k -lattices, i.e. the category of lattices equipped with a continuous action of Γ_k . One way is to associate to a k -torus T its Galois module of characters defined by $\widehat{T} = \text{Hom}_{k_s\text{-gp}}(T \times_k k_s, \mathbb{G}_{m,k_s})$.

3.1. Coflasque modules. Let Γ be a finite group. We denote by $C(\Gamma)$ the following semigroup:

- Generators : $[M]$, M Γ -lattice;
 Relations : $[P] = 0$, P permutation Γ -lattice.

In other words, two Γ -lattices M, N have same class in $C(\Gamma)$ if $M \oplus P \cong N \oplus Q$ with P, Q permutation Γ -lattice.

3.1. Definition. Let M be a Γ -lattice. We say that M is invertible if there exists a Γ -lattice N such that its class is invertible in $C(\Gamma)$.

In other words, invertible Γ -modules are direct summands of permutation modules.

3.2. Definition. Let M be a Γ -lattice. We say that M is coflasque if $H^1(\Gamma', M) = 0$ for all subgroups $\Gamma' \subset \Gamma$.

We say that M is flasque if the dual module M^0 is coflasque. By Shapiro's lemma, it follows that permutation lattices are flasque and coflasque. More generally, invertible Γ -lattices are flasque and coflasque.

3.3. Remark. This notion is stable by change of groups $f : \tilde{\Gamma} \rightarrow \Gamma$: if M is a coflasque Γ -lattice, then it is a coflasque $\tilde{\Gamma}$ -lattice as well. If f is surjective, then the converse is true. Therefore this notion makes sense for profinite groups.

3.4. Lemma. [CTS2, 0.6] *Let M be a Γ -lattice.*

- (1) *M admits a coflasque resolution, that is an exact sequence of Γ -modules*

$$0 \rightarrow C \rightarrow P \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

such that P is permutation and C is coflasque.

- (2) *M admits a flasque resolution, that is an exact sequence of Γ -modules*

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow P \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$$

such that P is permutation and F is flasque.

- (3) *The class of F in $C(\Gamma)$ depends only of M .*

We get then an additive map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p : \{ \Gamma\text{-lattices} \} & \longrightarrow & C(\Gamma) \\ M & \longmapsto & [F]. \end{array}$$

3.2. Flasque resolution of tori. We are given a k -torus T , its character group \widehat{T} is a Γ_k -lattice. The kernel of the action $\Gamma_k \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\widehat{T})$ is of finite index, this is the Galois group of the minimal splitting field k_T/k . We denote by $\Gamma(T)$ its Galois group.

We say that T is coflasque (resp. flasque) if \widehat{T} a flasque (resp. coflasque) Γ_k -lattice. Equivalently, \widehat{T} a coflasque (resp. flasque) $\Gamma(T)$ -lattice. By dualizing Proposition 3.4, we get a flasque resolution of the torus T , namely

$$1 \rightarrow S \xrightarrow{i} E \xrightarrow{f} T \rightarrow 1,$$

where E is an induced torus and S is a flasque torus.

3.5. Theorem. *The characteristic map $T(k) \rightarrow H^1(k, S)$ induces an isomorphism*

$$T(k)/R \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(k, S).$$

If the theorem is true, we should have $H^1(k, S) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(k(t), S)$ by property (2) of the introduction. The proof goes by proving that fact before.

3.6. Lemma. *Let S/k be a flasque torus as above. Then*

$$H^1(k, S) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(\Gamma_k, S(\mathcal{O}_{k_s})) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(\Gamma_k, S(k_s(t))) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(k(t), S).$$

Proof. Tensorising the split sequence of Galois modules

$$1 \rightarrow k_s^\times \rightarrow k_s(t)^\times \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in \mathbf{A}^1(k_s)} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

by \widehat{S}^0 provides the split exact sequence of Γ_k -modules

$$1 \rightarrow S(k_s) \rightarrow S(k_s(t)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{M \in (\mathbf{A}^1)_0} \text{Coind}_k^{k(M)}(\widehat{S}^0) \rightarrow 0$$

Since S/k is flasque, $H^1(k, \text{Coind}_k^{k(M)}(\widehat{S}^0)) = H^1(k(M), \widehat{S}^0) = 0$, so the long exact sequence of cohomology yields an isomorphism $H^1(k, S) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(\Gamma_k, S(k_s(t)))$. The last isomorphism is true for an arbitrary torus and the middle one follows of the fact that $S(\mathcal{O}_{k_s})$ is a direct summand of $S(k_s(t))$. \square

We can now proceed to the proof of Theorem 3.5.

Proof. We have the exact sequence

$$E(k) \xrightarrow{f} T(k) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^1(k, S) \rightarrow H^1(k, E) = 1,$$

whose last term vanishes by Hilbert 90. We want to show that $f(E(k)) = R(k, T)$.

One way is obvious: since E is a k -rational variety, we have $f(E(k)) \subset R(k, T)$.

In the other hand, we have the exact sequence of Γ_k -modules

$$1 \rightarrow S(\mathcal{O}_{k_s}) \rightarrow E(\mathcal{O}_{k_s}) \rightarrow T(\mathcal{O}_{k_s}) \rightarrow 1.$$

We have then the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
E(k) & \xrightarrow{f} & T(k) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & H^1(k, S) & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \wr & & \\
E(\mathcal{O}) & \xrightarrow{f} & T(\mathcal{O}) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & H^1(\Gamma_k, S(\mathcal{O}_{k_s})) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\Gamma_k, E(\mathcal{O}_{k_s})) = 1,
\end{array}$$

where the last term vanishes by the Lemma (note that the evaluation at 0 provides a splitting of all vertical maps). We are given $x \in R(k, T)$. It exists $x(t) \in T(\mathcal{O})$ such that $x(0) = e$ and $x(1) = x$. From the Lemma we get that $\delta(x(t)) = 0 \in H^1(\Gamma_k, S(\mathcal{O}_{k_s}))$. So by diagram chase, there exists $y \in E(\mathcal{O})$ lifting x . By specializing at 1, we get that $x = x(1) = f(y(1)) \in f(E(k))$ as desired. \square

3.3. Examples, local fields.

3.3.1. *Case of a cyclic group.* The proof of the following fact is based on cyclotomic polynomials,

3.7. **Proposition.** (*Endo-Miyata* [EM], [CTS1, prop. 2]) *Assume that Γ is a cyclic group. Let M be Γ -lattice. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) M is flasque;
- (2) M is coflasque;
- (3) M is invertible in $C(\Gamma)$.

3.8. **Corollary.** *Let T/k be a torus split by a cyclic extension L/k . Then $T(k)/R = 1$.*

3.9. **Corollary.** *Let T/\mathbb{Q} be a torus. Then $T(\mathbb{Q})$ is dense in $T(\mathbb{R})$.*

3.3.2. *Normic tori.* Let L/k be a finite Galois extension of group Γ . The normic torus $R_{L/k}^1(\mathbb{G}_m)$ is the kernel of the norm map $R_{L/k}(\mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$. We have an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow R_{L/k}^1(\mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow R_{L/k}(\mathbb{G}_m) \xrightarrow{N_{L/k}} \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow 1.$$

3.10. **Proposition.** *For the norm torus $T = R_{L/k}^1(\mathbb{G}_m)$, we have*

$$T(k)/R \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{-1}(\Gamma, L^\times) = \text{Ker}(L^\times \rightarrow k^\times)/I_\Gamma \cdot L^\times.$$

In particular, it vanishes in the cyclic case; this is a version of Hilbert 90.

3.11. **Sketch of proof.** Define the map

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
R_{L/k}(\mathbb{G}_m)^\Gamma & \xrightarrow{f} & R_{L/k}^1(\mathbb{G}_m) \\
(y_\sigma) & \mapsto & \prod_{\sigma \in \Gamma} \sigma(y_\sigma)/y_\sigma.
\end{array}$$

One shows that this map is surjective and its kernel is a flasque k -torus. Theorem 3.5 yields that $T(k)/R = H^{-1}(\Gamma, L^\times)$.

3.3.3. *Local fields.* Assume here that we deal with a p -adic field K . Tate's duality for tori [?, II.5.8] states that the natural pairing

$$H^1(K, T) \times H^1(K, \widehat{T}) \rightarrow H^2(K, \mathbb{G}_m) \cong \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$$

is a perfect duality of finite groups.

3.12. **Corollary.** *Let T/K be a K -torus and let $1 \rightarrow S \rightarrow E \rightarrow T \rightarrow 1$ be a flasque resolution. Then*

$$T(K)/R \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(\Gamma, \widehat{S})^D.$$

In the case of norm tori, we have a nice formula.

3.13. **Example.** Let $T = R_{L/K}^1(\mathbb{G}_m)$ be the norm torus of a Galois extension L/K of group Γ . Then we have

$$T(K)/R \xrightarrow{\sim} H^3(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z})^D$$

Proof. We use the flasque resolution which arises in the proof of Proposition 3.10. Then we have an exact sequence of Γ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \widehat{T} \rightarrow \widehat{E} \rightarrow \widehat{S} \rightarrow 0.$$

By Shapiro's lemma, we get an isomorphism

$$H^1(\Gamma, \widehat{S}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^2(\Gamma, \widehat{T}).$$

In the other hand, from the sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma] \rightarrow \widehat{T} \rightarrow 0$, we get an isomorphism $H^2(\Gamma, \widehat{T}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^3(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z})$. \square

We know that for bicyclic groups $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, we have $H^2(\Gamma, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^3(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. This provides an example of torus T/K such that $T(K)/R \neq 1$.

3.4. R -trivial tori, Voskresenskii's conjecture.

3.4.1. *R -trivial tori.* Let T/k be a k -torus.

3.14. **Theorem.** *The following are equivalent:*

- (i) T is R -trivial, i.e. $T(F)/R = 1$ for all extensions F/k ;
- (ii) There exists a k -torus T' such that $T \times_k T'$ is a k -rational variety;
- (iii) $p(\widehat{T})$ is invertible in $C(\Gamma)$.

The proof of (ii) \implies (iii) requires some geometry, see for example [C1, §5].

Proof. (i) \implies (ii) : Denote by $\xi : \text{Spec}(k(T)) \rightarrow T$ the generic point of the torus T . Then

$$\xi \in \text{Im}\left(E(k(T)) \xrightarrow{f} T(k(T))\right).$$

This means that there exists an open subset U of T such that $f^{-1}(U) \cong U \times_k S$. Hence $T \times_k S$ is k -birational to the k -rational variety E . Thus $T \times_k S$ is k -rational.

(iii) \implies (i) : We assume that there exists a k -torus T' such that $T \times_k T'$ is a k -rational variety. Then $T(k)/R \times T'(k)/R = 1$, so $T(k)/R = 1$. The same holds for any extension F/k . \square

3.4.2. *Stably k -rational tori.* Given a k -torus T , we have the following characterisation of stably k -rational tori.

3.15. **Theorem.** *The following are equivalent:*

- (i) T is the quotient of two induced tori;
- (ii) T is a stably rational k -variety;
- (iii) $p(\widehat{T}) = 0 \in C(\Gamma)$.

The proof of (ii) \implies (iii) is the same than for Theorem 3.14, so it requires as well some geometry.

3.16. **Sketch of proof.** (i) \implies (ii) : Assume that there is an exact sequence $1 \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow E_2 \rightarrow T \rightarrow 1$ where E_1, E_2 are quasi-trivial tori. By Hilbert 90, T is R -trivial and the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 3.14 shows that $T \times_k E_1$ is birationally k -isomorphic to E_2 . Since induced tori are k -rational varieties, we conclude that T is stably k -rational.

(iii) \implies (i) : Let $1 \rightarrow S \rightarrow E \rightarrow T \rightarrow 1$ be a flasque resolution of T . Our hypothesis is that there exist quasi-trivial tori E_1, E_2 such that $E_2 = S \times E_1$. Replacing S by $S \times E_1$ and E by $E \times E_1$, we conclude that T is the quotient of induced tori.

We can now state Voskresenskii's conjecture.

3.17. **Conjecture.** If T is stably k -rational, it is k -rational.

There are few evidences for this conjecture. Even the case of tori split by cyclic extensions is not known [V].

4. CASE OF ISOTROPIC SIMPLY CONNECTED GROUPS

For simplicity, we deal with a semisimple simply connected group G/k which is assumed to be absolutely k -simple, i.e. $G \times_k k_s \cong \mathrm{SL}_{n,k_s}, \mathrm{Spin}_{2n+1,k_s}, \mathrm{Sp}_{2n;k_s}$, etc... We assume G to be isotropic, that is, G carries a proper k -parabolic subgroup P . We denote by $R_u(P)$ its unipotent radical.

We denote by $G(k)^+ \subset G(k)$ the normal subgroup generated by the conjugates of $R_u(P)(k)$; this group does not depend of the choice of P . We denote by Z the center of $G(k)$. Tits simplicity theorem states that a proper normal subgroup of $G(k)^+$ is a subgroup of $Z(k)$ [T1]. So simplicity statements for the abstract group $G(k)$ boils down to the vanishing of the Whitehead group $W(k, G) = G(k)/G(k)^+$. For $G = \mathrm{SL}_n(D)$ with $n \geq 2$, we have $W(k, G) \cong SK_1(D)$ which is nothing but $G(k)/R$. It is surprising since $G(k)^+$ consists of elements which can be linked to 1 within a mapping $\mathbf{A}_k^1 \rightarrow G$. This is actually a general fact.

4.1. **Theorem.** [G5, 7.2] $W(k, G) \xrightarrow{\sim} G(k)/R$.

Our interpretation is that the R -equivalence is the right extension to arbitrary reductive groups to the Whitehead groups. The key step to show the homotopy invariance property.

4.2. **Theorem.** [G5, 5.8] $W(k, G) \xrightarrow{\sim} W(k(t), G) \xrightarrow{\sim} W(k((t)), G)$.

4.3. **Sketch of proof.** The fact that the map $G(k[[t]]) \rightarrow W(k((t)), G)$ is onto is a quite easy application of Bruhat-Tits theory. We shall use that fact for each closed point M of the affine line \mathbf{A}_k^1 be used, namely

$$G(\widehat{O}_M) \twoheadrightarrow W(\widehat{F}_M, G)$$

where $\widehat{O}_M \cong k(M)[[\pi_M]]$ stands for the completion of $k[t]$ at the point M and $\widehat{K}_M = \text{Frac}(\widehat{O}_M)$. We want to show that $G(k(t)) = G(k(t))^+ G(k)$. We are given $g \in G(k(t))$ which can be written $g = h_M g_M$ with $h_M \in G(\widehat{F}_M)^+$ and $g_M \in G(\widehat{O}_M)$. Put $U = R_u(P)$ and consider the unipotent radical U^- of a k -parabolic subgroup P^- which is opposite to P . We know [BoT3, §6] that $G(E)^+$ is generated by $U(E)$ and $U^-(E)$ for an arbitrary field extension E/k . We can then approximate strongly the h_M by an element $h \in G(k(t))^+$, that is

$$h^{-1} h_M \in G(\widehat{O}_M)$$

for all $M \in \mathbf{A}^1$. Up to replace g by $h^{-1} g$, we can then assume that

$$g \in G(k[t]) = G(k(t)) \cap \prod_M G(\widehat{O}_M).$$

Margaux-Soulé's theorem states that $G(k[t])$ is generated by $G(k)$ and $U(k[t])$ [Ma], so $g \in G(k(t))^+ G(k)$ as desired.

We have shown that G is R -trivial if and only if G is a retract rational variety (*ibid*, 5.9), this is a “retraction of a k -rational variety”, a notion due to Saltman [Sa]. So it is natural to ask the following

4.4. **Question.** Let H be a reductive k -group. If H is R -trivial, is H a retract k -rational variety ?

By an important characterisation of retract rational varieties, this is to ask whether the map $H(A) \rightarrow H(A/\mathfrak{m}_A)$ is onto for an arbitrary local algebra A .

5. REDUCTIVE GROUPS

Our purpose is to compute concretely the group $G(k)/R$ for reductive groups over nice fields.

5.1. Flasque resolution of reductive groups. Recall that a linear algebraic group G/k is reductive if it is connected and has trivial unipotent radical. We say that G is quasi-trivial if DG is simply connected and if its coradical torus $E := G/DG$ is quasi-trivial. A flasque resolution of G is an exact sequence of k -groups

$$1 \rightarrow S \rightarrow \tilde{G} \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$$

such that S is a flasque k -torus and \tilde{G}/k is a quasi-trivial reductive group.

Flasque resolutions exist and are essentially unique. One way to show the existence is by using geometry after Voskresenskiĭ [V], Borovoi-Kunyavskii [BK] and Colliot-Thélène [C2].

5.1. Theorem. *Let X be a smooth compactification of G . Let S be the Néron-Severi torus of X , i.e. of character module $\text{Pic}(X \times_k k_s)$. Let $(\mathcal{T}, t_0)/X$ be the universal S -torsor of (X, e) and denote by $\tilde{G} = G \times_X \mathcal{T}$. Then \tilde{G} can be equipped with a structure of algebraic groups such that the mapping $\tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ defines a flasque resolution of G .*

Let us explain what means here “universal torsor” [Sk]. The point $e \in G(k) \subset X(k)$ gives rise to a decomposition

$$H_{\acute{e}t}^1(X, S) = H^1(k, S) \oplus H^1(X \times_k k_s, S)^{\Gamma_k} = H^1(k, S) \oplus \text{Hom}_{\Gamma_k}(\hat{S}, \text{Pic}(X \times_k k_s)).$$

This is to say that the class of the S -torsor \mathcal{T}/X maps to $(0, id)$.

5.2. Sketch of proof. *S is flasque.* Let Y be the variety of Borel subgroups of G . Since Y is a geometrically connected variety, Borovoi and Kunyavskii noticed that S is flasque iff $S \times_k k(Y)$ is flasque [BK]. This trick permits to assume that G is quasi-split, that is G carries a Borel subgroup B . Let T be a maximal k -torus of B , then G is k -birational to $G/B \times B$. Since G/B is a k -rational variety (Borel-Tits) and $B = R_u(B) \rtimes T$, it follows that T and G are stably k -birationally equivalent.

The point is that the class of \hat{S} in the semigroup $C(\Gamma_k)$ does not depend of the choice of the compactification, and depends only of the stably birational class of the variety [Vo, §4.4], G in our case. So we are reduced to the case of a smooth compactification of the torus T which is Voskresenskiĭ [V, §4.6].

\tilde{G} is a k -group. The point here is the fact that the S -torsor $\tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ is “multiplicative”, namely

$$p_1^*([\tilde{G}]) + p_2^*([\tilde{G}]) = m^*([\tilde{G}]) \in H_{\acute{e}t}^1(G, S).$$

The choice of an isomorphism determines then a k -group structure on \tilde{G} [C2, §5].

The k -group \tilde{G} is quasi-trivial. The derived group \tilde{G} is semisimple and is simply connected iff $\text{Pic}(D\tilde{G} \times_k k_s) = 0$. Since the map $D\tilde{G} \times_k k_s \rightarrow \tilde{G} \times_k k_s$

is split, it is enough to check that $\text{Pic}(\tilde{G} \times_k k_s) = 0$. We consider the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow k_s[G]^\times/k_s^\times \longrightarrow \text{Div}_{\mathcal{T}_{k_s} \setminus \tilde{G}_{k_s}} \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(\mathcal{T}_{k_s}) \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(\tilde{G}_{k_s}) \rightarrow 0.$$

But $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{T} \times_k k_s) = 0$, hence $\text{Pic}(\tilde{G} \times_k k_s) = 0$. Therefore $k_s[\tilde{G}/D\tilde{G}]^\times/k_s^\times = k_s[\tilde{G}]^\times/k_s^\times$ is a permutation Galois module, so the coradical torus of \tilde{G} is quasi-trivial.

As for tori, it is interesting for R -equivalence.

5.3. Lemma. *Let $1 \rightarrow S \rightarrow \tilde{G} \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$ be a flasque resolution. Then the characteristic map $\varphi_k: G(k) \rightarrow H^1(k, S)$ gives rise to an exact sequence*

$$\tilde{G}(k)/R \rightarrow G(k)/R \rightarrow H^1(k, S) \rightarrow H^1(k, \tilde{G}) \rightarrow H^1(k, G)$$

Note that we have an exact sequence for the centers $1 \rightarrow S \rightarrow Z(\tilde{G}) \rightarrow Z(G) \rightarrow 1$. Technically speaking, it is important since it shows that the map $H^1(k, S) \rightarrow H^1(k, \tilde{G})$ factorises by $H^1(k, Z(\tilde{G}))$.

The computation of $G(k)/R$ essentially decomposes to the quasi-trivial case and to the control on the image of the characteristic map.

5.2. Norm principle and norm groups. We are given the exact sequence $1 \rightarrow D\tilde{G} \rightarrow \tilde{G} \xrightarrow{f} E \rightarrow 1$ and would like to control the image of $R(k, \tilde{G})$ inside $E(k)$. The key ingredient is the norm principle of Gille-Merkurjev [G1] [Me4] which reads as follows

$$N_{L/k}(f_L(R(L, \tilde{G}))) \subset f_k(R(k, \tilde{G}))$$

for field extension L/k . If \tilde{G}_L is quasi-split (i.e. admits a Borel L -subgroup), \tilde{G} is L -rational, and $R(L, \tilde{G}) = \tilde{G}(L)$ surjects onto $E(L)$. We have then the inclusion

$$N_{L/k}(E(L)) \subset f_k(R(k, \tilde{G})) \subset E(k).$$

By taking all norm groups for finite fields extensions which quasi-split \tilde{G} , we get then the inclusion

$$N_X(k, E) = f_k(R(k, \tilde{G})) \subset E(k)$$

where X stands for the variety of Borel subgroups of \tilde{G} .

5.3. Fields of cohomological dimension ≤ 2 . We shall discuss here the case of fields of cohomological dimension ≤ 2 , e.g. function fields of surfaces or totally imaginary number fields.

Norm groups: We have shown that $N_X(k) = k^\times$ [G3, th. 6]. By decomposing the quasi-trivial torus E , it is easy to see that the previous inclusion yields that $f_k(R(k, \tilde{G})) = E(k)$ [BK, appendix]. It follows that

$$D\tilde{G}(k)/E \rightarrow \tilde{G}(k)/R$$

is onto.

Surjectivity of the characteristic map: Using the theorem 90 of Hilbert, the exact sequence $1 \rightarrow D\tilde{G} \rightarrow \tilde{G} \rightarrow E \rightarrow 1$ yields that the map $H^1(k, D\tilde{G}) \rightarrow H^1(k, \tilde{G})$ is onto. If Serre's conjecture II holds² for $D\tilde{G}$, we have $H^1(k, D\tilde{G}) = 1$ and can conclude that the characteristic map $G(k) \rightarrow H^1(k, S)$ is trivial.

We know that $H^1(k, Z(\tilde{G})) \rightarrow H^1(k, D\tilde{G})$ is trivial [G3, th. 6] which is enough to conclude. We have then proven the following

5.4. Theorem. *Let $u : \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ be a flasque resolution of the reductive group G/k defined over a field of cohomological dimension ≤ 2 . Put $S = \ker(u)$. Then we have an exact sequence*

$$D\tilde{G}(k)/R \rightarrow G(k)/R \rightarrow H^1(k, S) \rightarrow 1.$$

In several cases, in particular by the rationality results of Chernousov-Platonov [CP], we know that \tilde{G} is a k -rational variety, which enables us to conclude of the vanishing of $\tilde{G}(k)/R$.

5.4. p -adic fields and totally imaginary number fields. If k is a p -adic field, Voskresenskii has proven that $D\tilde{G}(k)/R = 1$ (outside of type A , the job is done by the previous general statement). We have

$$G(k)/R \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(k, S) \cong H^1(k, \hat{S})^D$$

which generalizes the case of tori.

Similarly, if k is a totally imaginary number field, we know that $D\tilde{G}(k)/R = 1$ by Platonov et al ([PR], see also [G1, III.1.1]), then

$$G(k)/R \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(k, S)$$

which generalizes as well the case of tori. In particular, $G(k)/R$ is a finite abelian group which depends only of the center of G .

5.5. Geometric fields. If k is the function field of a complex surface, we have shown that the groups are very isotropic exactly as in preceding case [CGP]. This permits to conclude that

$$G(k)/R \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(k, S).$$

Furthermore, this is a finite group (*loc. cit.*, §3.2).

5.6. Open question. Let k be a finitely generated field over \mathbb{Q} or \mathbb{C} . Let G/k be reductive group. Is the group $G(k)/R$ finite ?

²Serre's vanishing conjecture II is known in several cases, see [G7] for a survey.

REFERENCES

- [BP] E. Bayer-Fluckiger, R. Parimala, *Galois cohomology of linear algebraic groups over fields of cohomological dimension ≤ 2* , Inventiones Mathematicae **122** (1995), 195–229.
- [BMT] G. Berhuy, M. Monsurrò and J.-P. Tignol, *Cohomological invariants and R-triviality of adjoint classical groups*. Math. Z. **248** (2004), 313–323.
- [Bo] A. Borel, *Linear algebraic groups*, second edition, Springer.
- [BS] A. Borel, J.-P. Serre, *Théorèmes de finitude en cohomologie galoisienne*, Comment. Math. Helv. **39** (1964), 111–164.
- [BSp] A. Borel and T. Springer, *Rationality properties of linear algebraic groups, II*, Tohoku Math. Jour. **20** (1968), 443–497.
- [BoT1] A. Borel and J. Tits, *Groupes réductifs*, Pub. Math. IHES **27**, (1965), 55–152.
- [BoT2] A. Borel and J. Tits, *Eléments unipotents et sous-groupes paraboliques des groupes réductifs, I*, Invent. Math. **12** (1971), 95–104.
- [BoT3] A. Borel and J. Tits, *Homomorphismes “abstraites” de groupes algébriques simples*, Ann. of Math. **97** (1973), 499–571.
- [B] M. Borovoi, *Abelian cohomology of reductive groups*, Mem. AMS **132**, number 626, (1998).
- [BK] M. Borovoi, B. Kunyavskii, *Arithmetical birational invariants of linear algebraic groups over two dimensional geometric fields*, J. Algebra **276** (2004), 292–339.
- [BH] M. Borovoi, J. van Hamel, *Extended Picard complexes and linear algebraic groups*, J. reine angew. Math. **630** (2009), 219–233.
- [CM] V. Chernousov, A.S. Merkurjev, *R-equivalence in spinor groups*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **14** (2001), 509–534.
- [CP] V.I. Chernousov, V. P. Platonov, *The rationality problem for semisimple group varieties*, J. reine angew. Math. **504** (1998), 1–28.
- [C1] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, *Lectures on linear algebraic groups*, Morning Side Centre, Beijing, April 2007, <http://www.math.u-psud.fr/~colliot/liste-cours-exposes.html>
- [C2] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, *Résolutions flasques des groupes linéaires connexes*, J. reine angew. math. **618** (2008), 77–133.
- [CGP] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, P. Gille, R. Parimala, *Arithmetic of linear algebraic groups over two-dimensional geometric fields*, Duke Math. J. **121** (2004), 285–321.
- [CTS1] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène and J.-J. Sansuc, *La R-équivalence sur les tores*, Ann. Scient. ENS, vol. **10** (1977), 175–230.
- [CTS2] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène and J.-J. Sansuc, *Principal homogeneous spaces under flasque tori : applications*, J. of Alg. **106** (1987), 148–205.
- [DG] M. Demazure, P. Gabriel, *Groupes algébriques*, Masson (1970).
- [EGA4] A. Grothendieck (avec la collaboration de J. Dieudonné), *Eléments de Géométrie Algébrique IV*, Publications mathématiques de l’I.H.É.S. no 20, 24, 28 and 32 (1964 - 1967).
- [EM] S. Endo, T. Miyata, *On a classification of function field of algebraic tori*, Nagoya Math. J. **56** (1974), 85–104.
- [G1] P. Gille, *La R-équivalence sur les groupes algébriques réductifs définis sur un corps global*, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. **86** (1997), 199–235.
- [G2] P. Gille, *Examples of Non-rational Varieties of Adjoint Groups*, Journal of Algebra **193** (1997), 728–74.
- [G3] P. Gille, *Cohomologie galoisienne des groupes quasi-déployés sur des corps de dimension cohomologique ≤ 2* , Compositio Math. **125** (2001), 283–325.
- [G4] P. Gille, *Spécialisation de la R-équivalence pour les groupes réductifs*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **356** (2004), 4465–4474.
- [G5] P. Gille, *Le problème de Kneser-Tits*, Séminaire Bourbaki **983**, Astérisque **326** (2009), 39–81.

- [G6] P. Gille, *Rationality questions on linear algebraic groups*, notes of MCM lectures, author's URL.
- [G7] P. Gille, *Serre's conjecture II: a survey*, preprint (2009), author's URL.
- [GS] P. Gille, T. Szamuely, *Central simple algebras and Galois cohomology*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **101** (2006), Cambridge University Press.
- [H] J. Humphreys, *Conjugacy Classes in Semisimple Algebraic Groups*, AMS, 1995.
- [K1] M. Kneser, *Galoiskohomologie halbeinfacher algebraischer Gruppen über p -adischen Körper*, I, Math. Zeit. **88** (1965), 555-563; II, Math. Zeit. **89** (1965), 250-272.
- [K2] M. Kneser, *Lectures on the Galois cohomology of the classical groups*, Tata Institute.
- [KMRT] M. Knus, A.S. Merkurjev, M. Rost, J.-P. Tignol, *The Book of Involutions*, AMS Colloquium Publications, Vol. 44, 1998, 593 pp.
- [Ko] R. Kottwitz, *Rational conjugacy classes in reductive groups*, AMS, 1995.
- [KH] B. Kunyavskii, A. Skorobogatov, *Weak approximation in algebraic groups and homogeneous spaces*, Contemp. Math. **131** (1992), Part 3, 447-451.
- [JHS] A. J. de Jong, X. He, J. M. Starr, *Families of rationally simply connected varieties over surfaces and torsors for semisimple groups*, preprint (2008).
- [Ma] Yu. I. Manin, *Cubic forms: algebra, geometry, arithmetic*, second edition, North-Holland (1986).
- [Me1] A. S. Merkurjev, *Certain K -cohomology groups of Severi-Brauer varieties*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **58.2** (1995), 319-331, AMS.
- [Me2] A. S. Merkurjev, *Generic element in SK_1 for simple algebras*, *K-theory* **7** (1993), 1-3.
- [Me3] A. S. Merkurjev, *K -theory of simple algebras*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **58(1)** (1995), 65C83.
- [Me4] A. S. Merkurjev, *Norm principle for algebraic groups*, St. Petersburg. Math. J. **7** (1996), 243-264.
- [Me5] A. S. Merkurjev, *R -equivalence and rationality problem for semisimple adjoint classical algebraic groups*, Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. **84**(1996), 189-213.
- [Me6] A. S. Merkurjev, *K -theory and algebraic groups*, European Congress of Mathematics, Vol. II (Budapest, 1996), 43-72, Progr. Math., **169**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1998.
- [Me7] A. S. Merkurjev, *SK_1 for degree 4 simple algebras*, *K-Theory* **37** (2006), 311-319.
- [Ma] B. Margaux, *The structure of the group $G(k[t])$: variations on a theme of Soulé*, Algebra Number Theory **3** (2009), 393-409.
- [M] J. Milnor, *Algebraic K -theory and quadratic forms*, Inv. math. **9** (1970), 318-344.
- [Pa] R. Parimala, *Arithmetic of linear algebraic groups over two-dimensional fields*, ICM 2010 talk.
- [P] V. Platonov, *The Tannaka-Artin problem*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **221** (1975), 1038-1041; english translation, Math. USSR-Izv. **3** (1971), 784-786.
- [PR] V.P. Platonov, A.S. Rapinchuk, *Algebraic groups and number theory*, Academic Press, 1994.
- [Sa] D. Saltman, *Retract rational fields and cyclic Galois extensions*, Israel J. Math **47**, 165-215 (1984).
- [Sc] R. Scharlau, *Quadratic and Hermitian forms*, Springer.
- [Se] J.-P. Serre, *Cohomologie galoisienne*, 5-ième édition, LN 5, Springer.
- [Sk] A.N. Skorobogatov, *Torsors and rational points*, Cambridge Tracts Math. **144** (2001), Cambridge University Press.
- [Sp] T.A. Springer, *Linear Algebraic Groups*, second edition (1998), Birkhäuser.
- [Sr] J. Starr, *Arithmetic over function fields*, Arithmetic geometry, 375-418, Clay Math. Proc., 8, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009.

- [St] R. Steinberg, *Regular conjugacy classes in algebraic groups*, Pub. Math. IHES **25** (1965), 49–80.
- [Su] A. S. Suslin, *SK_1 of division algebras and Galois cohomology*, Advances in Soviet Math. **4** (1991), 75–100.
- [T1] J. Tits, *Algebraic and abstract simple groups*, Ann. of Math. **80** (1964), 313–329.
- [T2] J. Tits, *Classification of algebraic semisimple groups*, Algebraic Groups and Discontinuous Subgroups (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Boulder, Colo., 1965), 33–62 Amer. Math. Soc. (1966).
- [V] V.I. Voskresenskii, *Algebraic Groups and their birational invariants*, AMS, 1998.
- [Vo] V. Voevodsky, *Motivic cohomology with $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -coefficients*, Pub. IHES **98**(2004), 59–104.
- [W] T. Wouters, *Comparing invariants of SK_1* , preprint (2010), <http://www.mathematik.uni-bielefeld.de/lag/man/383.html>

UMR 8553 DU CNRS, ÉCOLE NORMALE SUPÉRIEURE, 45 RUE D'ULM, 75005 PARIS, FRANCE.

E-mail address: `gille@ens.fr`