# FROM SALEM NUMBERS TO MAHLER MEASURE OF K3 SURFACES (LECTURE 2) 

MARIE-JOSÉ BERTIN

1. Characterization of some interesting subsets of Salem numbers-Bertin-Boyd's results

Consider the construction

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(z)=z P(z)+\epsilon P^{*}(z) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Boyd and myself [BB95] observed that they are two particular classes of polynomial $P$ for which we can be sure that $Q(z)$ has at most one zero in $|z|>1$.
(A): $P$ has no zero in $|z| \leq 1$. Then $z P(z)$ has one zero in $|z|<1$ and $n$ zeros in $|z|>1$. The branches starting at the $n$ zeros in $|z|>1$ end at points in $|z| \geq 1$ so $Q$ has at least $n$ zeros in $|z| \geq 1$ and hence at most one zero in $|z|<1$ (the end of the branch starting at 0 ). Since $Q$ is reciprocal, it thus has at most one zero in $|z|>1$. If there is such a zero it must be $\pm \tau$ for a Salem number $\tau$ (or reciprocal quadratic). This was the choice considered in Bertin's thesis [Be81].
(B): $P$ has a single zero in $|z|>1$ so $z P(z)$ has $n$ zeros in $|z|<1$. The above argument can be repeated by considering the fate of the $n$ branches beginning at these zeros. This was the case considered by Salem [Sa45] and Boyd [Bo78]. In this case, if the zero $\theta$ of $P(z)$ in $|z|>1$ satisfies $\theta>1$, then $\theta$ is a Pisot number and $P(z)=z^{m-1} P_{0}(z)$ where $P_{0}$ is the minimal polynomial of $\theta$. If $Q$ has a zero in $|z|>1$ then it is a Salem number (or reciprocal quadratic).
Note that if $Q$ has one zero in $|z|>1$ and hence $n-1$ zeros on $|z|=1$ then these are all entrances in case (A) and exits in case (B).

Given any $Q$ with integer coefficients reciprocal or antireciprocal, with a single root in $|z|>1$ and simple roots on $|z|=1$, and given $k$ with $1 \leq k \leq n$, it was shown in the previous section that they are monic polynomials $P$ with integer coefficients satisfying(1) with exactly $k$ zeros in $|z|>1$ and $n-k$ zeros in $|z|<1$. Thus any such class of $P$, in particular (A) or (B), can be used to generate all Salem numbers.

The classes (A) and (B) have the advantage that they generate only Salem numbers, reciprocal quadratics, and roots of unity. Note, in these two cases, that the restriction that $Q$ have simple roots on $|z|=1$ is necessary since an multiple root must be both an exit and an entrance.
Definition 1. The set $A_{q}$ is the set of Salem numbers produced by (A) with $|P(0)|=$ $q$ and $\epsilon=-\operatorname{sgn} P(0)$.

[^0]The set $B_{q}$ is the set of Salem numbers produced by (B) with $|P(0)|=q$ and $\epsilon=\operatorname{sgn} P_{0}(0)$ where $P(z)=z^{m-1} P_{0}(z), P_{0}(0) \neq 0$.
Remark 2. The set $A_{q}=\mathcal{T}_{q} \cap T$ where $\mathcal{I}_{q}$ was the set introduced by Bertin in [Be81] and $T$ the set of Salem numbers. In particular it was shown there that $A_{q}$ is bounded above by $q+\left(q^{2}-1\right)^{1 / 2}$. Note that if $\epsilon=1$ then the restriction $P(0)=-q$ is needed to insure that $Q(1)<0$ so that $Q$ has a zero $\tau>1$. Moreover since all zeros of $P$ are in $|z|>1$ we must have $q \geq 2$ in case ( $A$ ).
Remark 3. The sets $B_{q}$ were considered by Boyd in [Bo78]. When $q=0, P(z)=$ $z^{m-1} P_{0}(z)$ with $m>1$ so $B_{0}=\left\{\theta_{m}^{\epsilon} m>1\right\}$ in the notation [Bo77], [Bo78] while $B_{q}=\left\{\theta_{1}^{\epsilon} ;|N(\theta)|=q, \epsilon=\operatorname{sgn} N(\theta)\right\}$.

Remark 4. By the result of [Bo80] mentionned above,

$$
T=\bigcup_{q} A_{q}=\bigcup_{q} B_{q} .
$$

Given $c>1$, the hope was the existence of $M$ such that $T \cap[1, c]$ be contained in the finite union $\bigcup_{2 \leq q \leq M} A_{q}$ or $\bigcup_{0 \leq q \leq M-2} B_{q}$.
Remark 5. The set $B_{0} \cap[9 / 8,13 / 10]$ was enumerated in [Bo78].
1.0.1. Examples of small Salem numbers in $A_{2}$. In 1980, I tempted to determine the smallest Salem numbers of the set $A_{2}$. Using an adapted version of the Schur's algorithm, I found for example.
$\sigma_{1}$ is zero of three different polynomials

$$
\begin{gathered}
z=\frac{1+2 z+z^{2}-z^{3}-z^{4}-z^{5}-z^{6}-z^{7}+2 z^{9}+2 z^{10}}{2+2 z-z^{3}-z^{4}-z^{5}-z^{6}-z^{7}+z^{8}+2 z^{9}+z^{10}} \\
z=\frac{1+2 z+z^{2}+z^{8}+2 z^{9}+2 z^{10}}{2+2 z+z^{2}+z^{8}+2 z^{9}+z^{10}} \\
z=\frac{1+2 z+2 z^{2}+2 z^{3}+3 z^{4}+4 z^{5}+4 z^{6}+3 z^{7}+3 z^{8}+3 z^{9}+2 z^{10}}{2+3 z+3 z^{2}+3 z^{3}+4 z^{4}+4 z^{5}+3 z^{6}+2 z^{7}+2 z^{8}+2 z^{9}+z^{10}}
\end{gathered}
$$

$\sigma_{2}$ is zero of the polynomial

$$
z=\frac{1+2 z^{2}+z^{4}-z^{6}-2 z^{8}-2 z^{10}-2 z^{12}+2 z^{16}+2 z^{18}}{2+2 z^{2}-2 z^{6}-2 z^{8}-2 z^{10}-z^{12}+z^{14}+2 z^{16}+z^{18}}
$$

$\sigma_{3}$ is zero of the polynomial

$$
z=\frac{1+2 z^{2}+z^{4}-z^{6}-2 z^{8}-2 z^{10}+2 z^{14}+2 z^{16}}{2+2 z^{2}-2 z^{6}-2 z^{8}-z^{10}+z^{12}+2 z^{14}+z^{16}}
$$

$\sigma_{5}$ is zero of five polynomials

$$
\begin{gathered}
z=\frac{1+z+z^{2}+2 z^{3}+2 z^{4}+2 z^{5}+2 z^{6}+3 z^{7}+2 z^{8}+z^{9}+2 z^{10}}{2+z+2 z^{2}+3 z^{3}+2 z^{4}+2 z^{5}+2 z^{6}+2 z^{7}+z^{8}+z^{9}+z^{10}} \\
z=\frac{1+2 z^{2}+z^{4}-z^{6}-2 z^{8}+2 z^{12}+2 z^{14}}{2+2 z^{2}-2 z^{6}-z^{8}+z^{10}+2 z^{12}+z^{14}} \\
z=\frac{1+z+2 z^{+} 2 z^{3}+z^{4}+z^{5}+z^{6}+2 z^{7}+2 z^{8}+2 z^{9}+2 z^{10}}{2+2 z+2 z^{2}+2 z^{3}+z^{4}+z^{5}+z^{6}+2 z^{7}+2 z^{8}+z^{9}+z^{10}} \\
z=\frac{1+z+z^{3}-z^{4}-z^{6}-z^{7}-2 z^{9}-z^{11}+z^{13}+2 z^{15}}{2+z^{2}-z^{4}-2 z^{6}-z^{8}-z^{9}-z^{11}+z^{12}+z^{14}+z^{15}}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
z=\frac{1+z+z^{3}-z^{4}-z^{5}-z^{6}+z^{8}+2 z^{10}}{2+z^{2}-z^{4}-z^{5}-z^{6}+z^{7}+z^{9}+z^{10}}
$$

$\sigma_{6}$ is root of the polynomial

$$
z=\frac{1+z^{2}-z^{8}-2 z^{10}+2 z^{18}}{2-2 z^{8}-z^{10}+z^{16}+z^{18}}
$$

$\sigma_{10}$ is root of the polynomial

$$
z=\frac{1+z^{2}-z^{8}+2 z^{16}}{2-z^{8}+z^{14}+z^{16}}
$$

$\sigma_{16}$ is root of the polynomial

$$
z=\frac{1+z^{2}-z^{4}-z^{6}+z^{10}-2 z^{14}+2 z^{18}}{2-2 z^{4}+z^{8}-z^{12}-z^{14}+z^{16}+z^{18}}
$$

1.0.2. Characterization of the sets $A_{q}$ and $B_{q}$.

Theorem 6 (Theorem A). Suppose that $\tau$ is a Salem number with minimal polynomial $T$. Then $\tau$ is in $A_{q}$ if and only if there is a cyclotomic polynomial $K$ with simple roots and $K(1) \neq 0$ and a reciprocal polynomial $L$ with the following properties:
(1) $L(0)=q-1$
(2) $\operatorname{deg} L=\operatorname{deg}(K T)-1$
(3) $L(1) \geq-K(1) T(1)$
(4) L has all its zeros on $|z|=1$ and they interlace the zeros of $K T$ on $|z|=1$ in the following sense: let $e^{i \psi_{1}}, \ldots, e^{i \psi_{m}}$ be the zeros of $L$ with $\Im z \geq 0$, excluding $z=-1$, with $0<\psi_{1}<\ldots<\psi_{m}<\pi$, and let $e^{i \phi_{1}}, \ldots, e^{i \phi_{m}}$ be the zeros of $K T$ on $|z|=1, \Im z \geq 0$, with $0<\phi_{1}<\ldots<\phi_{m} \leq \pi$; then

$$
0<\psi_{1}<\phi_{1}<\ldots<\psi_{m}<\phi_{m}
$$

Theorem 7 (Theorem B). Suppose that $\tau$ is a Salem number with minimal polynomial $T$. Then $\tau$ is in $B_{q}$ if and only if there is a cyclotomic polynomial $K$ with simple roots and $K(1) \neq 0$ and a reciprocal polynomial $L$ with the following properties:
(1) $L(0)=q+1$
(2) $\operatorname{deg} L=\operatorname{deg}(K T)-1$
(3) $L(1) \geq K(1) T(1)$
(4) and either (i) $L$ as in (4) of Theorem (A), or else
(ii) L has a single zero in $|z|>1$, this root being positive, and if $e^{i \psi_{2}}, \ldots, e^{i \psi_{m}}$ are its zeros on $|z|=1, \Im z \geq 0$ with $0<\psi_{2}<\ldots<\psi_{m}$ then

$$
0<\phi_{1}<\psi_{2}<\ldots<\psi_{m}<\phi_{m} \leq \pi
$$

Remark 8. For proofs of Theorem (A) and Theorem (B) we refer to [BB95].
In case $Q=z P-P^{*}$, we can write $(z-1) Q_{1}=z Z-P^{*}$ and we can take $L=P-Q_{1}$.

## Corollary 9.

$$
A_{q} \subset B_{q-2} \text { for } q \geq 2
$$

PROOF. The conditions of Theorem (B) are weaker than the corresponding conditions of Theorem (A).

Corollary 10.

$$
A_{q} \subset A_{k q-k+1} \text { for } q \geq 2, k \geq 1
$$

PROOF. If $L$ satifies Theorem (A) with $L(0)=q-1$, then $k L$ satisfies the theorem with $k L(0)=k q-k$ showing $\tau$ is in $A_{k q-k+1}$.

Theorem 11. Denote $C$ the list of 43 smallest known Salem numbers called $\sigma_{1}, \ldots$ of Mossinghoff's list except ${ }^{*} \sigma_{39},{ }^{*} \sigma_{40},{ }^{*} \sigma_{43},{ }^{*} \sigma_{46}$ discovered later.

We have the inclusion

$$
A_{2} \subset C \backslash\left\{\sigma_{20}, \sigma_{23}, \sigma_{28}, \sigma_{31}, \sigma_{33}, \sigma_{35}\right\}
$$

PROOF. To show that $\sigma_{k}$ belongs to $A_{2}$ it generally suffices to take $K=1$ and to produce by inspection a suitable cyclotomic polynomial $L$ whose zeros interlace those of $K T$.

To show that a given $\sigma_{k}$ is not in $A_{2}$, one can rely on the algorithm of [Bo78] which enumerates all the possible representations of $\sigma_{k}$ as an element of $B_{0}$. For example, $\sigma_{33}$, which is of degree 34 , has just one such representation and this shows that the only choices of $K$ and $L$ are $K=1$ and $L=\left(z^{4}-1\right)\left(z^{29}-1\right)$. Since $L(1)=0$, this does not satisfy Theorem (A), so $\sigma_{33} \notin A_{2}$.

## 2. A minoration of Salem numbers

Most of known minorations of $\tau$, if $\tau$ is a Salem number depend on the degree of the Salem polynomial (Dobrowolski, Voutier, etc use transcendental methods). Another, though not the sharpest is the elegant minoration due to Smyth (1980)

$$
\tau>1+\frac{c}{d}
$$

$c$ being a constant and $d$ denoting the degree of the Salem number.
We have seen in the previous section that the conjugates of modulus 1 of the smallest known Salem numbers offer a certain regularity, interlacing property with roots of unity. We propose here a minoration using the discriminant of the Salem polynomial or its trace polynomial[Be95]. And again we shall see a certain regularity of the discriminants.

Definition 12. Let $T$ a Salem polynomial of degree $2 s$. We call trace polynomial of $T$, the monic polynomial $Q, Q \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$, of degree $s$, satisfying

$$
X^{s} Q\left(X+\frac{1}{X}\right)=T(X)
$$

For example, if $T$ is the Salem polynomial of degree 6 of the Salem number $\tau=1.401288 \ldots$,

$$
T(X)=X^{6}-X^{4}-X^{3}-X^{2}+1,
$$

its trace polynomial is

$$
Q(Y)=Y^{3}-4 Y-1
$$

Denoting by $\tau, \frac{1}{\tau}, \tau^{(j)}, \frac{1}{\tau^{(j)}}, 2 \leq j \leq s$, the roots of $T$, then the roots of the trace polynomial are $\tau+\frac{1}{\tau}, \tau^{(j)}+\frac{1}{\tau^{(j)}}$, thus all real between -2 and 2 except $\tau+\frac{1}{\tau}>2$.

If $\tau$ is the Salem number of degree $2 s$, then the integers of $\mathbb{Q}(\tau)$, namely $1, \tau$, $\ldots, \tau^{2 s-1}$ form a base of $\mathbb{Q}(\tau)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. We denote $\Delta_{\tau}$ the discriminant of that base, that is

$$
\Delta_{\tau}=\left|\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\
\tau & \frac{1}{\tau} & \tau^{(2)} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\tau^{(s)}} \\
\tau^{2} & \frac{1}{(\tau)^{2}} & \left(\tau^{(2)}\right)^{2} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\left(\tau^{(s)}\right)^{2}} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\tau^{2 s-1} & \frac{1}{(\tau)^{2 s-1}} & \left(\tau^{(2)}\right)^{2 s-1} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\left(\tau^{(s)}\right)^{2 s-1}}
\end{array}\right|^{2}\left(\tau_{i}-\tau_{j}\right)^{2}
$$

where $\tau=\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}=\frac{1}{\tau}, \tau_{3}=\tau^{(2)}, \tau_{4}=\frac{1}{\tau^{(2)}}, \ldots, \tau_{2 s}=\frac{1}{\tau^{(s)}}$.
The totally real number field $\mathbb{Q}\left(\tau+\frac{1}{\tau}\right)$ has also a base of algebraic integers 1 , $\tau+\frac{1}{\tau}, \ldots,\left(\tau+\frac{1}{\tau}\right)^{s-1}$ with discriminant

$$
\Delta_{\tau+\frac{1}{\tau}}=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 \\
\tau+\frac{1}{\tau} & \tau^{(2)}+\frac{1}{\tau^{(2)}} & \ldots & \tau^{(s)}+\frac{1}{\tau^{(s)}} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\left(\tau+\frac{1}{\tau}\right)^{s-1} & \left(\tau^{(2)}+\frac{1}{\tau^{(2)}}\right)^{s-1} & \ldots & \left(\tau^{(s)}+\frac{1}{\tau^{(s)}}\right)^{s-1}
\end{array}\right|^{2}=\prod_{i<j}\left(\gamma_{i}-\gamma_{j}\right)^{2},
$$

where $\gamma_{1}=\tau+\frac{1}{\tau}, \gamma_{2}=\tau^{(2)}+\frac{1}{\tau^{(2)}}, \ldots, \gamma_{s}=\tau^{(s)}+\frac{1}{\tau^{(s)}}$.
Proposition 13. Let $\tau$ be a Salem number, then there exists a non zero integer $c$ such that

$$
\Delta_{\tau}=c\left(\Delta_{\tau+\frac{1}{\tau}}\right)^{2}
$$

## PROOF.

By definition,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{\tau}= & \left(\tau-\frac{1}{\tau}\right)^{2} \prod_{j=2}^{s}\left(\tau-\tau^{(j)}\right)^{2}\left(\tau-\frac{1}{\tau^{(j)}}\right)^{2} \prod_{j=2}^{s}\left(\frac{1}{\tau}-\tau^{(j)}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{1}{\tau}-\frac{1}{\tau^{(j)}}\right)^{2} \\
& \prod_{j<k}\left(\frac{1}{\tau^{(j)}}-\tau^{(k)}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{1}{\tau^{(j)}}-\frac{1}{\tau^{(k)}}\right)^{2} \prod_{j<k}\left(\tau^{(j)}-\tau^{(k)}\right)^{2}\left(\tau^{(j)}-\frac{1}{\tau^{(k)}}\right)^{2} \\
& \prod_{j=2}^{s}\left(\tau^{(j)}-\frac{1}{\tau^{(j)}}\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\tau-\frac{1}{\tau}\right)^{2} & =\left(\tau+\frac{1}{\tau}\right)^{2}-4 \\
\prod_{j=2}^{s}\left(\tau^{(j)}-\frac{1}{\tau^{(j)}}\right)^{2} & =\prod_{j=2}^{s}\left(\left(\tau^{(j)}+\frac{1}{\tau^{(j)}}\right)^{2}-4\right) \\
\left(\tau-\tau^{(j)}\right)^{2}\left(\tau-\frac{1}{\tau^{(j)}}\right)^{2} & =\tau^{2}\left(\tau+\frac{1}{\tau}-\left(\tau^{(j)}+\frac{1}{\tau^{(j)}}\right)\right)^{2} \\
\left(\frac{1}{\tau}-\tau^{(j)}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{1}{\tau}-\frac{1}{\tau^{(j)}}\right)^{2} & =\frac{1}{\tau^{2}}\left(\tau+\frac{1}{\tau}-\left(\tau^{(j)}+\frac{1}{\tau^{(j)}}\right)\right)^{2} \\
\left(\tau^{(j)}-\tau^{(k)}\right)^{2}\left(\tau^{(j)}-\frac{1}{\tau^{(k)}}\right)^{2} & =\left(\tau^{(j)}\right)^{2}\left(\tau^{(j)}+\frac{1}{\tau^{(j)}}-\left(\tau^{(k)}+\frac{1}{\tau^{(k)}}\right)\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

we get

$$
\Delta_{\tau}=\left(\Delta_{\tau+\frac{1}{\tau}}\right)^{2}\left(\left(\tau+\frac{1}{\tau}\right)^{2}-4\right) \prod_{j=2}^{s}\left(\left(\tau^{(j)}+\frac{1}{\tau^{(j)}}\right)^{2}-4\right)
$$

Since $\left(\left(\tau+\frac{1}{\tau}\right)^{2}-4\right) \prod_{j=2}^{s}\left(\left(\tau^{(j)}+\frac{1}{\tau^{(j)}}\right)^{2}-4\right)$ is a symmetric function of the roots of the monic polynomial trace $Q$, it is an integer $c$.

Lemma 14. Let $p$ be a prime number and denote $\tau=\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{d}$ the conjugates of a Salem number $\tau$. Then $\tau^{p}=\alpha_{1}^{p}, \ldots, \alpha_{d}^{p}$ are the conjugates of the Salem number $\tau^{p}$ and we get the inequality

$$
\left|\prod_{i, j}\left(\alpha_{i}^{p}-\alpha_{j}\right)\right| \geq p^{d}
$$

PROOF. Let $P$ (resp. $\Pi$ ) denote the minimal polynomial of the Salem $\tau$ (resp. $\left.\tau^{p}\right)$ and $A=\prod_{i, j}\left(\alpha_{i}^{p}-\alpha_{j}\right)$.

We observe that $A \neq 0$, otherwise $P$ and $\Pi$ would have a common root and since they are monic and irreducible, $P=\Pi$, a contradiction.

Moreover $A$ being a symmetric function of the $\alpha_{i}$ is an integer, nothing else than the resultant of $P$ and $\Pi$.

First, given a polynomial $Q \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$, we prove the existence of a polynomial $R(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ satisfying

$$
(Q(X))^{p}=Q\left(X^{p}\right)+p R(X)
$$

We make an induction on the degree of $Q$.
If the degree of $Q$ is 1 , that is $Q=a X+b, a$ and $b \in \mathbb{Z}$, we obtain

$$
(a X+b)^{p}=a^{p} X^{p}+b^{p}+p R_{1}(X), \quad R_{1} \in \mathbb{Z}[X]
$$

From the little Fermat's theorem, since $a^{p} \equiv a \bmod . p$ and $b^{p} \equiv b \bmod . p$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
(a X+b)^{p} & = & a X^{p}+b+\left(a^{p}-a\right) X^{p}+b^{p}-b+p R_{1}(X) \\
& = & a X^{p}+b+p R(X), \quad R \in \mathbb{Z}[X]
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose the relation satisfied until degree $n$ and suppose that the degree of $Q$ is $n+1$.

Write $Q(X)=X Q_{1}(X)+c$ with $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ and degree of $Q_{1}$ being $n$. We get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(X Q_{1}(X)+c\right)^{p} & =X^{p}\left(Q_{1}(X)\right)^{p}+c^{p}+p R_{1}(X), \quad R_{1} \in \mathbb{Z}[X] \\
& =X^{p}\left(Q_{1}\left(X^{p}\right)+p R_{2}(X)\right)+c^{p}+p R_{1}(X) \quad R_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}[X]
\end{aligned}
$$

by induction

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =X^{p} Q_{1}\left(X^{p}\right)+c+c^{p}-c+p\left(R_{1}(X)+X^{p} R_{2}(X)\right) \\
& =Q\left(X^{p}\right)+p R(X) \quad R \in \mathbb{Z}[X]
\end{aligned}
$$

using again little Fermat's theorem.
Taking now $Q=P=\left(X-\alpha_{1}\right) \ldots\left(X-\alpha_{d}\right)$, it follows

$$
P\left(\alpha_{i}^{p}\right)=-p R\left(\alpha_{i}\right) \neq 0
$$

and

$$
|A|=\left|\prod_{i} P\left(\alpha_{i}^{p}\right)\right|=p^{d} \prod_{i}\left|R\left(\alpha_{i}\right)\right| \geq p^{d}
$$

since, $\prod_{i} R\left(\alpha_{i}\right)$, symmetric function of the $\alpha_{i}$, is a rational integer.
Theorem 15. (Bertin $[\mathrm{Be} 95])$ Let $\tau$ be a Salem number of degree $d=2 s$; then

$$
\tau \geq 1+\inf \left(\frac{\left|\Delta_{\tau+\frac{1}{\tau}}\right|^{1 / s}}{96 s}, 1 / 6\right)
$$

PROOF. Let $p$ denote a prime number and $\tau=\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{d}$ the conjugates of the Salem number $\tau$. Consider the determinant

$$
D=\left|\begin{array}{ccccccc}
1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 \\
\alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} & \ldots & \alpha_{d} & \alpha_{1}^{p} & \ldots & \alpha_{d}^{p} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ldots \\
\alpha_{1}^{2 d-1} & \alpha_{2}^{2 d-1} & \ldots & \alpha_{d}^{2 d-1} & \alpha_{1}^{p(2 d-1)} & \ldots & \alpha_{d}^{p(2 d-1)}
\end{array}\right|
$$

We can write

$$
|D|^{2}=\prod_{i \neq j}\left|\alpha_{i}-\alpha_{j}\right| \prod_{i \neq j}\left|\alpha_{i}^{p}-\alpha_{j}^{p}\right| \prod_{i, j}\left|\alpha_{i}^{p}-\alpha_{j}\right|^{2}
$$

Then, from the lemma and the proposition, it follows

$$
|D|^{2} \geq\left|\Delta_{\tau}\right|\left|\Delta_{\tau^{p}}\right| p^{2 d} \geq\left|\Delta_{\tau}\right|^{2} p^{2 d} \geq\left|\Delta_{\tau+1 / \tau}\right|^{4} p^{2 d}
$$

By Hadamard's inequality applied to the columns of $D$, we get
$|D|^{2} \leq 2 d \tau^{2(2 d-1)}(2 d)^{d-1} 2 d \tau^{2 p(2 d-1)}(2 d)^{d-1} \leq(2 d)^{2 d} \tau^{2(2 d-1)(p+1)} \leq\left(2 d \tau^{2(p+1)}\right)^{2 d}$.
From the previous majoration and minoration of $|D|$, we obtain

$$
\left|\Delta_{\tau+1 / \tau}\right|^{2} p^{d} \leq\left(2 d \tau^{2(p+1)}\right)^{d}
$$

that is

$$
\tau^{2(p+1)} \geq \frac{p}{2 d}\left|\Delta_{\tau+1 / \tau}\right|^{2 / d}=\frac{p}{2 d}\left|\Delta_{\tau+1 / \tau}\right|^{1 / s}
$$

Now we choose the prime number $p$ as best as possible. By Bertrand's lemma, given a rational integer $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a prime number $p$ satisfying $m \leq p \leq$ $2 m$. Thus we can choose $p$ such that

$$
\frac{6 d}{\left|\Delta_{\tau+1 / \tau}\right|^{1 / s}}<\left[\frac{6 d}{\left|\Delta_{\tau+1 / \tau}\right|^{1 / s}}\right]+1 \leq p \leq 2\left[\frac{6 d}{\left|\Delta_{\tau+1 / \tau}\right|^{1 / s}}\right]+2
$$

where $[x]$ denotes the integer part of $x$. We deduce

$$
\frac{p}{2 d}\left|\Delta_{\tau+1 / \tau}\right|^{1 / s}>3>e
$$

thus

$$
\tau^{2(p+1)}>e \quad \text { et } \quad \tau>1+\frac{1}{2(p+1)}
$$

If $\frac{6 d}{\left|\Delta_{\tau+1 / \tau}\right|^{1 / s}}<1$, we take $p=2$, thus $\tau>1+1 / 6$; otherwise $12 s \geq\left|\Delta_{\tau+1 / \tau}\right|^{1 / s}$ and $p \leq \frac{12 d}{\left|\Delta_{\tau+1 / \tau}\right|^{1 / s}}+1$. Thus

$$
\tau>1+\frac{\left|\Delta_{\tau+1 / \tau}\right|^{1 / s}}{96 s}
$$

This achieves the proof of the theorem.

Remark 16. This result shows, in Lehmer's question, the importance of the quantity $\frac{\left|\Delta_{\tau+1 / \tau}\right|^{1 / s}}{s}$. The minoration by $\frac{\delta_{d}^{1 / d}}{d}$, where $\delta_{d}$ denotes the smallest totally real discriminant of degree d, is not interesting, since a result of Martinet only asserts that for d large, $\Delta_{d}^{1 / d}<1085$. However, trace polynomials of Salem polynomials are very peculiar totally real polynomials since we have seen that the roots are in a sense well distributed. I evaluated $\frac{\Delta_{d}^{1 / d}}{d}$ for the list of known small Salem numbers and found that this quantity varies between 1.4299... for $\sigma_{34}$ of degree 18 and 2.27134... for $\sigma_{8}$ of degree 20 .

## References

[Be81] M. J. Bertin, Familles fermées de nombres algébriques, Acta Arithmetica $\underline{39}$ (1981), 207-240.
[Be95] M. J. Bertin, Small discriminants and Lehmer's problem, (unpublished), Conférence à Moscou (30 Juin 1993).
[BB95] M. J. Bertin \& D. W. Boyd, A characterization of two related classes of Salem numbers, J. Number Theory, 50 (1995), no 2, 309-317.
[Bo77] D. W. Boyd, Small Salem numbers, Duke Math. Jour. $\underline{44}$ (1977), 315-328.
[Bo78] D. W. Boyd, Pisot and Salem numbers in intervals of the real line, Math. Comp. $\underline{32}$ (1978), 1244-1260.
[Bo80] D. W. Boyd, Reciprocal polynomials having small measure, Math. Comp. $\underline{35}$ (1980), 1361-1377.
[Hi62] E. Hille, Analytic Function Theory, Vol. II, Chelsea Publishing Company New Yor,, N. Y.
[Le33] D. H. Lehmer, Factorization of certain cyclotomic functions, Annals of Math. 2 vol. 34 (1933) 461 - 479.
[Ma62] K. Mahler, On some inequalities for polynomials in several variables, J. London Math. Soc. 371962341 - 344.
[Mo] M. J. Mossinghoff, Lehmer's Problem web page. http://www.cecm.sfu.ca/ ~mjm/Lehmer/lc.html
[Pi17] T. Pierce, The numerical factors of the arithmetic funtions $\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1 \pm \alpha_{i}\right)$, Ann. of Math. 18 (1916-17).
[Sa45] R. Salem, Power series with integral coefficients, Duke Math. Jour. 12 (1945), 153-172.
Marie-José Bertin: Université Pierre et Marie Curie (Paris 6), Institut de Mathématiques, 4 Place Jussieu, 75005 Paris, France

E-mail address: bertin@math.jussieu.fr


[^0]:    1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11R06; Secondary 11R09, 14J27, 14J28.
    Key words and phrases. Mahler measure, polynomial, singular K3-surfaces, elliptic surfaces.

