



Laboratoire de Mathématiques et  
Physique Théorique (UMR 6083)  
Fédération Denis Poisson

## On the Generalized Dirichlet Problem for Viscous Hamilton-Jacobi Equations

G. Barles

(work in collaboration with F. Da Lio)

France - Taiwan joint Conference  
on Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations  
CIRM (Marseille, France), March 25 to 28, 2008

**Question :** is there a difficulty to solve the initial-boundary value problem (Dirichlet problem)

$$u_t - \Delta u + |Du|^p = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, +\infty)$$

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \quad \text{on } \bar{\Omega}$$

$$u(x, t) = \varphi(x, t) \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, +\infty)$$

in the case where  $\Omega$  is a smooth, bounded domain of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $p > 0$  and  $u_0, \varphi$  are continuous functions satisfying the compatibility condition

$$u_0(x) = \varphi(x, 0) \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega ?$$

## Answer 1 :

- If  $0 < p < 2$ , NO PROBLEM : take your favorite reference on parabolic equations and just follows the theory!
- If  $p = 2$ , a limiting case, the change  $v = -\exp(-u)$  reduces this equation to the Heat equation : again NO PROBLEM.

## Answer 2 :

- If  $p > 2$ , standard theory does not apply because of the superquadratic growth in the gradient.

Typical results on the solvability of the Dirichlet problem can be summarized as follows :

*the solution exists on some time interval  $[0, T)$  and when  $t \rightarrow T$ , the gradient of the solution blows up on the boundary while the solution itself remains bounded.*

This singularity is a difficulty to extend the solution past  $T$  and even one may think that no solution can be defined past  $T$  (cf., for example, **Fila & Lieberman** and **Souplet**)

**Question** : can we solve the Dirichlet problem past  $T$  or not ?

The answer is YES and NO...

depending on what you call “solving the Dirichlet problem”...

Now we examine the problem by making some remarks.

**Remark 1 :** As long as the solution exists (or formally), it satisfies the **uniform  $L^\infty$  estimates**

$$\|u\|_\infty \leq \max(\|u_0\|_\infty, \|\varphi\|_\infty)$$

where the  $L^\infty$ -norm is taken for  $x \in \bar{\Omega}$  and  $t \in [0, T']$  where  $T'$  can be any time if you argue formally.

This is a priori a good point to extend the solution past  $T$ ...

**Remark 2** : in dimension 1, we have “maximal solutions” (for a given initial data).

Indeed, we can solve the problem

$$-\chi''(x) + |\chi'(x)|^p + c^p = 0 \quad \text{in } (-1, 1)$$

with  $\chi'(x) \rightarrow \pm\infty$  when  $x \rightarrow \pm 1$ . Here  $c$  is a positive constant **which has to be determined**.

Since the solution  $\chi$  is expected to be even, we integrate once and we choose  $\chi'(0) = 0$  : the solution  $\chi$  satisfies the equation

$$c^{1-p} \int_0^{\frac{\chi'(x)}{c}} \frac{ds}{|s|^p + 1} = x .$$

To obtain the right behavior of  $\chi'$  at  $-1$  and  $1$ , we have to choose

$$c^{p-1} = \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{ds}{|s|^p + 1}.$$

Next a more careful study of  $\chi'$  for  $t$  close to  $1$  shows that

$$\chi'(x) \sim K(c, p)(1 - x)^{(1-p)^{-1}}$$

Hence  $\chi'$  is integrable if  $(1 - p)^{-1} > -1$  i.e. for  $p > 2$ . This is (one of) the reason(s) why the condition  $p > 2$  comes out.



Now choose any smooth solution  $u$  of the parabolic pde such that  $u(x, 0) \leq \chi(x)$  on  $[-1, 1]$  : because  $\chi'(\pm 1) = \pm\infty$ ,  $\pm 1$  cannot be a local maximum point of  $u - \chi$  and it is easy to deduce

$$u(x, t) \leq \chi(x) + c^p t \quad \text{in } [-1, 1] \times (0, +\infty)$$

In other words,  $\chi(\cdot) + c^p t$  is the **maximal subsolution** (and solution) of the equation with initial data  $\chi$ .

**Main consequence** : you cannot solve the Dirichlet problem with any boundary data...

The above example is a particular case in dimension 1 of results of **Lasry & Lions** : they prove that, for  $p > 2$ , in any dimension, the stationary problem admits a  $C^2(\Omega)$  maximal solution which can be extended continuously to  $\overline{\Omega}$ .

We solve above a so-called “**ergodic problem**” for an equation with **state-constraint boundary conditions** (a terminology to be explained later on...)

**Remark 3 :** If we consider the (approximate ?) problem

$$u_t^\varepsilon - \Delta u^\varepsilon + \inf(|Du^\varepsilon|^p, \varepsilon^{-1}) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, +\infty)$$

$$u^\varepsilon(x, 0) = u_0(x) \quad \text{on } \bar{\Omega}$$

$$u^\varepsilon(x, t) = \varphi(x, t) \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, +\infty)$$

then there exists a solution which is defined for all times and which satisfies

$$\|u^\varepsilon\|_\infty \leq \max(\|u_0\|_\infty, \|\varphi\|_\infty)$$

but what kind of problem solve  $u = \lim_{\varepsilon} u^\varepsilon$  ?

**Remark 4 (and last) :** The solution exists (for all time) because we have a formula !

We denote by  $(X_t)_t$  the solution of the controlled stochastic differential equation

$$dX_s = \alpha_s dt + dW_s \text{ for } s > 0, X_0 = x \in \Omega$$

where  $(\alpha_s)_s$ , the control, is some progressively measurable process.

Then we define the **value function** of the exit time control problem by

$$U(x, t) = \inf_{(\alpha_s)_s} \mathbf{E}_x \left[ \int_0^\tau (p - 1) p^{-\tilde{p}} |\alpha_s|^{\tilde{p}} ds + \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}} \varphi(X_\tau, t - \tau) + \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} u_0(X_t) \right]$$

where  $\tau$  is the first exit time of the trajectory  $(X_s)_s$  from  $\Omega$ ,  $\tilde{p} = \frac{p}{p-1}$  is the conjugate exponent to  $p$ .

Formally,  $U$  is the solution of our Dirichlet problem !

This formula leads to several questions, **in particular if  $\varphi$  is large**

(i) Is it possible to control the Brownian motion with a process  $(\alpha_s)_s$ ?

**(NB :** The Brownian motion has infinite variations almost surely while the process  $(\alpha_s)_s$  has bounded variations...)

(ii) If yes, is it worth doing it (in terms of cost)?

- If  $p$  is “small”,  $\tilde{p}$  is large and the cost of the large controls  $\alpha_s$  is large. So it is **more interesting to avoid paying this large cost** and to let the Brownian motion do whatever he wants, even if  $\varphi$  is large...
- On the contrary, **if  $p$  is “large”**,  $\tilde{p}$  is small and the cost of the large controls  $\alpha_s$  is cheaper... So, if  $\varphi$  is large, it might be **more interesting to control the Brownian motion to avoid paying  $\varphi$** .

This is why one cannot solve the Dirichlet problem for large  $\varphi$  : the “natural” solution IS NOT equal to  $\varphi$  on the boundary !

But what kind of problem  $U$  solve ? and is it the limit of the  $u^\varepsilon$  ?

**The generalized Dirichlet boundary conditions** (in the viscosity solutions sense)

Formally they can be written as

$$\min(u_t - \Delta u + |Du|^p, u - \varphi) \leq 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, +\infty),$$

and

$$\max(u_t - \Delta u + |Du|^p, u - \varphi) \geq 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, +\infty).$$

State-constraint boundary conditions are those obtained by taking, at least formally,  $\varphi \equiv +\infty$ , i.e.

$$u_t - \Delta u + |Du|^p \geq 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, +\infty).$$

**(NB :** in fact  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = +\infty$  !)



**Theorem :** For any  $u_0 \in C(\bar{\Omega})$  and  $\varphi \in C(\partial\Omega \times [0, +\infty])$  satisfying the compatibility condition on  $\partial\Omega \times \{0\}$ , **the value function  $U$  is continuous** on  $\bar{\Omega} \times [0, +\infty]$  and it is the **unique solution** of the generalized Dirichlet problem.

Moreover this problem has a **“Strong Comparison Result”** which implies that the solutions of any “reasonable” approximate problems converge to  $U$  (in particular the  $u^\varepsilon$ 's).

## Comments :

- “Strong Comparison Result” means that one can show that **any** upper-semi-continuous subsolution of the generalized Dirichlet problem is less than **any** lower-semi-continuous supersolution of the generalized Dirichlet problem

⇒ a Maximum Principle for semi-continuous viscosity sub and supersolutions.

- Useful? Yes because the “Half-Relaxed Limits Method” says that if  $(v^\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$  are solutions of any “reasonable” approximate problems, then

$$\bar{v}(x, t) := \limsup_{\substack{(y,s) \rightarrow (x,t) \\ \varepsilon \rightarrow 0}} v^\varepsilon(y, s) \quad , \quad \underline{v}(x, t) := \liminf_{\substack{(y,s) \rightarrow (x,t) \\ \varepsilon \rightarrow 0}} v^\varepsilon(y, s)$$

are respectively sub and supersolution of the generalized Dirichlet problem.

Therefore the “Strong Comparison Result” yields

$$\bar{v}(x, t) \leq U(x, t) \leq \underline{v}(x, t) \quad \text{in } \Omega \times [0, +\infty)$$

(one has to be careful with the boundary layer...) and because of the definition of  $\bar{v}$  and  $\underline{v}$ , this implies the local uniform convergence of the  $v^\varepsilon$  to  $U$  in  $\Omega$ .

**NB** : In fact the “Strong Comparison Result” is the cornerstone of everything...

## “Strong Comparison Results” for the Generalized Dirichlet problem

- Far easier to prove for Neumann boundary conditions : (very) general SCR exists for linear and nonlinear Neumann boundary conditions without particular conditions on the equations (P.L. Lions, H. Ishii and GB).
- The difficulty comes from the fact that the sub AND supersolutions are discontinuous : if one is continuous, it is far easier (E. Rouy, P.E Souganidis and GB)
- The first proof given by Soner was using a cone condition on the boundary, i.e. for the subsolution  $u$  : there exists a constant  $C$  such that, for any  $(x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times (0, +\infty)$

$$u(x, t) = \lim_k u(x_k, t_k) \quad \text{with } |x - x_k| \leq Cd(x_k, \partial\Omega)$$

This property (which is needed only for the subsolution in our case but which may be needed also for the supersolution in other cases) is the **KEY RESULT** of our work (and would hold in more general cases...).

- **Other SCR for the Dirichlet problem**

- **First-Order Equations** : H. Ishii, B. Perthame & GB, H. Ishii & S. Koike.

- **Semi-linear case** : J. Burdeau & GB

- **Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman** : M. Katsoulakis, E. Rouy & GB

- **Open problem** (or almost open) : the case of **quasilinear equations**

$$-\text{Tr}(A(Du)D^2u) + \dots$$

despite several results of Da Lio, Da Lio & GB.